УДК 546.28.72.662:548.3

https://doi.org/10.37827/ntsh.chem.2021.66.107

Volodymyr BABIZHETSKYY, Bogdan KOTUR

NON-STOICHIOMETRY OF GdFe₂Si₂: A SINGLE CRYSTAL STUDY

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Kyryla and Mefodiya Str. 6, 79005 Lviv, Ukraine e-mail: volodymyr.babizhetskyy@lnu.edu.ua

The title compound was prepared from the elements by arc-melting under argon followed by annealing in silica tubes at 800 °C for one month. The crystal structure of GdFe₂Si₂ was investigated by means of X-ray study of two single crystals, as well as powder X-ray diffraction phase and structural analyses and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy of four polycrystal samples. GdFe₂Si₂ crystallizes in the CeGa₂Al₂ structure type, space group 14/mmm, a = 3.938(1), c = 10.080(3) Å, Z = 2, R1 = 0.024, wR2 = 0.039 for 78 unique reflections with $I_0 > 2\sigma(I_0)$ and 9 parameters. For the compound with smaller unit cell volume the Fe position is not fully occupied and the refinement results for a composition GdFe_{1.92}Si₂ is in agreement with the data of chemical analysis: space group 14/mmm, a = 3.9467(7), c = 9.710(2) Å, Z = 2, R1 = 0.031, wR2 = 0.063 for 102 unique reflections with $I_0 > 2\sigma(I_0)$ and 10 parameters. Narrow homogeneity range GdFe_{2-x}Si₂ (0 ≤ x ≤ 0.08) for the compound was detected.

Keywords: ternary silicides, rare earth metals, single crystal, crystal structure.

1. Introduction

Numerous ternary compounds with the composition RT_2Si_2 (R = rare earth, T = transition element) have been reported during the last decades. They crystallize in the body-centered tetragonal crystal structure of the $CeGa_2Al_2$ type with space group I4/mmm. The first representatives of this structure type, $CeGa_2Al_2$, $CeBe_{1.2}Al_{2.8}$, and $CeCuAl_3$, were reported by Zarechnyuk et al. in 1964 [1]. Ban and Sikirica in 1965 reported the same crystal structure for $ThCr_2Si_2$ and several other compounds containing transition metals [2]. In the literature the first ($CeGa_2Al_2$) and the second ($ThCr_2Si_2$) representatives were used for denomination of this structure type of intermetallic compounds. We use the earlier reported representative for denomination of this structure type in the text.

CeGa₂Al₂ is an ordered ternary variant of the binary BaAl₄ tetragonal structure type [3], obtained by replacing two crystallographic Al sites 4d and 4e, by Ga and Al atoms, respectively. The largest Ce atoms occupy 2a site. The presence of two different crystallographic sites 4d and 4e with coordination numbers of 12 and 9, respectively, results in various ternary RT_2X_2 (R = rare earth, actinide, Ca, Sr, p-element; T = d-element; X = p-element) compounds adopting the CeGa₂Al₂ structure type. Rieger and Parthe [4] reported on synthesis of above 90 RT_2X_2 (R = Ca, Sr, rare earth, actinide; T =

Mn \rightarrow Cu; X = Si, Ge) ternaries crystallizing in CeGa₂Al₂ type of structure. A number of rare earth d-transition element silicides and germanides RT_2X_2 were reported also in [5–6]. For all ternaries there was reported constant composition of 1:2:2. To our knowledge Gd and Y are the only rare earths for which Fe containing silicides with slightly non-stoichiometric GdFe_{1.95}Si_{2.05} [6] and YFe_{2- δ}Si₂ ($\delta = 0.2$) [7] compositions were obtained. However, the stoichiometric 1:2:2 samples for these ternaries were reported as well [4–5, 8–11]. The lattice parameters obtained from powder XRD data are the only crystal structure characteristics of GdFe₂Si₂ and GdFe_{1.95}Si_{2.05} reported in [4–6, 8–11]. These data are presented in Table 1. The literature data indicated that GdFe₂Si₂ may have a narrow homogeneity range. Another indication of this may be slightly different values of the antiferromagnetic ordering temperature (Neel temperature) $T_N = 7$ K reported for GdFe_{1.95}Si_{2.05} [6] and $T_N = 8$ K for GdFe₂Si₂ [12].

In the course of systematic studies of the Gd–Fe–Si system we have obtained new phases. Among them is a new ternary silicide GdFe_{1-x}Si₂ (x = 0.32) has been synthesized from the elements by arc-melting. It crystallizes in space group *Cmmm* and represents a TbFeSi₂-type structure. The Fe position is not fully occupied and the structure refinement results in a composition GdFe_{0.68}Si₂ in agreement with a chemical analysis [13].

Table 1
Literature data for lattice parameters for GdFe₂Si₂ (polycrystal powder XRD data)
compared with our data

a, Å	c, Å	V, Å ³	Homogenizing procedure	Reference
3.940(6)	9.974(10)	154.83	900 °C, 100 h	[4]
3.940	9.974	154.83		[5]
3.940(5)	9.974(5)	154.83		[8]
3.932	9.971	154.16	900 °C, 8 d	[9]
3.94	10.03	155.70		[10]
3.936(1)	9.971(4)	154.48	800 °C, one week	[11]
3.94*	10.00*	155.24	800 °C, several weeks	GdFe _{1.95} Si _{2.05} [6]
3.943(1)	9.890(3)	153.76	800 °C, one month	Our data
3.937(1)	10.009(3)	155.14	800 °C, one month	Our data

^{*}deducted from a plot

The lattice parameters of GdFe₂Si₂ observed in our XRD powder data (see Table 1) as well as presented in the literature [4–6, 8–11] indicate some structural discrepancies. A closer single crystal study of the GeFe₂Si₂ compound became the subject of the present report.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis

Polycrystalline samples of different compositions $Gd_{10}Fe_{40}Si_{50}$, $Gd_{15}Fe_{45}Si_{40}$, $Gd_{22}Fe_{33}Si_{45}$ and $Gd_{25}Fe_{35}Si_{40}$ were prepared from the commercially available pure elements: gadolinium metal with a claimed purity of 99.99 at.%, Alfa-Aesar, Johnson Matthey Company, sublimed bulk pieces; silicon as powder, purity >99.99 at.%, H. C. Starck, Germany; iron powder, purity 99.98 at.%, Fluka Chemicals. Suitable amounts of powders and freshly filed chips of the rare earth metal were mixed together and pressed into pellets. Arc-melting of the samples (1.00 g each) was performed on a water-cooled copper hearth under a purified argon atmosphere with Ti as the getter. To ensure homogeneity, the samples were turned over and re-melted two times. Weight losses were

generally smaller than 0.5%. For further heat treatments, the pellets were wrapped in tantalum foil, sealed in evacuated quartz tubes, annealed at T = 800 °C for 1 month and subsequently quenched by submerging the tubes in cold water. Single crystals of the gadolinium iron silicide, having a metallic luster and being unreactive towards air, were isolated by crushing the solidified samples.

2.2. Microprobe analysis

For metallographic inspection and for complementary qualitative phase analysis, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was employed. The samples were embedded in Wood's metal (melting point of 75°C, Fluka Chemie, Switzerland). The embedded samples were polished on a nylon cloth using chromium oxide (Bühler Isomet) with grain sizes $1-5~\mu m$. Quantitative and qualitative composition analyses of the polished samples were performed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) on a scanning electron microscope TESCAN 5130 MM with an Oxford Si-detector. From the EDX analysis of the annealed samples the different compositions were deduced and they are presented in Table 2. Standard deviations estimated to be about 1.0 at.%. The surface of the phases in the Gd–Fe–Si system appeared to be quite stable in air. Metallographic investigation, X-ray powder diffraction and EPMA analyses revealed the compound GdFe_{2-x}Si₂ ($0 \le x \le 0.8$) to be in phase equilibria with Gd₂Fe₃Si₅ [14], Gd₂FeSi₂ [15], GdSi [16], FeSi [17] and Fe₃Si [18] (Fig. 1).

 $Table\ 2$ Characteristics of the phase equilibria with participation of GdFe₂Si₂ in the Gd–Fe–Si system at 800 °C

		1	•
Alloy composition	Phases ^a	$\mathrm{EDX}^{\mathrm{a}}$	Lattice parameters ^b
$Gd_{10}Fe_{40}Si_{50}$	GdFe ₂ Si ₂ +FeSi	$Gd_{20}Fe_{38}Si_{42}+Fe_{50}Si_{50}$	a = 3.943(1)
			c = 9.890(3)
Gd ₁₅ Fe ₄₅ Si ₄₀	GdFe ₂ Si ₂ +Fe ₃ Si	Gd ₂₁ Fe ₄₂ Si ₃₇ +Fe ₇₆ Si ₂₄	a = 3.937(1)
			c = 10.009(3)
Gd ₂₂ Fe ₃₃ Si ₄₅	GdFe ₂ Si ₂ +Gd ₃ Fe ₂ Si ₅	$Gd_{21}Fe_{41}Si_{38}+Gd_{28}Fe_{21}Si_{51}$	a = 3.934(2)
			c = 9.936(3)
Gd ₂₅ Fe ₃₅ Si ₄₀	GdFe ₂ Si ₂ +Gd ₂ FeSi ₂ +GdSi	$Gd_{21}Fe_{39}Si_{40}+Gd_{41}Fe_{21}Si_{38}+Gd_{51}Si_{49}$	a = 3.938(1)
			c = 9.980(2)

^aPhases detected by XRD and SEM/EDX analyses

3. Results and Discussion

The primary tool for phase and structural analysis was powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) performed on a DRON-2.0M (Fe K_{α} -radiation) and STOE STADI P (Mo $K_{\alpha 1}$ -radiation) and handled with program packages STOE WinXPOW [19] and WinCSD [20]. The unit cell parameters were refined with the help of the WinCSD program package. The indexing of the X-ray powder patterns was ensured through intensity calculations taking the atomic positions determined from the single crystal investigation. The unit cell parameters refined from X-ray powder data are presented in Table 2.

Small and irregularly platelet-shaped single crystals for X-ray investigation were selected from two crushed annealed samples of Gd₁₀Fe₄₀Si₅₀ and Gd₂₂Fe₃₃Si₄₅. X-ray diffraction data for GdFe₂Si₂ and GdFe_{1.92}Si₂ single crystals were collected at room temperature on a Stoe IPDS II image plate diffractometer with monochromatized Mo*Kα*

bXRD powder data refined for GdFe₂Si₂

radiation in oscillation mode. The lattice parameters for $GdFe_2Si_2$ were determined from 879 reflections in the region 9.09–58.29° 2θ and for $GdFe_{1.92}Si_2$ were determined from 1588 reflections in the region 8.17–64.02° 2θ . All relevant details concerning the data collection are listed in Table 3. Some difference between the lattice parameters refined from single crystal and powder X-ray diffraction data are quite usual. After X-ray data collection on a Stoe IPDS II image plate diffractometer, EDX analysis of the single crystal reveal the composition, which is in good agreement with the results obtained from bulk samples presented in Table 2, and the small difference indicates the surface irregularities of single crystal shape.




Fig. 1. Backscattered electron image of the annealed bulk samples with nominal atomic composition: a) Gd₁₀Fe₄₀Si₅₀, b) Gd₁₅Fe₄₅Si₄₀, c) Gd₂₂Fe₃₃Si₄₅, d) Gd₂₅Fe₃₅Si₄₀.

The unit cell parameters and extinction rules suggested I4/mmm as the most appropriate space group. The starting atomic parameters derived via direct methods using the program SIR 97 [21] were subsequently refined with the program SHELXL-97 [22] (full-matrix least-squares on F^2) with anisotropic atomic displacements for the terbium atoms. Only three different atomic coordinates were obtained in 2a, 4b and 4e Wyckoff positions equivalent to those of CeGa₂Al₂ structure type [1]. First the Gd, Fe and Si atoms were appointed in 2a, 4b and 4e sites, according to CeGa₂Al₂ structure, respectively. Refinement

Table 3

of the crystal structure with SHELXL program in anisotropic approximation of atomic displacement for the compound with larger unit cell converged well. The final difference Fourier map of $GF_{2}Si_{2}$ was flat, and the refinement of the occupancy factors (G) gave no evidence for partial occupations. Contrary to the previously crystal structure with full occupation for all atoms, the X-ray single crystal investigation for the compound with small unit cell shows the elevated values for atomic displacement parameters for the Fe atoms, there is significant residual electron density peak in difference Fourier close to the Gd atom ($4.9 \text{ e}^{-}A^{-3}$; 0.7 Å). Refinement occupancy (G) for the 4d site resulted in G = 0.96(1). The atomic coordinates and displacement parameters for both single crystals are given in Table 4 and selected interatomic distances are reported in Table 5.

Single crystal and structure refinement data for GdFe₂Si₂ and GdFe_{1,92(1)}Si₂

Single Crystal and structure refinement data for Gure2512 and Gure1,92(1)512						
Empirical formula	GdFe ₂ Si ₂	GdFe _{1.92(1)} Si ₂				
Crystal system	Tetragonal	Tetragonal				
Space group	<i>I</i> 4/ <i>mmm</i> (No. 139)	I4/mmm				
Pearson symbol, Z	<i>tI</i> 10, 2	<i>t</i> I10, 2				
Lattice parameters						
a, Å	3.938(1)	3.9467(7)				
c, Å	10.080(3)	9.710(2)				
Unit cell volume, Å ³	156.32(1)	155.31(6)				
Calculated density, g/cm ³	6.908	6.952				
Absorption coefficient, 1/cm	30.58	30.77				
Crystal size, mm ³	$0.11 \times 0.03 \times 0.06$	$0.15 \times 0.008 \times 0.06$				
Radiation and wavelength, Å	$MoK\alpha$, 0.71073	$MoK\alpha$, 0.71073				
Diffractometer	STOE IPDS II	STOE IPDS II				
Refined parameters	9	10				
Refinement	F^2	F^2				
$2\theta_{\rm max}$ and $(\sin\theta/\lambda)_{\rm max}$	58.32, 0.685	63.34, 0.738				
h, k, l	-4< h <5	-5< h <5				
	-5< <i>k</i> <5	-5< <i>k</i> <5				
	-13< <i>l</i> <13	-14< <i>l</i> <14				
Collected reflections	749	926				
Independent reflections	$84 (R_{\text{int}} = 0.12)$	$102 (R_{\text{int}} = 0.11)$				
Reflections with $I_0 \ge 2\sigma(I_0)$	$78 (R_{\sigma} = 0.050)$	$102 (R_{\sigma} = 0.052)$				
Final R_1 indices (R_1 all data)	0.024 (0.033) a)	$0.031 (0.032)^{a}$				
Weighted wR_2 factor (wR_2 all data)	0.039 (0.045) b)	0.063 (0.062) c)				
Goodness-of-fit on F^2 :	1.21	1.24				
Extinction coefficient:	0.012(3)	0.040(7)				
Largest diff. peak and hole, e Å ⁻³	3.0/-2.4	4.0/-2.3				

a) $R_1(F) = \left[\sum (|F_0| - |F_c|) \right] / \sum |F_0|$

The 3D representation of the $GdFe_2Si_2$ structure is shown in Figure 2. Coordination polyhedron (CP) of the largest Gd atom in the structure is 22-vertex polyhedron $[GdGd_4Fe_8Si_{10}]$ with 12 quadrangular and 24 triangular faces. The closest neighbors of Gd are 10 Si atoms (d = 3.060(2) Å) (see Table 5). The Gd–Fe distances are 3.198(2) Å long. Coordination number (CN) of Fe atoms is 12, CP is distorted cubooctahedron $[FeGd_4Fe_4Si_4]$. Fe–Si distances 2.333(2) Å are decreased (for about 4 %) compared with

b) $wR_2(F^2) = \left[\sum \left[w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \sum \left[w(F_0^2)^2\right]\right]^{1/2}; \left[w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F_0)^2 + P\right], \text{ where } P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3\right]$

c) $wR_2(F^2) = \left[\sum [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \sum [w(F_0^2)^2]\right]^{1/2}$; $[w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F_0)^2 + (0.0261)^2 + 0.00P]$, where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

the sum of Fe and Si atomic radii (1.26 + 1.17 = 2.43 Å) while Fe–Fe distances 2.785(1) Å are larger. The closest neighbors of Si atom are 4 Gd and 4 Fe metal atoms forming tetragonal antiprism. An additional Si atom centers square face of antiprism formed by 4 Gd atoms. Thus, CP of Si atom is [SiGd₄Fe₄Si₁], CN is 9, Si–Si distance is 2.535(8) Å. In the crystal structure of GdFe_{1.92}Si₂ all atoms have the same CPs and CNs and other characteristics as those presented for GdFe₂Si₂ (see Table 5).

Table 4
Atomic coordinates and displacement parameters^a (Å²) for GdFe₂Si₂ and GdFe_{1,92}Si₂ single crystals

Atom	Site	х	у	Z	$U_{ m eq}/U_{ m iso}$	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}
GdFe ₂ Si ₂								
Gd	2 <i>a</i>	0	0	0	0.0088(6)	0.0068(6)	0.0068(6)	0.0128(8)
Fe	4d	0	1/2	1/4	0.0097(6)	0.0084(8)	0.0084(8)	0.012(1)
Si	4 <i>e</i>	0	0	0.3743(4)	0.0109(8)	0.008(1)	0.008(1)	0.016(2)
$GdFe_{1.92}Si_2$						_		
Gd	2 <i>a</i>	0	0	0	0.0059(5)	0.0043(5)	0.0043(5)	0.0092(6)
Fe^{b}	4d	0	1/2	1/4	0.0058(7)	0.0056(8)	0.0056(8)	0.006(1)
Si	4 <i>e</i>	0	0	0.3748(3)	0.0069(6)	0.0060(8)	0.0060(8)	0.009(2)

 $^{^{\}mathbf{a}}U_{23}=U_{13}=U_{12}=0.$

As it is seen from Fig. 3 the structure of GdFe_{2-x}Si₂ can be presented as built of layers of [SiGd₄Fe₄] antiprisms rotated and shifted relative to each other, superimposed along [001] direction. In this case each upper prism is in contact with four lower ones.

Interatomic distances (Å) for GdFe₂Si₂ and GdFe_{1,92}Si₂

Interatomic distances (A) for GdFe ₂ Si ₂ and GdFe _{1.92} Si ₂					
Atoms	GdFe ₂ Si ₂	GdFe _{1.92} Si ₂			
Gd – 10 Si	3.060(2)	3.057(1)			
8 Fe	3.198(2)	3.1793(4)			
4 Gd	3.938(1)	3.947(1)			
Fe – 4 Si	2.334(2)	2.333(2)			
4 Fe	2.785(1)	2.7907(5)			
4 Gd	3.198(2)	3.1793(4)			
Si – 4 Fe	2.333(2)	2.333(2)			
Si	2.535(8)	2.497(6)			
4 Gd	3.059(2)	3.057(1)			

A number of CeGa₂Al₂ type structures exhibit incomplete occupancy of the 4*d* or 4*e* sites. Non-stoichiometry was consistently observed in RCo₂₋₈As₂ structures (R = Ca, La, Ce, Pr, Nd). In lanthanide containing structures, the concentration of Co vacancies (δ) increases with the increase of the lanthanide atomic number, i.e., δ = 0.09, 0.17, 0.22 and 0.29 for R = La, Ce, Pr, and Nd, respectively [23]. The similar deficiency of Ni atoms was observed in a number of isostructural pnictides, for some of which a homogeneity range was defined, e.g., YNi_{1.66-1.78}P₂ [24], CeNi_{1.72-1.88}P₂, PrNi_{1.47-1.97}P₂ [25–28], EuNi_{1.88}As_{1.92} [29], and SrNi_{1.72-1.85}Sb₂ [30]. The Ni deficiency in the case of pnictides as Fe deficiency in the crystal structure of silicides could be caused by the necessity to

Table 5

 $^{^{\}rm b}G = 0.96(1)$

stabilize the electronic structure by removing some atoms with the excess of valence electrons [25].




Fig. 2. Perspective view of the crystal structure of GdFe_{2-x}Si₂.




Fig. 3. Stacking of layers of tetragonal antiprisms [SiGd4Fe4] in the structure of GdFe_{2-x}Si₂ in the projection along [010].

4. Conclusion

In summary, the non-stoichiometry of GdFe₂Si₂ has been investigated by means of X-ray single crystal, powder X-ray diffraction phase and structural analyses and by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy. The unit cell parameters at room temperature were refined from X-ray single crystal and powder X-ray diffraction data pointing to narrow homogeneity range of the compound at 800 °C, GdFe_{2-x}Si₂ ($0 \le x \le 0.08$). In the crystal structure of GdFe_{1.92}Si₂ the Fe position 4*d* is not fully occupied (G = 0.96(1)) and the results of structure refinement are in agreement with a chemical analysis. GdFe_{2-x}Si₂ ($0 \le x \le 0.08$) crystallizes in CeGa₂Al₂ structure type, Pearson symbol, *tI*10, space group *I4/mmm*, lattice parameters a = 3.938(1), c = 10.080(3) Å for GdFe₂Si₂ and a = 3.9467(7), c = 9.710(2) Å for GdFe_{1.92}Si₂.

ЛІТЕРАТУРА

- 1. Zarechnyuk O.S., Kripyakevich P.I., Gladyshevsky E.I. Ternary intermetallic compounds with the superstructure to the BaAl4 type. Kristallografiya. 1964. Vol. 9. P. 835–838. (in Russian).
- Ban Z., Sikirica M. The crystal structure of ternary silicides ThM₂Si₂ (M = Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni and Cu). Acta Crystallogr. 1965. Vol. 18. P. 594–599. (https://doi.org/10.1107/S0365110X6500141X).
- 3. Andress K.R., Alberti E. X-ray investigation of Aluminum-Barium alloys. Z. Metallkd. 1935. Vol. 27. P. 126–128.
- Rieger W., Parthé E. Ternäre Erdalkali- und Seltene Erdmetall-Silicide und -Germanide mit ThCr₂Si₂-Struktur. Monatsh. Chem. 1969. Vol. 100. P. 444–454. (https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00904086).
- 5. Rossi D., Marazza R., Ferro R. Lattice parameters of some ThCu₂Si₂-type phases in ternary alloys of rare earths with cobalt (or iron) and silicon (or germanium). J. Less-Common Met. 1978. Vol. 58. P. 203–207. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(78)90201-1).
- 6. Tung L.D., Franse J.J.M., Buschow K.H.J., Brommer P.E., Thuj N.P. A study of magnetic coupling in GdT₂Si₂ compounds (T = transition metal). J. Alloys Compd. 1997. Vol. 260. P. 35–43. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388(97)00150-3).
- Goto R., Noguchi S., Ishida T. Superconductivity in ternary iron silicide YFe₂₋₈Si₂ single crystal. Physica C. 2010. Vol. 470. P. S404–S405. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physc.2010.01.025).
- 8. Felner I., Mayer I., Grill A., Schieber M. Magnetic ordering in rare earth iron silicides and germanides of the RFe₂X₂ type. Solid State Commun. 1975. Vol. 16. P. 1005–1009. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(75)90640-7).
- 9. Buschow K.H.J., de Mooij D.B. Structural and magnetic characteristics of several ternary compounds of the type GdX₂Si₂ and UX₂Si₂ (X = 3d, 4d or 5d metal). Philips J. Res. 1980. Vol. 41(1). P. 55–76.
- 10. Umarji A.M., Noakes D.R., Viccaro P.J., Shenoy G.K., Aldred A.T., Niarchos D. Magnetic properties of REFe₂Si₂ compounds. J. Magn. Magn. Mat. 1983. Vol. 36. P. 61–65. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(83)91044-2).
- 11. Bara J.J., Hrynkiewicz H.U., Miloś A., Szyluła A. Investigation of the crystal properties of RFe₂Si₂ and RFe₂Ge₂ by X-ray diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopy. J. Less-Common Met. 1990. Vol. 161. P. 185–192. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(90)90026-G).
- 12. *Czjzek G., Oestereich V., Schmidt H., Łątka K., Tomala K.* A study of compounds GdT₂Si₂ by Mőssbauer spectroscopy and by bulk magnetization measurements. J. Magn. Magn. Mat. 1979. Vol. 79. P. 42–56. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(89)90290-4).

- 13. Babizhetskyy V., Köhler J., Tyvanchuk Y., Zheng C. A new ternary silicide GdFe_{1-x}Si₂ (x = 0.32): preparation, crystal and electronic structure. Z. Naturforsch. B. 2020. Vol. 75b. P. 217–223. (https://doi.org/10.1515/znb-2019-0200).
- 14. Bodak O.I., Gladyshevskii E.I., Yarovets V.I., Davydov V.M., Il'chuk T.V. The systems (Y, Gd)–Fe–Si. Izv. AN USSR. Inorg. Mater. 1978. Vol. 14. P. 366–369. (in Russian).
- 15. *Merlo F., Pani M., Fornasini M.L.* Crystal structure and electrical properties of the new R₂TSi₂ compounds (R = rare earths; T = Fe, Co). J. Alloys Compd. 2004. Vol. 372. P. 80–87. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2003.10.024).
- 16. Roger J., Babizhetskyy V., Hiebl K., Halet J.-F., Guerin R. Structural chemistry, magnetism and electrical properties of binary Gd silicides and Ho₃Si₄. J. Alloys Compd. 2006. Vol. 407. P. 25–35. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2005.06.038).
- 17. Wartchow R., GerighausenS., Binnewies M. Redetermination of the crystal structure of iron silicide, FeSi. Z. Kristallogr. NCS. 1997. Vol. 212. P. 320. (https://doi.org/10.1524/ncrs. 1997.212.1.320)
- 18. Schütte M., Wartchow R., Binnewies M. Shape Controlling Synthesis Formation of Fe₃Si by the Reaction of Iron with Silicon Tetrachloride and Crystal Structure Refinement. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2003. Vol. 629. P. 1846–1850. (https://doi.org/10.1002/zaac.200300125).
- 19. STOE WinXPOW. Ver. 2.10 (Feb. 2004). STOE & Cie GmbH.
- Akselrud L., Grin Y. WinCSD: software package for crystallographic calculations (Version 4).
 J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2014. Vol. 47. P. 803–805. (https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600576714001058).
- Altomare A., Burla M.C., Camalli M., Cascarano G.L., Giacovazzo C., Guagliardi A., Moliterni A.G.G., Polidori G., Spagna R. SIR97: a new tool for crystal structure determination and refinement. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999. Vol. 32. P. 115–119. (https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889898007717).
- 22. Sheldrick G.M. SHELXT Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. Acta Crystallogr. A. 2015. Vol. 71. P. 3–8. (https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053273314026370).
- Thompson C.M., Tan X.Y., Kovnir K., Garlea V.O., Gippius A.A., Yaroslavtsev A.A., Menushenkov A.P., Chernikov R.V., Büttgen N., Krätschmer W., Zubavichus Y.V., Shatruk M. Synthesis, Structures, and Magnetic Properties of Rare-Earth Cobalt Arsenides, RCo₂As₂ (R = La, Ce, Pr, Nd). Chem. Mater. 2014. Vol. 26. P. 3825–3837. (https://doi.org/10.1021/cm501522v).
- 24. Zhak O., Stoyko S., Babizhetskyy V., Shved O., Oryshchyn S., Hoch C. Interaction of yttrium with nickel and phosphorus: Phase diagram and structural chemistry. J. Solid State Chem. 2013. Vol. 207. P. 87–93. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2013.09.005).
- Bobev S., Xia S.-Q., Bauer E.D., Ronning F., Thompson J.D., Sarrao J.L. Nickel deficiency in RENi_{2-x}P₂ (RE= La, Ce, Pr). Combined crystallographic and physical property studies. J. Solid State Chem. 2009. Vol. 182. P. 1473–1480. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2009.03.014).
- Kuz'ma Yu. B., Chykhrij S. I. Phosphides. In Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths; Gschneidner K.A., Jr., Eyring L., Eds. – Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, 1996. Ch. 156, Vol. 23, P. 285–433. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1273(96)23007-7).
- 27. Babizhetskyy V.S., Kuz'ma Yu.B. Systems La–Ni–P and Ce–Ni–P. J. Inorg. Chem. 1994. Vol. 39. P. 322–327 (in Russian).
- 28. Chykhrij S.I., Sobko V., Budnyk S.L. Smetana V.B. Isothermal Section of the Phase Diagram of Pr-Ni-P System at 1070 K. Visn. Lviv. Derzh. Univ., Ser. Khim. 2005. Vol. 46. P. 61-66 (in Ukrainian).
- 29. *Jeitschko W., Hofmann W.K., Terbüchte L.J.* Lanthanoid and uranium nickel arsenides with CaBe₂Ge₂- and ThCr₂Si₂-type structures. J. Less-Common Met. 1988. Vol. 137. P. 133–142. (https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(88)90081-1).
- 30. Gvozdetskyi V., Hlukhyy V., Gladyshevskii R., Fässler T.F. Crystal Structure and Magnetic Properties of SrNi_{2-x}Sb₂. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2015. Vol. 641. P. 1859–1862.

(https://doi.org/10.1002/zaac.201500518).

РЕЗЮМЕ

Володимир БАБІЖЕЦЬКИЙ, Богдан КОТУР

ДОСЛІДЖЕННЯ НЕСТЕХІОМЕТРИЧНОСТІ СПОЛУКИ $GdFe_2Si_2$ МЕТОДОМ МОНОРКРИСТАЛУ

Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка, вул. Кирила і Мефодія, 6, 79005 Львів, Україна e-mail: volodymyr.babizhetskyy@lnu.edu.ua

Тернарний силіцид GdFe₂Si₂ синтезовано електродуговою плавкою чистих компонентів в атмосфері очищеного аргону з наступним відпалом зразків при 800 °C упродовж одного місяця. Кристалічну структуру сполуки вивчено X-променевими методами монокристала (монокристали складів GdFe₂Si₂ та GdFe_{1,92}Si₂), порошку та енергодисперсійною X-променевою спектроскопією. GdFe₂Si₂ кристалізується у структурному типі CeGa₂Al₂, символ Пірсона t10, просторова група I4/mmm, a=3,938(1), c=10,080(3) Å, <math>Z=2, R1=0,024, wR2=0,039 для 78 рефлексів з $I_o>2\sigma(I_o)$ та 9 уточнованих параметрів. Для ізоструктурного монокристала GdFe_{1,92}Si₂ з параметрами гратки a=3,9467(7), c=9,710(2) Å, Z=2, R1=0,031, wR2=0,063 для 102 рефлексів із $I_o>2\sigma(I_o)$ та 10 уточнюваних параметрів положення атомів Fe 4d, 0 1/2 1/4 заповнене не повністю (G=0,96(1)). Його склад підтверджено результатами енергодисперсійної X-променевої спектроскопії. Встановлена область гомогенності сполуки при 800 °C описується формулою GdFe_{2-x}Si₂ ($0 \le x \le 0,08$). Координаційні числа атомів Gd, Fe та Si становлять 22, 12 та 9. Координаційний многогранник найменших атомів Si у структурі сполуки — тетрагональна антипризма з додатковим атомом Si напроти чотирикутної грані, утвореної чотирма атомами Gd, [SiGd₄Fe₄Si]. У структурі сполуки скорочені віддалі Fe–Si (на ~4 %), а інші віддалі — рівні або більші за суму атомних радіусів відповідних атомів.

Ключові слова: тернарні силіциди, рідкісноземельні метали, монокристал, кристалічна структура.

Стаття надійшла: 20.07.2021. Після доопрацювання: 04.08.2021. Прийнята до друку: 30.09.2021.