

ELEC 341 – Graded Assignments

# Assignment A-2

## 12 Marks

### Learning Objectives

- 2<sup>nd</sup> Order System Performance Metrics
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Order System Approximations
- Improving Approximations
- Matlab
  - set
  - gca
  - roots
  - pzmap
- Simulink
  - n/a

*When you use off-the-shelf (OTS) sub-components, you have no idea what's inside and must rely on information provided in the data sheet. This is called a "Black Box" system.*

*Data sheets often contain experimental curves, but rarely provide a linear model. If you want to use the device in a control system, you must develop your own linear model that represents its behaviour as closely as possible. A linear approximation will never be perfect, but it's better than nothing. And if you do a good enough job, it's a lot better than nothing.*

### **Calc 1 2 mark(s) Experimental Data from a Data-Sheet**

Load the experimental data file you generate in Assignment 1. Plot it.

From the plot, estimate:

- C1\_tr = Rise Time (msec)
- C1\_tp = Peak Time (msec)
- C1\_ts = Settle Time (msec)
- C1\_os = Overshoot (%)

*In this case, should you use Tr or Tr1 for Rise Time ??? Which is more relevant ???*

*Since you actually have the raw data, you could extract these values. But when you only have a printed plot from a data-sheet, you don't have this luxury so avoid that. Just use your best judgement.*

### **Calc 2 2 mark(s) Estimate #1**

Use Overshoot to compute the damping co-efficient & Settle Time to compute the natural frequency.

- C2\_zeta =  $\zeta$  (pure)
- C2\_wn =  $\omega_n$  (rad/s)

### **Calc 3 1 mark(s) Estimate #2**

Re-Calculate  $\omega_n$  using the Peak Time. Use the same  $\zeta$  value.

- C3\_wn =  $\omega_n$  (rad/s)

### **Calc 4 1 mark(s) Estimate #3**

Re-Calculate  $\omega_n$  using the Rise Time. Use the same  $\zeta$  value.

- C3\_wn =  $\omega_n$  (rad/s)

**Fig 1 3 mark(s) Plot Results**

Compute the transfer functions for Estimates 1-3. Plot the Experimental Data and all 3 step responses, all on the same graph. Change the time axis so that it stops exactly when the data stops, to show as much detail as possible.

- Time scale in msec
- LineWidth = 3 (all of the following)
- Experimental: Solid green
- Estimate #1: Dotted red
- Estimate #2: Dotted black
- Estimate #3: Dotted blue
- Include a Legend (bottom, right hand corner of plot)

**Calc 5 1 mark(s) Accurate Values**

Find the Overshoot and Settle Time by zooming in on the plot. A zoom icon shows up when you hover the cursor over the plot window. Click it and draw a box around the part of the curve you are interested in.

- C5\_ts = Settle Time (ms)
- C5\_os = Overshoot (%)

Recall,  $T_s$  is the time it takes for the error to drop **BELOW 2%**, and stay there.

**How far off was your estimate ???**

**Calc 6 1 mark(s) Poles & Zeros**

Use your plot to choose the most accurate estimate. From that estimate, compute the poles.

- C6\_p = Vector of poles

**Fig 2 1 mark(s) Poles & Zeros**

Use the poles that you computed to generate a pole-zero plot.

- Pole-zero plot (investigate the pzmap function)

*Which value was hardest to read accurately from the plotted data ??? If you have the same problem with a data-sheet plot, how could you get around that ???*

*Make sure you **understand** what was accomplished in each step. Even if you had trouble reading certain values from the plot, you probably ended up with a good approximation. And the curve you were trying to model is not a 2<sup>nd</sup> order system !!! It's higher order.h*