

ELEC 341

# Project P7

## 10 Marks

### Learning Objectives

- Lead (PD) Control
  - Root Locus
  - Dynamics
  - SS Error

*So far, you only generated Pole-Zero plots which only shows the open-loop roots, not the closed loop roots for different gain values. That's what a Root Locus is for.*

### Proportional Root Locus

Generate a Root Locus plot of the control system.

Use `rla()` to see the asymptotes.

- Root Locus with Asymptotes

*Identify the root(s) that cross the  $j\omega$  axis. These determine  $K_u$ .*

*Replace the controller you developed in P6 with a LEAD controller:*

- The derivative is computed without any additional filtering.
- The zero is placed half way between the controller pole and the  $j\omega$  axis.

*The zero MUST be closer to the  $j\omega$  axis than the pole or it's not a LEAD controller.*

### Controller Dynamics

Compute the dynamics of your LEAD controller and the Ultimate Gain of DGH.

- P7\_D = unity gain controller dynamics
- P7\_Ku = Ultimate Gain

*Let's see if the LEAD controller improved performance.*

### LEAD Root Locus

Generate a Root Locus of DGH.

- Root Locus with Asymptotes

*Was the Root Locus affected very much by the Lead Controller ???*

*Is it possible that the Root Locus doesn't tell the whole story ???*

### Step Response

Compute the **CLOSED-LOOP** Transfer Function of your **CONTROL SYSTEM**.

Plot the following step responses using **THE SAME TIME VECTOR**.

- $\theta_d = 10^\circ$  (degrees)
- Proportional Controller with  $K_p = K_u/2$  (degrees) blue
- Lead Controller with  $K = K_u/2$  (degrees) black
- Add a **legend()** with descriptive labels.

*You know that the Proportional and Lead controlled systems each have a different Ultimate Gain so don't misinterpret the variable " $K_u$ ". It is not a fixed number. It changes depending on which control system you are referring to.*

*Different Steady-State Errors make two curves difficult to compare. To see if this controller was a step in the right direction, make it an more apples-to-apples comparison.*

**Tuned Gain**

Adjust K until the steady-state error of the Lead controlled system is equivalent to the steady-state error of the Proportional controlled system.

- P7\_K = Tuned Gain

**Tuned Response**

Plot the following step responses using **THE SAME TIME VECTOR**.

- $\theta_d = 10^\circ$  (degrees)
- Proportional Controller with  $K_p = K_u/2$  (degrees) blue
- Lead Controller with  $K = K_{opt}$  (degrees) black

*Was it worth it to implement a LEAD controller ???*

*It may be easy to implement in Matlab, but in a micro-controller you have to compute velocity in your ISR, which may require a lower control frequency.*

Deliverables			
Values		Figures	
1. P7_D	(2 marks)	1. Proportional RL	(1 marks)
2. P7_Ku	(1 marks)	2. Lead RL	(1 marks)
3. P7_K	(1 marks)	3. Step Response	(2 marks)
		4. Tuned Response	(2 marks)