

# Fault Localization & Relevance Analysis

Matthias Heizmann, Christian Schilling, Numair Mansur

June 5, 2017

**Definition 1** (Execution). *Let  $\pi$  be an error trace of length  $n$ . An execution of  $\pi$  is a sequence of states  $s_0, s_1 \dots s_n$  such that  $s_i, s_{i+1} \models T$ , where  $T$  is the transition formula of  $\pi[i]$ .*

*Let  $\epsilon$  represent the set of all possible executions of the error trace.*

**Definition 2** (Blocking Execution). *An execution of a trace  $\pi$  of size  $n$  is called a blocking execution if there exists a sequence of states  $s_0, s_1 \dots s_j$  where  $i < j \leq n$  such that  $s_i, s_{i+1} \models T[i]$ , where  $T[i]$  is the transition formula of  $\pi[i]$  and there exists an assume statement in the trace  $\pi$  at position  $j$  such that  $s_j \not\models \text{guard}(\pi[j])$ .*

**Definition 3** (Relevancy of an assignment statement). *Let  $\beta$  represent the set of all blocking executions of a trace  $\pi$ . Let there be an assignment statement of the form  $x := t$  at position  $i$ . Let  $\pi'$  represent the trace that we get after replacing  $\pi[i]$  with a havoc statement of the form  $\text{havoc}(x)$  and let  $\beta'$  represent the set of all blocking executions for  $\pi'$ .*

*We say that the assignment statement  $\pi[i]$  is relevant if the trace after the replacement has strictly more blocked executions than the trace before the replacement, i.e if  $\beta \subsetneq \beta'$ .*

**Lemma 1** (bla). *We have to write some lemmas connecting blocking executions with some other shit.*

**Lemma 2** (blaa). *blaa*

**Theorem 1** (Relevancy). *Let  $\pi$  be an error trace of length  $n$  and  $\pi[i]$  be an assignment statement at position  $i$  having the form  $x := t$ , where  $x$  is a variable and  $t$  is an expression. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two predicates where  $P = \neg WP(\text{False}, \pi[i, n])$  and  $Q = \neg WP(\text{False}, \pi[i + 1, n])$ . The statement  $\pi[i]$  is relevant iff:*

$$P \not\models WP(Q, \text{havoc}(x))$$

*Proof.*

□