

Comparison tables: BBOB 2009 noisy testbed

The BBOBies

November 20, 2009

Abstract

This document provides tabular results of the workshop for Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking at GECCO 2009, see <http://coco.gforge.inria.fr/doku.php?id=bbob-2009>. More than 30 algorithms have been tested on 24 benchmark functions in dimensions between 2 and 40. A description of the used objective functions can be found in [13, 8]. The experimental set-up is described in [12].

The performance measure provided in the following tables is the expected number of objective function evaluations to reach a given target function value (ERT, expected running time), divided by the respective value for the best algorithm. Consequently, the best (smallest) value is 1 and the value 1 appears in each column at least once. See [12] for details on how ERT is obtained. All numbers are computed with no more than two digits of precision.

Table 1: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{101} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1.6	4.9	32	78	120	160	190	250	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2	2.6	5	7.4	8.1	8.8	9.6	12	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	3.2	1.7	2.1	2.3	2	1.9	1.7	1.6	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	1	1	2	4.9	110	150	160	190	170	190	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	140	250	1900	1e4	<i>14e-2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	5.3	2.5	5.8	7.7	8.5	9.3	11	13	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.3	2	3.9	5.5	5.8	6.3	6.1	7.2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	57	33	40	46	49	45	46	51	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	2.7	5.4	20	26	34	34	37	44	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.4	3.9	13	18	22	27	31	59	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.4	6.2	22	27	22	19	17	17	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.5	1.9	4	5.9	6.5	6.6	7.2	8.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.4	5.1	13	22	29	30	27	26	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.2	21	210	1200	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2.4	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.3	2	1.9	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.3	2.3	3.5	5.2	5.3	5.9	6	7.5	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	2.3	3.6	13	31	52	73	88	130	PSO [6]	
PSO-Bounds	1	1	2.8	2.6	11	40	110	180	240	330	PSO-Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.9	3.7	34	330	3100	1.8e4	3.1e5	<i>17e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	1.9	4.3	6.3	6.2	7.3	7.8	9.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1.2	1.6	1.9	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	6	14	17	16	15	15	15	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 2: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{102} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate unif													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1	1	2.1	4.4	20	68	91	120	150	190	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.2	3.4	4.4	5	6	7.1	8.1	8.7	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	1.2	4	2.1	2.6	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.4	2.8	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDA-cG	1	1	1.8	5.8	9	71	98	92	88	77	BayEDA-cG [9]		
BFGS	1	1	99	340	1e3	<i>11e-2/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.6	2.8	4.7	5.5	6.9	7.8	8.6	9.4	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.4	1.9	3	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.9	5.3	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	13	70	57	50	43	44	44	44	47	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1	2.7	5	13	17	24	24	28	34	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.7	3.6	9.9	12	17	22	27	43	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	1	4	1.7	1.5	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1	2.8	5	18	18	17	15	13	11	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.7	3.3	4.1	4.3	4.8	5.5	6	6.7	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.4	5.8	11	15	21	22	21	19	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.4	200	350	3600	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	1	7.1	11	9.3	7	6.6	5.8	5.2	4.4	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.2	2.3	3.2	3.2	4	4.4	4.9	5.4	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1	3	2.9	11	19	40	50	64	90	PSO [6]		
PSO-Bounds	1	1.1	3.2	6.5	14	32	82	140	180	240	PSO-Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1	2	4.5	25	140	3100	1.1e4	2.6e5	<i>12e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	5.3	3.2	4.9	5.8	6.2	6.6	6.8	7.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1	2	1	1	1	1.4	1.7	4.5	4.3	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	7	12	12	12	11	12	12	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 3: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{103} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1	1	3.1	4.6	4.4	110	190	310	420	6700	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.5	2.3	5.6	7.8	12	16	180	340	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	1	3.1	1.8	2.1	3	4.1	4.4	7.1	6.9	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDA-cG	1	1.1	2.6	2.5	81	180	190	200	210	270	BayEDA-cG [9]		
BFGS	1	1	8.6	3.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	3.2	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.9	3.1	6	9.9	14	17	24	24	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.1	1.7	4	6	13	30	77	150	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	1	42	22	37	130	260	1e3	3e3	1.9e4	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1	1.6	2.7	13	24	34	62	89	230	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1	2.2	3.4	8.9	20	31	60	200	1.3e4	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.3	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.2	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1	2	3.1	23	30	34	33	39	52	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.5	2.6	4.1	6.7	9.2	13	230	860	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.7	3.8	14	27	47	60	72	63	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1.3	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	100	120	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	1	3.2	1.6	2.1	3.1	4.2	4.9	6.4	7.8	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.1	1.5	4.2	7.2	11	36	78	290	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1.1	3.4	3.4	11	37	100	240	770	2.8e4	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	1	2.5	3.8	17	55	210	3400	5700	4.3e4	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1	2.4	3	34	320	3700	3.2e4	3.1e5	96e-7/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.2	3.3	5.3	7.9	11	14	18	19	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1	2.4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	6.7	14	21	24	26	29	29	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 4: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{104} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	2.3	3.3	11	20	5.5	11	19	28	38	58	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.9	3.4	5.8	6.5	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.8	1.9	2.2	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	5.2	2.8	4.3	7.8	1.2	3.5	5.3	6.4	6.8	8.7	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDA-cG	3.1	2.8	5.8	28	32	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]		
BFGS	140	110	190	390	220	<i>60e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.7	2.3	24	12	2.5	3.5	3.8	4.3	4.6	4.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	4.9	3.7	8.7	12	1.9	2.4	3	3	3.2	3.3	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	59	27	89	160	94	130	220	880	2100	4.4e4	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	2.6	2.6	15	19	4.6	6.4	8.4	14	23	<i>64e-7/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1.8	2.7	5.8	12	7.1	18	36	51	65	97	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	4.9	2.5	6.2	10	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	2.2	2.4	9	14	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.9	2.6	4.1	6.1	1	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	2.5	2.1	7.7	8.9	1.9	2.6	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.9	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	5.7	40	80	490	2900	<i>20e-5/3e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	7.3	2.9	6.4	13	3.4	6.5	10	13	12	13	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	4.8	4	5.1	12	1.8	10	21	140	240	3e3	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	2.2	1.9	5.3	8.2	3.2	5	8.1	13	17	28	PSO [6]		
PSO-Bounds	1.9	3.3	6.6	14	4.5	8.9	27	42	59	87	PSO-Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	2.8	2.3	7	17	8.6	120	1600	1.3e4	1.1e5	<i>11e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.3	2.1	4.9	21	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1.7	2	3.4	3.7	4.5	13	28	50	87	140	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	9.5	3.9	8.2	14	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.7	3	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 5: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{105} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	2.2	3	4.1	22	3.7	8	13	19	26	38	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.4	1.7	5.6	120	5.6	5.8	5.9	5.9	6	6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	6.4	3.7	7	13	2.3	8.6	29	55	200	390	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDA-cG	3.9	2.3	8.7	29	14	71	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDA-cG [9]		
BFGS	64	54	140	340	71	220	<i>32e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.8	1.6	5.5	5.7	1.1	2.6	3.6	3.6	3.8	4.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.2	2.2	11	16	2	3.9	6.6	6.7	6.7	6.6	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	53	29	93	300	55	100	350	1200	2300	5.6e4	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	2.3	3.4	5.8	14	1.5	3.3	5.8	7.4	18	150	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	4.3	3.5	6.1	12	2	8.7	19	29	41	60	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	4.8	3.3	4.8	19	2.7	8.3	11	14	17	48	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	3.3	2.8	4.7	15	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.7	2.4	3.2	24	7.2	8	8	8	8	7.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	4.3	3	5.9	11	1.6	4.7	6.6	8.6	8.7	8.7	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	3.2	26	120	300	<i>43e-5/3e4</i>	.	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	6.3	2.7	7.9	16	3.1	6.3	22	42	41	110	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	3.9	2.3	9.8	18	3.1	10	31	82	220	2e3	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	3.2	2.5	4.7	11	1.8	3.6	6.4	9.1	13	19	PSO [6]		
PSO-Bounds	2.9	2.8	4.9	8.8	2.3	8.7	19	31	39	52	PSO-Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	3.7	2.6	7.3	32	8.3	68	550	7400	<i>14e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	6	2.9	38	53	6.4	9.3	9.3	9.2	9.1	9	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	2.3	1.8	3.1	6.1	1.6	5.6	7.6	12	22	34	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	9.5	3.9	9	13	3.5	8.2	8.2	12	12	14	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 6: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{106} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	3.2	3.2	2	2.7	7.6	69.5	140	176	206	325	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.6	1.9	4.6	5.8	16	13	11	11	17	27	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	4.6	2.2	3.7	4.5	2.1	2.1	3.2	3.3	4.3	5.9	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDA-cG	2.4	2.3	6.1	31	17	95	160	<i>66e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDA-cG [9]		
BFGS	16	10	13	13	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.4	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.1	2.1	8	17	3.3	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.4	2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.2	2.5	5	6.4	1.2	1.7	3	5	6.6	16	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	56	28	96	160	100	160	150	760	3100	<i>30e-7/8e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	4.4	6	17	19	5.1	5.6	10	18	40	<i>11e-5/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	3.1	1.9	5	9.3	5.1	12	19	27	43	1e3	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	8.8	4	5	5.1	1	1	1	1.1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	2.2	3.4	7.4	11	2.1	1.3	1.1	1	1.2	1.9	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.7	2.5	5.2	61	16	17	16	15	20	43	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	4.2	2.8	5.7	10	2.9	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.1	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	8	39	76	210	750	<i>11e-5/3e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	3.1	2.1	2.6	6.1	2	2.3	3.2	3.2	4.8	5.7	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	5.6	3.8	5.1	10	3.3	8.7	19	90	200	4400	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	2.8	1.8	3.3	9	4.1	5	8	37	140	510	PSO [6]		
PSO-Bounds	3.3	3	10	11	7.3	11	52	66	150	930	PSO-Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	3.2	2.2	6.3	22	20	130	1500	8300	5.9e4	<i>12e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4	2.7	5.2	11	4.4	2.9	2.5	2.3	2	1.6	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	2.3	2.5	3.5	6.9	2.6	7.7	12	28	74	<i>63e-5/3e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	9.5	3.9	9.7	17	3.8	2.2	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 7: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{107} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	2.6	3.5	12	9.9	12	15	16	15	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.3	1.7	2.3	1	1	1	1	1.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	14	32	24	13	15	31	45	80	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	1	1.1	2.4	1.2	4	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.4	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	98	110	300	880	660	520	410	<i>65e-3/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	12	3.6	2.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	35	7.2	7.7	2.9	2.4	2.3	3.7	6.3	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	210	130	330	190	440	550	1400	4100	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	2.9	4.8	6.5	3	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.1	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.7	2.9	4.9	2.5	4.4	6.4	9.3	9.9	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	12	9.7	21	6.9	9.6	18	19	28	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.1	2.6	2.7	6.9	4.8	4.4	4	4.6	8	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.5	47	33	8.4	6.2	5	11	6.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.3	1.6	4.6	2.8	3.8	3.9	4.5	3	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	18	57	170	1400	<i>86e-6/9e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	26	20	31	17	20	37	82	83	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	8.2	8.1	8.3	4.3	3.6	3.3	3.2	4.6	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	2	2.3	4.2	3.2	4.9	6.2	7.1	6.8	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.7	2.1	5.1	5.2	8.5	16	19	20	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	2.1	2.8	13	19	280	2400	1.8e4	7e4	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	41	16	8.5	2.7	2.1	1.8	2.1	1.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	2.1	1.1	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.2	6.4	7.3	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	80	42	18	16	12	10	6.3	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 8: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{108} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	2.5	0.9	15	1.9	1.3	1.1	1.9	1.5	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1.9	1	32	6.2	4.3	4.4	5.9	7.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	87	42	20	18	16	<i>14e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	3.1	10	18	18	16	<i>86e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	29	6.8	4.2	5	7	<i>43e-3/800</i>	.	.	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	93	10	5.5	1.5	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	55	14	8.8	4.5	11	26	<i>14e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	170	93	88	66	100	300	2300	<i>99e-6/6e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	2.4	2.9	1.9	2.4	5.4	<i>26e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.9	1.1	1.7	1	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.2	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	62	53	42	42	<i>22e-3/7e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.2	4.8	11	<i>34e-4/2e3</i>	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.5	48	20	8	9.8	11	9.9	8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.7	1	1	1.6	1	1.4	1.4	1.2	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	3.3	2.8	5.5	11	140	<i>43e-5/3e4</i>	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	120	56	24	22	25	<i>13e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	36	9.4	9	3.4	3.9	14	31	400	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	2.4	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.9	3.3	2.6	1.8	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	2.7	1.1	1	1.3	5.6	5	4.6	2.8	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	3	1.3	1.8	1.7	8.9	66	480	<i>17e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	600	73	29	6.5	4.5	4.5	5.5	19	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.3	1.2	3.6	2.8	10	14	<i>58e-4/3e3</i>	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	46	16	9.4	5.4	3.7	3	4.4	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 9: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{109} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	3.1	4.3	25	11	60	330	2400	7.5e4	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.5	3	4.6	14	36	54	100	290	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	3.3	2.6	15	7	9	11	15	31	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	2.7	4.5	12	9.2	13	12	17	28	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	15	8.3	7.6	1.4	1.4	1	1	1	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.2	2	4.1	1.5	2.3	2.1	3.4	5.4	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	4	2.5	9.3	16	61	240	3e3	<i>49e-6/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	96	210	470	440	5700	4.2e4	1.9e5	<i>15e-5/6e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	2.8	5.6	18	7.5	13	23	75	<i>15e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	2.3	3.1	8.8	4.8	45	490	3800	<i>13e-6/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	4	1.2	3.3	1	1	1.5	1.5	1.5	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	2.1	2.7	18	7.8	12	21	61	<i>15e-6/2e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.8	1.8	3	13	29	35	100	320	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.2	2.4	10	4.5	9.4	11	16	23	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	15	35	31	38	87	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	3.3	3.6	12	5.6	10	13	22	36	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.4	1.8	4.6	3.1	31	140	850	5e4	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	3	2.5	12	9.4	510	1100	4700	<i>17e-6/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.7	2.1	12	230	1500	8500	1.4e4	2.9e4	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	2.5	2.7	23	58	490	3e3	6.7e4	<i>15e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.3	2.5	3.8	1.4	2.4	2.4	3.3	4.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.7	1	2.6	1.6	16	24	39	210	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	5	11	3	4.1	3.8	4.7	6.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 10: 02-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{110} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	0.6	2.2	3.57	7.1	1.5	2.1	2.3	1.8	1.4	2.4	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.8	2	4.2	67	22	16	9.2	4.9	2.6	2.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	3.8	10	11	5.1	2.5	3	6.8	11	5.9	<i>12e-4/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	2.4	1.7	5.7	19	10	44	<i>13e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	41	34	62	89	43	41	<i>52e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	6.2	3.5	4.1	13	4	5.5	3.4	2.4	1.3	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.4	2.5	3.2	9.3	1.7	2.8	2.4	5.8	5.8	32	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	130	140	150	110	32	47	100	190	270	2100	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	2.2	1.6	11	6.9	1	1	1	1	1.4	<i>17e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	2.2	1.7	5.3	6.4	1.6	3.2	3.3	2.9	1.9	2.6	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	21	17	26	17	2.8	8.5	<i>62e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2.7	2	4.9	4.7	1.3	2	6.1	<i>10e-3/800</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.4	1.8	100	22	9	6.6	4.1	2.5	1.4	1.3	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	3	2.4	6.1	5.8	1.2	2.1	2.2	1.8	1	1.9	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1.9	10	93	<i>18e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	22	15	16	15	2.9	4.4	9.1	36	<i>19e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	3.9	5.9	4.9	6.9	1.6	2.8	6.4	7.2	9.8	49	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	3.1	1.9	5.8	6.6	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.6	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	2.9	2.1	7.5	12	2	2.8	3.1	2.8	2.2	3	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	3.1	2.7	5.5	11	5.9	22	180	660	3600	<i>65e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.3	4.2	4.8	28	4.5	4.4	3.8	2.1	1.1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	3.1	2.4	5.6	7.8	2.8	3.4	5.3	16	<i>57e-4/3e3</i>	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	9.5	3.5	5.9	5.3	7.3	9	5.5	3.3	2.3	3.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 11: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{111} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	0.6	3.73	12.1	59.4	359	2710	6360	14600	30900	84600	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.9	1.8	1.9	4.1	2.7	1.1	5.5	5.5	3.2	2.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	72	48	45	29	53	33	<i>26e-2/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAeG	2.8	1.1	2	6.4	36	10	<i>35e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]		
BFGS	7.8	8.4	7.7	8.2	<i>59e-2/700</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.5	2.6	7.3	6	2.4	1.5	4.2	5.9	3.1	1.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	4.1	1.8	7.4	6.5	4	3.6	7.6	10	<i>64e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	230	110	120	91	88	39	110	290	<i>90e-5/6e5</i>	.	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1.7	2.6	3.3	5.7	5.7	11	<i>28e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	2.1	1.7	1.4	2.1	2.2	1.1	4.7	5.1	6.4	17	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	94	37	26	27	31	35	<i>15e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1.7	1.4	1.9	2.2	2.4	1.8	3.5	<i>54e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.9	1.6	1.4	28	21	10	6.2	4	3.1	3.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	3.4	1.8	1.7	1.3	2.2	1	1.2	2.1	2.2	<i>24e-5/1e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	2.4	1.4	1	4.3	5.5	56	<i>75e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	140	33	21	25	19	11	<i>68e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	46	12	14	11	5.6	2.1	5.7	10	21	82	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	2.5	1.2	1.9	2	1	4.2	4.6	6.4	5.6	8.2	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	2.6	1.6	1.7	1.3	21	3.2	2.3	2.6	2.3	1.5	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	3.9	1.6	1.5	2.7	5.5	4.3	28	130	480	<i>14e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.6	1.8	11	16	7.4	2.6	2.8	4.8	4.6	<i>11e-4/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	5	2.4	2.8	4.2	10	6.4	5.6	2.5	1.2	<i>10e-2/3e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	9.5	2.1	78	62	22	5.6	4.1	2.9	2.5	47	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 12: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{112} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	0.6	2	2.7	7.3	312	522	577	78	880	766	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.3	2.9	9.2	15	1.6	3.5	7.6	32	56	91	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	4.7	2.5	5.1	11	1.1	4.6	22	120	<i>1.9e-4/5e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	2.4	2.2	4.5	27	4.8	<i>88e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	33	27	36	47	3.4	12	16	29	39	<i>1.4e-3/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.7	3	37	44	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.4	2	4.3	13	1.9	3	13	110	<i>5.2e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	43	23	140	160	26	71	270	3400	<i>1.9e-5/7e5</i>	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	3.6	4	7.4	13	1.2	3.8	8.7	<i>3.4e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3	2.5	8.1	9.2	1.9	6	23	290	2100	<i>1.2e-5/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	6.9	3	3.1	16	1.3	2.5	7.4	13	25	53	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	3.3	2.2	8.1	16	1.2	3	2.9	7.7	7	<i>1.0e-3/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.9	1.7	4	100	14	19	35	65	67	100	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	2.1	2.2	6.3	7.7	1	1.7	2.8	3.8	3.9	9.5	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	7.7	31	87	<i>1.1e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	5.1	2.7	5	13	1.2	1.7	9.9	110	100	<i>7.0e-5/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	2.8	2.1	3.4	15	1.9	4.5	16	95	800	<i>1.8e-7/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.8	2.1	8.1	9.5	1.6	4.6	89	360	970	<i>4.1e-5/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2.5	2.1	6.2	8.8	1.7	6.2	46	230	1100	<i>1.0e-5/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.1	2.4	5.9	14	5.6	28	300	2800	9800	<i>1.7e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.4	2.7	5.4	9.4	1.1	1	1	1.1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.9	2	2.9	10	2.5	7	62	58	<i>1.2e-3/3e3</i>	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	9.5	3.9	9.2	14	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 13: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{113} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.6	2.2	4.3	12	11	13	13	13	4.1	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1.6	2.3	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	2.1	13	9.5	6.1	9.7	19	33	33	33	13	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	1.3	1.3	2.4	2.3	30	26	69	69	69	20	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	26	24	81	91	260	<i>60e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.1	4.1	5.1	6.4	13	14	12	12	12	2.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	40	21	12	7.4	11	17	19	19	19	4.4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	9.5	120	220	180	380	600	770	770	770	380	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1.7	1.8	4.3	5.4	5.2	5.8	7.1	7.1	7.1	1.6	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1.7	1.9	2.5	2.5	6.8	19	25	25	25	7.3	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	2.2	11	11	4.8	17	26	47	47	47	15	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2	1.9	4.2	4.1	5.5	5.7	7.6	7.6	7.6	1.7	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.6	1.6	2.9	1	20	13	10	10	10	2.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.1	1	2.6	2.6	5.6	7.2	9.9	9.9	9.9	2.3	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1.4	1.3	1	1.2	6.1	37	76	76	76	57	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	2.4	8.7	12	5.8	16	29	42	42	42	14	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	9.3	10	13	5.7	6.4	8.9	15	15	15	3.7	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1.4	1.8	4.7	2.6	3.6	5.1	5.9	5.9	5.9	1.6	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1.2	1.6	3.4	2	4.3	11	13	13	13	5	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.6	1.6	2.9	4.7	18	54	160	160	160	130	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.8	3.3	4.2	1.3	32	27	27	27	27	5.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	1.8	4	10	20	20	20	20	5.1	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	2.2	2.7	25	63	52	43	43	43	8.6	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 14: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{114} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif										
$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07
ALPS	1.2	1	1.6	3.1	1.8	1.3	1	1	1	1
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.5	6.1	3.1	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
avg NEWUOA	1.5	66	29	16	26	21	33	33	33	20
BayEDAeG	1.5	1.9	2.1	38	37	23	<i>60e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.
BFGS	8.8	18	9.6	7.2	6.9	<i>49e-2/800</i>
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.1	6.5	3.3	7.8	2.3	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.5
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.2	27	19	8.1	6.2	4.5	4	4	4	7.9
DASA	100	250	220	110	110	90	120	120	120	160
DEPSO	1.8	1.7	1.3	7.8	3.3	3.1	5.5	5.5	5.5	7
EDA-PSO	1.3	1.6	1.6	2.2	1.6	2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1
full NEWUOA	2.3	67	91	95	40	18	18	18	18	<i>11e-2/7e3</i>
GLOBAL	1.2	2.4	2.4	2.6	2	2.6	3.1	3.1	3.1	6.5
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	2.3	2.9	65	23	10	5.2	5.2	5.2	3.5
MA-LS-Chain	1.2	1.5	1.9	2.8	1	1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3
MCS (Neum)	1.4	3.4	1.2	5.5	3.4	7	17	17	17	<i>38e-4/3e4</i>
NEWUOA	1.9	41	69	53	38	71	33	33	33	<i>21e-2/6e3</i>
(1+1)-ES	2.2	18	25	13	7.2	7	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4
PSO	1.3	1.8	1	1.8	23	22	12	12	12	7.4
PSO_Bounds	1.5	2.3	1	1	73	30	15	15	15	9.6
Monte Carlo	1.5	1.7	1.2	1.6	1.9	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	20
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.1	10	15	29	4.6	5.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.1
SNObFIT	1.7	2.7	1.5	3.8	2.6	2.5	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.6
VNS (Garcia)	1	2.9	1.4	91	12	7.9	6.1	6.1	6.1	4.1
VNS (Garcia) [10]										

Table 15: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{115} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1.3	2	2.7	11	4.4	6.6	10	10	10	16	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1.3	2.5	3.1	7.5	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.4	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.5	2.8	6.5	7.3	2.9	6.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	12	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	1.4	1.1	7.4	31	28	160	140	140	140	100	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	13	23	92	140	200	49e-2/2e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.4	3.5	4.9	4.7	1.2	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.7	2.7	5	14	2.4	4.2	16	16	16	25	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	16	39	140	420	110	230	580	580	580	1600	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.4	5.4	9	1.6	3.4	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.3	1.2	3.3	4.3	2.1	4.3	17	17	17	64	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1.7	2.5	3	7.1	1.8	2.9	8	8	8	9.3	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.9	1.9	4.7	6.6	1.9	3.1	4.6	4.6	4.6	13	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.2	1.4	3.1	28	14	8.8	12	12	12	14	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.5	2	4.2	3.9	1.3	2.9	3.4	3.4	3.4	4.7	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1.4	1.4	1	4.4	2.5	52	87	87	87	450	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2	2.5	4.5	12	2.9	4.4	11	11	11	11	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1.9	3.4	5.8	16	2.4	3.7	5.5	5.5	5.5	14	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.3	2.5	4.6	6.2	66	72	120	120	120	280	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.6	2.1	6.4	5.8	3	44	170	170	170	380	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1.3	1	3	4.7	5.6	23	89	89	89	260	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.8	4.1	4.8	7.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.5	1.4	2.1	1	2.1	7.4	22	22	22	63	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	2.3	3.9	26	2.1	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.4	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 16: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{116} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1.8	3.1	3.6	14	23	33	45	56	30	27	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.7	1.6	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	7.9	6.2	12	15	32	98	130	480	<i>14e-3/5e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDA-cG	2.8	5.2	9.7	63	110	280	<i>28e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDA-cG [9]		
BFGS	30	35	60	410	<i>53e-1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.9	9.4	15	61	62	51	39	33	11	6.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.7	1.7	8.1	11	29	49	110	420	270	160	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	48	47	43	130	500	1e3	1900	1.3e4	1.8e4	<i>29e-5/7e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1.4	4.2	6.8	13	15	24	31	41	18	16	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1.9	3.6	5.1	18	30	46	64	76	29	27	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	8.3	15	11	17	44	110	320	<i>11e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1.4	4.1	3.5	8.3	12	37	28	31	9.8	18	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.2	1.8	6.7	24	23	19	14	12	4.1	2.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	2.4	3.7	3.3	11	23	43	49	51	22	15	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	13	86	730	<i>24e-3/3e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	5.4	4.6	6.3	18	38	110	570	<i>50e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	5.9	3.7	3.2	12	20	64	130	500	350	1500	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	2.3	3.7	5.9	35	120	100	84	79	28	20	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	3.4	3	13	410	280	230	190	180	82	63	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	2	2.5	5.5	29	280	2700	9200	4.1e4	<i>80e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	11	8.1	17	40	41	32	24	20	6.5	3.9	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1.7	1.6	5	8.9	48	100	120	<i>12e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1.8	4	67	75	55	50	39	35	13	16	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 17: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{117} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif												
Δ_{ftarget} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ_{ftarget} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.1	1.1	1	1.3	2.4	2.8	7.9	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.7	1.3	4.9	9.9	4.5	4.6	2.6	2.5	2.9	4.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	15	32	24	10	8.4	78e-2/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	2.4	2.6	17	42	78e-1/2e3	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	8.6	5.3	4.9	6.2	2.7	40e-1/600	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.2	5.4	6.7	3.8	2.1	1.6	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	9.5	4.5	5	1.8	2.1	10	10	32e-3/1e4	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	90	80	58	51	46	120	310	71e-4/6e5	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	4.1	3.6	1.9	4.1	46e-2/2e3	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1.3	2.6	1.2	6	2.4	2.5	4.6	9.6	17	21	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	55	41	25	14	31	14	87e-2/7e3	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1.4	1	2.3	1.3	1.9	1.8	25e-2/2e3	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	43	12	11	5.7	4.5	3.4	2	2.5	3.3	6.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.3	1.1	1.2	1	1	1.7	1.9	12	27e-4/1e4	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1.4	1.2	1	1.4	4.2	8.4	27e-3/3e4	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	43	25	29	12	26	97e-2/6e3	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	3.1	6	6.2	2.3	1.9	4	8.2	46	97	660	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1.4	1.3	4.6	55	12	13	11	16	24	66	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	2.2	1.1	1.6	55	21	17	21	45	37	67	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.5	1	2.8	3.2	4.4	31	120	940	10e-4/1e6	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	22	27	10	5.3	2.8	1.9	1.2	1.9	4	39e-4/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2.1	1.2	3.5	2.6	5.1	2.5	51e-2/3e3	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1.3	1.3	41	11	5.6	4.5	3.8	12	29	410	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 18: 02-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{118} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	6.3	9.9	12	13	20	12	60	630	2400	<i>44e-7/2e6</i>	486	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.4	7.2	3	1	1	1.6	4	6.2	14	20		AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2.4	2.3	2.8	3.8	12	9	21	220	<i>90e-5/5e3</i>	.		avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	3.1	7.7	5.9	28	66	110	<i>71e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.		BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	17	20	17	16	59	49	42	54	45	56		BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.5	5.7	15	7.7	7.4	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.1	1.9		BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.8	6.9	18	11	21	12	45	460	<i>98e-5/1e4</i>	.		(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	16	19	130	110	240	120	1300	1.5e4	<i>43e-5/7e5</i>	.		DASA [18]
DEPSO	5.4	11	14	15	29	13	19	92	<i>30e-3/2e3</i>	.		DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	2.8	5.1	9	15	41	49	190	510	1800	<i>12e-5/1e5</i>		EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.8	7.3	3.5	7.5	60	220	<i>40e-5/6e3</i>		full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	3.6	7.8	9.5	3.6	3.1	1.5	2.8	4.1	4.9	<i>64e-6/800</i>		GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.1	5.4	2.3	3.4	8.8	5	8.5	9.7	14	34		iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	5.7	7.9	6.9	6.2	7.6	2.6	2.7	3	3.8	3.9		MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1.3	1.3	41	38	150	1100	<i>27e-4/3e4</i>	.		MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2.1	2.6	5.6	4.2	11	10	35	71	190	<i>11e-4/5e3</i>		NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	3.6	7.8	17	19	41	43	140	1200	5400	<i>12e-6/1e6</i>		(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.1	5	9.5	9.4	42	80	200	650	1200	<i>33e-5/1e5</i>		PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.2	7.7	12	150	140	180	370	2200	<i>88e-5/1e5</i>	.		PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	2.6	8.4	14	46	250	340	3500	<i>64e-5/1e6</i>	.	.		Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.1	9.3	17	6.2	6	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.3		IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.7	2.2	1	1	4.1	6.7	19	110	95	<i>32e-4/3e3</i>		SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2.9	9.1	14	3.7	3.4	1	1	1	1	1		VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 19: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{119} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of different powers Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1	1.5	0.5	1.6	0.7	2.9	3	2.9	1.9	8.5	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.7	1.8	13	12	3.3	2.5	1.2	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	1.4	2.9	18	8	14	5.9	6.6	6.8	<i>67e-6/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	1.4	6.3	4.4	4	2.1	4.4	3.9	<i>18e-5/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]		
BFGS	1	12	43	58	160	570	<i>13e-2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	2.3	3.8	2.9	1.2	1	1.6	3	2	3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.5	12	7.9	7.2	1.6	3	2.4	6.6	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	1	91	280	170	360	200	330	600	1800	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1	1.6	2.5	3.6	3.7	1	1	1	<i>79e-7/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	1.2	2.3	2.6	4.9	3.1	4.4	2.9	32	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	1.6	3.2	8.7	4.8	13	11	15	38	<i>32e-5/6e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1.3	1.6	2.3	4.1	4.3	1.6	2.2	2	<i>32e-5/700</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1.4	2.1	7.8	12	4.5	3	2.1	1.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.2	1.7	2.6	2.6	4.3	1.4	1.3	1	2.1	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1.2	40	150	<i>18e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	2.6	3.1	15	8.6	23	7.1	19	33	15	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	2	11	22	7.3	3.6	1.1	3.1	3.3	11	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1.1	2	3	2.5	3.5	1.5	1.3	4.4	47	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2	2	3.3	5.5	3.6	4.1	4	45	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1.4	1.7	2.1	6.8	77	380	2500	<i>18e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	1.7	2.2	1	3.8	1.3	2.8	1.7	1.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1.3	1.9	1.3	3.2	4	2.7	4	2.6	7.4	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.1	4.2	2.8	1.8	2.5	2.9	2.4	6.6	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 20: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{120} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif											
$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.1	1.9	1.1	2	1.2	2	1	1.3	6.1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.2	1.3	6.4	7.3	9.7	7.1	6.9	21	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	9.5	37	74	42	15	<i>94e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.1	3.1	22	<i>21e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	2	7.6	20	14	9.5	<i>13e-2/800</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	2	4.4	11	2.3	1.1	1	1.2	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.6	13	3.4	9	52	<i>57e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	72	86	310	61	73	310	210	230	89e-5/6e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1.5	3.2	2.2	4	<i>14e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.2	1.8	1	1.1	1.4	3	2.3	4.2	<i>10e-6/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	20	48	77	17	76	<i>44e-3/7e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	1.5	2.1	1.1	3.8	<i>13e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.2	1.3	57	26	14	15	14	17	160	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.2	1.6	2.2	1	1	1.8	1.1	3.9	<i>99e-6/1e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	3.8	3.1	14	41	<i>38e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	3.1	48	61	41	34	<i>98e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	2	11	25	4.8	5.3	10	22	22	<i>50e-7/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.3	2	2.4	39	7	5.8	3.1	4.2	<i>12e-6/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.3	1.4	3	1.1	7	6.5	5.8	4.9	<i>29e-6/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.3	1.5	2.2	2.2	5.9	26	250	<i>16e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	3	35	14	5.1	5	11	<i>36e-5/1e4</i>	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.3	1.7	2.6	2	3.9	<i>19e-3/3e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.1	4	20	5.9	4.9	3.3	8.1	260	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 21: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{121} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of different powers Cauchy												
Δ_{ftarget} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ_{ftarget} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1.4	2	5	9.5	18	90	680	3500	<i>50e-7/2e6</i>	851	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1.4	2.7	1.4	22	30	29	38	160		AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.6	2.6	5.7	4.4	6.3	22	35	<i>31e-5/5e3</i>			avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.1	1.3	4.7	9.8	4.8	4.7	10	53	<i>28e-5/2e3</i>		BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	9.6	22	34	16	15	27	46	48	<i>78e-5/4e3</i>		BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	3.1	3.5	1.4	1	1.3	1.4	2	2.6		BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	3.4	7.9	14	21	61	190	<i>62e-5/1e4</i>			(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1.4	160	270	230	880	5500	<i>12e-4/6e5</i>				DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.6	2.2	4.2	5.1	4.1	5.4	77	<i>38e-5/2e3</i>			DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.6	4	3.2	15	180	1800	<i>55e-5/1e5</i>			EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	2.1	4	1.6	1.7	1.5	8.9	15	25	<i>34e-6/5e3</i>		full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.3	1.8	2.6	7.6	5.2	10	21	<i>59e-5/2e3</i>			GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.4	1.7	2.2	15	11	25	32	64	250		iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.6	4.5	4	4.1	4.4	4.2	7.1	38		MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	99	510	<i>23e-4/3e4</i>				MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.6	2.7	4.4	3	7.6	14	57	120	<i>40e-5/5e3</i>		NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.6	3.2	4.8	4.3	9.8	58	320	5500	<i>16e-6/1e6</i>		(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.3	1.6	3.3	6.2	89	310	1900	2500	<i>32e-5/1e5</i>		PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1	1.2	2.2	3	7.4	240	400	410	1200	<i>21e-5/1e5</i>		PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.3	1.6	2.6	9.4	70	830	1.3e4	2.7e4	<i>27e-5/1e6</i>		Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	3	5.2	1.8	1.1	1	1	1.2	1		IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	1.9	1.9	2.2	11	73	<i>24e-4/3e3</i>				SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.1	6.5	4.1	1.7	1.6	1.1	1	1.1		VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 22: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{122} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.2	2	2.9	4.4	4	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.3	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.5	14	6.6	4.8	3.7	2.9	2.9	1.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.3	14	9.7	33	55	11e-2/5e3	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	1.1	1.1	1.7	3.2	3.4	9.7	16e-3/2e3	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	3.8	46	40	47e-2/3e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.8	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	16	5.1	9.2	9.3	60	48e-4/1e4	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	30	320	170	300	960	7700	87e-4/6e5	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.3	1	2.6	2	1.5	1.9	2.1	6.9	57e-6/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.6	2.8	1.2	8.9	8.6	9.7	8.7	9	5.7	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1.4	11	7	20	62	59e-3/6e3	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.8	1.7	3.3	64e-3/1e3	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.7	19	6.6	7.8	7.5	6.3	6	3.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.4	1.7	2.1	3.6	3.1	2.7	2.4	2.7	3	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1.4	1	23	240	18e-3/3e4	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.7	9.7	16	30	54	99e-3/5e3	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1.1	40	21	3.5	7.2	16	65	570	2100	29e-6/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.1	2.2	3.5	11	7	5.6	4.8	4.8	3.1	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1	1.1	1.7	1.3	3.6	6.1	6.9	6.2	7.8	6.5	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	2.4	2.9	58	1400	79e-4/1e6	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	2.3	3.9	15	5	2.4	2.5	2	1.6	1.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.3	1.8	2.5	3.2	3.1	6.1	75e-4/3e3	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.2	27	15	6.9	6.1	6.9	16	59	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 23: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{123} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1.1	1.6	1.2	1	1.6	7.6	40	340	29e-6/2e6	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.7	22	6	13	50	240	98e-5/1e6	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	9.5	66	24	28	46e-2/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	1	1.5	2.6	23	10e-1/2e3	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	6.4	7.1	53e-2/800	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	52	5.2	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	20	7.7	9.9	5.8	16e-2/1e4	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	4.1	130	77	52	40e-3/6e5	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.2	1.7	2.7	2.1	19e-2/2e3	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.6	1.4	1.2	6.5	81e-4/1e5	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1.1	11	73	27	31	36e-2/7e3	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.4	16e-2/2e3	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	1.4	2.1	57	7.6	25	130	520	300	11e-4/1e6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	2.3	1.2	1.2	12	32e-3/1e4	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1.8	8.7	58e-3/3e4	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	56	32	28	44e-2/6e3	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	2.5	66	8.7	4.9	38	350	57e-4/1e6	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1.1	1.1	2.4	3.2	3.9	7	36	51	58e-4/1e5	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1.1	1.3	2.3	1.2	5.9	6.1	36	26	47e-4/1e5	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	1.8	1	5.3	160	12e-3/1e6	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	74	23	4.7	92e-3/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.4	1.2	3	2.6	2.9	1.9	21e-2/3e3	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.1	46	5.1	5.7	45	930	21e-5/1e7	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 24: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{124} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1.1	1.4	1.5	2.5	5.8	170	3900	<i>10e-4/2e6</i>	.	.	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1.4	11	8.3	12	23	28	44	450	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1.7	12	9.3	22	61	<i>98e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-G	1	1.3	1.6	3.3	2.8	2.9	9.4	<i>15e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDA-G [9]	
BFGS	1	1.7	48	78	<i>53e-2/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	3.3	3	1	1	1	1.1	1.5	3.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	2.7	1.9	4.9	5.7	88	140	<i>17e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1.6	6	110	170	770	<i>32e-3/6e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.1	1.4	4	4.2	8.9	<i>79e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1	2	9.2	1300	<i>18e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1.8	2.5	5.4	9.1	49	<i>17e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.2	2.8	6.7	24	<i>98e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	1.3	1.9	5.6	9.5	23	30	41	110	670	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	2	2.1	2.6	42	140	<i>14e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	95	<i>68e-3/3e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	2.2	5.6	6.5	14	46	<i>33e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1.1	1.6	10	5.6	6	36	2e3	<i>10e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.3	1.3	1.8	13	560	470	<i>13e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.3	1.4	1.8	110	430	<i>14e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.4	5.9	84	2900	1.5e4	<i>78e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	4.9	2.2	1.5	1.6	1.3	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.3	2.1	3.5	8.2	110	<i>32e-3/3e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.9	26	13	22	21	30	220	340	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 25: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{125} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.5	1e+02 0.5	1e+01 0.5	1e+00 0.5	1e-01 0.5	1e-02 74.3	1e-03 575	1e-04 1230	1e-05 1930	1e-07 3780	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1	4.3	77	3.6	1.5	1.3	1.6	1.6	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	3.3	38	1	1.3	7.7	5.5	2.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.3	6.9	46	1.8	2.7	2.4	2.3	6.2	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	1	1	1.3	5.5	32	1.5	1	2	3.4	<i>49e-6/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	11	87	730	40	49	46	<i>59e-4/4e3</i>	.	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	5.1	34	1.9	3	2.2	1.9	1.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3.5	84	4	4.4	2.9	4	3.2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	3.9	280	2400	64	91	89	120	220	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.6	5.6	120	2.5	1.7	1	1	1	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	3.9	52	1.4	1.9	1.9	2.4	2.1	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.8	7.3	66	2.5	3.5	2.8	2.7	12	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	4.7	56	2.3	1.4	1	1.5	1.4	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	4.7	500	1.3	20	11	7.2	4.2	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.2	5.6	44	2	4	2.3	2.1	1.9	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	1.2	8	10	13	15	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2	6.1	77	1.4	2.6	2.1	2.6	10	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3	22	130	5.5	4.2	3.7	3	6.5	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.1	5.1	43	2.2	7.4	5.8	6.1	3.5	PSO [6]	
PSO-Bounds	1	1	1.3	4	32	1.6	2.5	2.5	1.8	2.2	PSO-Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	4.1	53	3.2	4.4	6.5	12	160	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2.7	200	4.3	5.4	3.6	3.3	2.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.2	4.9	31	4.2	4.3	2.9	2.4	1.6	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.2	2.2	44	1.7	9.3	5.9	4	2.4	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 26: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{126} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1	1	4.6	47	1.3	1.6	1.2	2	1.4	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	5	41	8.3	10	7.1	9.1	5.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	26	95	670	15	11	7.8	<i>18e-4/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.1	3.6	340	37	7.6	8.2	5.1	<i>26e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	2	17	170	7.7	<i>95e-4/900</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4.8	42	1.4	1.5	2	2.6	2.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	2.8	230	1.9	3.9	7.4	6.2	<i>27e-5/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	3.7	420	3400	50	59	60	68	79	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1.2	4.7	64	3.6	3.3	4.2	2.6	1.6	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	3.5	33	1.2	1.5	2.2	2.8	3.2	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	19	130	560	8.9	17	<i>27e-4/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	3.7	57	1.7	2.4	1.3	1.5	<i>17e-4/2e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	4.7	960	20	9.8	10	14	8.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.3	6.3	53	1.3	1	1	1	1	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	1.6	3.4	4.3	7.1	<i>19e-6/3e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	200	600	15	52	<i>32e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.1	39	230	2.5	4.7	6.8	8.3	8	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.3	5.2	57	1.2	11	7.8	6.9	4.2	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.1	6.7	51	1	4.6	4.4	4.1	2.6	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	2.9	31	1.8	1.6	2.4	4.2	13	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	18	590	7.8	7.4	6.6	5	8.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.3	6.9	56	5.9	10	5	3.1	2	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.2	2.2	67	17	7	6.4	5.4	5.4	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 27: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{127} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1	1	1.1	6.3	43	2	3.7	4	7.1	90	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	4.7	28	11	16	8.4	9.6	17	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.3	7.2	72	1.6	3.5	1.8	2.5	<i>16e-6/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.1	6.1	50	1	1	1	4.1	<i>62e-6/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]		
BFGS	1	1	5.2	54	580	15	45	27	<i>16e-4/4e3</i>	.	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	5.3	32	1.9	2.8	2	1.7	2.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	6.5	140	5.1	12	8.4	14	<i>25e-5/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	1	1.4	170	2200	86	210	130	440	2400	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1	1.3	3.1	27	1.7	1.6	2.1	2	4	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.3	3.7	40	2.1	6.4	7.9	12	120	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.4	13	84	2	5.3	3.7	7.2	22	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	5.7	66	2.4	7.7	<i>16e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	2.8	27	7.9	10	11	13	38	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	7.3	44	1.3	3.3	1.9	3.2	20	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	3.2	5.6	5.8	6.4	<i>42e-7/3e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	6.2	95	1.8	4.9	3.8	2.8	9	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.9	6.3	64	2.2	7.1	5.4	8.4	88	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1	1.4	4.3	35	2.2	30	19	16	86	PSO [6]		
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1	7.5	44	1.4	89	47	37	130	PSO.Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	4.4	56	2.7	6.1	4.9	11	110	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	4.9	47	2.8	3.7	1.6	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	4.7	36	3.8	11	5.5	11	10	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.2	2.2	48	7.5	26	12	8	12	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 28: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{128} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1	1.3	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.7	4.9	4.5	6.2	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	47	32	14	12	13	9	9.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.9	21	9.3	7.9	7.4	8.7	9.7	32	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	4.8	3.4	5.2	11	14	18	45	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	12	65	59	60	270	$20e-3/4e3$.	.	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	7.8	8.4	5.1	7.9	7.7	5.4	5.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	16	6.7	3	2.5	2.6	1.8	2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	50	170	140	72	75	100	180	400	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	3.9	4.4	2.4	2.2	2.4	1.9	2.4	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.1	3.5	2.2	1.7	2.6	4.9	4.6	5.8	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.1	21	13	10	10	13	11	15	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	110	79	41	33	32	22	21	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.7	1.5	2.1	1.8	3.2	3.7	3	3.2	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1.4	2.1	1.9	1.1	1.1	1.9	8.8	82	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1.9	17	9.5	9.8	9.8	16	14	20	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.8	11	7.5	3.7	3.7	3.5	2.7	3.4	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.5	2.4	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.5	2.3	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.3	1.9	110	46	38	38	28	29	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	1.4	1.8	3.2	8.8	24	31	540	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	28	41	21	17	18	14	14	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.9	4.7	3.3	3	2.8	4.4	3.6	5.6	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	73	59	29	25	24	17	16	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 29: 02-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{129} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher unif												
$\Delta_{\text{f}}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta_{\text{f}}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.9	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.2	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.4	57	48	41	14	14	9.8	6.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	52	25	31	27	9.4	25	29	16	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.3	5.9	21	21	30	17	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	8	5.2	5.4	15	13	<i>39e-3/800</i>	.	.	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.8	12	5.4	4.4	3.1	3.5	2.5	1.7	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	10	7.2	7.7	3.1	2.4	2.4	6.3	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	18	87	120	80	36	40	48	130	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.3	3.8	4.1	3.3	2.6	5.5	<i>93e-5/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	1.8	1	1	1	1.4	1.2	1	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	32	38	18	59	22	18	32	<i>14e-3/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.5	1	1.2	2	1.2	1.1	1	5.1	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	56	30	31	11	12	9.3	5.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.2	1	1.2	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.5	1.7	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1.6	3.2	2.1	2.9	2.1	4.8	7.7	<i>27e-7/3e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	24	23	21	26	19	25	31	<i>37e-4/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.4	7.3	6.1	7.9	3	2.6	3.8	6.1	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.4	220	140	62	29	28	15	11	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.6	1.2	66	140	53	32	18	10	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	1	1	1.3	1.6	2.6	3.5	21	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.7	20	37	35	11	11	5.8	3.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFFT	1	1	1.3	3.6	2.7	1.9	1.3	1	1	1.6	SNOBFFT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	17	48	23	11	6.7	4.7	3	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 30: 02-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{130} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	1	1	1.7	1	1	1.5	1.5	1.3	4.1	15	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	45	39	16	17	17	20	8.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	3.2	8.2	3.7	2.6	3.6	3.9	7.5	6.9	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.3	2.9	9	11	9.7	7.9	10	13	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	1.3	22	15	14	12	12	20	25	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.4	24	21	10	6.5	3.2	3	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.6	11	4.3	1.8	3.1	3.1	4	4.7	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	60	85	88	67	63	120	320	700	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	2	2.3	2.6	1.8	1.8	1.2	2.5	2.3	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.6	2	2.5	6.2	7.3	15	21	140	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	2.3	10	5	3.1	3.9	2.1	3.8	6.2	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	2.3	1.4	1.5	1.4	1	1	1.1	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.5	86	72	38	27	22	21	13	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.1	2.2	1.7	2.1	1	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1.7	2.2	1.1	1	1	4.3	17	50	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2.8	9.8	5.4	3.7	3.8	3.6	4.7	6.6	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.2	6.8	4.1	2.4	2.5	3.1	3.9	6	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.7	330	110	110	150	110	110	70	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.7	2	160	200	170	110	130	83	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.3	1.6	1.5	2.1	4.1	4	15	38	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	7.8	14	7.9	5.9	2.9	2.8	1.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	2.4	1.4	1.1	2	2.1	8.1	7.4	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.2	160	60	34	24	14	17	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 31: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{101} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.1	3.2	2.1	59	110	130	160	190	240	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.8	5.3	7.3	9.6	9.9	11	12	15	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	2.1	3.4	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	2.8	52	130	230	220	200	200	230	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	160	800	4100	<i>46e-2/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.9	4	5.3	8.1	7.8	8.6	9.8	12	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.1	3.1	3.6	4.8	4.4	5	5.5	6.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1.2	54	25	31	33	32	35	40	42	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.1	3.9	11	17	24	25	28	34	40	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.3	7.3	12	24	41	69	97	150	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	4.1	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.1	2.9	17	16	16	12	11	11	10	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	3	2.9	4.4	6.4	6.3	6.8	8.1	9.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	2.5	8.2	15	23	20	19	20	20	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1.4	2.3	2	240	1600	<i>96e-7/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.5	2.8	2	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.2	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1.5	3	3	3.5	4.7	4.4	4.5	5.2	6.2	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.7	7.7	18	39	44	56	71	97	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1.9	7.7	30	110	130	180	230	300	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	1.9	16	360	1.1e4	2.7e5	1.3e6	<i>17e-4/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.1	2.8	4.4	6.5	5.9	6.9	7.7	9.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	1.8	1.1	1	1	1	1.2	1.5	1.7	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	8.5	10	12	11	11	12	13	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 32: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{102} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate unif													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	1	3.2	1.3	54	83	120	150	170	190	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.5	5.1	5.7	6.5	7.7	9.3	9.9	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	1.9	3.6	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.2	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAcG	1	1.1	2.6	17	110	100	190	180	210	180	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	1	6.1	140	1500	7200	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.9	3.2	4.6	5.5	6.5	8	8.3	9.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	2.5	2.6	3	3.4	4	4.6	4.8	5.2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	6.5	36	29	32	39	38	44	48	52	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1	1	11	15	17	21	27	29	33	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	2.5	8.2	11	15	30	63	82	120	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.1	1.7	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1	2.9	14	14	12	10	10	9.3	8.1	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.2	3	3.2	4.2	5.5	6.6	7.1	7.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	2.8	10	12	16	19	19	19	17	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1.4	38	140	1100	5800	<i>26e-6/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	1.5	5.9	5.7	5.4	7.2	6.8	8.1	9.1	10	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	1.1	3.8	3.1	2.7	3.2	3.6	4.2	4.3	4.7	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1	2.5	6.8	15	26	37	53	64	80	PSO [6]		
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1	2.5	7.7	19	73	160	180	230	PSO.Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.5	11	320	5700	3e5	<i>20e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	3.5	3.9	4.9	5.1	6.2	6.6	7.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	1	1.5	1	1.2	1.4	1.6	2	2.2	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	9.9	9.9	9.4	9.5	10	10	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 33: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{103} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03 0.333	1e+02 0.333	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	1	1	2.6	1.9	71	120	200	280	2e3	2.5e5	14.2	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	4.9	6.4	7.6	13	57	91	340	620	9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.9	3.3	1.7	1.6	2	5.6	9.2	10	9	9	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	2.2	1.7	1.40	310	320	510	440	440	330	330	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1.3	3.5	3.7	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.1	1.2	1.2	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	5.1	4	5.3	7.8	12	14	15	12	12	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	3.2	4.9	7.8	25	40	66	170	170	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	5.1	59	33	49	130	440	2800	1.6e4	1e6	1e6	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1.9	12	17	28	64	110	120	190	190	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.9	6.7	11	21	100	730	8e3	69e-7/1e5	69e-7/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.5	1.6	1.3	1.3	2	3.2	2.8	1.8	1.8	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	1.9	1.2	1.4	17	20	32	35	33	33	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.6	3.5	4.4	6.9	9.3	12	220	810	810	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	3.5	7.8	13	23	34	36	35	25	25	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1.3	2	2.1	36	87	86	86	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	3.4	2.1	2.6	3.4	4.8	5.9	5.8	9.8	9.8	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.1	3.3	2.8	3.7	7.2	14	70	160	880	880	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	2.5	7.5	18	58	340	1600	2.3e4	19e-6/1e5	19e-6/1e5	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2.3	6.1	31	95	250	4800	2.8e4	14e-6/1e5	14e-6/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	3.4	18	320	1.2e4	5.3e5	14e-4/1e6	2.8e4	9.3	9.3	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.5	4.2	5.1	7.6	10	12	13	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	2.3	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	9.4	12	14	16	19	20	13	13	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 34: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{104} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1.6	4.8	23	11	9.1	21	29	37	46	62	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.6	2.8	3.7	1.9	1.3	1.6	1.8	2	2.2	2.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2.5	1	1	3.2	2.6	3.3	3.4	4.1	4.1	4.1	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{CG}	2.5	3.1	8.4	19	140	76e-2/2e3	BayEDA _{CG} [9]
BFGS	160	190	3600	28e+0/2e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.5	2.1	3.6	3.6	2.2	2.7	3	3.2	3.4	3.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.9	1.5	2.2	2.8	1.9	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	44	18	30	23	48	140	320	660	2900	36e-7/1e6	DASA [18]
DEPSO	4.8	6.3	9.9	4.3	4.9	9.2	44	140	28e-4/2e3	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3	3.1	8	14	17	33	44	60	78	110	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.1	2.1	1.9	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2	6.8	11	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1.1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.8	1.6	2.6	14	5	5	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	3.9	3.7	6.5	2.8	3.1	3.4	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.5	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1.2	1.1	7.9	39	560	30e-3/2e4	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2.8	1.1	1.1	1	2.7	8.2	18	28	34	40	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	3.6	1.8	2.1	2.7	6.6	20	37	180	510	2900	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.3	2.6	7.1	5.8	6.5	15	26	42	60	100	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	3.2	2.6	11	12	45	270	390	780	800	860	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.7	7.4	53	180	2700	43e-3/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4	2.3	2.9	1.8	5.4	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.5	1.4	2.1	7.8	13	55	110	12e-2/2e3	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2.5	7.2	7.5	2.5	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.9	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 35: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{105} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif												
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}	
ERT _{best} /D	1.4	5.64	9.29	113	357	1080	1080	1090	1090	1100	ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	4.2	7.7	28	7.3	4.7	2.9	4.5	6.4	8	11	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.7	2.5	3.2	5.2	2.8	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	2.7	1.8	1.8	1.1	1.6	3.2	8.2	35	75	<i>20e-4/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	3.5	3.3	26	22	<i>10e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	160	130	910	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.2	2.7	4.1	1.5	2.5	1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.7	1.6	2.1	2.1	3.4	1.9	4.9	7.8	9.6	10	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	69	24	22	23	34	32	94	300	710	4400	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	4.3	5	7.7	1.8	2.5	2.1	8.6	27	<i>71e-4/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	3.2	3.9	6.9	8.8	9.1	6.1	9	12	16	23	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	4.6	1.7	1.4	1.8	3	4.7	6.7	14	21	45	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2.5	3.7	11	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.5	1.5	2.1	7.4	3.7	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	3.1	5.3	7.1	2.3	11	4.8	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.4	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	6.7	37	100	<i>57e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	5	2.2	1.5	1	1.9	2	7.5	21	69	70	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	6.1	3.2	2.8	2.4	3.9	5	12	38	100	1500	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	4.4	2.7	10	74	29	13	20	25	31	42	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	1.7	5.5	14	69	27	51	54	74	79	84	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	2.2	7.8	50	130	1400	6300	<i>45e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	5.1	2.7	2.8	5.4	5.2	1.8	2	2	2	2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	3.8	2	1.8	2.5	10	11	10	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	2.5	8	7.9	18	8.5	3.4	3.7	4.5	5.7	8.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 36: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{106} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	2.4	5.3	21	29	37	25	55	240	1900	37e-7/2e6	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.9	2	3.7	18	30	34	31	32	27	43	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	3.1	1.1	1.1	2.4	1.9	1	2.1	3.3	5	7.5	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	3.8	3.8	7.5	32	73e-2/2e3		BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	18	7	12	18	23	13	8	7.6	7.6	7.6	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.6	1.9	3	7.1	6.9	3.3	2.1	2.2	1.5	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.7	1.1	1.9	5.5	6.1	6.2	7.2	11	19	97	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	21	12	14	150	190	270	540	6600	10e-5/1e6	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	4.6	3.2	11	12	34	200	38e-3/2e3		.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	4.9	3.1	7.6	44	69	52	460	1600	3300	97e-5/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	4.2	1.3	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1.4	1.6	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2.2	4	9.4	6.8	3.8	2.1	1.3	2.5	3.7	16	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.5	1.5	2.4	37	41	24	22	31	25	51	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	3.4	4.4	6.4	8.3	7	3.4	2.4	2.3	1.6	1.6	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	23	230	810	980	40e-3/2e4		.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	3	1	1	3.3	5.6	4.4	7.4	14	13	33	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	3.4	2.3	2.1	3.5	24	32	100	240	1200	3e4	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	2.4	3.6	8	14	230	910	1700	5400	14e-3/1e5		PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	2.2	3.9	11	33	170	620	480	1500	17e-4/1e5		PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	4.9	7.9	43	590	9100	42e-3/1e6		.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.7	1.8	2.9	7.5	7.1	3	1.9	1.8	1.2	1.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2.9	1.6	1.9	3.6	63	160	12e-2/2e3		.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	2.5	6.7	7.1	8.1	5.6	2.3	1.5	1.5	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 37: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{107} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss												
$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1.1	2.1	4.3	9	13	14	16	16	18	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.7	1.6	7.8	5.8	4.6	9.5	8	6.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	17	20	45	120	360	590	<i>16e-3/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	2.3	4.2	4	6.2	6.8	6.9	7.1	7.4	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	87	310	<i>10e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	4	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	17	9.8	13	16	19	32	61	140	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1.5	280	560	1400	5e3	2.6e4	9.2e4	<i>24e-4/8e5</i>	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.2	2.4	3.2	2.5	3.5	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.6	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.6	2.2	6.1	23	32	36	38	42	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	25	21	40	85	130	350	610	<i>28e-4/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.6	4.8	6.5	11	22	38	31	<i>66e-4/700</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	3.4	16	14	23	16	15	12	11	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.2	1.6	3	4	5.8	5.8	5.6	5	4.6	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	2.4	1	13	81	670	<i>16e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.1	12	29	61	65	150	530	<i>57e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1.1	13	17	14	18	27	64	180	780	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.1	1.2	1.5	2	4.3	5.4	5.9	6.2	7.2	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	1	2.9	5.4	10	14	18	20	22	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	2	4.3	58	980	2.2e4	<i>16e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	58	8	5	3.9	3.2	4.2	4.2	3.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNBFFIT	1	1	2.5	3.6	5.9	7.8	8.8	12	15	35	SNBFFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.6	2.3	1.8	1.6	4.2	3.5	3.1	2.8	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 38: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{108} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.7	2.1	2.7	3.1	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	45	11	9.2	13	15	19	32	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	120	82	130	<i>39e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA _{cG}	1	1.1	2.4	25	<i>64e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDA _{cG} [9]	
BFGS	1	1	38	32	<i>92e-2/800</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	36	7.5	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	72	21	7.2	25	<i>42e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	11	510	350	230	660	3400	<i>99e-4/8e5</i>	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	4.4	6	6	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.5	1.9	1	2.2	2.6	5.1	7.2	6.6	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	15	82	180	50	<i>31e-2/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	2.1	4.8	2.6	10	<i>92e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	92	57	20	17	16	32	44	100	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.9	2.8	1	1.4	1.5	2	5	20	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	3.2	3.9	8.8	130	<i>37e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	110	56	36	<i>41e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	24	39	13	43	280	<i>53e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1	1	1	12	11	11	23	42	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2.2	2.6	1	22	35	45	41	64	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	3.1	2.7	36	370	<i>92e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	180	44	7	6	3.7	30	<i>91e-5/1e4</i>	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.5	4.2	4	13	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.6	69	10	8.4	13	22	150	490	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 39: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{109} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy												
$\Delta_{\text{f}}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta_{\text{f}}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1.1	2.1	1.3	15	36	630	1.3e4	1.4e5	<i>4.2e-6/2e6</i>	83.7	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	3.3	38	9.3	25	52	92	120	210		AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	3.4	7.6	5.9	8.9	17	58	110	260		avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	1	1	2.4	27	36	25	21	25	21	36		BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	9.3	39	8.7	2.1	1.3	1	1	1	1		BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	2.3	1.2	1.5	1.6	2.4	2.4	3.5		BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.9	5.5	2.6	47	410	<i>18e-4/1e4</i>	.	.		(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	3.1	200	400	1700	1.1e4	2e5	<i>63e-4/9e5</i>	.	.		DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	3.1	6.4	4.2	7	14	76	350	<i>15e-5/2e3</i>		DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	2	3.8	3	110	1400	<i>83e-5/1e5</i>	.	1.7		EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	6.4	2.1	1.4	1.6	1.7	2.2	1.7	1.7		full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.2	4.5	10	3.7	4.6	25	<i>39e-4/500</i>	.	.		GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.5	2	8.1	18	47	79	140	490		iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	3.3	5.4	3.1	5.8	10	20	26	56		MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	18	81	110	150	160	190		MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.3	5.3	6.2	6.4	12	34	150	180	<i>17e-5/5e3</i>		NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.8	1.9	3.3	27	380	2200	8.2e4	<i>25e-6/1e6</i>		(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	2.2	8.4	12	290	2900	7300	1.8e4	<i>19e-4/1e5</i>		PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2.2	3.7	12	3100	2.2e4	2.3e4	<i>18e-3/1e5</i>	.		PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.6	9.5	100	2500	4.8e4	<i>19e-4/1e6</i>	.	.		Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	1.8	1	1	1.7	2.4	2.5	3.6		IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFFT	1	1.1	2.6	1.1	2.5	17	60	380	<i>31e-4/2e3</i>	.		SNOBFFT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	5.9	2.4	2.1	2.4	3.1	3	4.2		VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 40: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{110} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	2.3	3.9	9.5	2.6	1	1.2	1	1.2	1.6	2.5	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.7	1.8	2.2	8.4	33	26	16	9.1	9.1	8.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	18	18	15	6.6	8.4	34e-2/6e3					avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{CG}	2.3	3	7.8	4.8	14	4.2	60e-2/2e3				BayEDA _{CG} [9]
BFGS	50	59	220	19e+0/1e3							BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.2	1.5	2	3	5.6	2.9	1.7	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	8.1	5.8	6.7	2.5	2.2	3.7	13	17e-3/1e4			(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	410	230	270	110	170	180	240	630	43e-4/9e5		DASA [18]
DEPSO	6.9	4.4	5.1	1	1.9	1	2.5	16e-2/2e3			DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	2.4	2.1	3.9	4.3	43	98e-3/1e5					EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	53	20	15	9.8	8.5	7.4	8.8	20e-2/7e3			full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	4.2	3.5	6.5	1.6	1.7	91e-2/400					GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.2	1.2	1.6	8.4	8.5	11	9.8	5.8	5.8	5.7	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	3.2	3.8	4	1.1	6.5	16	9.2	11	76e-3/2e4		MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	5.9	8.6	87e-3/2e4					MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	23	20	24	9	4.3	11	22e-2/5e3				NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	6.2	6.3	6.8	2.7	1.6	2	4.1	7.1	63	690	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.4	2.6	5.3	25	97	59	55	32	72	21e-2/1e5	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2.4	2.1	5.6	26	73	30	35	25e-2/1e5			PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	2	3.2	16	55	200	2100	32e-3/1e6				Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.8	15	7.6	3.9	9.9	6.1	3.5	3.3	3.2	6.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	3.4	1.6	2.9	4.2	3.4	3.6	76e-2/2e3				SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2.4	71	34	20	16	8.4	7.6	5.2	6.8	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 41: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{111} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif											
	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1.8	2.1	4.8	1.3	1	1	1	1	1.2	6.1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	1.4	1	4.2	7	16	22	9.1	9.1	30	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	52	66	55	45e-1/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _c G	1.7	4.6	45	11	15e+0/2e3	BayEDA _c G [9]
BFGS	15	12	150	25e+0/600	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3	9.5	4.1	1.4	3.1	13	6.2	2.4	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	20	16	17	9.3	15	89e-2/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	310	310	350	250	210	29e-2/8e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	2.1	2.1	4.2	3.4	12e-1/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	5	2.9	19	57	15e-2/1e5	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	79	73	96	25	76e-1/7e3	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.2	1.9	4.3	3	2	25e-1/1e3	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	18	32	11	13	13	12	16	15	30	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.5	2	2.4	1	1.7	8.9	52e-3/2e4	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	2.2	2.4	7.4	7.5	5.3	47e-2/2e4	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	32	42	85	32	7.8	74e-1/5e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	17	12	11	5.3	8.7	36	64e-4/1e6	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.1	1	1.8	11	8.4	16	10	3.4	87e-3/1e5	.	PSO [6]
PSO-Bounds	1.3	1.4	2.9	1.6	4.5	8.9	10	25e-3/1e5	.	.	PSO-Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	2.4	8.9	19	80	75e-3/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.9	26	24	5.1	4.5	5.9	47e-2/1e4	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.8	1.9	8.6	6.4	37e-1/2e3	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	27	46	6	6.4	8.1	9.3	16	28	13e-6/9e6	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 42: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{112} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δ_{ftarget} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ_{ftarget} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	4.3	9.1	27	7.4	5.3	37	1400	1.2e4	55e-5/2e6	.	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.5	2.5	4	12	24	63	80	89	140	170	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2.8	1.1	2.1	2.2	1.8	9.5	85	20e-3/6e3	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	3.3	3.5	7.7	22	10e-1/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	39	53	70	43	11e-1/3e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.8	2	3	1	1	1	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.9	2.1	3.3	2.6	6.4	29	18e-3/1e4	7e3	48e-4/1e6	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	39	59	90	61	87	1200	7e3	1.4e4	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	5.3	3.5	7.8	3.5	16	16	42e-2/2e3	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.5	3.1	6.1	6.4	61	1800	39e-3/1e5	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.3	1.4	2.2	1.7	5.6	13	49	13e-3/7e3	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2.5	5.1	11	2	2.4	51	30e-2/400	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3	1.8	2.4	4.9	2.4	58	74	100	140	200	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	3.3	4.6	6.9	1.8	2.7	6.2	18	44	95	60e-5/2e4	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1.1	1	11	50	12e-2/2e4	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2	1	1.3	1.7	1.4	8.7	37	73	44e-4/5e3	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	4.3	2.4	2.5	2.1	3.2	23	320	3300	30e-5/1e6	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.6	3.4	7.7	77	400	340	20e-2/1e5	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	3.7	2.9	12	7.8	170	200	1500	72e-3/1e5	.	.	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.4	10	41	160	960	8300	60e-3/1e6	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.3	1.7	2.7	2.5	1.2	1.1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.5	1.3	2.2	5.9	6.1	30	17e-2/2e3	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2.5	7.4	7.9	3.2	1.3	1.1	1	1.1	1.1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 43: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{113} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1.3	1.7	3.1	7.2	1.5	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.5	2	1.1	1	1.9	2.1	2	2	2	1.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.6	5.6	8.2	15	8	25	75	75	75	<i>57e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1.4	1	1.8	2.8	3.4	8.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	8	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	7.1	43	95	710	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.2	15	3.7	2.4	2.4	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.3	1.8	16	12	2.5	6.8	22	22	22	22	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	5.7	170	220	530	120	800	1e3	1e3	1e3	1400	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1.2	2.9	3.3	2.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1.3	2.1	2.2	3.7	2.4	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.4	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1.5	7.5	9.7	14	7.7	16	95	95	95	<i>15e-3/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1.5	2.6	1.8	3.9	2.3	4.2	<i>12e-2/400</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.2	1.5	25	17	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.3	1.9	1.8	3.6	2.4	2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	2.1	2.3	2.7	3.8	50	230	230	230	<i>15e-3/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1.5	14	7.7	14	5.1	12	70	70	70	<i>74e-3/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1.9	16	11	7.3	2.1	14	23	23	23	28	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1.3	1.7	1.4	3.7	1.2	10	12	12	12	11	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1.3	1.3	1.6	2.9	1.6	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.8	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.3	1.4	3	17	28	520	1300	1300	1300	4200	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.9	4.2	26	16	3.5	3	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFFT	1.2	1.1	1	8	4.7	6.9	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.2	SNOBFFT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	2.5	3.1	11	5.8	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.4	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 44: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{114} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1.2	2	2.4	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.4	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1.8	2.9	8.5	7.1	4.8	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	140	100	26	<i>11e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	1.2	2	19	12	<i>18e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	3.4	34	20	34	<i>26e-1/800</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.8	16	1.7	1.2	1.4	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.1	18	27	5.3	12	<i>17e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	16	160	540	110	520	940	1300	1300	1300	1100	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1.3	1	3.5	2.5	4.5	4.8	3.2	3.2	3.2	<i>22e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1.3	1.7	2.8	1.1	2.6	2.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	6.3	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1.5	65	96	41	50	<i>13e-1/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1.5	1.6	2.5	1.1	2.8	<i>34e-2/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.4	1.6	120	17	13	8.8	6	6	6	5.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.1	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.3	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1.9	4.9	3.6	8.1	<i>93e-3/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	50	71	31	<i>11e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	20	55	25	8.1	14	46	51	51	51	230	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1.4	2.3	5	45	27	45	30	30	30	61	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	2.1	1.8	22	33	20	17	17	17	20	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.2	2.1	1.8	2.1	12	110	130	130	130	1300	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.2	110	27	8.5	2.3	2.1	2	2	2	2.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFFT	1.3	2.5	2.3	5.7	12	<i>75e-2/2e3</i>	SNOBFFT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	2.9	53	13	9.8	7.9	13	13	13	15	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 45: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{115} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1.1	2.1	6.9	7.8	4	49	66	66	66	200	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1.6	3.2	1	4.7	6.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	6.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.5	3.1	1.1	2.6	4.9	19	45	45	45	59	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.1	2	4.4	7.9	31	48	96	96	96	69	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	11	68	190	50e-1/2e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.7	3.5	2.9	1.5	2.3	3.8	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.8	2.2	4.8	4.8	4.7	30	49	49	49	78	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	5	110	380	480	870	5300	1.4e4	1.4e4	1.4e4	11e-3/9e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1.6	4.8	7.4	3.4	5	5.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.5	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.1	2.4	3.6	2.6	41	100	320	320	320	490	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1.9	2.6	1.4	1.8	2.7	15	26	26	26	28	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.5	1.6	3.9	4.6	3.8	31	41e-3/600	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1.6	2.1	12	7.1	8	10	10	10	8.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.1	2	5.8	2.6	1.9	4.6	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.6	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	3.2	48	850	39e-3/2e4	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2.4	2.8	1	4	8.3	66	220	220	220	150	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1.1	2.1	3	2.8	6.1	24	53	53	53	130	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.3	1.8	4.4	4.1	96	280	580	580	580	1e3	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.3	1.9	4.4	390	190	430	570	570	570	410	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	3.3	7.2	21	59	1700	5100	5100	5100	32e-4/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.7	3	2.9	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.2	1.9	2	5.3	11	85	81	81	81	58	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	3	6.9	2.5	2.2	1.9	2	2	2	1.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 46: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{116} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
Δ_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1.8	2.5	9.4	1.6	2.9	3.9	5.3	6	9.2	14	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.6	1.2	1	1.7	1.4	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	19	24	65	28	30e-1/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.9	7.8	24	6.9	9.5	49e-1/2e3	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	35	36	300	73e+0/1e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.5	3.1	8.8	2.4	3.4	3	3.1	2.4	2.4	2.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	6.2	6	16	6.7	19	72	23e-2/1e4	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	140	190	530	280	2800	19e-2/9e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1.7	2.6	4.9	1.1	2.3	4.5	6.1	15e-2/2e3	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.7	2.6	17	3.5	30	61	170	130	130	110	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	32	17	35	14	68	49	13e-1/7e3	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2.2	2.5	7.2	2.8	3.5	5.7	4.9	21e-1/700	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.1	1	5.3	1	1	1.1	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.2	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.8	1.9	8.3	2.1	4.4	8.1	14	11	13	29	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1.8	1.5	4.2	5.3	37	26e-2/2e4	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	12	18	45	41	50	49e-1/5e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	11	10	19	7.8	23	70	410	92e-5/1e6	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.4	1.5	280	45	120	150	600	460	450	390	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1.7	1.8	290	100	110	100	280	31e-2/1e5	.	.	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	2.5	7	48	87	1300	13e-2/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	54	22	22	3.6	3	2.6	2.3	1.8	1.7	1.6	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2	3	14	13	8	5.8	24e-1/2e3	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	4.7	43	10	13	11	15	16	17	15	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 47: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{117} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	1.5	1.8	1	1	1.2	3.2	4.8	9.7	30	450	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	2	16	5.8	2.6	2.4	4.5	5.5	7.9	13	23	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	66	48	33	44e+0/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	2.5	12	36	44e+0/2e3	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	12	7.1	11	52e+0/600	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	18	6.4	2.9	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	27	6.3	6	5.1	28e-1/1e4	49e-2/8e5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	310	110	85	160	440	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	3.3	3.2	4.7	6.1	12e+0/2e3	75	69	61	64e-2/1e5	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1.5	1.1	2.5	8.9	17	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	62	22	22	17e+0/7e3	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2.3	2.2	2.6	11e+0/1e3	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	20	19	5.8	2.6	3	4.5	6.9	7.6	8.8	16	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.8	2.2	1.5	1.7	2.9	5.8	11	28e-2/2e4	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	2	1.1	2	8.4	18	19e-1/2e4	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	46	27	96	23e+0/5e3	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	24	9	7.2	7.1	40	170	43e-3/1e6	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	2	1	13	16	32e-2/1e5	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	2.4	1.7	47	25	22	36	34	61	17e-1/1e5	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.4	1.4	3	10	360	17e-2/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	57	13	4.2	3.7	11	8	12e-1/1e4	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2.3	1.7	2.2	98e-1/2e3	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	30	7.4	3.3	8.6	27	140	920	2500	19e-5/9e6	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 48: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{118} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	3.6	20	14	19	13	280	4100	83e-5/2e6	428	531	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.4	3.6	1.3	1	4.8	6.5	8.4	12	20	41	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.4	1	5	5.4	26	77	43e-4/6e3	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	2.8	30	110	130	15e+0/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	37	89	60	220	37e-1/3e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.8	7.3	4.6	5.1	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.7	4.5	3.1	5.1	7.1	100	14e-3/1e4	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	36	240	250	1600	4300	1.7e4	60e-3/1e6	.	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	3.5	10	8.1	18	22	21e-2/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	2.8	9.8	25	45	160	490	2e3	12e-3/1e5	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	2.7	5.8	18	140	58e-4/7e3	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	4.1	12	3.3	2.8	1.8	13	27e-3/400	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.7	2.9	1	7.5	4	5.4	20	30	51	84	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	2.3	7	4.8	6.9	4.2	5.8	14	22	32	61	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	2.5	7.4	6.3	76	510	25e-2/2e4	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1.3	1.4	1.7	3.7	6.5	43	110	19e-3/5e3	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	4.2	7.3	11	20	52	230	3800	1.8e4	93e-5/1e6	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.1	6.3	10	760	1200	4900	4200	42e-2/1e5	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO-Bounds	2.4	7.8	12	1e3	850	1500	4400	68e-2/1e5	.	.	PSO-Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1.9	18	69	1e3	2e4	16e-2/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.9	7.8	6.5	5.1	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.6	6.2	9.2	58	99	15e-1/2e3	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1.3	6.8	3.1	2.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 49: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{119} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of different powers Gauss												
$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.3	2.4	2.7	3.9	6.3	3.4	2.3	3.3	58	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1.7	19	3.3	2.6	4.5	2.1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	23	8.2	16	51	<i>13e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.1	2	5.8	2.4	3.5	2.3	5.2	<i>47e-5/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	5	90	93	310	<i>69e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.3	2.5	1	1	1.2	1.5	2.5	2.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	12	11	6.9	11	21	18	<i>24e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	44	280	460	410	2700	1.9e4	<i>58e-4/9e5</i>	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	2.1	2.4	1.4	2.2	1	1	1.8	<i>33e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.5	1	2.6	14	7.9	4.1	2.5	130	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1.9	3.9	7.6	18	41	150	<i>87e-4/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.3	2.1	1.8	2.8	7.3	6.4	<i>56e-3/600</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.3	11	4.8	13	5.1	3	1.7	2.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.2	2.4	1.6	2.2	3.4	1.6	1.2	1.5	42	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	6.6	1.3	3.8	91	350	130	<i>94e-4/2e4</i>	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	2.2	13	18	27	120	110	<i>31e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	2.4	12	5.2	5.1	22	30	110	420	<i>11e-6/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	2.4	2	6.2	24	280	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2.4	1.8	2.5	7.1	4.8	7.4	16	130	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.4	2.6	33	990	<i>35e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	2.1	3.9	17	3.7	3.9	2.7	1.9	1.2	1.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.2	2.2	2.6	3.1	5.8	8.5	6.2	6.2	<i>38e-4/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	27	4.7	4.7	3.3	3	4.1	110	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 50: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{120} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
	0.333	0.333	0.733	26.8	601	3.4	2.1	3	11	18e-7/2e6	ALPS [15]
ALPS	1	1.3	2.4	2.3	2.1	3.4	2.1	3	11	18e-7/2e6	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.2	2.5	38	20	17	12	24	76	28e-6/1e6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	24	120	66	40	36e-2/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	1	1.3	1.9	20	47	43e-2/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	6.1	48	50	10e-1/900	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	22	10	1.5	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	2.3	47	23	8.9	64	53e-3/1e4	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	2.6	260	440	330	5400	47e-3/8e5	.	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.2	2.2	2.8	6.4	11e-2/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.9	2.5	2.4	6	4.6	12	19e-5/1e5	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	3.1	140	74	85	25e-2/7e3	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	1.6	2.3	4.9	8.1	13e-2/1e3	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.2	56	21	15	17	48	110	160	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.2	2.4	1.3	1	2.1	1.5	57e-5/2e4	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	6.6	4.3	6.5	31	38e-3/2e4	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.7	120	62	62	42e-2/5e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.4	34	18	13	90	660	25e-4/1e6	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.1	1.9	1	21	15	8.4	12	37	58e-5/1e5	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.3	2.3	270	43	20	13	18	36	12e-4/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.7	2	7.1	150	44e-4/1e6	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	180	32	9.4	4.7	4.5	61e-4/1e4	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.3	1.9	6.5	4.2	12e-2/2e3	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	130	17	16	17	89	770	16e-6/9e6	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 51: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{121} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of different powers Cauchy											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.2	0.333	3.4	13	110	1500	2.3e4	<i>27e-5/2e6</i>	766	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.2	1.3	8	25	15	36	54	140	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.3	2.9	3.5	5.3	27	320	<i>47e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	2.5	4.4	25	14	8.7	28	<i>31e-5/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	60	21	30	60	100	95	<i>12e-3/3e3</i>	.	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	1	1	1	1	1.5	1.9	2.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	1.8	1.8	7.2	47	290	<i>44e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	15	270	220	1900	4.3e4	<i>25e-3/9e5</i>	.	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	2.3	2.3	5	6	23	58	<i>12e-4/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	2.2	1.8	15	630	<i>51e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	2.2	4.2	2.3	3.4	6.8	88	<i>18e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	1.4	3.7	5.5	29	<i>12e-3/800</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	3.1	19	7.6	20	25	78	79	220	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	1.7	2.1	3.8	5.7	10	18	67	<i>43e-6/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	2.1	1.4	45	2800	<i>22e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.2	3.2	3.6	7.7	43	<i>62e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.1	5	1.4	7.9	46	640	<i>24e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.1	2.8	1.6	14	780	6300	<i>20e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.2	2.4	2.1	210	2200	<i>16e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	2.4	3.7	100	2200	6.1e4	<i>31e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	4.8	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.2	2.3	1	8.5	32	49	<i>10e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	2.7	2.2	1.8	1.2	1	1	1.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 52: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{122} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1.2	1	3	3.6	3.4	3.2	3.5	4.9	12	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.2	2	2.2	5.2	3.2	2.7	2.7	2.4	3.8	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	2.1	13	22	<i>37e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	<i>67e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	44	43	<i>21e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	28	2.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	18	10	35	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	48	64	390	1e4	<i>21e-2/8e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.6	3.7	2	2.4	6.8	<i>23e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.2	1.9	3.1	5.4	4.6	6.9	8.5	11	11	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	2.1	14	12	<i>32e-2/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.1	3	3.1	25	<i>52e-2/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.5	1.6	5.1	12	10	6.9	7.4	6.9	6.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.5	1.5	1.6	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.6	3.8	<i>15e-7/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1.7	84	<i>16e-2/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.1	8.5	21	120	<i>65e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1.5	12	8.4	50	1300	5600	<i>12e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.1	1.1	1	27	29	26	29	28	51	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.2	2.2	130	120	67	50	48	45	72	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.3	1	6.6	1e3	<i>79e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	57	3.2	3.6	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.4	3.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.2	2.2	3	5.6	17	9.9	<i>27e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.8	8.9	9.4	9	11	28	160	2600	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 53: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{123} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 unif												
Δ_{ftarget} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.333	1e+02 0.333	1e+01 1.64	1e+00 515	1e-01 7280	1e-02 16700	1e-03 31100	1e-04 43100	1e-05 63600	1e-07 1.47e5	Δ_{ftarget} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1.2	1.2	1.2	4	220	<i>12e-3/2e6</i>	.	.	.	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.2	2.7	32	21	420	<i>20e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	12	120	33	<i>16e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.1	2.4	55	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	5.3	27	23	<i>22e-1/900</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	32	1.4	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	29	8.5	<i>60e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	6.9	300	88	1700	<i>24e-2/8e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.3	1.3	5.5	<i>11e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	2.5	2.2	17	<i>88e-3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	15	140	47	<i>14e-1/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	<i>59e-2/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.5	1	18	30	150	<i>19e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.5	1.7	1.1	7.2	<i>12e-2/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	4.2	3.2	<i>43e-2/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	12	130	20	<i>12e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	14	36	6.6	110	<i>72e-3/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.2	2.4	31	22	88	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	1	1.3	2	15	20	<i>11e-2/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.1	1.3	1.9	1	94	<i>79e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	15	9.1	<i>37e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1.1	1.1	1.9	1.7	<i>77e-2/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.1	11	31	570	2100	<i>79e-4/9e6</i>	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 54: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{124} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.333	1e+02 1.2	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 65.2	1e-01 309	1e-02 1140	1e-03 2360	1e-04 3100	1e-05 4300	1e-07 4960	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.2	2	4.7	27	2.1e4	<i>17e-3/2e6</i>	.	.	.	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.2	2	1	3.9	4.8	10	29	54	160	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	11	7.7	59	<i>18e-2/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.4	2.8	1.4	2.9	1.9	<i>34e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	14	94	81	<i>10e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.5	1.5	1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	2.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	17	4.1	34	<i>77e-3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	5.7	330	250	4.1e4	<i>19e-2/9e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.2	3.8	2.2	4	<i>34e-3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.2	2	1.4	230	<i>47e-3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	3	11	2.6	17	<i>92e-3/6e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.4	1.9	3.6	<i>30e-2/600</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.2	3.8	11	11	19	52	110	180	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.5	2.4	1.5	2.7	58	89	<i>36e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	6.6	170	<i>15e-2/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.5	5.6	13	36	<i>14e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.5	10	2.6	29	1900	<i>12e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.4	2.1	1.6	360	<i>84e-3/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2.3	3.9	730	<i>26e-2/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	3.3	11	4500	<i>96e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	4.3	3.4	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	2	1.7	76	<i>23e-2/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.9	1.1	4	14	12	52	260	890	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 55: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{125} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.333	1e+02 0.333	1e+01 0.333	1e+00 0.333	1e-01 0.333	1e-02 0.333	1e-03 0.333	1e-04 0.333	1e-05 0.333	1e-07 0.333	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1.1	9.5	520	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.7	2.7	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	6.7	100	4.3	2.9	2.1	2	1.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.4	9.3	330	1.8	3	6.9	6.7	40e-4/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	1	9.6	310	2.3	92e-4/2e3	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	6.3	170	1.2e4	85e-3/4e3	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	9.3	590	1.8	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	76	770	3.9	5.2	5.9	12	48e-4/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	14	1900	1.4e4	140	330	510	990	28e-4/9e5	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.6	11	540	1.9	3.6	67e-4/2e3	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	9.5	280	2.6	4	12	20	52	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	11	280	1.2	3.7	8.4	25e-4/7e3	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.2	8.3	420	2	2.2	13e-3/1e3	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	7.7	1500	6.7	6.3	7.2	7	7.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	7.8	240	1.5	1.2	1.7	2.8	5.7	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	1.9	20e-4/2e4	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2.8	10	330	1	2.8	2	1.9	19e-4/5e3	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.1	68	730	3.5	7.9	22	30	240	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.2	16	440	10	36	57	55	52	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.1	9.1	750	9.8	6.3	7.2	11	34	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	12	710	17	51	1200	31e-5/1e6	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	11	510	3.6	18	13	12	37e-4/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNObFIT	1	1	1.3	15	350	2.4	17e-3/2e3	.	.	.	SNObFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.4	23	230	5.1	5.9	7.8	11	22	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 56: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{126} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03 0.333	1e+02 0.333	1e+01 0.333	1e+00 0.333	1e-01 0.333	1e-02 4500	1e-03 37700	1e-04 1.11e5	1e-05 2.08e5	1e-07 3.2e5	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1	1	1.1	8	650	1.3	3.4	3.4	2.3	5.7	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	8	4800	4.2	6.4	40	67	<i>21e-5/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.7	260	6200	<i>49e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.3	12	5700	6.3	<i>95e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	3.9	67	5200	<i>10e-2/900</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	16	970	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	160	1500	4.7	<i>12e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	9.3	660	2.6e4	130	<i>50e-4/8e5</i>	.	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1.3	12	1500	3.3	<i>23e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	9.7	910	4.4	8.5	6.4	<i>15e-4/1e5</i>	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	16	250	1.1e4	<i>63e-3/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	7.9	520	<i>34e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	33	45	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	16	3600	3.2	12	15	2	33	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	8.9	270	1	5.9	2	<i>25e-4/2e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	5.1	<i>10e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	13	270	8600	17	<i>48e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.3	68	1400	5	17	28	72	<i>55e-5/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.3	6.5	760	5.7	38	13	<i>43e-4/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.1	12	4.6e4	13	8.3	13	7.2	<i>27e-4/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	6.5	650	4.6	20	29	71	<i>28e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	8.9	3200	5.7	4	1.4	<i>14e-3/1e4</i>	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.1	13	1100	1.6	<i>29e-3/2e3</i>	.	23	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.4	23	8200	9.6	19	17	23	130	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 57: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{127} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.333	1e+02 0.333	1e+01 0.333	1e+00 0.333	1e-01 0.333	1e-02 1170	1e-03 13000	1e-04 15000	1e-05 15100	1e-07 15300	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1	1.3	490	8.1	19	94	770	<i>57e-6/2e6</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	8.9	140	10	4.3	7.6	13	26	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	11	290	4.5	<i>61e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.3	9.9	230	1	2.3	2	<i>72e-4/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	1	190	5100	<i>51e-3/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	8.9	120	1.3	1	1.4	1.5	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.5	11	920	20	<i>16e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	20	870	2.3e4	560	920	800	<i>62e-4/8e5</i>	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.5	11	310	3.5	2.3	<i>11e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.5	9	540	29	55	<i>30e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.7	13	270	2.4	2	<i>44e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	7.7	640	14	<i>44e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	11	2300	9.8	11	16	28	60	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	8.9	150	3.2	8.3	15	<i>36e-4/2e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	7.3	<i>47e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	18	280	1.6	2.3	4.3	4.2	<i>61e-4/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.1	48	1e3	12	27	160	460	<i>19e-5/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.1	12	1400	36	<i>46e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.3	10	2.2e4	58	35	47	<i>67e-4/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	13	590	20	61	450	450	<i>74e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	10	420	3	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.3	8.9	900	4.7	<i>29e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.4	23	290	20	12	20	23	24	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 58: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{128} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	1	1	1.3	1	1.1	1.5	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.8	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.4	7.9	21	19	13	9.5	9.7	6.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	4.3	10	19	25	18	17	45	45e-3/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.5	3	7.1	33	47	88e-3/2e3	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	56	36	55	12e-1/3e3	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.3	11	14	15	11	7.6	7.7	4.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.4	3	2.3	3.1	2.9	3.5	4.7	3.9	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	80	90	100	140	160	270	340	960	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.7	3.9	4	3.7	2.7	2	2.1	1.4	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.5	52	43	37	26	19	20	13	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	9.9	5.9	4.1	6.1	4.5	4.3	6.2	35	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.4	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.1	22	23	21	17	12	12	7.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	1.1	1.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	2	1.3	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	4.8	1.4	1.3	3.9	5.5	22	59	77e-6/2e4	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	1.7	7	9.1	14	35	84	89e-4/5e3	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	4	5.6	3.7	4.1	3.9	3	3.2	5.3	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.6	110	76	67	47	34	34	22	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.4	110	68	58	41	30	30	20	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	2.1	1	2.5	18	49	190	1200	84e-7/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.8	8.4	13	11	8.1	6.1	6.1	4.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNBOFIT	1	1	1.9	2	1.5	2.3	2.6	2.6	3.1	8.3	SNBOFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.2	29	26	22	15	11	11	7.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 59: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{129} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher unif												
Δ_{ftarget} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ_{ftarget} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1	2.4	1	1.1	1.4	1	1	1	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.4	38	18	22	24	15	14	10	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	51	34	12	15	21	9.9	<i>23e-2/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.8	15	25	<i>61e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	26	9.1	5.4	<i>88e-2/900</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.3	5.8	1.8	1.1	1	3.1	3.5	2.7	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	16	4.1	7.5	8.5	18	<i>17e-3/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	93	160	91	86	140	320	640	<i>20e-5/8e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	2.1	3.9	3.3	5.4	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.5	1.8	17	18	18	13	12	9.3	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	150	44	16	18	12	<i>50e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	1.6	1.2	2.5	<i>50e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.7	56	7.5	5.8	7.8	6.7	6	7.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.7	1	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.5	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	6.3	3.3	2.1	4.1	10	29	25	<i>37e-4/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	56	63	21	30	21	<i>79e-2/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	7.2	6.2	3.1	3.1	5	9.7	31	200	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.8	150	61	51	41	26	23	22	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.8	130	60	44	31	19	17	13	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.7	1.6	1.1	1.7	6.8	20	150	1100	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.8	25	10	9.4	6.7	5.2	7.1	11	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	3.2	2.7	1.1	1	1.9	1.4	1.2	<i>22e-3/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	40	11	9.5	8.8	5	4.8	4.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 60: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{130} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	1	1	1.1	2.4	2.5	4.1	4.3	3.3	9	180	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.4	92	130	110	69	17	10	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.6	3.9	12	8.5	11	5.6	5.7	<i>90e-5/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.4	5.7	33	27	17	3.8	7.2	6.2	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	34	33	63	<i>53e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	24	44	44	46	10	5.9	4.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.2	6	7	8.8	15	4.4	8.3	30	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	41	130	230	740	790	800	1500	<i>14e-5/9e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.4	6.1	5.6	8	6.3	2.8	1.7	<i>63e-4/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.7	1.4	5.6	37	98	85	180	<i>15e-5/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	2.2	4.1	6.4	5.6	7.7	2.5	7	<i>53e-6/6e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2.6	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	54	74	60	47	11	9	11	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.3	9.3	10	7.1	6.8	1.7	1	1.7	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	2.9	3.9	7.5	9.6	19	54	62	<i>36e-5/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2	3	9.4	18	21	6.6	17	<i>86e-4/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.8	3.7	2.8	4.9	5.2	5.6	7	150	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.6	5.8	150	130	110	74	100	300	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1.9	95	390	290	240	69	160	140	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.3	2.1	4.4	15	62	86	300	3e3	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	5	23	15	9.2	2	1.2	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.4	4.6	5.8	12	15	<i>42e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.2	83	100	61	52	11	9.9	9.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 61: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{101} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.3	12	42	76	120	130	150	180	220	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	5.2	5.6	9.7	13	14	16	18	21	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	2.6	2.9	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.7	6.2	23	64	89	120	120	120	130	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	91	740	<i>70e-1/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.2	3.1	4.6	6	6.1	7.2	8	9.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	2.9	2	2.6	3.2	3.3	3.9	4.3	5.4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	11	19	15	18	21	23	26	27	32	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.3	7.5	11	16	21	23	28	31	38	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	4.1	8	100	270	320	400	490	630	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	3.4	3.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.3	11	8.1	7.7	7.4	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.4	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	3.3	3.4	5.1	6.8	7.1	8.5	9.6	12	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	6.9	7.5	13	15	15	17	20	23	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	70	170	920	<i>82e-5/1e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	3.6	2.5	1.6	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.9	3	3.1	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	2.5	2.9	1.8	2.4	3	3.1	3.4	3.8	4.6	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.3	4.2	7.8	17	29	36	46	57	76	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.2	4.9	13	53	110	140	190	220	330	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.7	9.8	460	1.9e5	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.9	4.2	3	4.1	4.9	5.2	5.8	6.4	7.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	2	1.1	1	1	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.8	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.6	7.4	6.8	7.5	8.2	7.9	8.6	9.1	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 62: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{102} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.2	6.6	51	73	92	110	130	150	170	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	5.7	6	7.6	8.7	10	12	13	15	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1.3	2.7	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	12	23	59	110	120	120	120	130	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	29	890	<i>59e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.7	3	4	4.3	5.1	6	6.3	7.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.6	3.1	3.5	3.7	4.2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	61	41	23	22	23	26	30	32	38	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.4	7.8	9	13	15	18	22	24	28	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.5	4.9	8.3	96	180	270	330	390	470	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	3.8	3.2	1.4	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.5	8.2	8.9	6.7	5.5	5.3	5.2	5	5.1	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.8	2.8	4.2	4.9	6.1	7	7.5	8.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	6.9	8.2	12	12	13	16	16	17	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	120	500	9700	<i>58e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	3.9	6.3	6	7	15	20	27	33	41	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	3.9	2.9	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.7	3.1	3.3	3.9	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.3	4	10	15	23	31	39	45	55	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.6	4.1	15	47	82	120	150	180	250	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	4.2	430	1.7e5	<i>11e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.1	2.9	3.2	3.6	4.1	4.8	5	5.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	2	1.2	1	1	1.3	1.5	1.7	2.1	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.6	7.1	6.6	6	6.2	6.6	7.2	7.5	8.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 63: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{103} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.5	8.1	61	120	190	240	8300	<i>31e-6/1e6</i>	.	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.8	5	7	12	17	22	170	350	410	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	2.5	2.5	1.6	3.6	5.4	13	26	42	34	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	12	60	130	360	360	460	440	140	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	2.6	7.5	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.3	1	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	3.5	4.7	7.4	10	13	15	17	6.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.4	2.5	4.2	6.9	29	110	360	1100	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	7.7	16	19	68	430	2700	1.3e5	1.7e6	<i>59e-6/9e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.5	12	14	25	40	70	180	410	<i>33e-7/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	4.2	11	120	470	1100	2.2e5	<i>38e-5/1e5</i>	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	5.1	2.8	1.6	1.8	1.8	2.6	2.6	2.4	4.8	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.3	6.4	11	11	12	16	34	38	33	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.7	4.5	7.5	12	55	140	380	700	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.5	6	11	19	26	33	44	49	22	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	100	100	130	130	130	230	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	3.5	2.4	1.9	5.7	9.4	60	85	180	140	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	3	3	2.4	3.6	5.2	27	120	530	3700	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.3	3.5	8.3	26	100	1400	4.7e4	<i>33e-5/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	4.2	18	86	2800	1.5e4	<i>87e-5/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	6.2	830	2.9e5	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	3.4	3.9	5.9	8.1	9.9	13	14	5.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNBOFIT	1	1.5	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	3.5	SNBOFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.6	7.5	8.6	11	13	16	19	20	7.9	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 64: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{104} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	6.3	22	18	18	257	37	40	45	48	71	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.3	3.1	2.1	3.6	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.3	2.8	1	5	7.6	14	23	21	20	24	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	6.8	8.8	21	180	<i>33e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	350	<i>61e+1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.4	2.6	1.4	1.9	2	2	2	2	1.9	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.7	2.2	1	3.7	4.1	4.8	4.4	4.1	3.9	3.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	23	27	11	35	46	88	190	870	3200	1.5e4	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	7.6	7.9	4.4	10	55	<i>53e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	4.2	5.6	32	39	53	72	92	110	130	160	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1.8	3.2	1.1	1.8	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	6.4	5.3	2.2	2	3.4	3.7	3.3	3	2.9	2.6	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.1	2.3	1.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	5	5.6	3.6	7.1	5.1	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.1	3.8	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1.5	920	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1.1	2.8	1.2	3.4	6	14	24	100	<i>14e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	2.2	2.6	1.1	4.3	12	25	63	230	980	9900	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	3.3	5.1	5.7	170	190	1500	<i>22e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	3.4	10	17	2600	5400	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	10	150	9e3	<i>64e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.4	15	5.4	14	8.7	7.7	7	6.4	6.1	5.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2.4	2.1	1.3	91	<i>28e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	7.3	4.1	2	7.4	5.6	5.9	11	11	10	9	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 65: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{105} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1.3	26	12.4	22	7	5.1	6	7.8	9.4	17	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4	3.2	3.7	2.4	11	3.3	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.4	3.7	3.7	1.7	2.4	4.1	14	47	46	<i>4.5e-4/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	5.8	10	28	<i>37e-1/2e3</i>	1.9	4.4	14	47	46	<i>4.5e-4/7e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	410	<i>82e+1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3	2.4	1.7	1.7	3.7	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.3	3.2	3.2	1.5	4.4	11	34	33	<i>16e-3/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	29	36	36	17	16	12	100	240	700	6600	DASA [18]
DEPSO	6	6.2	5.3	5.3	16	14	<i>17e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.8	6.1	40	40	20	13	18	24	31	<i>38e-8/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2.2	4.2	4.2	1.7	1.6	4.5	31	61	<i>22e-3/9e3</i>	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	10	4.8	4.8	2.2	1	1	<i>75e-2/200</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.3	1.9	1.9	1.5	8	2.8	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	6	5	5	3.9	58	44	32	31	31	30	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1.1	1	1.6	1.7	520	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	2.8	1.7	1.7	2.7	3.3	<i>38e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	2.6	1.8	6.5	1	1.7	4.3	16	50	170	6500	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	6.3	6.5	6.5	6.4	64	700	<i>70e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO-Bounds	3.4	6.8	6.8	15	1400	700	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO-Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	8.7	160	160	1.4e4	<i>69e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3	1.8	1.3	1.3	18	5	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.5	4	4	2.5	49	14	<i>32e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	8.4	68	68	27	42	31	26	25	25	24	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 66: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{106} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	10	26	49	20	37	110	410	<i>28e-5/1e6</i>	577	617	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	4	3.7	5.3	8.8	5.3	11	12	22	28	78	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.9	1.2	1	1.5	2.4	5.8	9.1	30	200	<i>21e-5/8e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	4.8	11	53	270	140	<i>34e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	13	22	23	54	100	210	<i>67e-2/5e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.9	3.4	3.9	4.3	3.2	2.3	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.3	2.7	2.5	4	8.6	22	85	<i>54e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	17	26	23	31	48	320	1.3e4	<i>18e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	6.1	7.2	11	35	140	<i>14e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	3.8	7.6	89	58	6700	<i>20e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	2	1.4	1.2	1	1	1.7	3.1	7.8	18	31	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	6.7	6.5	4.6	1.3	1	1	1.4	2.7	13	<i>11e-4/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.4	2.3	3	9.1	10	11	13	23	43	140	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	4.8	5.9	7.5	3.9	3.7	3.1	2.8	3.1	3.5	4.5	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1.4	3.2	1300	<i>27e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1.1	1	1.1	2.2	5	27	59	<i>79e-4/7e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	2.2	1.7	1.7	6.5	17	38	260	2900	<i>10e-5/1e6</i>	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	4	6.2	13	360	3100	<i>79e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	6.1	17	35	1900	3100	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	8	150	1.6e4	<i>62e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.1	2.2	2.7	3	2.1	1.4	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2.9	2.6	3	18	19	40	<i>18e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	8.2	5.4	5	11	6.3	7.7	5.2	5	4.9	4.6	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 67: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{107} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	1	1.4	2.7	10	12	13	13	14	14	15	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.7	5.8	18	12	11	13	11	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	2.7	68	320	14e-1/6e3						avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	1.4	2.5	6.7	7.1	7.7	7.7	10	27	29e-6/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	12	150	61e-1/2e3							BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	21	42	210	19e-2/1e4					(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	110	600	3e3	3.6e4	29e-2/7e5					DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.6	3.3	3.1	3.6	3.9	3.7	4.3	5.2	5.4	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	1.6	11	20	27	29	30	32	34	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	6.1	85	250	1400	96e-2/8e3					full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.1	2.6	12	77e-2/700						GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.9	33	31	28	26	22	22	22	30	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.5	1.9	5	6.8	6.9	7	6.5	6	5	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	3.9	4.2	72	81e-3/1e4					MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	2.7	60	190	17e-1/5e3						NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	3.3	31	59	650	6800	7.5e4	50e-4/1e6			(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.3	1.3	2.6	4.3	4.8	6.6	9.1	9.8	10	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.7	1	5.4	32	67	100	89	83	70	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.9	4.5	48	1.3e4	96e-3/1e6					Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	5.6	10	5.6	11	11	10	9.5	8.9	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.2	1.5	13	36	53	14e-2/1e3				SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.6	2.7	8	6.3	6.7	10	9.5	8.7	9.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 68: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{108} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	1.1	1.1	1	4.5	13	35	180	1200	98e-6/1e6	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.7	75	12	17	20	31	73	170	450	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	3	150	44	27e-1/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAacG	1	1.5	18	34e-1/2e3	BayEDAacG [9]		
BFGS	1	6.6	56	84e-1/900	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	6.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	33	24	15	12e-1/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	240	260	460	65e-2/7e5	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1.1	2.3	9.3	19e-1/2e3	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	4.9	11	41	300	38e-3/1e5	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	89	78	43e-1/9e3	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1.2	1.4	3.6	16e-1/900	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.6	130	16	26	33	42	61	81	210	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.7	1.3	1	3.9	17	29e-3/2e4	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	15	6.1	51	54e-2/1e4	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	48	77	64	41e-1/5e3	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	96	24	30	830	12e-2/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1.4	420	48	71	290	54e-2/1e5	.	.	.	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	410	86	96	290	230	69e-2/1e5	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1.6	1	4.1	480	98e-3/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	100	6.7	7.6	9.7	20e-2/1e4	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1.5	3.5	6.5	5	25e-1/1e3	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.6	61	11	44	450	4900	39e-4/7e6	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 69: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{109} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	1	1.7	5.2	28	42	3500	<i>43e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	3.7	3.4	19	20	36	40	71	170	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	4.3	3.6	26	47	<i>67e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	8.6	41	24	24	22	20	17	19	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	18	39	13	3.4	2.5	1.7	1.4	1.1	1	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.6	3.5	2.2	1.1	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	2.5	6.5	25	430	<i>20e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	4.7	320	2800	4.3e4	<i>15e-2/7e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.4	9.9	6.7	5.7	25	130	<i>51e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.5	3.1	4.9	550	2e4	<i>30e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	5.6	5.6	5	6.1	13	21	28	22	20	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.3	8.1	7	5.1	53	35	<i>49e-3/200</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.4	2.6	2.3	16	33	65	110	180	410	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.5	5.8	5.9	5.1	12	20	47	72	430	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	12	22	32	120	300	370	340	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.7	4.8	13	83	880	<i>41e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	2.5	2.7	5.4	13	290	<i>1.4e4</i>	<i>13e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.1	4.1	130	1400	2e4	<i>46e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.4	3.6	640	2700	1.9e4	<i>13e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.5	11	320	2.2e4	<i>88e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	4.1	2.3	1	1.1	1	1	1	1.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNBOFIT	1	1.5	2.4	1	3.6	16	35	100	<i>10e-3/1e3</i>	.	SNBOFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.6	7.3	4.2	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.8	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 70: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{110} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	4.5	6.2	4.4	1	1	1	1.8	3.6	8.3	38	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.5	1	4.7	74	83	18	17	17	17	17	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	20	34	240	<i>27e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAacG	2.4	2.7	1.9	<i>30e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]		
BFGS	92	<i>73e+1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.3	2.2	1	4.8	3.7	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	8	13	20	22	<i>52e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	550	1e3	3600	<i>92e-1/7e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	2.7	2.2	1.7	1	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1.7	4.9	11	220	<i>23e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	28	37	140	<i>15e+0/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	2.7	5	9	<i>32e+0/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	5.6	4.7	9.1	46	12	17	20	20	25	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1.8	2.2	1.9	11	15	<i>15e-1/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	2.1	3.1	8.3	<i>54e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	17	23	120	10	<i>13e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	13	16	21	33	<i>29e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	2.5	2.4	30	<i>17e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO.Bounds	1.7	4	42	60	59	13	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO.Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	3.1	31	1900	<i>63e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.2	3.9	2.9	6.1	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1.7	7.2	8.5	2.1	<i>15e+0/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	3.4	1.5	3.4	8.8	11	9.7	28	50	160	<i>77e-6/7e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 71: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{111} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	2.9	2.5	1.5	1	2.5	<i>34e-2/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	3	6.6	4.5	<i>53e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	140	60	<i>20e+1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	1.8	4.1	<i>67e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	61	38	<i>10e+2/600</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.7	1.5	1	2.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	19	20	110	<i>44e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1e3	680	3500	<i>21e+0/7e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	3.2	2.9	22	<i>31e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	3	3.5	5.4	<i>24e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	150	100	<i>16e+1/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	3.5	7.8	<i>12e+1/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	8.3	7.2	3.7	2.5	3.2	<i>26e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.5	1	1.4	1.4	<i>19e-1/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	7.1	5	22	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	78	97	<i>36e+1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	19	28	130	<i>45e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	2.6	35	38	3.4	<i>31e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	2.5	73	110	12	<i>14e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	2.3	14	210	<i>66e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	85	13	4.9	<i>46e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2.1	8.7	<i>10e+1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	83	21	11	3.6	5.4	20	<i>11e-2/6e6</i>	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 72: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{112} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	9	29	33	19	330	<i>50e-9/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.9	3.6	3.5	30	190	270	260	330	380	350	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1.5	2.5	3.1	4.9	23	110	<i>14e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAeG	4.9	8.2	46	<i>35e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]		
BFGS	130	390	920	<i>92e+0/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.5	6.3	4	1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.1	3.9	3.2	6.8	100	<i>29e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	22	41	79	340	5600	<i>18e-2/9e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	6.8	6.1	7.4	40	44	<i>25e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	5.2	12	62	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	2	1.8	1	6.3	18	150	<i>93e-3/9e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	6.1	7	4.1	1.7	7	<i>16e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.4	2.5	2.3	91	340	420	430	460	440	570	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	6.4	6.3	5.4	15	110	420	390	<i>28e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1.1	1.4	41	<i>37e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	1	1.9	7.7	110	<i>44e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	2.6	2.7	2.4	4.5	65	2400	<i>14e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	4.1	5.8	8.4	1900	2e3	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	5.4	11	29	1200	<i>29e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	12	160	1.9e4	<i>71e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.6	2.2	2	2.5	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	2.4	1.9	2.7	<i>34e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	9.1	7.2	4.5	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 73: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{113} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1.3	1.2	5.9	2.6	2	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1	1.2	4.6	2.4	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.7	23	14	31	55	<i>13e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1.8	1.3	3.4	2.6	3.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	14	100	180	<i>24e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.1	2.4	1.5	1.3	1.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.1	25	8.6	40	92	<i>96e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	6.3	220	540	2700	<i>11e-1/7e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1.3	3.5	3.1	1	1	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1.3	2.8	4.5	3.4	17	11	15	15	15	15	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	2.3	28	19	57	<i>14e-1/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1.9	1.1	4.1	9.9	7.3	<i>18e-1/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.9	1.8	1	4.7	4.2	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.5	2.1	2.2	1.5	4.9	3.4	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	2	1.5	9	92	<i>48e-2/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	2.1	15	13	44	<i>18e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	2.2	12	13	32	230	1400	<i>23e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1.5	1.8	470	180	420	<i>54e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1.5	1.8	2.5	21	56	33	43	43	43	43	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.3	1.3	8.7	350	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.5	74	16	6.7	3.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNObFIT	1.2	2.3	7.7	18	<i>30e-1/1e3</i>	SNObFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	2.3	28	13	13	7.3	15	15	15	15	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 74: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{114} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1.3	1	1.2	1.6	7	35	63	63	63	96	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.6	1.4	17	7.1	5.7	14	19	19	19	20	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1.3	110	74	<i>11e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAacG	1.2	1.3	6.9	<i>73e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]		
BFGS	20	67	<i>28e+0/800</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.5	21	2.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.1	43	7.2	24	<i>29e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	4.6	430	260	1100	<i>18e-1/7e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1.2	2.6	4.7	<i>42e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1.3	1.3	21	28	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1.1	180	60	<i>82e-1/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1.5	2	2.7	4.5	<i>45e-1/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.5	1.5	11	14	15	28	43	43	43	42	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1.3	2.1	1.3	1.6	5.8	<i>29e-2/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	5	2.2	7.5	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	150	43	<i>89e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	9.9	38	13	70	<i>29e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1.2	1.6	1	27	39	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1.4	1.9	100	140	130	<i>47e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1.5	1.6	2.7	34	1300	<i>44e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.3	130	15	4.6	12	<i>99e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1.5	2.7	3.5	<i>56e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.9	34	14	140	1200	5400	5400	5400	5300	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 75: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{115} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy											
$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1.3	2.5	1.3	6.8	25	9600	1.3e4	1.3e4	1.3e4	16e-3/1e6	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1.9	1.8	4.1	2.9	5.6	5	5	5	6.5	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.1	1.6	1.1	4.2	28	10e-2/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA-cG	1.5	1.5	5.9	21	78	63	89e-2/2e3	.	.	.	BayEDA-cG [9]
BFGS	32	120	2200	24e+0/2e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.3	1.7	1.5	2.6	6.5	6.6	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.7	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.3	1.4	4.7	7.4	43	11e-2/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	18	45	420	2700	63e-2/8e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1.1	2.3	4.8	5.5	6.5	65	58e-3/2e3	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.7	1.7	7.7	19	180	65e-3/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2.9	2	1	2.8	17	57e-3/8e3	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.2	1.2	4.4	4.7	84e-2/300	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	1.3	1.6	4.2	8.7	19	20	20	20	18	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.3	1.5	3	3.2	30	72	150	150	150	280	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1.4	1.4	43	400	83e-2/1e4	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2.1	1.2	2.9	14	42	34e-2/4e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1.9	2.1	1.7	11	64	3e3	1.4e4	1.4e4	1.4e4	2.5e4	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.5	1.3	2.6	190	580	36e-2/1e5	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.3	1	5.1	400	1100	38e-2/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1.3	1.8	22	910	34e-2/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	1.4	1.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.1	1.4	4.6	17	11e-1/1e3	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	2.1	3.4	1	4.4	5.7	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 76: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{116} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	3.1	2.1	268	1.5	1	1	4.5	57	280	2300	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	29	35	<i>95e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	3	4.3	<i>37e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	68	<i>12e+2/900</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.8	1.1	1.2	2	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	2	2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	8.1	13	37	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	150	220	1300	<i>12e+0/7e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	2.6	1.5	1.9	4.7	3.2	<i>73e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1.7	3.4	25	96	150	270	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	37	45	<i>10e+1/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2.6	3.2	4.5	<i>94e+0/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	15	4	4	3.2	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.4	1	2.6	5.6	14	69	<i>37e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1.8	1.3	16	49	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	27	20	<i>74e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	6.2	11	40	1600	<i>17e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	2.8	60	240	<i>15e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1.2	60	130	150	<i>19e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	3.8	19	1700	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.9	6.3	4.5	2.5	2.7	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.1	2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1.1	4.7	<i>83e+0/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	2	12	14	22	52	200	260	630	570	910	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 77: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{117} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D ALPS	1e+03 34.5 1.4	1e+02 865 1	1e+01 5340 3.1	1e+00 15200 5.9	1e-01 21900 8.4	1e-02 25700 9.9	1e-03 27400 15	1e-04 30300 27	1e-05 34600 45	1e-07 38300 370	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D ALPS	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	5.9	5.6	5.9	8.4	9.9	15	27	45	370	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	38	100	30e+1/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	1.6	21e+1/2e3	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	18	91e+1/600	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	6.6	2.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	24	11	28	77e+0/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	160	190	39e+0/7e5	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	3.7	7.2	15e+1/2e3	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1.2	15	28	15e+0/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	160	45	28e+1/8e3	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2.9	3.6	17e+1/800	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	15	4.5	4.3	9	12	17	32	35	67	14e-6/1e6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	2	1.2	2.2	24	27e-1/2e4	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	2.2	1.3	12	36e+0/1e4	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	41	41e+1/5e3	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	18	17	130	930	67e-1/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	68	46	57	96	19e+0/1e5	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	2	43	120	93	39e+0/1e5	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.7	7.7	240	94e-1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	62	10	8.7	9.7	6.7	26e+0/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2	8.4	21e+1/1e3	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	100	14	21	270	4600	60e-2/7e6	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 78: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{118} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	10	34	13	32	940	4.1e4	4.1e-3/1e6	·	·	·	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.4	4.6	1	2.6	3.6	4.9	10	16	30	54	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1.2	1	1.8	8.3	64	18e-2/7e3	·	·	·	·	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAcG	12	190	99	90e+0/2e3	·	·	·	·	·	·	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	210	1800	31e+1/3e3	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.2	7.8	3.2	2	1.9	2.1	2.1	2	2	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.8	5.7	8	22	230	32e-2/1e4	·	·	·	·	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	53	270	1300	2.7e4	27e-1/9e5	·	·	·	·	·	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	10	18	17	38	97	37e-1/2e3	·	·	·	·	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	5.6	65	110	320	910	4e3	57e-2/1e5	·	·	·	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1.3	1	1.3	5.9	70	22e-2/9e3	·	·	·	·	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	9	7	1.8	3	74e-2/700	·	·	·	·	·	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.8	3.4	2.7	3.1	7.7	11	22	44	60	130	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	5	12	4.1	14	44	86	130	260	370	640	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	10	24	140	13e+0/1e4	·	·	·	·	·	·	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	1.3	4.3	10	120	30e-2/5e3	·	·	·	·	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	3.7	13	36	530	22e-2/1e6	·	·	·	·	·	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	4.3	670	800	2700	51e-1/1e5	·	·	·	·	·	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	4.9	29	1800	12e+0/1e5	·	·	·	·	·	·	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	9	500	1.5e4	10e+0/1e6	·	·	·	·	·	·	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.9	9.7	3.8	2	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	3.2	23	87	33e+0/1e3	·	·	·	·	·	·	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	8.4	8.3	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 79: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{119} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of different powers Gauss												
Δ_{ftarget} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ_{ftarget} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1.8	2.1	1.9	4.8	4.6	2	2.2	29	<i>15e-7/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.8	1.4	4.6	9.8	10	2.7	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	3.4	19	23	<i>42e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1.1	1.3	2.2	2.5	4.6	4.2	7.1	<i>68e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	45	220	120	<i>50e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	1.9	1	1	1	1	1	1.5	2.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	32	18	15	140	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	41	210	750	1.5e4	<i>31e-2/7e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.5	1.5	1	2.8	3.8	1.8	<i>19e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.4	1.4	1.9	8.6	8.5	2.8	15	210	<i>98e-6/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	4.6	12	22	260	<i>31e-2/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.5	1	4.7	<i>80e-2/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.3	2.8	9.1	19	6.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.8	1.6	1.3	4	3.3	1.3	1.1	4.7	<i>61e-7/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	3.5	2	43	<i>75e-3/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.7	26	35	<i>90e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	63	15	12	260	3900	<i>13e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.3	1.8	71	120	60	18	36	<i>14e-5/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.2	1.7	56	73	44	17	35	97	<i>19e-5/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.5	1.4	12	6400	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	5.4	4.5	11	8.5	5.9	2.5	1.5	1.7	2.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.3	1.7	4.1	21	<i>51e-2/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.2	2.8	8.2	7.4	10	4.6	7.9	130	1.2e4	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 80: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{120} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1	1.3	1.5	1	2.8	53	1e3	34e-4/1e6	66700	1.1e5	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.4	1.5	13	16	35	66	130	68e-5/1e6	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	42	94	49	15e-1/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	1	1.6	1.2	49	17e-1/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	19	40	37e-1/900	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	26	17	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	4.6	29	9.4	45e-2/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	40	840	260	44e-2/7e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.4	2.3	5	10e-1/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	1.1	14	23	64	68e-3/1e5	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	30	150	63	22e-1/9e3	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.3	1	1.8	90e-2/900	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.2	1.2	24	34	52	130	420	13e-4/1e6	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	1	1.2	5.1	26	34e-3/2e4	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	3.7	8.5	38	48e-2/1e4	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	34	130	55	24e-1/5e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1.3	2.7	54	21	340	91e-3/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.8	2	120	44	200	100	16e-2/1e5	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.7	1	88	77	60e-2/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.8	1.1	2.3	550	11e-2/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	48	11	5.9	21	30e-2/1e4	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.5	1.2	3.3	13e-1/1e3	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.2	2	11	41	740	58e-4/7e6	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 81: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{121} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of different powers Cauchy												
$\Delta_{\text{f,target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta_{\text{f,target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1.1	1.3	1	11	150	1.3e4	<i>97e-4/1e6</i>	317	774	1240	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.5	2.1	1.8	12	25	26	34	42	99	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	3.3	4.3	3.3	45	790	<i>38e-3/6e3</i>				avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.7	3	23	25	18	29	<i>41e-4/2e3</i>			BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	130	42	71	370	<i>50e-2/3e3</i>					BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	4.3	2.7	1.1	1	1	1.1	1.7	2	2.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.6	9.8	59	<i>35e-3/1e4</i>					(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	13	180	3100	1.9e5	<i>34e-2/7e5</i>					DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.1	4.6	2.9	5.9	62	<i>14e-3/2e3</i>				DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.7	2.2	9.9	2100	<i>98e-3/1e5</i>					EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1.2	8	3.2	9.6	33	150	<i>13e-3/8e3</i>				full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.7	1.9	3.5	11	<i>10e-2/400</i>					GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.2	1.1	5.6	41	49	110	110	210	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.7	2.8	3.7	5.2	40	120	<i>99e-5/2e4</i>			MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1.5	11	180	<i>88e-3/1e4</i>					MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	3.9	4.8	15	76	<i>86e-3/4e3</i>					NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	4.4	3	4.5	49	2200	<i>51e-4/1e6</i>				(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.5	1.6	380	2300	<i>83e-3/1e5</i>					PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1.1	1.5	1.8	700	3800	<i>27e-2/1e5</i>					PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.6	2.4	53	2e4	<i>87e-3/1e6</i>					Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	2.3	1	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFFT	1	1.5	1.6	1.9	24	<i>11e-2/1e3</i>					SNOBFFT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.2	3.8	1.9	1.3	1.3	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 82: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{122} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss											
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$
ALPS	1	1.1	2	2.9	2.8	4.9	20	47	6400	22300	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.3	4.9	5.6	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8	2.6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	6.1	34	11e-1/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	1.3	2.3	30e-2/2e3	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	12	87	36e-1/3e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	21	58	12e-1/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	3.7	410	3200	10e-1/7e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.1	3.4	2.9	57e-2/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	10	33	96	230	37e-3/1e5	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	2.1	30	110	17e-1/8e3	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.2	1.4	18e-1/900	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	1.3	1.3	12	14	9	7.1	5.8	5.7	3.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.6	4.3	4.8	6.8	61	76e-4/2e4	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	2.8	13	54e-2/1e4	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.9	14	91	18e-1/5e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	3.1	17	72	24e-2/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.1	1	48	120	330	17e-2/1e5	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1	1.1	1.7	77	55	160	85e-3/1e5	.	.	.	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	2	100	46e-2/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2	8.1	3.6	3.3	3.3	9	14	6.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	1.9	13	20e-1/1e3	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	3.4	18	34	120	1800	76e-5/8e6	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 83: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{123} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 unif											
Δ target ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ target ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1	1.1	1.1	2.1	25e-2/1e6	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.4	1.8	8.7	150	15e-2/1e6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.5	80	26e-1/6e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.1	2.7	25e-1/2e3	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1.4	46	39e-1/900	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	8.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	27	21e-1/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	60	420	3e3	14e-1/7e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.3	2.5	9.2	26e-1/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.2	1.7	33	74e-2/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1.1	110	18	35e-1/9e3	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	1.4	20e-1/800	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.1	19	160	18e-2/1e6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.3	2.4	64e-2/2e4	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	6.3	21	16e-1/1e4	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.3	65	37e-1/5e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.2	22	23	59e-2/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.7	48	87	12e-1/1e5	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.2	70	18e-1/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	1	15	49e-2/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	6.1	5.5	10e-1/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.2	3.5	25e-1/1e3	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	3.1	28	3300	16e-2/8e6	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 84: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{124} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1	1.1	1.4	14	3.3e4	<i>15e-2/1e6</i>	4100	5280	9070	19000	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.1	25	15	10	10	16	19	17	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	2.1	6.1	89	<i>63e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAeG	1	1.1	1.8	8.7	6.9	3	<i>13e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]		
BFGS	1	2.2	73	990	<i>39e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	1.5	1.1	1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	4.7	33	<i>38e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	6.5	320	2e4	<i>97e-2/7e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1.1	2	10	<i>27e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	2	270	<i>56e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	1.3	5.3	45	<i>47e-2/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1.2	1.7	21	<i>91e-2/800</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1.1	15	40	18	17	48	57	35	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.8	5.1	870	<i>20e-2/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	16	<i>55e-2/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	1.1	3	160	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	1.4	4.7	35	3.5e4	<i>12e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1.1	1.2	930	6800	<i>52e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.6	1400	<i>77e-2/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.9	960	<i>46e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.6	1.8	1	3.9	1	1	1	1	1.9	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.1	18	<i>88e-2/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	3.5	21	30	41	69	460	5400	<i>20e-6/7e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 85: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{125} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1	1.3	35	1e4	3.5	140	290	290	<i>21e-4/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	37	6800	3.2	13	20	23	22	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2	81	1e4	<i>36e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA _{cG}	1	1	1.2	42	3200	<i>65e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDA _{cG} [9]	
BFGS	1	1	37	2400	<i>46e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	17	3400	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	140	4.6e4	<i>75e-3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	36	6500	1.2e6	<i>68e-3/7e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1	35	1.2e4	<i>98e-3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.1	31	9200	7.7	<i>11e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.9	26	5600	4.8	<i>41e-3/8e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	35	1.3e4	<i>13e-2/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	24	6400	5.9	29	53	53	52	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.3	35	4700	1.2	<i>80e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	1.7	<i>15e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	3.9	15	6100	2.8	<i>40e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.5	210	3.3e4	180	<i>13e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.2	50	7e4	28	30	<i>29e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.2	27	2.2e4	7.9	<i>14e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	60	1.5e5	<i>36e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	22	1.2e4	5.8	<i>28e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.3	30	1.1e4	<i>13e-2/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	52	2.6e4	27	250	1200	2500	<i>87e-5/8e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 86: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{126} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.75e5	nan	nan	nan	nan		
ALPS	1	1	1	30	4.2e4	<i>21e-3/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	45	3e4	84	<i>15e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	22	1600	4.5e5	<i>26e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	1	29	<i>27e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	5.4	460	<i>49e-2/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	160	1.3e4	2.1	<i>67e-4/9e5</i>	.	.	.	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	410	1.6e5	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	2.9	3300	2.4e6	<i>70e-3/7e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	51	1.4e5	<i>21e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	34	4.7e4	<i>35e-3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	2.6	1700	<i>25e-2/9e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1	42	6.2e4	<i>23e-2/800</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	38	6.9e4	42	<i>16e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	35	9400	1	<i>27e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	1	1100	3.5e5	<i>26e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	18	430	2.4e5	<i>27e-3/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.2	40	2.1e5	<i>63e-3/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1.3	26	3.6e5	<i>84e-3/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	43	1.2e5	82	<i>34e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	110	4e4	<i>85e-3/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.3	90	<i>19e-2/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	56	1.2e5	680	<i>15e-3/8e6</i>	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 87: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{127} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy

Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1	1.4	46	2.5e4	<i>17e-3/1e6</i>	5.1	10	14	26	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	40	2700	2.2	5.1	10	14	26	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2	18	5200	<i>53e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.3	35	2200	<i>41e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	7.8	1300	<i>38e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	19	2100	1.2	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	51	5.5e4	<i>92e-3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	61	4400	2.8e6	<i>86e-3/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1.3	36	1.5e4	<i>96e-3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.3	32	6.3e4	<i>49e-3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	4.1	25	1.1e4	<i>59e-3/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	37	<i>24e-2/800</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	24	9800	3.9	17	41	40	180	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.2	39	8600	7	<i>32e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.5	14	7200	<i>62e-3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.1	65	3.6e4	550	<i>24e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	40	1.5e5	<i>75e-3/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1.2	44	1.3e5	<i>78e-3/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	47	1.2e5	540	<i>33e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	19	3700	1	2.2	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.3	24	2.2e4	<i>20e-2/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	52	2.7e4	14	120	210	210	210	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 88: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{128} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss												
$\Delta \text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta \text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	46	46	35	30	25	22	18	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	11	9.3	7.5	42	<i>31e-2/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.3	2.8	19	<i>60e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	88	<i>94e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.2	6.9	10	7.8	6.6	5.5	4.8	3.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	9.1	4.6	6.8	11	17	14	19	<i>28e-3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	230	180	400	2400	4200	<i>65e-3/7e5</i>	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	2.8	4.4	3.9	2.9	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.5	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.4	33	44	33	28	24	21	17	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	15	11	36	57	<i>16e-2/8e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1.6	2.3	1.7	4.8	<i>17e-1/900</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	8.2	34	21	16	15	12	11	8.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	4.6	2.3	3.6	9.5	59	<i>49e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	12	17	43	<i>19e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	7.5	5.8	8.4	10	26	75	140	1e3	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.9	50	73	55	46	39	34	27	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	2.2	100	130	130	110	93	81	66	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.8	4.1	41	710	6e3	<i>10e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	6.8	13	7.6	5.7	4.8	4	3.5	2.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	2.4	3.3	4.7	7.1	6	<i>18e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	13	12	8.7	7.4	6.2	5.5	5	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 89: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{129} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher unif																					
	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D									
ALPS		0.2	0.2	1	3.3	2.7	19	12	12	4.2	4.8	3.3	4.2	1.16e5	1	1	1	1	1	1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	1	2.7	68	20	30e-1/6e3													AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	1	1	27	62e-1/2e3															avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1	1	1	85	76e-1/900															BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		1	1	1	12	7.1	9.2	4.8													BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	1	34	5.7	5.9	77e-2/1e4													BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	1	1	230	140	400	25e-2/7e5													(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	1	1	5.7	14	24e-1/2e3														DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1	1	5.3	36	11	9	12	9.2											DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1	1	130	58	55e-1/9e3														EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	1	1	1.5	1.7	1	21e-1/900													full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1	1	25	25	13	4.3	4.7	4.4											GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	1	1.5	1	1.6	1.7	6.5	5	4.4	5.8	8.6	16e-3/2e4							iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1	1	9.9	4.9	2.8	64e-2/1e4													MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	1	120	16	61e-1/5e3														MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	1	1	29	6.8	9	23	60e-4/1e6												NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	1	1	1	130	34	15	20e-1/1e5												(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1	1	2.8	130	34	20e-1/1e5													PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1	1	2.8	130	34	20e-1/1e5													PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1	1	42	9.2	3.8	18e-1/1e4													Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1	1	5.3	29e-1/1e3															IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1	1	2.2	16	8.9	7	8.9	16	26	240									SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1	1	5.3	29e-1/1e3															VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 90: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{130} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy												
Δ_{ftarget} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03 0.2	1e+02 0.2	1e+01 10.9	1e+00 162	1e-01 607	1e-02 1640	1e-03 6560	1e-04 6750	1e-05 6780	1e-07 6910	Δ_{ftarget} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	1	1	1.4	7.7	4.5	4.8	19	220	2100	12e-5/1e6	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.1	160	140	52	13	13	14	15	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.3	6.4	5.9	6	3	13	14e-3/6e3	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	2.5	170	47	19e-1/2e3	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	34	110	20e-1/3e3	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	57	55	20	5.1	5	5	5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.7	6.8	4.6	6	6.8	71e-4/1e4	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	150	550	1500	60e-3/7e5	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	4.6	12	5.7	3.1	1	4.4	4.4	94e-2/2e3	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	5	310	110	130	110	23e-3/1e5	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	3	7	3	3	2.4	17	14e-4/8e3	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	2.5	1	1	1	1.1	33e-3/500	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.5	130	55	23	6.4	7	7.5	7.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.4	27	17	8.2	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.9	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	4.1	21	29	40	35e-2/1e4	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2.3	11	10	19	62e-3/4e3	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.3	5.8	3.1	6.6	7	76	380	57e-6/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.7	380	330	250	110	220	78e-2/1e5	.	PSO [6]	
PSO-Bounds	1	1	3.1	570	480	84e-2/1e5	PSO-Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	3.2	31	110	530	2300	42e-4/1e6	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	27	11	4.1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.5	3.8	5.3	9.1	2.3	39e-2/1e3	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.8	120	110	70	18	18	18	17	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 91: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{101} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	0.1	4.5	70	130	51	72	89	110	120	160	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	6.4	17	17	30	11	15	19	22	24	28	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	20	2.9	3.4	3.4	1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	7.1	53	53	81	45	86	110	130	130	140	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	840	<i>36e+0/3e3</i>									BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	13	5.4	3	7.8	2.5	3.3	4	4.6	5.1	6.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	6.9			4.2	1.4	1.8	2.2	2.6	2.9	3.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	180	30	30	34	10	13	14	16	18	21	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	5.8	16	16	27	11	16	21	26	31	41	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	6.1	25	25	380	180	280	360	440	510	640	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	45	4	4	4.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	3.9	13	13	11	3	3.3	3.7	3.9	4.1	5	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	5.7	8.1	11	13	5.1	6.9	8.3	9.9	11	14	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	5.5	11	11	19	8.3	11	13	15	16	18	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	20	870	<i>28e-3/4e3</i>				MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	15	2.1	2.1	3	1.1	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.9	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	15	3.1	3.1	3.7	1.2	1.5	1.8	2.2	2.4	2.9	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	5.3	8.9	8.9	25	12	18	25	31	35	45	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	5.5	13	13	140	96	150	220	250	280	480	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	3.1	2200		<i>36e-1/1e6</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	11	5.1	5.1	6.5	2.2	2.8	3.3	3.9	4.3	5.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNBFFIT	1	10	4.6	4.6	3.9	1	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.9	SNBFFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	12	12	12	11	3.3	4	4.5	5.3	5.7	6.7	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 92: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{102} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	0.1	2.57	4.08	17.6	64	78	91	100	120	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	3.7	69	130	52	13	15	17	17	20	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	31	2.9	3.2	1	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.7	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	5.8	51	73	27	37	41	52	61	63	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	640	<i>34e+0/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	8.5	4.9	7.1	2.6	2.9	3.2	3.8	4	4.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	11	3.1	4	1.3	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.3	2.6	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	190	31	34	12	13	15	17	18	22	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	5.7	18	26	11	14	18	22	24	29	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	4.8	45	390	200	250	310	370	400	470	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	40	4.3	4.2	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	4.9	13	10	3.3	3.8	4.3	4.9	7.2	26	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	4.4	7.2	13	5.1	6.1	7.3	8.3	8.9	10	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	5.1	11	21	9.2	11	12	13	13	14	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	460	<i>13e-2/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	18	3.5	7.9	9	30	48	81	150	550	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	15	3	4	1.3	1.5	1.7	2.1	2.7	4.5	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	4.7	9.1	1800	420	360	340	320	300	270	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	7.1	13	98	99	140	190	210	220	440	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	6.2	3100	<i>33e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	9	4.1	6.1	2.1	2.3	2.7	3	3.2	3.6	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	6.3	6.1	7.6	2	2.1	2.1	2.6	3.6	5.3	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	12	12	11	3.4	3.7	4.1	4.5	4.6	5	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 93: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{103} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	4.9	67	110	75	96	2.5e4	<i>10e-4/5e5</i>	.	.	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	4.4	17	27	16	19	30	100	230	380	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	31	3	2.9	1.8	6.8	25	100	1500	<i>39e-6/8e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	1	5.6	44	66	62	80	49	53	58	67	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	1	230	12	6.5	2.9	2.5	1	1	1	1	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	9.3	5.5	6.1	3.6	4.2	2.2	2.6	3.1	4.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	9.7	3.4	3.6	2.5	6	23	92	710	<i>18e-6/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	57	21	22	39	1100	2.4e5	<i>16e-4/6e5</i>	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	14	17	21	17	72	150	<i>25e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	4.6	37	350	280	1500	<i>71e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	45	3.8	3.4	1.4	1.9	1.4	2.8	7.5	20	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	6.3	25	17	7.1	6.9	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	4.4	7.5	12	7.4	9.3	7.4	39	200	1e3	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	7.3	10	18	12	15	8.6	11	17	30	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	14	14	13	25	50	170	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	15	2.3	3.6	5.2	29	95	240	1100	<i>15e-5/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	12	3.4	3.6	1.9	7.8	46	600	7800	4.1e5	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	5.5	9.1	1500	580	2300	1.9e4	<i>56e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	3.4	11	110	1500	4.6e4	<i>40e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	5.3	1600	<i>36e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	10	4.8	5.6	3	3.6	1.9	2.3	2.7	3.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	3.8	3.9	2.5	1	1	1.4	2.7	5.5	7.7	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	12	11	9.4	4.7	5.3	2.6	3.1	3.8	5	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 94: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{104} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	49	26	32	14	14	16	21	33	51	110	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	10	4.2	5.8	1.4	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	7.1	22	67e-2/8e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	24	13	47	97e-1/2e3	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	12e+3/1e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.5	3	3.6	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.2	2.1	2.8	5.5	19	80	46e-2/1e4	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	17	11	15	2.1	7.2	23	78	180	1900	28e-6/9e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	11	5.8	11	30	70e-1/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	72	74	97	18	17	22	28	34	40	67e-8/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.6	2.5	6.4	6.1	11	14	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	6.9	1.9	1.8	1	1.4	2.8	39e-1/300	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	5.7	2.6	2.9	2.5	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	7.5	3.9	5.7	34	21	20	19	18	18	17	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	3.8	36	61e+0/4e3	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	2.1	7.2	4.6	47	55e-2/5e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	2.5	2	1.8	5.2	9.9	32	66	390	1200	21e-6/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	7.8	5.8	9.4	400	430	59e-1/1e5	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	21	26	71	660	400	770	730	700	63e-1/1e5	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	6700	26e+1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.1	1.3	1.3	5.7	3.6	3.3	3.2	3	3	2.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	6.1	5.4	18	7.3	13e+0/500	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	7.9	3.3	22	54	51	46	45	44	42	40	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 95: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{105} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	74	17	16	16	5.3	6.9	12	14	19	42	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	13	2.6	2.3	3.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1.9	1.7	2.5	5.1	3.7	16	<i>88e-2/8e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAcG	42	8.8	18	<i>83e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	<i>20e+3/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.8	1.1	1	2.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.7	2.4	1.8	4.5	4.4	20	<i>55e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	33	11	18	3.1	2.6	17	54	250	1400	<i>24e-5/8e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	18	3.5	3.4	<i>76e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	120	54	45	<i>52e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	2.9	1.3	1.2	4.4	6.4	<i>32e-2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	11	1.5	1.6	1	<i>70e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	9	1.8	1.2	9.5	3	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	12	3	5.2	160	<i>52e-1/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	7	25	<i>70e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	1.2	11	10	<i>52e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	3.6	1	1.7	8.7	10	45	170	300	1800	<i>71e-5/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	15	220	80	300	<i>65e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	27	16	98	320	200	<i>75e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	<i>32e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	5.4	1.4	1	32	20	<i>72e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	14	4.6	11	<i>16e+0/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	11	29	18	310	300	650	730	800	1200	4900	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 96: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{106} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy												
$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	72	47	47	140	140	1500	<i>12e-3/5e5</i>	874	897	937	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	13	7.6	8.6	35	45	86	210	340	430	620	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.5	1	1.3	5.4	19	160	<i>13e-2/9e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	36	25	100	<i>11e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	71	210	<i>44e+0/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	5.3	3.8	2.9	1.7	1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.5	3.1	3.5	24	40	170	<i>51e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	21	13	11	6.6	29	4e3	<i>16e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	16	10	17	85	<i>67e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	140	140	160	<i>43e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	2.6	1.5	1	1	8.1	89	<i>48e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	9.8	3.3	2.6	5.4	<i>42e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	7.1	4.5	4.4	21	17	46	90	210	340	810	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	11	7.3	8.3	5.7	4.9	6.5	8.2	11	14	32	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	5.3	24	<i>61e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.3	1.6	7.5	14	26	<i>11e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	2.7	2.3	2.4	18	61	370	<i>1.7e4</i>	<i>57e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	9.8	11	13	1900	1800	<i>62e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	27	37	320	<i>65e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1e4	<i>32e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.8	2.3	2.1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFFT	8.8	9.3	14	<i>70e-1/500</i>	SNOBFFT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	11	5.3	4.6	11	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 97: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{107} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	1	5.1	3.2	6	8	11	14	19	26	86	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	3.5	5.8	13	15	14	12	10	9.7	9.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	32	230	15e+0/7e3	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	4.2	3	4	4.5	29	32e-3/2e3	.	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	600	33e+0/1e3	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	180	230	11e+0/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	3e3	1.1e4	11e+0/4e5	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	4.1	2.7	13	97e-2/2e3	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	3.5	9.2	44	30	37	40	32	28	24	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	130	230	13e+0/1e4	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	5.1	77	17e+0/500	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	7	3.9	26	42	53	45	35	30	27	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	6.1	2.2	6.1	6.9	7.8	7.7	6.9	6.3	5.8	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	28	87e-1/4e3	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	15	130	23e+0/4e3	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	200	240	6.3e4	19e-1/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	4.9	460	260	270	240	260	210	310	1e3	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	4.4	84	240	560	1300	59e-2/1e5	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	4.2	38	30e-1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	17	12	16	16	14	12	9.5	8.2	13	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	7.9	11	13e+0/500	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	12	28	27	36	42	65	110	200	960	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 98: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{108} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	0.1	1.2	460	4760	7750	10900	13600	17900	30800	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	5.2	19	33	77	85	320	<i>28e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1400	<i>27e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	5.7	<i>21e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	240	<i>40e+0/800</i>	1	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	180	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	380	30	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	4600	640	<i>10e+0/4e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	28	29	<i>18e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	4.4	94	450	<i>99e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	3300	140	<i>29e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	3.9	<i>19e+0/500</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	5.9	18	61	97	160	1300	1100	<i>62e-4/1e6</i>	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	5.5	1.1	240	<i>24e-1/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	27	<i>18e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	590	<i>28e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	270	95	<i>54e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	2.7	190	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	4.7	120	<i>11e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	6	4.1	<i>34e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	47	45	<i>15e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	5.1	<i>21e+0/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	12	48	4300	<i>13e-1/5e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 99: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{109} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	2.3	68	57	1.4e5	<i>20e-2/5e5</i>	116	146	179	242	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	4.9	16	4.1	12	43	53	58	91	160	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	20	11	38	670	<i>31e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	1	2.9	43	11	11	17	21	19	17	<i>35e-7/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	1	440	270	49	28	17	12	9.7	9.9	7.3	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	13	4.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	13	8.9	53	1400	<i>30e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	150	1600	<i>26e-1/5e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	6.1	17	7.5	46	<i>87e-3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	4.1	39	1.1e4	<i>13e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	33	27	24	2900	<i>34e-2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	7.3	13	6.3	<i>35e-2/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	5.1	8.3	2.1	10	54	130	190	270	450	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	5.3	10	4.5	9.5	180	3200	<i>28e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	19	73	200	230	180	320	240	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	16	12	77	<i>57e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	12	5.9	7.7	1900	<i>42e-3/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	5.1	160	4100	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	5	2600	<i>31e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	5.9	2400	<i>29e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	9	4.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	4.8	6.5	18	140	<i>85e-2/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	12	11	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 100: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{110} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss										
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07
ALPS	8.2	6.1	15	1	1	<i>27e-1/5e5</i>	nan	nan	nan	nan
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.6	1.1	6.5	<i>70e-1/1e6</i>
avg NEWUOA	620	<i>16e+2/7e3</i>
BayEDAacG	4.8	3.9	4.3	<i>11e+0/2e3</i>
BFGS	<i>19e+3/700</i>
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.3	1	1	4.9	2.3	1	<i>56e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.
(1+1)-CMA-ES	220	<i>69e+1/1e4</i>
DASA	1.3e4	<i>79e+1/4e5</i>
DEPSO	2.8	5.7	<i>28e+0/2e3</i>
EDA-PSO	15	12	83	<i>87e-1/1e5</i>
full NEWUOA	1300	<i>27e+2/1e4</i>
GLOBAL	<i>41e+2/400</i>
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	4.3	20	<i>61e-1/1e6</i>
MA-LS-Chain	3.2	3	7.4	<i>75e-1/5e4</i>
MCS (Neum)	29	<i>45e+1/4e3</i>
NEWUOA	100	<i>83e+1/4e3</i>
(1+1)-ES	570	<i>14e+1/1e6</i>
PSO	3	17	610	<i>14e+0/1e5</i>
PSO_Bounds	210	370	370	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>
Monte Carlo	1600	<i>26e+1/1e6</i>
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.1	7.6	13	<i>95e-1/1e4</i>
SNOBFFT	12	<i>43e+1/500</i>
VNS (Garcia)	1.9	26	39	3.6	<i>16e-1/6e6</i>
VNS (Garcia) [10]					

Table 101: 10-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{111} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 384	1e+02 1950	1e+01 8290	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	1.8	3.8	<i>21e+0/5e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	6	3.7	16	<i>80e-1/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	260	<i>10e+3/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	1.5	15	<i>39e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	<i>14e+3/500</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.1	1	1	<i>70e-1/1e6</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>25e+2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	<i>19e+2/4e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	24	<i>21e+2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	45	120	<i>17e+1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	<i>94e+2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	<i>56e+2/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	4.6	11	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	5.6	<i>42e+0/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	33	<i>20e+2/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	<i>74e+2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	340	<i>54e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	250	730	<i>76e+1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	180	<i>85e+1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	59	<i>30e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	13	9.2	<i>11e+1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	<i>28e+2/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	34	130	<i>23e+0/6e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 102: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{112} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	56	37	30	1500	<i>17e-1/5e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	11	5.9	6.6	300	250	240	230	230	220	210	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.5	2.2	10	98	71	<i>42e-1/8e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	31	20	23	<i>85e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	2900	<i>24e+2/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.6	5	1.2	1	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.6	4.5	8.1	<i>48e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	23	61	320	<i>31e-1/6e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	15	8.4	15	<i>88e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	120	120	300	<i>93e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	2.7	3	4.6	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	8.9	3.7	2.5	<i>78e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	6.4	4.1	2.2	390	340	320	310	330	320	310	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	9.7	6.1	2.9	280	<i>56e-1/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	4.3	37	<i>66e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	27	<i>81e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	3.7	2.7	7.4	760	<i>70e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	11	530	1400	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	31	51	1.6e4	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	8100	<i>33e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.4	2.6	1	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	7.8	8.9	40	<i>21e+0/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	10	4.3	1.2	4.9	3.7	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 103: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{113} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	0.1	15.3	3.3	2.4	5.9	7.3	72	72	72	83	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.6	1.7	1	1.8	2.9	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	4.9	59	4.9	<i>40e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1.9	3	3	2.3	<i>40e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	110	360	1	<i>14e+1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	5.8	55	55	320	<i>32e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	760	560	560	1.4e4	<i>25e+0/4e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1.7	3.1	6.3	6.3	<i>10e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	2.6	24	24	58	73	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	9.8	100	100	<i>50e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1.9	3.5	3.5	<i>34e+0/600</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.8	1.1	1.1	3.1	4.7	3.4	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.9	2	2.2	2	7	16	67	67	67	65	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	12	130	130	<i>23e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	32	47	39e+0/4e3	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	22	37	1200	<i>87e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1.8	2.8	150	510	<i>70e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1.8	2.1	1500	<i>23e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	2.5	3.4	4200	<i>10e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.2	16	7.4	17	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2.2	4.2	<i>29e+0/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1.4	2.3	1.4	64	360	7100	7100	7100	7100	6900	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 104: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{114} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif													
	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}	
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.1	30.7	3660	12800	33100	38600	39000	39000	39000	40600	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	2.7	2.3	2.3	9.7	<i>47e-1/5e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.6	2.2	2.2	5.7	11	30	120	120	120	120	180	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	100	240	240	<i>82e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	1.7	18	18	<i>66e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	49	83	83	<i>15e+1/800</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.1	6.1	6.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	110	68	68	<i>40e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	96	370	370	1800	<i>28e+0/4e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	2.3	38	38	<i>79e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	2	820	820	180	<i>55e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	180	140	140	<i>77e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2.3	2.3	2.3	<i>68e+0/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.8	31	31	12	20	29	180	180	180	180	170	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	2.7	1.4	1.4	10	<i>67e-1/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	20	20	<i>39e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	32	110	110	<i>88e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	110	61	61	<i>2e3</i>	<i>16e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1.7	510	510	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	2.1	500	500	<i>49e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	2.5	1	1	520	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.1	120	120	<i>39e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2.4	2.8	2.8	<i>51e+0/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1.4	170	170	190	<i>42e-1/5e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 105: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{115} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	2.1	14	11	490	61e-2/5e5							ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2	4.3	1.8	3.9	3.6	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	7	2.6	4.2	390	24e-1/7e3							avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.9	9.6	11	40e-1/2e3				.	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	150	1400	11e+1/2e3		BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.5	2	1	4.5	6.9	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	4	7.7	12	21e-1/1e4		(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	35	140	8300	77e-1/5e5		DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1.9	6.5	7	16	12e-1/2e3		DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	2	5.2	27	4800	19e-1/1e5		EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	16	2	3.7	140	13e-1/1e4		full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2.4	6.1	4.1	56e-1/500		GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.9	2.8	1.4	1.5	4.4	13	14	14	14	14	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1.9	3.8	3.7	42	260	59e-2/5e4		.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	300	12e+0/4e3		MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	11	2.4	19	41e-1/4e3		NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	7.3	1.6	17	2900	88e-2/1e6		(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1.7	2.6	640	32e-1/1e5		PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1.9	3	1400	64e-1/1e5		PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.9	13	6.6e4	11e+0/1e6		Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	2.3	4.8	89	16e+0/500		SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1.4	6.5	1.9	13	38	56	64	64	64	65	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 106: 10-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{116} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss													
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D		
ALPS	4.8	5	15	160	<i>15e-1/5e5</i>						ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	970	<i>35e+2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAeG	16	18	<i>68e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]		
BFGS	<i>10e+3/800</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	5.1	2.2	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	130	<i>86e+1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	6200	<i>10e+2/4e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	13	18	<i>63e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	150	390	200	<i>46e+1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1400	<i>25e+2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	<i>31e+2/500</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.7	2.5	2.8	2.7	3	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.7	2.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	5.2	15	33	<i>30e+0/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	45	<i>99e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	<i>28e+2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	330	<i>28e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	530	890	<i>59e+1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	240	850	<i>46e+1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	530	<i>40e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	46	16	<i>17e+1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFFT	9.3	<i>18e+2/500</i>	SNOBFFT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	87	54	1400	<i>12e+0/5e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 107: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{117} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 2240	1e+02 10100	1e+01 22800	1e+00 39900	1e-01 48900	1e-02 62600	1e-03 65400	1e-04 68700	1e-05 71700	1e-07 79400	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1.2	160	<i>12e+1/5e5</i>	ALPS [15]	.
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.3	4.2	7	6.5	13	30	100	<i>31e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	.
avg NEWUOA	<i>46e+2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	.
BayEDA-cG	<i>28e+2/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]	.
BFGS	<i>14e+3/500</i>	BFGS [22]	.
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	.
(1+1)-CMA-ES	65	<i>25e+2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	.
DASA	1400	<i>15e+2/4e5</i>	DASA [18]	.
DEPSO	<i>39e+2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	.
EDA-PSO	53	<i>97e+1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	.
full NEWUOA	64	<i>62e+2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	.
GLOBAL	<i>38e+2/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]	.
iAMaLGaM IDEA	4	11	9.5	14	24	51	110	<i>33e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	.
MA-LS-Chain	1.1	<i>36e+1/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	.
MCS (Neum)	5.5	<i>20e+2/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	.
NEWUOA	27	<i>51e+2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	.
(1+1)-ES	96	<i>61e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	.
PSO	130	<i>18e+2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	.
PSO_Bounds	180	<i>19e+2/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	.
Monte Carlo	13	<i>35e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	.
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	21	<i>15e+2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	.
SNOBFIT	<i>33e+2/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	.
VNS (Garcia)	28	1600	<i>16e+1/5e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	.

Table 108: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{118} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	34	40	260	2.2e4	30e-1/5e5	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.8	3.6	1.3	2.9	4.4	9.6	12	12	20	34	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	3.2	17	37e-1/9e3	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	98	59e+1/2e3	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	71e+2/2e3	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	6.2	5.6	3.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	2	2	1.9	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.7	18	350	13e+0/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	110	4900	58e+0/7e5	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	14	61	72e+0/2e3	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	52	72	29e+0/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	14	430	48e-1/1e4	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	3.8	5.3	50	16e+0/1e3	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.7	2.8	1	2.2	5.1	18	30	54	59	140	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	6.7	12	16	130	780	64e-2/5e4	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	140	65e+1/4e3	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1.2	3.9	57	99e-1/5e3	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	4.9	150	5e4	16e+0/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	6.7	2300	1e4	54e+0/1e5	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	18	1700	63e+0/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	2200	34e+1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	5.6	7.8	3.4	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	16	40e+1/500	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	7.1	4.3	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 110: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{120} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	0.1	0.1	47.1	3990	<i>62e-2/5e5</i>	15700	43900	93000	1.27e5	2.5e5	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	2.8	1.7	48	39	130	<i>32e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.9	6.4	16	<i>97e-1/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	1	2.8	160	13	<i>77e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	1	110	260	17	<i>15e+0/800</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	17	4.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	220	64	64	<i>63e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	43	460	21	<i>46e-1/4e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	2.5	21	<i>63e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	2.8	330	160	<i>47e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	440	310	<i>99e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	2.3	2.3	<i>79e-1/500</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	2.8	15	29	98	290	<i>47e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Cham	1	5.1	1	18	<i>10e-1/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Cham [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	59	<i>81e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	180	150	<i>11e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	220	46	3700	<i>23e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	3.2	330	350	<i>48e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	2.6	770	<i>61e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	2.7	2	<i>15e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	2100	98	<i>52e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	3.1	4.1	<i>69e-1/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	3	97	380	<i>63e-2/6e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 111: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{121} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of different powers Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1.1	0.1	3.3	9.6	7.24	31.7	81	<i>25e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	2.1	3.2	3.2	11	30	44	32	39	46	43	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	15	2.1	8.6	150	1700	<i>76e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.7	8.6	22	30	64	<i>41e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	400	570	<i>10e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	5.4	1.1	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.9	2.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	4.7	4	41	<i>49e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	45	580	<i>26e-1/5e5</i>	.	140	<i>17e-2/2e3</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	2.2	4.6	8.8	9400	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	2.9	2.3	10	140	<i>55e-2/1e4</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	32	1.9	3.8	18	<i>11e-1/300</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.9	1.6	3.4	21	43	<i>23e-3/5e4</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	2.9	2.7	2.5	7.1	<i>22e-1/4e3</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	7.9	3.4	220	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	11	3.3	54	2.8e4	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	2.9	1.7	4.9	20e-1/1e5	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.5	4.4	26e-1/500	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	2.9	2.9	3	9.6	1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	2.9	4.9	20e-1/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	3	9.6	15e-1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	4.1	1	1	1	1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNBOFIT	1	3.5	4.4	26e-1/500	SNBOFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	3	3.5	3.5	1.6	1.4	1.1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 112: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{122} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.3	1.9	17	690	<i>12e-2/5e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.7	4.2	10	6.2	5	4.2	2.3	5.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	3.7	28	<i>42e-1/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA-G	1	1.2	1.4	2.4	<i>85e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDA-G [9]
BFGS	1	11	140	<i>82e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	24	<i>40e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	350	<i>38e-1/4e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.1	3.8	<i>27e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	3.4	200	<i>16e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	10	65	<i>49e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	2.4	<i>50e-1/600</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1	11	27	11	8.6	7.7	3.4	7	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.2	17	<i>56e-2/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	3.3	<i>37e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	2.5	45	<i>47e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	20	<i>19e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.1	1.7	610	<i>25e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO-Bounds	1	1	4.1	580	<i>24e-1/1e5</i>	PSO-Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	2.8	<i>20e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	39	18	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	4.2	<i>49e-1/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	3.7	150	2.9e4	<i>24e-2/7e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 113: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{123} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.1	2.4	15e-1/5e5	38900	66500	1.29e5	1.99e5	3.33e5	1.03e6	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.9	3	34	68e-2/1e6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1.3	180	68e-1/7e3	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-G	1	1.1	9.3	57e-1/2e3	BayEDA-G [9]	
BFGS	1	11	150	87e-1/900	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	2.2	18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	140	48e-1/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	2.7	290	37e-1/4e5	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.2	64	50e-1/2e3	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	3.7	48e-1/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	91	350	60e-1/1e4	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	5	53e-1/400	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	5.9	79	85e-2/1e6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1	19e-1/5e4	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	37	47e-1/4e3	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	31	190	64e-1/4e3	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	17	76	25e-1/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.3	2.8	43e-1/1e5	PSO [6]	
PSO-Bounds	1	1.1	6.1	56e-1/1e5	PSO-Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	3.1	20e-1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.6	160	44e-1/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNBFFIT	1	1.1	5.4	53e-1/500	SNBFFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	350	15e-1/6e6	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 114: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{124} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy													
$\Delta \text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta \text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	0.1	1.2	2.8	7200	99e-2/5e5	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.5	6.7	4.9	4.6	7.6	10	7.9	7.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	3.5	12	24e-1/7e3	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	2.8	10	2.7	8.7	74e-3/2e3	.	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]		
BFGS	1	6.9	460	89e-1/2e3	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	2.1	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	3.3	9	2400	19e-1/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	1	210	31e-1/5e5	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1.4	3.6	50	97e-2/2e3	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1.1	1.2	2.3	31e-1/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	1	9.2	23e-1/1e4	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1.3	3.2	33e-1/500	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1.5	12	16	17	26	27	17	8.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.7	130	62e-2/5e4	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	25e-1/4e3	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	1	1	34e-1/4e3	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	5	11	1.2e4	93e-2/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1.4	1.3	27e-1/1e5	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	1	2.4	34e-1/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1.3	2.5	22e-1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	1.8	5.5	2.3	1.5	1.5	2.2	5.3	86e-6/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1.5	2	28e-1/500	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	4.1	1.5	8.6	46	520	64e-5/6e6	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 115: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{125} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1	490	3.4e7	<i>12e-2/5e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	160	1.1e6	<i>42e-3/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	5.9	39	<i>19e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-G	1	1	1.1	220	<i>24e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDA-G [9]	
BFGS	1	1	1.7	4.1e4	<i>97e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	110	5e5	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3e3	<i>40e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	2.9	8.9e4	<i>40e-2/4e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	290	<i>41e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	310	7e6	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	9.8	240	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	1200	<i>69e-2/500</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	110	1.9e6	130	<i>37e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	170	8.5e5	<i>10e-2/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	3.8	84	<i>22e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	3e3	<i>25e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.1	250	<i>21e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.2	320	1.5e7	<i>17e-2/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	1400	<i>28e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	89	7.3e5	<i>22e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.1	380	<i>58e-2/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.4	300	2.2e7	<i>76e-3/8e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 116: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{126} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.1	1e+02 0.1	1e+01 0.1	1e+00 0.1	1e-01 0.1	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1	1	510	<i>21e-2/5e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	220	4.9e7	<i>11e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	9.4	4.9e4	<i>89e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA-cG	1	1	1.1	310	<i>59e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]
BFGS	1	1	6.1	1.6e4	<i>11e-1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	870	3.9e6	<i>84e-3/7e5</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4500	<i>53e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1.2	1.1e5	<i>47e-2/4e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	1e3	<i>77e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	2600	1.5e7	<i>44e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	28	7.1e4	<i>75e-2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	1500	<i>78e-2/500</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	200	3.3e7	<i>13e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	190	<i>22e-2/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	29	1.2e4	<i>66e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	6800	<i>30e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.3	950	<i>34e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO-Bounds	1	1	1	1.5e5	<i>61e-2/1e5</i>	PSO-Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	980	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	1.3e4	<i>41e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.2	670	<i>73e-2/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.4	1.8e4	<i>16e-2/7e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 117: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{127} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy

$\Delta_{\text{f,target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta_{\text{f,target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$
ALPS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 118: 10-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{128} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1	1	3.8	1.7	1.9	2	1.1	1.2	1	1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	5	5.1	5.4	5.4	2.8	2.8	2.1	1.6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	32	21e+0/7e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	2.6	2.2	93e-1/2e3	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	57e+0/2e3	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	6.4	6.6	6.4	3.2	3.2	2.4	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	25	15e+0/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	400	91e-1/4e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	5.2	1	1	1	1	1	15e+0/2e3	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	310	100	100	97	48	48	37	27	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	77	23e+0/1e4	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	8.6	22e+0/500	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	14	6	11	11	5.7	5.8	4.4	3.3	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	4.1	2.9	3	3.1	1.6	1.6	1.2	1	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	14	4.1	13e+0/4e3	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	24e+0/4e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	36	120	16e-1/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	230	16e+0/1e5	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	220	19e+0/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	11	1100	20e-1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	11	3.2	4.9	10	5	5	3.8	2.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	4	22e+0/500	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	31	9	9.1	11	7	10	13	14	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 119: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{129} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher unif											
$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1	2	5400	1.41e5	<i>23e-1/5e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	12	6.1	14	23	23	23	31	100	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	<i>39e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	1	1	<i>30e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	1	1	<i>46e+0/900</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	360	<i>13e+0/4e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	5.5	<i>24e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	260	<i>20e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	<i>41e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	<i>28e+0/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	12	18	47	100	99	99	98	97	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.7	5.3	<i>48e-1/5e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	<i>21e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	<i>39e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	21	110	<i>56e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	260	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	260	<i>26e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.3	32	<i>20e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	13	<i>22e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNObFIT	1	1	<i>27e+0/500</i>	SNObFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	42	69	<i>72e-2/7e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 120: 10-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{130} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1	6.7	9	67	<i>62e-3/5e5</i>	7050	7150	7220	7330	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	8	170	35	19	19	20	20	20	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.9	6	8.6	<i>41e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA _{cG}	1	1	17	23	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDA _{cG} [9]	
BFGS	1	1	100	<i>10e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.9	34	18	9.7	9.6	9.6	9.5	9.4	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.5	6.5	11	<i>51e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	590	<i>30e-1/5e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	8.3	10	1.8	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	380	680	<i>53e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	6.7	8.3	5.1	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1	1	<i>50e-2/600</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	4.4	1.5	1.8	8.4	11	12	21	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	4.7	19	7.1	3.9	5.2	15	<i>15e-5/5e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	32	<i>30e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2.6	11	8	8.8	8.7	<i>14e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.7	4.6	24	460	<i>18e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	180	680	190	<i>25e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	770	<i>69e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	160	2.4e4	<i>21e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.3	11	1.9	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	2.2	12	<i>28e-1/500</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	97	210	38	20	20	20	20	19	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 121: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{101} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	91	100	38	44	51	60	68	79	100	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	59	57	18	20	21	22	24	26	31	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	19	3.3	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1.1	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	93	110	31	32	34	51	53	58	760	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	2.1e4	<i>11e+1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	14	6.1	1.8	1.9	2	2.2	2.4	2.7	3.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	15	4.4	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.7	2.1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	63	22	6	6.3	6.9	8.3	9.8	12	16	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	32	23	10	16	23	35	50	110	<i>27e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	19	260	120	140	160	180	210	240	290	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	43	5.8	1.7	1.4	1.1	1.1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	34	9.1	3.5	5.3	7.1	7.8	8.9	19	250	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	22	23	7.4	8.1	8.7	10	11	12	15	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	20	17	6.2	7	7.4	8.4	8.9	9.5	11	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	330	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	8.9	3.1	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.6	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	16	4.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.9	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	15	16	8.6	11	13	16	19	22	28	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	15	91	200	220	230	240	260	300	880	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	120	<i>29e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	12	5.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.9	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	21	52	43	32	28	110	100	<i>37e-1/300</i>	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	35	8.5	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.9	3.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 122: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{102} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate unif											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$
ALPS	1	61	29	34	41	44	50	52	53	59	ALPS [15]
AMaLgAM IDEA	1	46	18	19	21	21	21	20	19	19	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	19	1	1.1	1	1	1	1	1.1	1.3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{cG}	1	52	30	27	29	33	34	36	37	430	BayEDA _{cG} [9]
BFGS	1	6.4e4	<i>12e+1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	13	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	12	1.1	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.6	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	72	8.2	7.4	8.5	8.9	11	12	17	34	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	35	6.9	8.8	14	21	37	53	170	<i>46e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	20	74	460	390	350	330	300	280	270	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	42	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	42	3.1	5.4	14	56	<i>24e-3/600</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLgAM IDEA	1	18	6.1	6.4	7.2	7.5	8	7.9	7.8	8.2	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	19	5.6	6.3	7.5	7.5	7.3	7	6.5	6.4	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	9	800	<i>25e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	9.7	3.1	6.1	6.8	24	52	510	<i>31e-5/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	34	2.9	4	6	15	23	67	290	6700	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	19	4.9	370	280	220	190	160	140	120	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	15	21	270	680	570	510	440	390	640	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	110	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	13	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	35	79	<i>18e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	36	2.5	2	2	2	2	1.9	1.9	2	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 123: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{103} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	90	93	36	36	3600	<i>81e-4/2e5</i>	.	.	.	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	61	62	18	15	11	19	51	81	110	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	19	3	1	2	20	660	<i>14e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	1	73	100	28	29	25	26	25	23	<i>95e-8/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	1	510	46	8.8	5.9	3.5	2.8	2.3	1.9	1.5	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	15	5.5	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	15	3.7	1.1	2.5	12	690	<i>16e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	64	17	6.6	87	5800	<i>75e-4/4e5</i>	.	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	42	20	9.5	38	<i>34e-3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	29	250	110	110	<i>28e-3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	44	5.2	1.6	1.1	1.2	7.8	29	97	550	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	120	17	4.1	4	2.4	2	1.6	1.4	1.1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	20	20	6.3	7.6	9.7	21	34	160	420	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	19	14	5.4	5.7	4.7	6	9.3	35	480	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	31	26	15	35	83	91	450	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	9.5	2.3	1.1	5.9	44	1200	<i>48e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	15	3.4	1.2	1.7	21	4300	<i>42e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	14	14	460	1700	<i>66e-3/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	17	88	2700	<i>51e-2/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	160	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	15	5	1.4	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	25	8.9	1.5	1	1	1.7	2.6	3.5	8.6	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	37	7.7	1.9	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 124: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{104} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss												
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	72	21	48.2	60	12	17	24	72	120	$31e^{-5}/2e5$	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	30	7	4.7	1.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.8	1.4	11	$98e^{-1}/1e4$	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	57	16	$26e^{+0}/2e3$	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	$13e^{+4}/1e3$	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	46	1.2	10	3.2	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.9	2.1	28	$12e^{+0}/1e4$	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	18	11	2.8	3.6	4.3	22	44	160	$24e^{-5}/6e5$.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	17	8.2	$19e^{+0}/2e3$	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	230	47	$16e^{+0}/1e5$	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	3.2	1.2	20	$13e^{+0}/1e4$	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	5.3	2.2	1	1	1.7	1.7	$82e^{-1}/900$.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	15	3	32	9.6	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	14	3.9	590	$14e^{+0}/1e5$	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	79	$20e^{+1}/4e3$	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.5	68	$17e^{+0}/6e3$	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	2.9	1	45	440	770	$12e^{-1}/1e6$	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1400	330	340	$17e^{+0}/1e5$	$72e^{+0}/1e5$	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	94	670	1200	330	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	$91e^{+2}/1e6$	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.1	21	$17e^{+0}/1e4$	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	$63e^{+2}/300$	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	5.6	1	$15e^{+0}/7e5$	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 125: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{105} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	39	18	8.3	4	5.5	9.7	21	110	<i>60e-4/2e5</i>	33500	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	15	5.1	120	46	45	57	57	56	56	56	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1.6	7.2	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	30	16	<i>33e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<i>15e+4/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.3	1.5	2.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.5	1.7	15	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	11	14	1.4	4.6	51	<i>26e-2/5e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	8.7	6.9	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	120	40	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1.5	1	7.2	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	2.7	2.5	1	<i>27e+0/700</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	7.3	2.3	1500	<i>13e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	7.4	4.4	150	49	<i>15e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	77	<i>19e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1.5	7.2	<i>24e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	2.1	4.3	340	<i>13e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	7.6	7.1	68	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	44	960	<i>78e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	<i>88e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.8	6	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	370	<i>32e+2/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	2.9	33	<i>16e+0/7e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 126: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{106} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	62	41	76	130	940	<i>12e-2/2e5</i>	1500	1500	1500	1400	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	25	12	640	1300	1200	1200	1500	1500	1500	1400	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.6	1.6	8.1	<i>74e-1/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	52	42	<i>51e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	810	<i>91e+1/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.2	2.6	1	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.4	1.7	15	<i>62e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	13	7.8	2.8	12	320	<i>76e-3/9e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	14	13	<i>25e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	200	95	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2.5	1.7	3.3	12	28	<i>97e-2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	5.3	4.6	1.9	5.4	<i>22e-1/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	12	5.5	130	6100	1.2e4	<i>47e-1/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	11	8	8.2	18	29	160	1100	<i>15e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	190	<i>20e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	7	31	<i>49e-1/8e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	2.3	1.2	8	210	<i>42e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	14	630	2400	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	110	140	2500	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>98e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.3	1.6	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	150	<i>22e+2/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	5	2.4	1	3.1	3	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 127: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{107} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1	2.8	9.2	67	820	3500	<i>14e-2/2e5</i>	2170	2620	3250	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	3	10	25	43	38	36	27	23	21	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	110	<i>64e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAacG	1	3.2	2.4	22	<i>15e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]		
BFGS	1	350	<i>11e+1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	4.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	320	<i>75e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	1800	<i>64e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	6.4	<i>38e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	820	3500	<i>25e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	320	<i>68e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	7.5	<i>82e+0/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.5	19	100	93	75	59	37	37	39	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	11	27	41	46	44	34	34	230	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	19	<i>64e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	96	<i>57e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	140	<i>34e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1700	<i>48e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	15	<i>64e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	4.8	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	260	24	31	180	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	3.5	<i>65e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	130	260	7600	<i>27e-1/7e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 128: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{108} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 19.4	1e+01 2900	1e+00 4860	1e-01 10200	1e-02 19800	1e-03 22300	1e-04 25400	1e-05 31500	1e-07 44900	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1	1.4	<i>24e+0/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	30	160	<i>48e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	700	<i>11e+1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDA _{CG}	1	10	<i>72e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDA _{CG} [9]		
BFGS	1	120	<i>11e+1/800</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	190	<i>80e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	870	<i>64e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	43	<i>81e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	3400	<i>91e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	1600	<i>11e+1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	4.5	<i>80e+0/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	20	76	270	670	<i>85e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	<i>21e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	19	<i>69e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	260	<i>91e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	120	<i>41e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	3400	<i>95e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	5900	<i>10e+1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	2.2	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	420	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	2.5	<i>67e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	630	<i>37e+0/7e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 129: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{109} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.28	1e+01 16.6	1e+00 31.6	1e-01 56.9	1e-02 84	1e-03 114	1e-04 150	1e-05 179	1e-07 248	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	70	20	<i>18e-1/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	61	9.1	26	46	67	63	53	65	69	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	19	17	<i>25e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1	93	20	23	25	27	24	100	<i>26e-5/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	6700	610	490	560	380	280	210	180	130	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	14	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	12	11	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	960	4.2e4	<i>12e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	49	7.2	97	<i>99e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	25	2500	<i>74e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	93	23	<i>29e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	50	3	190	<i>25e-1/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	25	4	8.5	20	63	310	440	430	370	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	20	3.9	4.9	860	<i>54e-3/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	20	280	980	670	490	<i>13e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	9.8	17	<i>33e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	20	5.7	3800	<i>72e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	15	2600	<i>61e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	41	2.4e4	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	100	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	23	16	<i>94e-1/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	37	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 130: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{110} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss												
$\Delta \text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta \text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	5.7	53	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.4	1.8	<i>56e+0/2e5</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	<i>55e+3/9e3</i>	.	<i>18e+0/1e6</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	3.7	1.8	<i>70e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	<i>14e+4/600</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>17e+0/1e6</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>46e+3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	<i>28e+3/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	<i>72e+2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	44	92	<i>11e+1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	<i>65e+3/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	<i>46e+3/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	5.7	<i>18e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	3.4	11	<i>28e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	<i>14e+3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	<i>19e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	<i>11e+3/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	430	<i>85e+1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	3500	<i>58e+2/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	<i>80e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	18	14	<i>60e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	<i>36e+3/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	25	130	<i>47e+0/7e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 131: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{111} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif										
$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 1020	1e+02 4350	1e+01 nan	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan
ALPS	350	<i>99e+1/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	7.4	12	<i>23e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	<i>94e+3/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	29	<i>22e+2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	<i>15e+4/400</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>18e+0/1e6</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>44e+3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>35e+3/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	<i>77e+3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<i>36e+3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<i>11e+4/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	<i>58e+3/200</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	18	33	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1500	<i>17e+2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	<i>21e+3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	<i>63e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	<i>15e+3/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<i>51e+3/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	<i>48e+3/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>94e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	24	<i>15e+2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	<i>34e+3/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	<i>76e+2/7e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 132: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{112} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	59	40	<i>21e+0/2e5</i>	780	930	920	1200	1200	1200	3910	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	23	7.5	260	780	930	920	1200	1200	1200	1200	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.3	3	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	45	23	<i>48e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	<i>14e+4/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.3	2.2	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.3	3.7	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	13	84	<i>18e+0/4e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	12	18	<i>37e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	180	54	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	2.2	4.1	<i>19e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	4.4	2.3	2.2	<i>34e+0/500</i>	520	500	490	480	480	460	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	11	3.3	540	560	520	500	490	480	480	460	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	10	4.6	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	240	<i>20e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	2.6	<i>29e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	2.2	2.7	5300	<i>15e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	12	1200	<i>78e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	88	1.5e4	<i>24e+1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	<i>76e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.9	1	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	87	<i>15e+2/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	4.6	1.4	1.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 133: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{113} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss												
$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	3.8	8.7	34	<i>58e-1/2e5</i>	1.2	2	2	2	2	2	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.6	1.8	2.7	1.2	1.2	2	2	2	2	2	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	430	<i>29e+1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	3.6	6.3	<i>19e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	240	<i>58e+1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	6.7	2.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	430	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	2700	<i>20e+1/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	7.4	52	<i>14e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	5.8	1100	<i>86e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	830	<i>38e+1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1.7	<i>28e+1/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.9	1	5.1	4.3	4.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	2.4	6.1	26	<i>62e-1/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	58	630	<i>22e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	300	<i>24e+1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	100	1.6e5	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	2.8	3400	<i>15e+1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	2.6	1.6e4	<i>20e+1/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	3.9	1e4	<i>95e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	27	70	<i>21e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	7.5	<i>32e+1/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	74	1100	<i>12e+0/6e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 134: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{114} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif													
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D		
ALPS	3.7	49	<i>70e+0/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.2	3.2	12	34	62	89	89	89	89	89	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	700	<i>44e+1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAeG	2.8	<i>32e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]		
BFGS	220	<i>67e+1/700</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	78	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	510	<i>34e+1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	2300	<i>27e+1/3e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	32	<i>49e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	3.6	<i>30e+1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1e3	<i>53e+1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	2.5	<i>36e+1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.8	12	42	260	<i>27e-1/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	3.2	13	<i>67e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	210	<i>30e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	370	<i>47e+1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	230	<i>16e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	80	<i>26e+1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1.2e4	<i>37e+1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	3.5	1500	<i>11e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2700	45	<i>17e+1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNBFFIT	7.4	<i>37e+1/300</i>	SNBFFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	910	<i>11e+1/6e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 135: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{115} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy												
$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ ERT _{best} /D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	4.1	20	320	<i>54e-1/2e5</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.3	11	2.1	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	7.2	1.5	110	<i>93e-1/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	2.6	22	22	<i>90e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1900	<i>62e+1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.2	1.7	1	6.5	3.9	3	3	3	3	3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.9	6.2	<i>21e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	21	560	<i>41e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	3.2	6.6	19	<i>93e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	2.7	40	3500	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	17	4.3	120	<i>10e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	3.5	4.5	<i>29e+0/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.4	4.5	1.3	1.7	2.4	7	7	7	7	6.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	2.4	4.7	5.7	470	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	160	<i>72e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	3.3	1	240	<i>18e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	7.5	8.3	1.2e5	<i>14e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	2.1	390	<i>92e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	2.7	19	<i>47e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	3.1	2.5e5	<i>11e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.5	1.8	1.3	1	1.9	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	3.3	180	<i>14e+1/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1.1	2.4	4.6	100	350	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 136: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{116} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 1810	1e+02 9510	1e+01 24900	1e+00 34700	1e-01 44600	1e-02 50200	1e-03 51700	1e-04 52800	1e-05 54000	1e-07 56200	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	9.8	<i>30e+1/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	<i>20e+3/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAacG	<i>24e+2/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]		
BFGS	<i>55e+3/700</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.1	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>16e+3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	<i>95e+2/3e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	<i>16e+3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	780	<i>41e+2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	<i>23e+3/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	<i>23e+3/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.4	5.4	3.7	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	25	<i>57e+1/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	<i>12e+3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	<i>22e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	<i>59e+2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	<i>60e+2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO.Bounds	<i>72e+2/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	<i>44e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	26	<i>13e+2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	<i>18e+3/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	670	<i>14e+2/5e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 137: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{117} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 10100	1e+02 35500	1e+01 89300	1e+00 1.23e5	1e-01 1.3e5	1e-02 1.38e5	1e-03 1.45e5	1e-04 1.54e5	1e-05 1.62e5	1e-07 1.81e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	<i>32e+2/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.1	5.7	14	17	<i>90e-1/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	<i>24e+3/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	<i>13e+3/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	<i>49e+3/500</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>18e+3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	<i>12e+3/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	<i>26e+3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	<i>13e+3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	<i>32e+3/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	<i>26e+3/200</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	7.5	13	36	120	<i>19e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Cham	<i>36e+2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Cham [19]	
MCS (Neum)	<i>20e+3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	<i>26e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	<i>69e+2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	<i>15e+3/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	<i>17e+3/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	<i>44e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>99e+2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	<i>24e+3/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	<i>94e+2/5e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 138: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{1118} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy												
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	32	340	<i>46e+0/2e5</i>	589	876	1110	1320	1390	1500	1630	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	6.9	1.9	1.4	1.9	2.9	6.8	7.3	7.3	8.8	8.8	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.3	7.9	<i>43e+0/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	120	<i>10e+2/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	<i>46e+3/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.4	1.4	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.8	410	<i>13e+1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	240	<i>32e+1/5e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	18	<i>55e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	58	3600	<i>31e+1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	7.6	<i>44e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	3	85	<i>15e+1/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.9	1	2.4	4.4	6.9	14	34	40	47	44	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	9	8.8	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	<i>26e+2/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1.2	7.9	<i>62e+0/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	18	<i>18e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	600	<i>56e+1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	2600	<i>78e+1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	<i>53e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.5	2.3	2.2	1.8	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	<i>54e+2/300</i>	.	.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	3.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 139: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{119} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of different powers Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	6.4	3.6	55	960	<i>31e-2/2e5</i>	3.1	1.4	1	1	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	3.8	1	6.8	12	15	3.1	1.4	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	300	<i>15e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAcG	1	5.7	2.7	3.9	<i>15e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	1	740	<i>43e+0/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	14	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1.3	1.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	420	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	2300	<i>2.7e4</i>	<i>17e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	4.6	13	<i>83e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	6.6	680	<i>95e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	720	1e3	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	5.1	<i>21e+0/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	5.3	4.2	22	44	35	7.5	3.8	2.8	2.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chan	1	5.7	2.5	47	190	<i>13e-2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chan [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	87	<i>14e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	33	400	<i>18e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	250	7300	<i>97e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	3.9	1100	<i>11e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	6.5	2e3	<i>12e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	5.7	1800	<i>84e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	21	41	20	83	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	4.9	<i>18e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	3.6	140	1300	<i>18e-1/5e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 140: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{120} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	4.9	6.7	<i>58e-1/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	7.3	5.5	57	<i>37e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	760	<i>29e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAcG	1.1	4.6	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	470	<i>34e+0/800</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	120	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	990	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1300	<i>20e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	9.7	<i>31e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	4.2	380	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	3300	<i>34e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	5.3	<i>24e+0/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	3.7	10	120	<i>39e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	6.3	1.3	<i>56e-1/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	15	<i>15e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	590	<i>30e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	420	2500	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	5.3	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	1	8.5	<i>25e+0/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1.1	3.9	120	<i>81e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	6300	41	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	3.5	<i>19e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	3.6	450	<i>10e+0/6e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 141: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{121} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of different powers Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	4.7	13	<i>20e-1/2e5</i>	71.3	ALPS [15]	.
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	6.5	6.4	6	47	36	25	13	15	20	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	.
avg NEWUOA	1	82	49	<i>40e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	.
BayEDAeG	1	5.3	20	33	43	<i>58e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	.
BFGS	1	2500	<i>33e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	.
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	23	1.2	1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	.
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	23	33	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	.
DASA	1	65	7.6e4	<i>11e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	.
DEPSO	1	10	7.7	130	<i>11e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	.
EDA-PSO	1	5.7	2e3	<i>50e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	.
full NEWUOA	1	130	73	<i>53e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	.
GLOBAL	1	7.4	4.8	<i>62e-1/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]	.
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	5.1	3.4	12	57	150	120	75	50	39	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	.
MA-LS-Chain	1	4.5	3.9	97	9700	<i>18e-2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	.
MCS (Neum)	1	1	16	<i>60e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	.
NEWUOA	1	29	31	<i>52e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	.
(1+1)-ES	1.1	25	17	<i>16e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	.
PSO	1	3.5	1800	<i>64e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	.
PSO_Bounds	1	4.7	4100	<i>85e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	.
Monte Carlo	1	3.9	2.6e4	<i>82e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	.
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	15	1	1	1	1	1.2	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	.
SNBOFIT	1	5.7	<i>16e+0/300</i>	SNBOFIT [17]	.
VNS (Garcia)	1	3.6	1.8	1.2	1.3	1.1	1	1.2	1.1	1.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]	.

Table 142: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{122} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss													
$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1	1.4	2.3	22e-1/2e5	19	11	5.8	4.5	6.6	5.4	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.4	16	16	11	5.8	4.5	6.6	5.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	2.3	120	77e-1/9e3	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDA-G	1	1.1	2.4	34e-1/2e3	BayEDA-G [9]		
BFGS	1	81	660	13e+0/2e3	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	150	82e-1/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	60	1100	75e-1/3e5	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1.1	3.1	63e-1/2e3	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	23	59e-1/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	7	180	76e-1/1e4	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1.3	8.4	92e-1/400	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1	44	32	16	10	8.7	14	24	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1	27e-1/1e5	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	16	77e-1/4e3	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	6.8	82	80e-1/4e3	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	37	200	57e-1/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1.5	4.7	67e-1/1e5	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	1.3	740	80e-1/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1.3	12	49e-1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	5.2	65	44e-1/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNBFFIT	1	1.1	7.3	98e-1/300	SNBFFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.2	3.1	36e-1/5e5	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 143: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{123} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 unif											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.6	3.1	46e-1/2e5	74400	1.63e5	2.64e5	4.89e5	1.36e6	7.92e6	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.4	1.9	550	23e-1/1e6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	130	410	11e+0/9e3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA-cG	1	1.4	14	83e-1/2e3	BayEDA-cG [9]
BFGS	1	35	14e+0/900	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	5.1	5.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	8.5	130	94e-1/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	140	620	74e-1/3e5	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.3	270	12e+0/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.4	5200	13e+0/1e5	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	2.6	250	99e-1/1e4	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.2	7.3	99e-1/300	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.5	12	23e-1/1e6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.5	1	45e-1/1e5	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	58	87e-1/4e3	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	53	170	10e+0/4e3	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	53	200	64e-1/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.3	730	92e-1/1e5	PSO [6]
PSO-Bounds	1	1.5	2200	10e+0/1e5	PSO-Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	7.4	53e-1/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	150	77e-1/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.4	7	10e+0/300	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.2	410	58e-1/5e5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 144: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{124} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy													
$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		
ALPS	1	1.5	4.9	<i>28e-1/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.5	4.6	11	3.3	5	4.5	4.3	3.3	2.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	3.3	95	<i>59e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAacG	1	1.1	8.7	<i>37e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]		
BFGS	1	120	<i>13e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	4.7	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	3.7	14	<i>51e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	12	470	<i>69e-1/3e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1.9	3.6	<i>28e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1.4	7.6	<i>60e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	1.5	120	<i>66e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1.5	4.1	<i>69e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.4	2.8	7.8	19	27	17	8.3	6.2	4.3	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.2	<i>16e-1/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	12	<i>66e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	10	91	<i>66e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	4.3	66	<i>36e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1.2	160	<i>54e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	1.7	35	<i>57e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	46	<i>51e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	3.3	1	7.1	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.4	<i>41e-5/1e4</i>	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1.2	6.7	<i>85e-1/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.2	1.9	1	8.1	980	<i>24e-3/4e5</i>	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 145: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{125} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 6.24e5	1e-03 1.25e6	1e-04 3.12e6	1e-05 4.01e6	1e-07 4.03e6	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1	1	2500	<i>38e-2/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	1e3	<i>24e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	490	<i>45e-2/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.1	1800	<i>50e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	53	<i>21e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	380	9.8e6	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.9e5	<i>96e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	1.1	2.4e7	<i>11e-1/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.2	7800	<i>81e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	3.1e5	<i>40e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.7	860	<i>44e-2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	<i>14e-1/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	680	<i>24e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.2	1500	<i>39e-2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	1	410	<i>49e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	6.5e5	<i>75e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.1	2.9e5	<i>72e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1.1	1.4e6	<i>86e-2/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	5.9e5	<i>80e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2e4	<i>59e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.1	1600	<i>91e-2/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	4.2e4	<i>44e-2/2e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 146: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{126} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1	2500	<i>57e-2/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1300	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	120	2.6e6	<i>16e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	1	3.3e4	<i>94e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	23	<i>17e-1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	5800	<i>30e-2/5e5</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.4e6	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	81	7.7e7	<i>12e-1/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	<i>13e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	2.3e6	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	310	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	<i>14e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	5700	<i>34e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	2500	<i>52e-2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	4.2	1.3e5	<i>12e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	3.1e6	<i>85e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.1	3.1e6	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	3.2e5	<i>76e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.8e5	<i>89e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNBOFIT	1	1	1	1400	<i>86e-2/300</i>	SNBOFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	1.3e6	<i>67e-2/2e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 147: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{127} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy												
$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 79500	1e-03 2.22e5	1e-04 3.4e5	1e-05 3.63e5	1e-07 3.71e5	$\Delta_{\text{f target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1	1.3	1400	<i>46e-2/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	760	1.5e6	13	<i>83e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	7.7	220	<i>43e-2/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.1	1600	<i>42e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	140	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	180	9e5	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3e4	<i>81e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	1	7.5e7	<i>11e-1/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	950	<i>65e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.1	9.7e5	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	1400	<i>44e-2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	<i>15e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	560	3.3e6	19	67	44	41	40	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	420	<i>43e-2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	3.7	250	<i>45e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.3	2.8e4	<i>62e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1	1.2e6	<i>86e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1.1	2.3e6	<i>99e-2/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.3	5.2e5	<i>79e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	180	1.7e5	<i>83e-3/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.1	1700	<i>87e-2/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	350	1.1e6	<i>45e-3/2e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 148: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{128} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 7020	1e+00 6.69e5	1e-01 8.61e5	1e-02 8.61e5	1e-03 8.61e5	1e-04 8.62e5	1e-05 8.62e5	1e-07 8.62e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	240	5.3	4.3	<i>34e+0/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	16	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	<i>69e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-cG	1	1	<i>45e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDA-cG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	<i>75e+0/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>66e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	<i>61e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	<i>66e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	<i>73e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	<i>71e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	<i>69e+0/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	62	4.9	17	17	17	17	17	17	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	210	<i>30e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	<i>66e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	<i>70e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	<i>34e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	<i>67e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	<i>72e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	<i>24e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	21	<i>65e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	<i>68e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2e3	<i>35e+0/1e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 149: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{129} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 3.91e5	1e+00 2.07e6	1e-01 2.08e6	1e-02 2.08e6	1e-03 2.09e6	1e-04 2.1e6	1e-05 2.1e6	1e-07 2.12e6	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	<i>32e+0/2e5</i>	7.1	<i>23e+0/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	18	7.1	<i>23e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	<i>74e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDA-G	1	1	<i>69e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDA-G [9]	
BFGS	1	1	<i>76e+0/900</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e4</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	<i>58e+0/3e5</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	<i>72e+0/2e3</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	<i>75e+0/1e4</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	<i>68e+0/300</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	<i>31e+0/1e6</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	<i>67e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	<i>73e+0/4e3</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	<i>48e+0/1e6</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO-Bounds	1	1	<i>67e+0/1e5</i>	PSO-Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>24e+0/1e6</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNBOFIT	1	1	<i>69e+0/1e4</i>	SNBOFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	<i>67e+0/300</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	<i>56e+0/7e5</i>		

Table 150: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{130} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	17	760	<i>21e-1/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	7.1	220	81	83	83	83	83	85	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	6.6	<i>45e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	1	1	10	<i>99e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	140	<i>75e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	33	14	14	14	14	14	14	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.5	<i>25e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	7500	<i>19e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	6.3	6.3	<i>62e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	5700	<i>49e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	19	<i>70e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1	<i>72e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	5.6	74	38	42	67	91	130	320	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	24	87	32	38	120	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	37	<i>12e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	9.1	<i>77e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	4.2	200	<i>74e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1600	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO-Bounds	1	1	5700	<i>50e+0/1e5</i>	PSO-Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	<i>25e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	<i>62e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	69	78	48	48	48	48	48	47	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 151: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{101} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 6.48	1e+01 14.6	1e+00 22.6	1e-01 31.4	1e-02 39.4	1e-03 47.8	1e-04 55.7	1e-05 63.9	1e-07 80.9	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	13	29	37	41	45	48	52	55	68	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	25	37	40	39	38	36	37	37	35	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDAcG	1	37	40	39	38	38	41	<i>25e-5/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	1	<i>30e+1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	4.4	5	5.7	6.4	7.2	7.7	7.9	8.2	8.5	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	4.7	9.8	31	<i>17e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	8.9	11	12	13	13	13	13	13	13	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1	2	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.8	3.4	4.4	4.7	13	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	1	<i>14e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 152: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{102} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 8.36	1e+01 20.5	1e+00 32.1	1e-01 43.6	1e-02 55.3	1e-03 65.7	1e-04 77.5	1e-05 88.9	1e-07 113	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	11	23	28	32	35	38	40	43	59	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	22	30	27	27	26	27	26	26	26	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	1	30	29	28	28	28	28	<i>29e-5/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	<i>29e+1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	1.3	1.1	1	1.5	3.7	11	31	<i>19e-7/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	5.3	14	16	100	1200	6.6e4	<i>42e-4/3e5</i>	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	4	7.1	23	230	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	6.4	8	8.7	9.1	9.2	9.6	9.6	9.7	9.7	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	1	57	3900	4.4e5	<i>27e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	1	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 153: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{103} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 5.92	1e+01 13.2	1e+00 30.6	1e-01 42.3	1e-02 54	1e-03 68	1e-04 81.6	1e-05 95.4	1e-07 124	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	15	34	28	120	<i>41e-3/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	24	47	30	26	24	22	36	68	130	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	1	41	44	29	28	31	29	<i>33e-5/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	73	48	29	21	17	13	12	10	8	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	1.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	1.1	1.1	5.3	1300	<i>13e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	4.2	6.1	5.1	260	<i>36e-3/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	5.2	12	62	<i>79e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	9.8	13	9.1	12	21	49	85	350	560	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	1	6.5	<i>2.3e4</i>	<i>84e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	1	<i>14e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	1.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 154: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{104} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 18.6	1e+02 243	1e+01 77200	1e+00 89900	9e-01 91400	1e-02 92200	1e-03 92600	1e-04 93000	1e-05 93400	1e-07 94100	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	34	21	1	3.4	9.5	20	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	23	3.3	4.1	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDAcG	31	7.9	<i>63e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	<i>50e+4/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.4	1	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>39e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	5.5	45	4.9	31	<i>74e-1/4e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	13	13	<i>96e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	9.4	1.3	18	20	23	28	28	27	27	27	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1.5	24	<i>45e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	<i>99e+3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.3	5.3	<i>37e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 155: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{105} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 23.9	1e+02 166	1e+01 1.4e5	1e+00 1.48e5	1e-01 1.51e5	1e-02 1.52e5	1e-03 1.53e5	1e-04 1.54e5	1e-05 1.55e5	1e-07 1.57e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	25	36	1.4	3.6	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	21	5.3	<i>35e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	25	14	<i>81e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<i>46e+4/900</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.4	23	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	6.9	2100	<i>96e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	10	32	<i>10e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	7.5	1.9	<i>35e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	20	4.4e4	<i>15e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	<i>99e+3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	9.2	<i>38e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 156: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{106} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy													
$\Delta \text{ft}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta \text{ft}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	36	60	38	160	<i>39e-1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	26	18	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDAcG	34	71	<i>10e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	<i>12e+3/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.6	1.6	1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.1	1	<i>28e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	5.8	22	14	200	<i>73e-2/7e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	15	95	<i>11e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	10	6.5	<i>29e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1	2.2	8300	<i>13e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	<i>92e+3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.3	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 157: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{107} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 225	1e+01 960	1e+00 1440	1e-01 1870	1e-02 2170	1e-03 2440	1e-04 2720	1e-05 3010	1e-07 3620	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	10	<i>35e+0/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.5	15	48	50	45	41	39	36	32	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDAcG	1	2.7	<i>22e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	1	<i>29e+1/1e3</i>	.	1	BFGS [22]		
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	<i>20e+1/2e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	<i>19e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	130	110	140	170	150	140	130	110	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1	<i>17e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	1	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	660	<i>17e+1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 158: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{108} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 2340	1e+01 5370	1e+00 14000	1e-01 23400	1e-02 37400	1e-03 45900	1e-04 52500	1e-05 70400	1e-07 1.06e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	120	<i>11e+1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	4.1	130	520	630	<i>46e-1/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDA cG	1	<i>22e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDA cG [9]	
BFGS	1	<i>32e+1/800</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	<i>23e+1/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	<i>31e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	20	270	520	<i>82e-1/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	1	<i>18e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	1	<i>14e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	<i>29e+1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 159: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{109} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 7.73	1e+01 21	1e+00 36.4	1e-01 62.6	1e-02 91.8	1e-03 124	1e-04 156	1e-05 188	1e-07 251	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	12	1.4e4	<i>11e+0/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	16	16	16	15	46	130	140	150	150	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDA _C G	1	31	28	26	23	46	<i>10e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDA _C G [9]		
BFGS	1	<i>33e+1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	5.6	390	<i>87e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	240	<i>37e+0/2e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	4.8	60	<i>62e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	7.4	9.7	27	87	230	490	430	410	350	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1	1.9	1600	<i>52e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	1	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 160: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{110} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 388	1e+02 4140	1e+01 nan	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	160	<i>73e+1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.6	3.7	<i>38e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	4.5	<i>72e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<i>52e+4/500</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>37e+0/1e6</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>24e+4/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	<i>23e+4/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	<i>35e+4/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	6	33	<i>39e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	<i>14e+4/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	<i>92e+3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>40e+3/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 161: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{111} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif												
	$\Delta \text{ft}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 2640	1e+02 15500	1e+01 nan	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta \text{ft}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$
ALPS		<i>30e+3/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLgAM IDEA		6.2	18	<i>71e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG		<i>21e+3/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS		<i>46e+4/400</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	<i>38e+0/1e6</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		<i>27e+4/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		<i>24e+4/2e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO		<i>44e+4/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLgAM IDEA		44	37	<i>59e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
(1+1)-ES		<i>16e+4/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo		<i>94e+3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		<i>49e+4/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]

Table 162: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{112} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 18.4	1e+02 72.3	1e+01 4450	1e+00 6350	1e-01 6670	1e-02 6800	1e-03 6890	1e-04 6960	1e-05 7030	1e-07 7160	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	33	3900	<i>11e+1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	27	12	<i>21e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDA cG	32	28	<i>89e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDA cG [9]		
BFGS	<i>51e+4/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.4	1	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.2	35	<i>63e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	5	463	<i>97e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	15	<i>24e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	9.6	5.1	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1	94	<i>51e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	<i>89e+3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.2	2.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 163: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{113} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 51.8	1e+02 1430	1e+01 11000	1e+00 63500	1e-01 67400	1e-02 75000	1e-03 75000	1e-04 75000	1e-05 75000	1e-07 75100	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	2.1	140	<i>10e+1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.9	1.9	3.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	4	<i>18e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<i>19e+2/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	870	<i>11e+2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	3200	<i>89e+1/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	120	<i>19e+2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	3.6	15	5.1	5.3	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	1900	<i>72e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	24	<i>52e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	59	<i>51e+1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 164: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{114} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 165	1e+02 7220	1e+01 42000	1e+00 1.64e5	1e-01 2.51e5	1e-02 2.88e5	1e-03 2.88e5	1e-04 2.88e5	1e-05 2.88e5	1e-07 2.92e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	2.1	<i>50e+1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	9	62	<i>12e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDAcG	12	<i>94e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	<i>19e+2/700</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	430	<i>13e+2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	990	<i>99e+1/2e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	<i>20e+2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	10	29	360	<i>19e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1200	<i>74e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	11	<i>54e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>19e+2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 165: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{115} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 4.15	1e+02 26.7	1e+01 514	1e+00 4860	1e-01 9320	1e-02 10500	1e-03 10500	1e-04 10500	1e-05 10500	1e-07 10500	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	8.9	16	<i>41e+0/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	11	12	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	14	25	<i>25e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<i>17e+2/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	1.8	6.6	4.1	4	4	4	4	4	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.2	470	<i>10e+1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	7.1	<i>17e+1/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	3.8	24	<i>59e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	4.9	4.8	1	3.4	4.5	6	6	6	6	5.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	2.2	2.6e5	<i>12e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	420	<i>53e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.1	1	1.1	29	15	<i>31e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 166: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{116} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 13100	1e+02 60200	1e+01 1.04e5	1e+00 1.08e5	1e-01 1.13e5	1e-02 1.17e5	1e-03 1.21e5	1e-04 1.25e5	1e-05 1.28e5	1e-07 1.36e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	<i>65e+2/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	<i>20e+3/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<i>19e+4/500</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.1	1	1	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>93e+3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	<i>71e+3/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	<i>14e+4/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	6.1	3.2	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	<i>49e+3/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	<i>37e+3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>52e+3/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 167: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{117} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 31300	1e+02 1.35e5	1e+01 2.11e5	1e+00 2.97e5	1e-01 3.4e5	1e-02 3.65e5	1e-03 4.33e5	1e-04 4.57e5	1e-05 4.79e5	1e-07 5.28e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	<i>31e+3/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.3	11	23	<i>90e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	<i>58e+3/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<i>16e+4/400</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>12e+4/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	<i>82e+3/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	<i>18e+4/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	14	15	71	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	<i>50e+3/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	<i>37e+3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>14e+4/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 168: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{118} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 139	1e+02 363	1e+01 980	1e+00 1200	1e-01 2050	1e-02 2410	1e-03 2720	1e-04 2920	1e-05 3110	1e-07 3290	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	35	<i>38e+1/1e5</i>	1	1	2	3.2	10	16	16	16	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.5										AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDAcG	<i>28e+2/2e3</i>										BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	<i>16e+4/2e3</i>										BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	1	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	7.7	<i>46e+1/1e4</i>									(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1.9e4	<i>13e+2/4e5</i>									DASA [18]		
DEPSO	<i>24e+2/2e3</i>										DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.6	1	1.8	6.5	7.3	18	23	26	24	23	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	8500	<i>96e+1/1e6</i>									(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	<i>98e+3/1e6</i>										Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.3	1.6	1.1	1.4	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 169: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{119} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of different powers Gauss														
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 1.28	1e+01 1060	1e+00 3080	1e-01 3930	1e-02 13300	1e-03 53000	1e-04 1.18e5	1e-05 2.51e5	1e-07 3.2e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$			
ALPS	1	1.8	50	<i>88e-1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]			
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	4.8	17	24	8.8	3.9	2.2	1.2	1.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]			
BayEDAcG	1.1	1.2	2.2	<i>93e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]			
BFGS	1	670	<i>95e+0/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]			
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	7.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]			
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	180	<i>51e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]			
DASA	1	690	<i>43e+0/2e5</i>	DASA [18]			
DEPSO	1.1	8.6	<i>35e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]			
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	2.7	5.5	57	84	27	7	3.2	1.5	1.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]			
(1+1)-ES	1.7	72	<i>36e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]			
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]			
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.1	4	<i>26e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]			

Table 170: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{120} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif															
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 1.02	1e+01 4090	1e+00 17700	1e-01 43300	1e-02 84100	1e-03 2.84e5	1e-04 6.09e5	1e-05 1.08e6	1e-07 5.96e6	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$				
ALPS [15]	1	1	<i>23e+0/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]				
AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	2.8	17	<i>30e-1/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]				
BayEDAcG [9]	1	1.2	<i>53e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]				
BFGS [22]	3.7	550	<i>82e+0/800</i>	BFGS [22]				
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	1	68	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]				
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	400	<i>61e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]				
DASA [18]	1	1200	<i>47e+0/2e5</i>	DASA [18]				
DEPSO [11]	1	1300	<i>95e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]				
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	5.4	64	<i>48e-1/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]				
(1+1)-ES [1]	1	220	<i>39e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]				
Monte Carlo [3]	1	1.9	<i>29e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]				
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	1	1500	<i>61e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]				

Table 171: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{121} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of different powers Cauchy													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 1.4	1e+01 18.2	1e+00 43.5	1e-01 84	1e-02 205	1e-03 689	1e-04 1430	1e-05 2430	1e-07 5040	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	1	1600	<i>84e-1/1e5</i>	.	130	72	.	.	.	ALPS [15]		
AMaLgAM IDEA	1	1.8	14	13	37	<i>38e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	21	11	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]		
BayEDAcG	1	2.3	33	35	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	1	1600	<i>92e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	1	1.3	1.6	2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	2400	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	35	<i>27e+0/2e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1.1	3.4	28	<i>54e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLgAM IDEA	1	1.5	8.3	28	100	310	130	100	110	65	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1	2.7	4.8e4	<i>95e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	1.1	1.2	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	2.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 172: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{122} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 0.025	1e+01 123	1e+00 6830	1e-01 16100	1e-02 26800	1e-03 70200	1e-04 1.12e5	1e-05 3.02e5	1e-07 8.23e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	1	7.9	<i>58e-1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.6	29	25	22	13	14	<i>11e-5/1e6</i>	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDAcG	1	1	3.1	<i>59e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	1	37	<i>18e+0/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	4.3	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.8	1200	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	11	<i>12e+0/2e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1.1	53	<i>12e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	51	60	39	15	13	50	<i>49e-6/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1	60	1.5e4	<i>10e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	1200	<i>88e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	110	<i>99e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 173: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{123} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 0.025	1e+01 331	1e+00 59200	1e-01 2.36e5	1e-02 3.88e5	1e-03 5.98e5	1e-04 9.4e5	1e-05 5.67e6	1e-07 1.83e7	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS	1	1.5	20	<i>82e-1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1	<i>43e-1/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAacG	1	1.1	<i>13e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	1	28	<i>18e+0/900</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	2.2	3.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	430	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	9.9	<i>12e+0/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.1	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	11	<i>46e-1/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	1	34	1.4e4	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	470	<i>88e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 174: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{124} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 0.025	1e+01 14.3	1e+00 754	1e-01 5310	1e-02 6580	1e-03 9160	1e-04 20500	1e-05 28600	1e-07 56000	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.2	13	<i>59e-1/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	9.9	1.4	5.4	4.6	3.5	1.6	1.3	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDA _{cG}	1	1.1	20	5.2	<i>11e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDA _{cG} [9]	
BFGS	1	180	<i>17e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	4.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	500	<i>95e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1.3	1.6e5	<i>11e+0/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.3	8.2	<i>58e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	4.6	3.5	16	27	19	8.8	6.4	3.3	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	1	4.2	4600	<i>84e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.4	1.2e4	<i>89e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	3.1	1	3.2	1.4	1.5	2.5	<i>16e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 175: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{125} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss													
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 0.025	1e+01 0.025	1e+00 115	1e-01 2.64e6	1e-02 2.74e6	1e-03 1.36e7	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$		
ALPS	1	1	1.2	1.8	<i>64e-2/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1.4	<i>44e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	2	<i>69e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]		
BFGS	1	1	1	<i>28e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.8	1	1	1	<i>41e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	1	7.1	<i>20e-1/2e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1	1	240	<i>12e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	<i>44e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.5	<i>15e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	<i>14e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1300	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		

Table 176: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{126} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 0.025	1e+01 0.025	1e+00 218	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1	1.1	10	<i>81e-2/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	1	<i>50e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	<i>12e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	28	<i>29e-1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2.8	<i>50e-2/4e5</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	1	<i>20e-1/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	<i>23e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	4.3	<i>51e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	<i>16e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	<i>14e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>17e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

Table 177: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{127} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 0.025	1e+01 0.025	1e+00 17.6	1e-01 44700	1e-02 2.63e5	1e-03 3.78e5	1e-04 6.24e5	1e-05 6.49e5	1e-07 6.66e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$
ALPS	1	1	1.1	7.1	<i>70e-2/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	7	2.3	56	<i>19e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.2	13	<i>59e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	300	<i>28e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	2.4	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	<i>20e-1/2e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	53	<i>95e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	4	3.5	8.7	<i>11e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	8.4e5	<i>11e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	<i>15e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.1	1	<i>16e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]

Table 178: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{128} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss														
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 0.025	1e+01 1.03e5	1e+00 9.57e5	1e-01 2.82e6	1e-02 2.82e6	1e-03 2.82e6	1e-04 2.82e6	1e-05 2.82e6	1e-07 2.82e6	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$			
ALPS	1	1	1	3.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	ALPS [15]			
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	10	3.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]			
BayEDAcG	1	1	73e+0/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]			
BFGS	1	1	84e+0/1e3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BFGS [22]			
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]			
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	81e+0/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]			
DASA	1	1	79e+0/2e5	DASA [18]			
DEPSO	1	1	80e+0/2e3	DEPSO [11]			
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	32	4.8	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]			
(1+1)-ES	1	1	74e+0/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]			
Monte Carlo	1	1	68e+0/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]			
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	82e+0/1e4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]			

Table 179: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{129} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher unif												
	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 0.025	1e+01 8.67e5	1e+00 9.4e5	2.54e6	2.55e6	1e-02 2.56e6	1e-03 2.58e6	1e-04 2.59e6	1e-05 2.62e6	1e-07 2.62e6
	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$											Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$
ALPS		1	1	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	<i>66e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG		1	1	<i>81e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS		1	1	<i>84e+0/800</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	1	1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	1	<i>80e+0/1e4</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1	<i>79e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	<i>84e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
(1+1)-ES		1	1	<i>66e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo		1	1	<i>74e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1	<i>68e+0/1e6</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
		1	1	<i>84e+0/1e4</i>	

Table 180: 40-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{130} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy												
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.025	1e+02 0.025	1e+01 317	1e+00 6930	1e-01 42100	1e-02 42200	1e-03 42200	1e-04 42300	1e-05 42400	1e-07 42500	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	
ALPS [15]	1	1	<i>42e+0/1e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	7.5	100	95	95	95	95	95	95	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	3.8	1	<i>17e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	<i>83e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	24	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>47e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	<i>75e+0/2e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	12	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	3.4	66	40	50	68	70	97	97	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	<i>2.2e4</i>	<i>20e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	<i>68e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	

References

- [1] Anne Auger. Benchmarking the (1+1)-ES with one-fifth success rule on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2453–2458.
- [2] Anne Auger and Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking the (1+1)-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2467–2472.
- [3] Anne Auger and Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the pure random search on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2485–2490.
- [4] Peter A. N. Bosman, Jörn Grahl, and Dirk Thierens. AMaLGaM IDEAs in noisy black-box optimization benchmarking. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2351–2358.
- [5] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using an EDA and PSO hybrid. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2263–2268.
- [6] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using particle swarm optimization. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2269–2274.
- [7] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using PSO_Bounds. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2275–2280.
- [8] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Presentation of the noisy functions. Technical Report 2009/21, Research Center PPE, 2009.
- [9] Marcus R. Gallagher. Black-box optimization benchmarking: results for the BayEDAcG algorithm on the noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2383–2388.
- [10] Carlos García-Martínez and Manuel Lozano. A continuous variable neighbourhood search based on specialised EAs: application to the noisy BBO-benchmark 2009 testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2367–2374.
- [11] José García-Nieto, Enrique Alba, and Javier Apolloni. Particle swarm hybridized with differential evolution: black box optimization benchmarking for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2343–2350.
- [12] N. Hansen, A. Auger, S. Finck, and R. Ros. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Experimental setup. Technical Report RR-6828, INRIA, 2009.
- [13] N. Hansen, S. Finck, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Noisy functions definitions. Technical Report RR-6869, INRIA, 2009.
- [14] Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking a bi-population CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2397–2402.

- [15] Gregory S. Hornby. The Age-Layered Population Structure (ALPS) evolutionary algorithm, July 2009. Noisy testbed.
- [16] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of MCS on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 988.
- [17] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of SNOBFIT on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 987.
- [18] Peter Korosec and Jurij Silc. A stigmergy-based algorithm for black-box optimization: noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2375–2382.
- [19] Daniel Molina, Manuel Lozano, and Francisco Herrera. A memetic algorithm using local search chaining for black-box optimization benchmarking 2009 for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2359–2366.
- [20] László Pál, Tibor Csendes, Mihály Csaba Markót, and Arnold Neumaier. BBO-benchmarking of the GLOBAL method for the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 985.
- [21] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking sep-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2441–2446.
- [22] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the BFGS algorithm on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2415–2420.
- [23] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the NEWUOA on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2429–2434.
- [24] Franz Rothlauf, editor. *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, GECCO 2009, Proceedings, Montreal, Québec, Canada, July 8-12, 2009, Companion Material*. ACM, 2009.