

# ON UNIMODULAR AND INVARIANT DOMAINS

WODSON MENDSON

**ABSTRACT.** We define a new class of local domains: unimodular domains and invariant domains. We formulate the Invariance Conjecture and we show that this conjecture is equivalent, in some sense, to the Jacobian Conjecture (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Also, we investigate some cases of the Unimodular Conjecture (cf.[11, Essen-Lipton]).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The following conjecture is well known.

**Jacobian Conjecture.** *Let  $R$  be a domain with  $\text{char}(R) = 0$  and  $F : R^n \rightarrow R^n$  ( $n > 1$ ) a Keller map, i.e., a polynomial map with  $\det JF = 1$ . Then  $F$  is an isomorphism.*

By “Lefschetz principle” (cf.[10, Lemma 1.1.14]) it is sufficient to consider the case  $R = \mathbb{C}$ . In [2] Bass, Connell and Wright showed that, to prove the above conjecture, it is enough to prove it for maps in the form  $F = X + H$  where  $H$  is homogeneous and of degree 3 with  $JH$  nilpotent. A refinement, due to Essen-Bondt, ensures that it is in fact sufficient to consider maps in the form  $F = X + H$  with  $H = (H_1, \dots, H_n)$  homogeneous,  $\deg(H) = 3$  and  $JH$  nilpotent and **symmetric**.

Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local domain and consider a linear map  $F : \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n$  i.e.  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  with  $F_i \in \mathcal{O}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  homogeneous of degree 1. Suppose that the matrix  $B := JF$  is invertible and let  $A \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{O})$  be such that  $AB = BA = \text{id}_n$ . The relation  $BA = \text{id}_n$  implies that there exist  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $F_1(u_1, \dots, u_n) = 1$ . In particular, by reduction mod  $\mathcal{M}$  we have  $\bar{F} : k^n \rightarrow k^n$  a non-zero map. The general case is an open problem, the so called (cf.[11])

**Unimodular Conjecture.** *Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local domain with  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$  and  $F : \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n$  ( $n > 1$ ) a Keller map. Then the induced map  $\bar{F} : k^n \rightarrow k^n$  is a non-zero map.*

The interesting fact is that the Unimodular Conjecture is related to Jacobian Conjecture([11]):

**Theorem (Essen-Lipton).**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  satisfies the Unimodular Conjecture for almost all primes  $p$  if and only if the Jacobian Conjecture (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is true.

The objective of this paper is to give results in direction of the Unimodular Conjecture. Motivated by this conjecture we define the classes of *d-unimodular domains* and *invariant domains*. We propose a new conjecture, the Invariance Conjecture and we show it is equivalent, in some sense, to the Jacobian Conjecture (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Furthermore, we give some contributions to the Unimodular Conjecture, in particular the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local domain with  $q := \#k < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}$  is a  $(q - 1)$ -unimodular domain.*

**Theorem.** *There is a finite set of primes  $E$  such that for all prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus E$  we have*

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ is an invariant domain} \iff \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ is a unimodular domain.}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14R15, 13B35, 11T55.

*Key words and phrases.* Jacobian Conjecture, unimodular domains, invariant domains.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we establish notations and present the results that will be used in the sequel.

Given a domain  $R$  we denote by  $\mathcal{MP}_n(R)$  the collection of polynomial maps over  $R$ . If  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(R)$  is a map, we say that  $F$  is **Keller** if  $\det JF = 1$ . We denote by  $\text{Aut}_n(R)$  the group of polynomial maps which are isomorphisms. If  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(R)$  and  $R \subset S$  for some domain  $S$ , we can look  $F$  as polynomial map over  $S$ . We denote this map by  $F \otimes S$  (map obtained by scalar extension). The ring of  $p$ -adic integers is denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

We recall some facts:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(R)$  and  $S$  a domain with  $R \subset S$ . Then*

$$F \in \text{Aut}_n(S) \iff F \in \text{Aut}_n(R).$$

*Proof.* see [10, Lemma 1.1.8] □

**Theorem 2.2. (Cynk-Rusek)** *Fix an algebraically closed field  $k$  with  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$  be an affine variety and  $F : X \rightarrow X$  a regular map. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $F$  is injective.
- (ii)  $F$  is a bijection.
- (iii)  $F$  is an automorphism.

*Proof.* see [5, Theorem 2.2], [10, Theorem 4.2.1] or [8, Theorem 1.6]. □

**Immersion Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then for infinitely of primes  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is an injection*

$$\phi_p : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

*Proof.* see [10, Theorem 10.3.1] □

**Hensel Lemma.** *Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a complete discrete valuation ring and  $F_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), \dots, F_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{O}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Choose  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{O}^n$  such that*

$$F_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \equiv \dots \equiv F_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{M}^{2m+1}}$$

*where  $m := \text{ord}_{\mathcal{M}}(\det JF(\alpha)) < \infty$ . Then there is a unique  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathcal{O}^n$  such that  $F_1(\beta) = \dots = F_n(\beta) = 0$  and  $\beta_i \equiv \alpha_i \pmod{\mathcal{M}^{m+1}}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* see [6, proposition 5.20]. □

By Hensel lemma we get the following

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be a Keller map where  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  is a complete discrete valuation ring. If  $R$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra denote by  $X(R)$  the set of  $R$ -points of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle)$ . Then there is a bijection  $X(\mathcal{O}) \cong X(k)$ .*

*Proof.* As  $f$  is Keller we have  $m = \text{ord}_{\mathcal{M}}(\det JF(\alpha)) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^n$ . The bijection is natural: given  $P \in \mathcal{O}^n$  define  $\varphi(P) \in X(k)$  the  $k$ -point obtained by reduction  $\pmod{\mathcal{M}}$ . Hensel lemma implies that  $\varphi : X(R) \rightarrow X(k)$  is a bijection: injectivity by uniqueness and surjectivity by lifting. □

## 3. UNIMODULAR DOMAINS

Given  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  over a local domain  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  we will denote by  $f \in \mathcal{MP}_n(k)$  the induced map over the residue field  $k$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local domain. We say that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a **unimodular domain** if the Unimodular Conjecture is true for  $\mathcal{O}$ . We say that a polynomial map  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  is **unimodular** if it satisfies the condition in the Unimodular Conjecture.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local domain with  $k$  an infinite field. Then  $\mathcal{O}$  is unimodular.

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  be a Keller map. Let  $f \in \mathcal{MP}_n(k)$  be the induced map over the residue field and suppose that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in k^n$ . Since  $k$  is infinite we have  $f \equiv 0$ . So the coefficients that occur in  $F$  belong to the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular,  $\det JF \in \mathcal{M}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  a contradiction by Keller condition:  $\det JF = 1$ . □

We recall the following proposition (cf.[11, Proposition 8]).

**Proposition 3.3.** Suppose that the Jacobian Conjecture over  $\mathbb{C}$  is true. Then every local domain  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  with  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$  is unimodular.

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  be a Keller map over a local domain  $\mathcal{O}$  with  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ . Since we assume the Jacobian Conjecture is true over  $\mathbb{C}$  we have  $F$  an invertible map over  $\mathcal{O}$  (cf.[10, Lemma 1.1.14]). So, there is a unique  $G \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  such that  $F \circ G = X$ . By reduction mod  $\mathcal{M}$  we see that the map  $f \in \mathcal{MP}_n(k)$  is a bijection, in particular, non-zero map. □

We remark that the Unimodular Conjecture is false for local domains with  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = p > 0$  and residue field finite. For example: consider the local domain  $(\mathbb{F}_p[[T]], T\mathbb{F}_p[[T]], \mathbb{F}_p)$  and take the polynomial map  $F = (X_1 - X_1^p, \dots, X_n - X_n^p) \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{F}_p[[T]])$ . Note that  $F$  is a Keller map but the induced map over the residue field is the zero map, since  $\alpha^p = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local domain. The following table shows the complete set of relations between  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O})$  and  $\text{char}(k)$ .

$\text{char}(\mathcal{O})$	$\text{char}(k)$	$\#k$	type
$p = 0$	$q > 0$	$\infty$	unimodular
$p = 0$	$q > 0$	$< \infty$	unknown
$p = 0$	$q = 0$	$\infty$	unimodular
$p > 0$	$q = p$	$< \infty$	non-unimodular
$p > 0$	$q = p$	$\infty$	unimodular

Thus the interesting case is  $(\text{char}(\mathcal{O}), \text{char}(k), \#k, \text{type}) = (0, p, < \infty, \text{unknown})$  where  $p > 0$ . Indeed, Essen-Lipton theorem ensures that **unknown** = **unimodular** if and only if the Jacobian Conjecture over  $\mathbb{C}$  is true.

## 4. INVARIANCE CONJECTURE AND INVARIANT DOMAINS

**Invariance Conjecture.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local domain with  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$  and  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  a Keller unimodular map. Let  $G \in \text{Aut}_n(\mathcal{O})$  be an affine Keller automorphism i.e.  $G = AX + b$  where  $A \in \text{Sl}_n(\mathcal{O})$ . Then  $F \circ G \circ F$  and  $F - F(a)$  are unimodular maps for all  $a \in \mathcal{O}^n$ .

**Remark 2.** Note that in the above conjecture we ask the unimodular property to be invariant under translation and composition of a special type. Note also that, as in the unimodular case, if the residue field  $k$  is infinite then the Invariance Conjecture is true for any complete discrete valuation ring  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  with  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = p \geq 0$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local domain. Given a map  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  we say that

- $F$  is an **invariant map** if  $F$  is Keller, unimodular and satisfies the Invariance Conjecture condition.
- $F$  is **strongly invariant** if it is invariant and for all Keller affine automorphisms  $G_1, \dots, G_k \in \text{Aut}_n(\mathcal{O})$  the map  $F_1 \circ F_2 \circ F_3 \circ \dots \circ F_k$  is invariant where  $F_j = G_j \circ F$ .

The domain  $\mathcal{O}$  is called an **invariant domain** if every polynomial map that is Keller and unimodular (in dimension  $n > 1$ ) is invariant.

**Lemma 4.2.** If a map  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  is strongly invariant then  $F \circ G \circ F$  is strongly invariant for all Keller affine automorphism  $G \in \text{Aut}_n(\mathcal{O})$ .

*Proof.* induction. □

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local unimodular domain. Then  $\mathcal{O}$  is invariant.

*Proof.* By hypothesis a Keller map  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  is unimodular. Since the Keller condition is invariant under composition and translation we have the result. □

The condition  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$  is important.

**Example 1.** Let  $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[T]][X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be defined by  $F_j = 1 - X_j^p + X_j$  and consider the polynomial map  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{F}_p[[T]])$ . It is easy to check that  $\det JF = 1$  and that  $F$  is unimodular. But

$$F - F(1, \dots, 1) = (-X_1^p + X_1, \dots, -X_n^p + X_n).$$

So, in case  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k) = (\mathbb{F}_p[[T]], T\mathbb{F}_p[[T]], \mathbb{F}_p)$  it follows that the property of invariance by translation is false.

**Example 2.** Let  $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$  be a polynomial with maps  $\{0, \dots, p-2\} \mapsto p-1$  and  $p-1 \mapsto 0$ . For example, take  $p=5$  and consider

$$g(X) = -1 + X - X^2 + X^3 - X^4 \in \mathbb{F}_5[X].$$

It is easy to check that  $g \circ g = 0$ . Note that  $g(0) \neq 0$ . Define the polynomial map  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{F}_p[[T]])$  with  $F_j = X_j - X_j^p + g(X_j^p)$ . We have  $F$  a Keller map with the induced map over the residue field non-zero. But by construction we have  $F \circ F = 0$ . Thus, in characteristic  $p > 0$  the invariance by composition is false.

In the next theorem the argument is similar to the argument given in [11, Theorem 4] with the observation that is sufficient to require the invariance property.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with finite residue field. Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  be a strongly invariant map. Then  $F$  is injective.

*Proof.* Suppose false and let  $F$  be a strongly invariant map over  $\mathcal{O}$  with  $F(a_1) = \dots = F(a_m) = c$  ( $m > 1$ ) for some  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{O}^n$  with  $a_i \neq a_j$ , if  $i \neq j$ . We will show that there is a strongly invariant map  $G$  with  $\#G^{-1}(c) > m$ . By iteration we will get a Keller map  $\tilde{G} \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  with  $\#\tilde{G}^{-1}(c) > (\#k)^n$  a contradiction by proposition 2.3.

Since  $F(a_1) = F(a_2)$  we have  $\langle a_2 - a_1 \rangle = R$  ([10, Lemma 10.3.11]). On the other hand, since  $F$  is an invariant map it is ensured that there exists  $b \in \mathcal{O}^n$  such that  $F(b) - F(a_1)$  is unimodular, i.e.,  $\langle F(b) - F(a_1) \rangle = R$ . In particular,  $\langle a_2 - a_1 \rangle = \langle F(b) - F(a_1) \rangle = \langle F(b) - c \rangle = R$ . So, we have  $\{a_2, a_1\} \cong \{F(b), c\}$  (see [11, Transitivity, Proposition 1]). By [11, Theorem 2] we know that there is  $H \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$ , Keller affine automorphism such

that  $H(c) = a_1$  and  $H(F(b)) = a_2$ . Now define  $G = F \circ H \circ F$ . We have  $G$  strongly invariant map with  $G(a_j) = F(H(c)) = F(a_1) = c$  for all  $j$  and  $G(b) = F(H(F(b))) = F(a_2) = c$ . Note that  $b \neq a_j$  for all  $j$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with finite residue field. Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}$  is an invariant domain. Then any unimodular Keller polynomial map  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  is injective.*

## 5. SOME RESULTS

**Definition 5.1.** *Pick  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  a local domain. We say that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a  $d$ -unimodular map if any Keller map  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  in dimension  $n > 1$  with  $\deg(F) \leq d$  is unimodular.*

Note that any local domain  $\mathcal{O}$  is 1-unimodular and  $\mathcal{O}$  is a unimodular domain if and only if it is  $d$ -unimodular for all  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $d$ -unimodular then it is  $e$ -unimodular for all  $e \leq d$ . We will see later that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is 3-unimodular for any prime  $p > 3$ . In case  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = p > 0$  and  $k$  finite we have that  $\mathcal{O}$  isn't  $d$ -unimodular for infinitely many  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  take  $d = (\#k)^m$  and consider the map  $F = (X_1 - X_1^d, \dots, X_n - X_n^d) \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  be a non constant polynomial map. Then for almost all primes  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  unimodular map over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, suppose  $F_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . We can choose  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $F_1(d) \neq 0$ . Note that  $F_1(d) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  for all  $p$  such that  $p \nmid F_1(d)$ .  $\square$

It is known that in order to prove the Jacobian Conjecture it is sufficient to consider polynomial maps of Druzkowski type, i.e., maps in the form  $F = X + H$  with  $H_j = (\sum_k a_{kj} X_k)^3$  and  $JH$  nilpotent (see [10, Theorem 6.3.2]). We call maps of the form  $F = X + H$  with  $H = \sum_k a_{kj} X_k^3$  quasi-Druzkowski maps.

**Proposition 5.3.** *For almost all primes  $p$ , the Unimodular Conjecture over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is true for quasi-Druzkowski maps.*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a quasi-Druzkowski map with  $H = (H_1, \dots, H_n)$  where  $H_j = \sum_k b_{kj} X_k^3$ . We will show that there exist  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , not all null, such that

$$u_1 H_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) + \dots + u_n H_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0.$$

Indeed, for this it is sufficient to find a non trivial solution for the homogeneous system:

$$u_1 b_{11} + u_2 b_{12} + \dots + u_n b_{1n} = u_1 b_{21} + u_2 b_{22} + \dots + u_n b_{2n} = \dots = u_1 b_{n1} + u_2 b_{n2} + \dots + u_n b_{nn} = 0.$$

Now since  $JH$  is nilpotent we have, in particular,  $\det(b_{ij}) = 0$  and so there is a non-trivial solution  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$  for the system above. Without loss of generality we can suppose that  $u_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and  $u_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , if  $j > 1$ . Now consider  $s := u_1 + u_2 p \dots + u_n p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . Note that,  $(1, p, \dots, p) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$  is such that

$$\langle F_1(1, p, \dots, p), \dots, F_n(1, p, \dots, p) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

$\square$

**Remark 3.** *The proposition above will be generalized later (see corollary 5.9).*

It was seen in the previous section that there are local domains  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  with  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = p > 0$  that are not unimodular domains. On the other hand we know that any local domain with infinite residue field is indeed a unimodular domain. In particular, if we consider the map  $F = (X_1 - X_1^p, \dots, X_n - X_n^p)$  over  $(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}[[T]], T\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}[[T]], \overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$  we have  $\overline{F}(\alpha) \neq 0$  for some  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  (= algebraically closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ). So, if we take  $L$  = the

field obtained by adjunction of  $\alpha$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p$  we see that our  $F$  is unimodular over the local domain  $(L[[T]], TL[[T]], L)$ . For the  $p$ -adic case there is an analogue:

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  be a Keller map. Then there is a complete discrete valuation ring  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  that dominates  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $F \otimes \mathcal{O}$  is a unimodular map. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{O}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module with*

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{O}) = [k : \mathbb{F}_p].$$

*Proof.* In the proof we use a result of algebraic number theory ([9, Proposition 7.50]).

Consider the map  $\bar{F} \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  induced over the residue field. By the previous remark we know that there exists  $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{F}_p}^n$  such that  $\bar{F}(\alpha) \neq 0$ . By taking the field  $k := \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  obtained by adjunction we can look at  $\bar{F}$  as a polynomial map which is **non zero** over  $k$ . Now we recall the following theorem about unramified extensions of a local field  $L$

**Theorem.** *Let  $L$  be a local field with residue field  $l$ . There exists a 1-1 correspondence between the following sets*

$$\{\text{finite extensions unramified over } L\} \cong \{\text{finite extensions of } l\}$$

*given by  $L' \mapsto l'$ , where  $l'$  is the residue field associated to  $L'$ . Furthermore, in this correspondence we have  $[L' : L] = [l' : l]$ .*

Applying the theorem above to  $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $l = \mathbb{F}_p$  we see that the extension  $k|\mathbb{F}_p$  corresponds to a local field  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $k$  is the residue field of  $K$ . Denote by  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  the ring of integers of  $K$ . The ring  $\mathcal{O}$  is the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in  $K$  and by a general result (cf. [1, proposition 5.17]) we know that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module and  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{O}) = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [k : \mathbb{F}_p]$ . So  $F \otimes \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O})$  is a Keller map with non zero induced map over the residue field.  $\square$

The theorem below was gotten in an attempt of the author to show the following

**Conjecture.** *Denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $F : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$  be a Keller map such that  $F \otimes \mathcal{O}$  is injective. Then  $F$  is an isomorphism.*

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite Galois extension with  $m := [K : \mathbb{Q}_p] > 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $K$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$  be a non-injective Keller unimodular map. Then there exists a non-injective Keller unimodular map  $G \in \mathcal{MP}_{mn}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* The same argument of [10, A Galois descent] works. The relevant fact is that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{O}_K) = m$ .  $\square$

By theorem 4.4 we know that if  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a unimodular domain then any Keller map over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is injective. We can show a more general result

**Theorem 5.6.** *Assume that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an invariant domain for some prime  $p$ . Then for all Keller unimodular maps  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite extension we have  $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_K$  is an injective map.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $F \otimes \mathcal{O}$  is an injective map where  $\mathcal{O}$  denotes the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . Indeed, if  $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathcal{O}$  are such that  $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$  consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha, \beta]$  obtained by adjunction and let  $K := \text{Frac}(R)$ . We have  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  a finite extension such that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in K$ . Note that  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$  for all  $i$ . Without loss of generality we can suppose that  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a Galois extension. So,  $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_K$  is a Keller unimodular map over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  that isn't injective. By the lemma above, we obtain  $G \in \mathcal{MP}_N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  a Keller unimodular map that isn't injective. Now since we assume that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an invariant domain and  $G$  is a strongly invariant map, by theorem 4.4, we know that  $G$  is an injective map. A contradiction.  $\square$

In the direction of the Unimodular Conjecture we have the interesting result:

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  be a local domain with  $q := \#k < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}$  is a  $(q - 1)$ -unimodular domain.*

Note that there are no restrictions about  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F : \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n$  be a Keller map with  $\deg(F) \leq q - 1$ . Denote by  $f_j$  the polynomial in  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  obtained by reduction of  $F_j \bmod \mathcal{M}$ . So we obtain a polynomial map  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : k^n \rightarrow k^n$ . By Keller condition we have  $f_j$  is a non zero polynomial, for all indices  $j$ . We must prove that there exists  $\alpha \in k^n$  such that  $f(\alpha) \neq 0$ .

Passing to algebraic closure consider the algebraic set  $X \subset \bar{k}^n$  defined by equations  $f_1 = \dots = f_n = 0$ . We affirm that  $\dim X = 0$ . Indeed, note that  $X = f^{-1}(0)$ , where  $f$  is the map over  $\bar{k}$  defined by tuple  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ . The Keller condition implies that  $\delta := [\bar{k}(X_1, \dots, X_n) : \bar{k}(f_1, \dots, f_n)] < \infty$  (see [10, proposition 1.1.31]) and by [10, theorem 1.1.32] we know  $\#f^{-1}(Q) \leq \delta$  for all  $Q \in \bar{k}^n$ . In particular,  $\#X = \#f^{-1}(0) < \infty$ . So  $\dim X = 0$ .

Now we make use of the following (cf. [3, 8, Bézout Inequality])

**Bézout Inequality.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^n$  be an affine algebraic set given by the equations  $f_1 = \dots = f_r = 0$ . Denote by  $X(k)$  the set of  $k$ -points. If  $\dim X = 0$  then*

$$\#X(k) \leq \#X \leq \deg(f_1) \cdots \deg(f_r).$$

Applying the theorem above we have

$$\#X(k) \leq \deg(f_1) \cdots \deg(f_n) \leq \deg(F)^n < q^n$$

where we use the hypothesis:  $q > \deg(F)$ . So  $S = k^n \setminus X(k) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\alpha \in S$ . By definition  $f_j(\alpha) \neq 0$  for some index  $j$ . In particular, the map  $f : k^n \rightarrow k^n$  isn't zero. So  $F$  is a unimodular map.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.** *For all prime  $p$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_p[[T]]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are  $(p - 1)$ -unimodular domains.*

Note that the bound  $p - 1$  is “maximal” for  $\mathbb{F}_p[[T]]$ .

**Corollary 5.9.**  *$\mathbb{Z}_p$  is 3-unimodular domain for all prime  $p > 3$ . In particular, for almost all primes  $p$  the Unimodular Conjecture is true for maps of degree  $\leq 3$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $p > 3$  we have  $p - 1 \geq 3$  and so the result follows from theorem above.  $\square$

In dimension  $n = 2$  and in characteristic 0 the theorem above can be refined. Indeed, we have the following

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{MP}_2(\mathcal{O})$  be a Keller map over a complete discrete valuation ring  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  with  $q := \#k < \infty$  and  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ . If  $\deg(f_1) < q^2$  then  $f$  is unimodular.*

**Remark 4.** *This is particular for  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ . Indeed, consider the map*

$$f = (X_1 - X_1^p, X_2 - X_2^p) \in \mathcal{MP}_2(\mathbb{F}_p[[T]]).$$

*$f$  is a Keller map but is not unimodular. Furthermore  $\deg(f_1) = p < p^2$ .*

In order to prove the theorem above we use the following result(cf. [12]):

**Theorem (Yitang Zhang).** *Let  $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{MP}_2(K)$  be a Keller map over an algebraically closed field  $K$  with  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ . Then  $[K(X, Y) : K(f_1, f_2)] \leq \text{Min}\{\deg(f_1), \deg(f_2)\}$ .*



*Proof.* (of theorem 5.10) Let  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  be a Keller map over  $\mathcal{O}$ . By proposition 2.3 we have a bijection

$$S_1 := \{(u, v) \in \mathcal{O}^2 \mid f_1(u, v) = f_2(u, v) = 0\} \cong \{(a, b) \in k^2 \mid g_1(a, b) = g_2(a, b) = 0\} =: S_2$$

where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are the reductions of  $f_1, f_2 \pmod{\mathcal{M}}$ .

By [10, theorem 1.1.32] we know that  $\#S_1 \leq [K(X, Y) : K(f_1, f_2)]$  where  $K = \overline{\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O})}$ . By Zhang theorem and hypothesis we have  $\#S_1 \leq \mathbf{Min}\{\deg(f_1), \deg(f_2)\} \leq q^2 - 1$ . In particular there is  $Q \in k^2 \setminus S_2$ . So  $f$  is a unimodular map.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.11.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime. For each  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  we can find a finite extension  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a  $d$ -unimodular domain.*

*Proof.* Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $d = 1$  take  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Suppose that  $d > 1$ . We know that for any Keller map  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  of degree  $d$  we have

$$\#X = \deg(X) \leq \deg(F)^n = d^n$$

where  $X$  is the algebraic set in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n$  given by reduction of  $F \pmod{p}$ . Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $p^n > d$  and fix  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  the unique extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of degree  $n$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . We have seen in the proof of proposition 5.4 that there is a finite extension  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . By construction, for all Keller map  $G \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$  with  $\deg(G) \leq d$  we have  $\#\{g_1 = \dots = g_n = 0\} \leq \deg(G)^n \leq d^n < (p^n)^n$ . So  $G$  is a unimodular map and  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a  $d$ -invariant domain.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.12.** *Suppose that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  Keller map with  $\deg(F) < n$ ,  $F$  is unimodular. Then  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a unimodular domain.*

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  be a Keller map with  $n \leq \deg(F)$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  be an integer (to be determined) and consider the map

$$F^{[m]} = (F_1, \dots, F_n, F_1, \dots, F_n, \dots, F_1, \dots, F_n) \in \mathcal{MP}_{mn}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

which consists of  $m$ -repetitions of the tuple  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  where in each occurrence of such tuple we introduce  $n$ -distinct variables. By construction we have  $F^{[m]}$  a Keller map and  $F$  is a unimodular map if and only if so is  $F^{[m]}$ . We can choose large  $m$  such that  $\deg(F) < mn$ . Thus, we get the unimodularity of  $F$ .  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a domain and  $f \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Define  $d(f) :=$  number of monomials in degree  $> 3$  that occur in  $f$ . If  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \in \mathcal{MP}_n(R)$  we define  $d(F) := \sum_j d(F_j)$ .

**Proposition 5.13.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>3}$  be a prime number and  $f \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  a Keller map. Suppose that*

$$d(f) \leq \log(2)^{-1} \log(n \log(p/3) / \log(3)) \quad (*)$$

where  $\log$  is the natural logarithm. Then  $f$  is unimodular.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  be a Keller map. By the reduction theorem (cf. [2, (3.1) Proposition.] we can find invertible maps  $G, H \in \mathcal{MP}_{n+m}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g := G \circ f^{[m]} \circ H$  has degree  $\leq 3$  where  $f^{[m]} = (f, X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{n+m})$ . Furthermore, we know that  $G(0) = H(0) = 0$ . Denote by  $X_f(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and  $X_g(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  the set of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -points of  $f$  and  $g$  respectively. It is easy to check that  $\#X_f(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \#X_g(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Now, since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a 3-unimodular domain (corollary 5.9) we have  $\#X_g(\mathbb{Z}_p) < 3^{n+m}$ . By the proof of reduction theorem we get  $m = 2^{d(f)}$ . The inequality  $(*)$  implies  $3^{m+n} \leq p^n$  and so we have  $f$  a unimodular map.  $\square$



**Theorem 5.14.**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an invariant domain for almost all prime  $p$  if and only if the Jacobian Conjecture (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is true.

*Proof.* The implication  $\Leftarrow$  follows from 3.3. Suppose that the Invariance Conjecture is true over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for almost all prime  $p$ . By a result of Connel-van den Dries (cf.[10, Proposition 1.1.19]) we know that it is sufficient to show the Jacobian Conjecture over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . So, suppose some Keller map  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  isn't invertible. Since  $F$  has coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  it follows that  $F$  is unimodular over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for almost all primes  $p$ . Also, we know by hypothesis that  $F \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  isn't injective. By the immersion lemma,  $F$  isn't injective over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for infinitely many primes  $p$ . Fix such a prime  $p$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an invariant domain. So, we obtain  $F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  a Keller map non-injective over the invariant complete local domain. A contradiction by theorem 4.4.  $\square$

## 6. A REFINEMENT

**Strong Immersion Lemma.** Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  be algebraic numbers. Then there is a finite set  $E$  of rational primes such that for all prime  $p \notin E$  we have an injective homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,p}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  is the ring of integers of some finite  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to proof the immersion lemma (2). It is sufficient to prove the following

**Fact.** Let  $f(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T] \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  be an irreducible polynomial. Then for almost all prime  $p$  there is a finite extension  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .

Let  $d$  be the discriminant of the polynomial  $f$  and  $E := \{p \mid p \text{ is prime with } p \mid d\}$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus E$  be a prime and take  $\bar{f}(T) \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$ , via reduction mod  $p$ . Let  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  be a root of  $\bar{f}(T)$  and take  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$  the definition field of  $\alpha$ . Then

$$\bar{f}(\alpha) = 0 \text{ and } \bar{f}'(\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ by condition } p \notin E.$$

Now we recall that there exists a finite extension  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  is a complete discrete valuation with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  is a complete ring we can use the Hensel lemma to conclude that there is some  $a \in \mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  such that  $f(a) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.1.**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an invariant domain for infinitely many primes  $p$  if and only if the Jacobian Conjecture (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is true.

*Proof.* The implication  $\Leftarrow$  is trivial. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an invariant domain for infinitely many primes  $p$  but the Jacobian Conjecture is false. Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_N(\mathbb{Z})$  be a counterexample with  $\det JF = 1$  (cf.[10, Proposition 1.1.19]). In particular,  $F \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  isn't injective. Let  $\alpha \neq \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$  be such that  $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$ . By the strong immersion lemma we know that  $R := \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  for almost all primes  $p$ . Fix a prime  $p$  such that  $R \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  and such that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an invariant domain. So, we obtain  $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K,p}$  a Keller map, not injective, over the domain  $\mathcal{O}_{K,p}$ . By lemma 5.5 we know that there exists a Keller map  $G$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  that isn't injective. A contradiction by theorem 4.5.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2.** There is a finite set of primes  $E$  such that for all prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus E$  we have

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ is an invariant domain} \iff \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ is a unimodular domain.}$$

*Proof.* The implication  $\Leftarrow$  is easy. Suppose  $\Rightarrow$  false. Then for infinitely many primes  $p$  we have  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is an invariant non-unimodular domain. Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is invariant for infinitely many primes we have that the Jacobian Conjecture is true by theorem 6.1. On the other hand since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is not unimodular for infinitely many primes we know, by Essen-Lipton theorem, that the Jacobian Conjecture is false. Contradiction.  $\square$

## 7. SOME PROBLEMS

We have given a refinement to Essen-Lipton conjecture in sense that for "almost primes  $p$ " is replaced by "infinitely many primes  $p$ ". It is interesting to investigate if "infinitely many primes  $p$ " can be replaced by "primes in a finite set" or better "**some** prime  $p$ ".

We start with the following ad hoc definition

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $R$  be an domain and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $R$  is Keller-finite in dimension  $n$  if

- given  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  polynomials with  $\det J_f = 1$  the  $R$ -algebra  $A := R[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$  is finitely generated as  $R$ -module.

We say that  $R$  is Keller-finite if it is Keller-finite in dimension  $n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** Let  $k$  be a algebraically closed field. Then  $k$  is Keller-finite.

*Proof.* Let  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$  and take  $M \in \text{Spec}_m(R)$  a maximal ideal. By local noetherian ring theory we know that  $\dim R_m \leq \dim_k T_P X$  for all  $P \in X$  where  $T_P X := \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{M}_{X,P}/\mathcal{M}_{X,P}^2, k)$  is the tangent space. By the Jacobian criterion we have  $\dim_k T_P X = n - \text{rank}(JF(P)) = n - n = 0$ . So we conclude  $\dim R_m = 0$ . In particular,  $\dim R = 0$  so that  $R$  is an artinian  $k$ -algebra. In particular,  $\dim_k R < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.**  $k = \mathbb{F}_p[[T]]$  is not Keller-finite.

Indeed, take  $f := TX^p - X$ . Then,  $k[X]/\langle f \rangle$  is not finitely generated as  $k$ -module since that  $X$  is not integral over  $\mathbb{F}_p[[T]]$ .

In this direction we have the following

**Keller-finite Conjecture.** Every discrete valuation ring  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, k)$  with  $\text{char}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$  and  $\#k < \infty$  is Keller-finite.

**Theorem 7.3.** Suppose that Keller-finite conjecture is true. If  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is unimodular for **some** prime  $p$  then Jacobian Conjecture over  $\mathbb{C}$  is true.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is unimodular for some prime  $p$ . Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$  be an counterexample for Jacobian Conjecture with  $\det J_f = 1$  and coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (cf.[10, Proposition 1.1.19]). In particular,  $f$  is not injective. So, there exists distincts  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in L^n$  such that  $f(\alpha_1) = f(\alpha_2)$  for some finite extension  $L|\mathbb{Q}_p$ . By a translation we can assume that  $f(\alpha_1) = 0$ . Now, by hypothesis we have  $\mathcal{O}_L$  a Keller-finite domain. So, the induced map

$$f : X := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L[X_1, \dots, X_n]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$$

is a finite map and by valuative criterion<sup>1</sup> there exists a bijection  $X(\mathcal{O}_L) \cong X(L)$  (cf.[7, Theorem 4.6]).

But,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  unimodular domain  $\implies f \otimes \mathcal{O}_L$  is a injective map (Theorem 5.6). In particular,  $\#X(L) = \#X(\mathcal{O}_L) \leq 1 \implies f \otimes L$  is injective. Contradiction.  $\square$

Here, we list some problems we wish to find a solution:

**Problem 7.4.** Recall the reduction theorem: In order to show the Jacobian Conjecture it is sufficient to consider the maps of degree  $\leq 3$ . So, we may ask

- Is there an analogue of the reduction theorem for the Unimodular Conjecture?

<sup>1</sup> $f$  is a proper map!

**Problem 7.5.** Find a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is unimodular.

It was seen that for each integer  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists a finite extension  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is  $d$ -unimodular. This motivates the following

**Problem 7.6.** Given a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  find (or show that this is impossible) a finite extension  $K|\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a unimodular domain.

The following problem is equivalent to the Jacobian Conjecture

**Problem 7.7.** Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  a Keller map. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then,  $F \otimes \mathcal{O}$  is injective.

Indeed, the theorem of Connell-van den Dries (cf. [10, Proposition 1.1.19]) is more general: Let  $P \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$  non-zero and consider  $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{O}_P$  localization over  $P$ . If the Jacobian Conjecture over  $\mathbb{C}$  is false then there is a Keller map  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , counterexample, such  $F \otimes \mathcal{A}$  is injective. Details can be found in [4]

We can compare the problem above with the following

**Theorem 7.8.** Let  $F \in \mathcal{MP}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  be a Keller map. Suppose that  $F \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is injective. Then  $F$  is an isomorphism.

The proof of this theorem uses Cynk-Rusek theorem.

**Problem 7.9.** In theorem 6.2, what can be said about  $\#E$ ?

## REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969. MR 0242802 [5](#)
2. Hyman Bass, Edwin H. Connell, and David Wright, *The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **7** (1982), no. 2, 287–330. MR 663785 [1](#), [5](#)
3. Peter Bürgisser, Michael Clausen, and M. Amin Shokrollahi, *Algebraic complexity theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 315, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, With the collaboration of Thomas Lickteig. MR 1440179 [5](#)
4. E. Connell and L. van den Dries, *Injective polynomial maps and the Jacobian conjecture*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **28** (1983), no. 3, 235–239. MR 701351 [7](#)
5. Sławomir Cynk and Kamil Rusek, *Injective endomorphisms of algebraic and analytic sets*, Ann. Polon. Math. **56** (1991), no. 1, 29–35. MR 1145567 [2](#)
6. Marvin J. Greenberg, *Lectures on forms in many variables*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969. MR 0241358 [2](#)
7. Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR 0463157 [7](#)
8. Wodson Mendson, *The Jacobian Conjecture à la  $\mathbb{Z}_p$* , Master’s thesis, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, 2018. [2](#), [5](#)
9. James S Milne, *Algebraic number theory*, available from his website (2017). [5](#)
10. Arno van den Essen, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 190, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000. MR 1790619 [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [5](#), [5](#), [5](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [7](#)
11. Arno van den Essen and Richard J. Lipton, *A  $p$ -adic approach to the Jacobian Conjecture*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), no. 7, 2624–2628. MR 3313498 ([document](#)), [1](#), [3](#), [4](#), [4](#)
12. Y. Zhang, *The jacobian conjecture and the degree of field extension*, Thesis, 1991. [5](#)  
Email address: wodson.mendson@impa.br/oliveirawodson@gmail.com