[DRAFT]

On-demand public transport is making us mobile

RA

2021-06-20

1 Introduction

The TLC trip record data¹ records Yellow and Green taxi and other "for-hire vehicle" trips in New York City. In the earlier years in particular, Yellow and Green taxi records contain timestamps, trip distance, passenger count, fare, etc., but also approximate locations of pickup and dropoff. Based on those data we give a partial answer to the question

How many minibuses could service the same demand?

Conventions. The number in the margin refers to the corresponding code listed in §6.1.

2 Data preparation

2.1 Taxi trips²

We focus henceforth on May 2016 with $\sim 12 \mathrm{M}$ (Yellow) and $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ (Green) trip records. The $\sim 11 \mathrm{M}$ "for-hire vehicle" records bear no useful details for our purpose. We keep only the trips that begin and end in Manhattan with reported trip distance between 0.1 and 30 miles. We filter out records that lack geo-coordinates. See Fig. 1 for a net summary.

#1

2.2 Road graph²

We obtained the road network for Manhattan from the OpenStreetMap Overpass API and filtered for roads that can plausibly sustain public traffic. It is represented as a digraph, i.e. there are one-way roads and the routing $A \to B$ differs from $B \to A$. Edges are broken into bits under 20 m. When modeling individual trips, their reported pickup and dropoff

https://www1.nyc.gov/site/tlc/about/tlc-trip-record-data.page

²The codes for this section were mostly written in 2019.

locations are snapped to the nearest node of the road graph; we ignore about 10% of the trips where the discrepancy is over $20\,\mathrm{m}$. The map graphics are from MapBox.

#5

2.3 Traffic model

The trip trajectories are not available, only the pickup and dropoff locations (with potential GPS uncertainty of 10 to $100\,\mathrm{m}$). We leverage the reported trip duration to infer plausible mean-field travel velocities (see Fig. 2c) throughout the road graph iteratively as follows. The velocities on all roads are initialized to $5\,\mathrm{m/s}$. For a sample of trips that start at 18-19h the quickest trajectories are estimated. The velocities of the road bits participating in those trajectories are adjusted toward the reported trip duration. This is repeated.

#6

Henceforth, "quickest" means w.r.t. this traffic model.

3 Optimization problem

We are facing a so-called vehicle routing problem with these main attributes:

- \bullet Capacitated. There are N buses of maximal capacity of C passengers each.
- Time windows. Each passenger has to be picked up within [-2 min, 5 min] of the recorded pickup time in the trip data (§2.1). The dropoff time window extends to 10 min after the recorded dropoff. To ensure feasibility, a passenger may be ignored at a certain penalty to the optimization objective. The buses are allowed to wait up to 10 min at any location.
- Depot. All buses start and finish at a certain location but have enough time to reach anywhere without compromising feasibility.

We use ortools³ to find reasonable solutions computationally (on modest hardware). We can roughly assess optimality by allotting more time to the solver.

TODO(1): what is the cost TODO(2): initial solution

We focus on a small slice of the trip data at a time, i.e. a few hundred passengers \times 1 h \times a few square km. We then compare "customer satisfaction" across different fleet sizes N and bus capacities C.

We pretend here that the demand and the traffic conditions are known in advance, whereas some 10 min in advance would be more realistic. Meanwhile, the density of requests in space and time is quite high. Thus, we believe our results remain informative.

³https://developers.google.com/optimization/routing/vrp




Figure 1: Summary of Yellow (\nwarrow) and Green (\nearrow) taxi trips filtered as in §2.1.

#2

#7, #8




Figure 2: A sample of shortest-path trajectories (§2.1/§2.2) and the traffic model from §2.3.

4 Case study

4.1 Times Square

We take the first n single-passenger trips with reported pickup and dropoff within 1 km of #9 Times Square and within 18:00–19:00 on May 1, 2016. Allowing n = 400 requests is already a little difficult to solve ($\sim 1 \text{ h} \times 2 \text{ Gflop/s}$), so we focus here on

```
n = 100 requests for N = 10 vehicles of capacity C = 1 or C = 8.
```

We find that the single-passenger fleet (C = 1) can only service about a half of requests, whilst the minibuse fleet (C = 8) can handle most requests: see Fig. 4.

The minibus fleet runs at about half the capacity on average, see Fig. 5. Since all n = 100 pickup requests are from the first 17 min, it would be able to handle more requests per minute when operating over an extended period.

In summary, we estimate that this demand can be serviced by

- $N \approx 20$ single-passenger taxis; or
- $N \approx 10$ minibuses of capacity $C \approx 8$, if some excess travel time is allowed.

TODO(3): more on this?

TODO(4): another case study?

5 Conclusions

TODO(5): drop the mic




Figure 3: Passenger trajectories colored by excess travel time w.r.t. the quickest route (n = 100 requests for N = 10 vehicles). Empty red circles are unserviced pickup requests. Cf. §4.1.




Figure 4: Histogram of excess travel time w.r.t. the quickest route (n = 100 requests for N = 10 vehicles). The last bar shows unserviced requests. See §4.1.




Figure 5: Load of each vehicle over time (n = 100 requests for N = 10 vehicles). The time begins at ~ 17.50 when the fleet is released from the fictitious depot at Times Square. See §4.1.

6 Appendix

6.1 List of codes

	page	https://github.com/numpde/optimum/blob/main/
#1	p.1	code/data/20210610-NYCTLC/a_download.py
#2	p.3	code/data/20210610-NYCTLC/e_explore.py
#3	p.1	code/data/20210611-OSM/a_osm_download.py
#4	p.1	code/data/20210611-OSM/c_road_graph.py
#5	p.2	<pre>code/helpers/opt_maps/maps.py</pre>
#6	p.2	code/model/20210613-GraphWithLag/b_train.py
#7	p.3	code/data/20210611-OSM/e_explore.py
#8	p.3	code/model/20210613-GraphWithLag/b_train.py
#9	p.4	code/work/20210616-0PT1

6.2 TODOs

- 1. p.2. what is the cost
- 2. p.2. initial solution
- 3. p.4. more on this?
- 4. p.4. another case study?
- 5. p.4. drop the mic