“Atelier C++” — Day 4 out of 5

Thierry Géraud

EPITA Research and Development Laboratory (LRDE)

2007

Outline

Outline

Outline

Outline

Development v. release

- Use `assert` during the *development* process
  - to detect (and correct) bugs as early as possible
  - to ease and fast the process
- In *release* process
  - a program should be robust

    does not stop if a problem arises
  - so handling errors is not the assert-way
  - so you have to write specific code for that

Development v. release

Handling errors correctly means

- **recover** a *coherent* and *stable* execution state
- having some transversal code in programs

  it is an “*aspect*” of your program

Development v. release

About C-like error handling:

- the client has to test procedure return values
  and usually forget to do so
- when an error is detected, you have to code the “unstacking” (procedure calls) process to get where the error has to be processed...
- that is tedious...

A simple illustration in C

without error management:

```
void baz() {
  // ...
  // an error happens here
  // ...
}

void bar() {
  // ...
  baz();
  // ...
}

void foo() {
  // ...
  bar();  // erroneous result...
  //
}
```

with error management:

```
int baz() {
  // ...
  if (test)
    return -1; // err detected!
  // ...
}

int bar() {
  // ...
  if (baz() == -1)
    return -1; // unstacking...
  // ...
}

void foo() {
  // ...
  if (bar() == -1) {
    // err handling...
  }
  //
```

Definitions

- An **exception** is an object that represents the error.
- Such object lives till the error has been properly processed.
- A routine that detects an error **throw**s an exception

  in the previous example, it is the case for `baz`
- A routine in which an error might occur can **catch** this error to do something about it

  in the previous example, it is surely the case of `foo` but also the same for `bar`

Outline

Error hierarchies

An exception is an object so you (as client) can define classes to describe errors:

```
namespace error
{
  class any {};
  class math : public any {}; // abstract class

  // concrete classes
  class overflow : public math {};
  class zero_divide : public math {};
}
```

A `error::zero_divide` *is-an* `error::math`.

Throwing an exception

```
float div(float x, float y)
{
  // code for handling err in dev mode:
  assert(y != 0);

  // code for handling err in release mode:
  if (y == 0)
    throw error::zero_divide();  // call to a ctor

  // code when everything is OK
  return x / y;
}
```

Sample behavior

Imagine that program:

```
void baz() {
  // code 3
  div(a, b); // here!
  // code 4
}

void bar() {
  // code 2
  baz();
  // code 5
}

void foo() { // called somewhere
  // code 1
  bar();  // if not OK, continue
  // code 6
}
```

If `b != 0` in `baz`, execution performs:

- first code 1 to code 3,
- then div(a,b) that works fine,
- last code 4 to code 6.

If `b == 0`, execution should perform

- first code 1 to code 3,
- div(a,b) that does *not* work,
- then some specific code to handle this error!
- and last code 6 (program resumes)

Handling error

With error handling code in “foo”:

```
void baz() {
  // code 3
  div(a, b); // can fail!
  // code 4
}

void bar() {
  // code 2
  baz();
  // code 5
}
```

```
void foo()
{
  try {
    // code 1
    bar();
    // code 6
  }
  catch (...) {
    // "..." means "any exception"
    std::cerr << "bar aborted!"
              << std::endl;
  }
}
```

If no error occurs:

code 1 → code 2 → code 3 → div → code 4 → code 5 → code 6

If an error occurs:

code 1 → code 2 → code 3 → div → err msg

Recovery from error

```
void bar()
{
  data* ptr = 0;
  try {
    // ...
    baz();
    // ...
    ptr = new data; // dyn alloc
    // ...
    baz();
    // ...
  }
  catch (...) {
    if (ptr)
      delete ptr;
    throw;
  }
}
```

- the 2nd call to `baz` might fail
- in this example, some action is performed before this call (`ptr` allocation)
- `bar` *has to* perform some recovery code if an error occurs during that call (`ptr` deallocation)
- the `catch` code block is run when an exception has been thrown
- error handling is not completed so the caught exception is thrown again (instruction `throw;`); the error is still alive...

Handling error (2/2)

With a more complete error handling code:

```
void baz() {
  try {
    // code 3
    div(a, b); // can fail!
    // code 4
  }
  // code Z: catch, fix, and throw
}

void bar() {
  try {
    // code 2
    baz();
    // code 5
  }
  // code R: catch, fix, and throw
}
```

```
void foo()
{
  try {
    // code 1
    bar();
    // code 6
  }
  catch (...) {
    // "..." means "any exception"
    std::cerr << "bar aborted!"
              << std::endl;
  }
}
```

If an error occurs:

code 1 → code 2 → code 3 → div → code Z → code R → err msg

Selecting errors to handle

```
void foo() {
  try {
    // ...
  }
  catch (error::zero_divide) {
    // handles such error
  }
  catch (error::math) {
    // handles other math errors
  }
  catch (error::any) {
    // handles non-math client errors
  }
  catch (std::bad_alloc) {
    // handles an allocation ('new') that failed
  }
  catch (...) {
    // handles all remaining kinds of errors
  }
}
```

- `catch` clauses are inspected in the order they are listed
- the appropriate `catch` clause is selected from the error type
- the corresponding code is run

Outline

The “real” class

```
namespace error
{
  class problem : public any
  {
  public :
    problem(const std::string& filename,
            unsigned line,
            const std::string& msg);
    unsigned line() const;
    // ...
  private :
    std::string filename_;
    unsigned line_;
    std::string msg_;
  };
}
```

```
std::ostream&
operator<<(std::ostream& ostr,
           const error::problem& pb)
{
  ostr << "err in " << pb.filename()
       << "at line " << pb.line()
       << ": " << pb.msg();
  return ostr;
}
```

Using the exception object

An exception is thrown an object is constructed

```
void parse(const std::string& s)
{
  // ...
  throw error::problem(__FILE__,
                       __LINE__,
                       "ICE!");
  // ...
}
```

The exception is caught the object is inspected

```
void compile() {
  try {
    // parse something...
  }
  catch(error::problem& pb) {
    std:cerr << pb << std::endl;
    // pb is a regular object!
  }
};
```

Outline

C behavior (1/3)

```
struct foo
{
  int i;
  float* ptr;
};

int main()
{
  foo* C = malloc(sizeof(foo));
  foo a, aa; // constructions
  foo b = a; // copy construction
  // but:
  aa = a;    // assignment
} // a, aa, and b die
  // C also dies (niark!)
  // so who does not?
```

```
void bar(foo d)
{
  // ...
} // d dies

foo baz()
{
  foo e;
  // ...
  return e; // e is copied
            // while baz returns
} // e dies

int main()
{
  foo f;    // construction
  bar(f);   // d is copied from f
            // when bar is called
} // f dies
```

C behavior (2/3)

with:

```
struct foo  {  int i;  float* ptr;  };

int main() {
  foo* C = malloc(sizeof(foo));
  foo a, aa; // constructions
  foo b = a; // copy construction
  aa = a;    // assignment
}
```

we have:

expression	*value*
`C->i` and `C->ptr`	undefined
`a.i` and `a.ptr`	undefined
`b.i` and `b.ptr`	resp. equal to `a.i` and `a.ptr`
`aa.i` and `aa.ptr`	likewise

C behavior (3/3)

this C code:

```
struct bar {/*...*/};

struct foo {
  bar b;  int i;  float* ptr;
};
```

is equivalent to the C++ code:

```
class foo {
public:
  foo();
  foo(const foo& rhs);
  foo& operator=(const foo& rhs);
  ~foo();
public: // no hiding!
  bar b;  int i;  float* ptr;
};
```

```
foo::foo() :
  b() // calls bar::bar()
{}    // to construct this->b

foo::foo(const foo& rhs) :
  b(rhs.b), // calls bar::bar(const bar&)
            // to cpy construct this->b
  i(rhs.i),     // integer cpy
  ptr(rhs.ptr)  // pointer cpy
{}

foo& foo::operator=(const foo& rhs) {
  if (&rhs == this) return *this;
  this->b = rhs.b;
  this->i = rhs.i;
  this->ptr = rhs.ptr;
  return *this;
}

foo::~foo()
{} // automatically calls bar::~bar()
   // on this->b so this->b dies
```

Outline

C++ special methods

`return_t type::method(/* some args */)`	**a regular method**
	special methods:
`type::type()`	constructor without argument
`type::type(const type&)`	copy constructor
`type& type::operator=(const type&)`	assignment operator
`type::~type()`	destructor
	(and then you die)

when the programmer does not code one of these special methods, the compiler (in most cases...) adds this method following the C behavior.

Special methods and inheritance

```
class base // are belong to us
{
public:
  base();
  base(int b);
  base(const base& rhs);
  base& operator=(const base& rhs);
  virtual ~base();
protected:
  int b_; /*...*/
};

class derived : public base
{
public:
  derived();
  derived(int b, float d);
  derived(const derived& rhs);
  derived& operator=(const derived& rhs);
  ~derived();
private:
  float d_; //...
};
```

```
derived::derived() :
  base(), d_(0) //...
{ // allocate resource when needed
}

derived::derived(int b, float d) :
  base(b /*...*/), d_(d) //...
{ // allocate resource when needed
}

derived::derived(const derived& rhs) :
  base(rhs), d_(rhs.d_) //...
{ // allocate resource when needed
}

derived& derived::operator=(const derived& rhs)
{
  if (&rhs == this)
     return *this;
  this->base::operator=(rhs);
  this->d_ = rhs.d_; //...
  return *this;
}

derived::~derived()
{ // resource deallocation when needed
  // warning: do NOT call base::~base()
}
```

please do not think, just do like that (!)

Comments

- please *strictly* follow the idioms given in the previous slide
- `this->b_`, as an attribute of `base`, is not processed in special methods of `derived`
- each constructor of `derived` first calls the appropriate constructor of `base`
- in the destructor body (there is one per class), do *not* call the destructor of base classes
- in constructors and destructor bodies, do *not* call on `this` any `virtual` method from the same hierarchy

Outline

what's the problem?

```
class easy
{
public:
  easy();
  ~easy();
private:
  float* ptr_;
};

easy::easy()
{ // allocate a resource so...
  this->ptr_ = new float;
}

easy::~easy()
{ // ...deallocate it!
  delete this->ptr_;
  this->ptr_ = 0; // really a safety!
}
```

```
void naive(easy bug)
{
  // nothing done so ok!
}

int main()
{
  easy run;
  naive(run);
}

// compile but fail at run-time!!!
```

a soluce

either:

```
class easy
{
public:

  easy();  // defined in .cc
  ~easy(); // defined in .cc

  // declared only:
  easy(const easy&);
  void operator=(const easy&);
  // so NOT defined in .cc

private:
  float* ptr_;
};
```

or:

```
class easy
{
public:

  // defined in .cc
  easy();
  ~easy();
  easy(const easy& rhs);
  easy& operator=(const easy& rhs);
  // and with great care!

private:
  float* ptr_;
};
```

Objectives

- classes
  $\Rightarrow$ encapsulation (attributes + methods) and information hiding
- a class hierarchy
  $\Rightarrow$ inheritance with an abstract class and concrete sub-classes
- special methods (ctors, cpy ctor, dtor, op=)
- design of class interfaces
- use of `std::` tools:
  - output stream
  - a container
  - iterations
- everything in a namespace

Needs

- several kinds of shapes
- a shape is in a page
- a page can be copied; a shape can be cloned
- every objects are printable
- an exception arises when calling `circle::r_set(-1)`

Now code

...