What is induction? It refers to two different definitions. One is about the composition of the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ . The other is a method of proof of mathematical statements. Lets start by the first one.

# Set of Natural Numbers

## **Definition: Set of Natural Numbers**

The set of Natural Numbers is the smallest set such that,

- $0 \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\bullet \ n \in \mathbb{N} \to n+1 \in \mathbb{N}$

This definition contains 3 of the 5 ideas behind Peano axioms. They are,

- $0 \in \mathbb{N}$
- $n \in \mathbb{N} \to n+1 \in \mathbb{N}$
- $n+1=0 \rightarrow n \notin \mathbb{N}$
- $n+1 = m+1 \to n = m$
- $(0 \in A \land n \in A \rightarrow n+1 \in A) \rightarrow A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

# **Proof by Induction**

To prove a family of statements  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \colon P(n)$ , we can use proof by induction.

#### Definition: Principle of Mathematical Induction

By proving the initial case P(0) and the induction step  $P(k) \to P(k+1)$  we conclude  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : P(n)$ . Formally,

$$(P(0) \land P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)) \rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \colon P(n)$$

## Example 1 Intro to Uni Math Sheet 1: Upper bounded sum and the lower bounded product

Let  $x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n \le \frac{1}{3}$ . Show that  $(1 - x_1)(1 - x_2) \ldots (1 - x_n) \ge \frac{2}{3}$ 

Doubt

What is the trick here?

## Example: Sum of first n natural numbers

We want to prove the following,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Initial Case: n=1

$$\sum_{i=1}^{1} i = 1 = \frac{1(2)}{2}$$

Induction step:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right) \to \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}\right)$$

To prove the induction step,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = (n+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} i$$

$$= (n+1) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{2n+2}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{2n+2+n^2+n}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n^2 + 3n + 2}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

Using the induction hypothesis we get

We can also reduce the scope of the statement to a subset of natural numbers. Instead of the initial case being P(0), we can start with the property P(k) of a given natural number k.

# Principle: Advice on proving a statement by Induction

Always try to decompose conclusion into induction hypothesis and other term.

## **Strong Induction**

The induction hypothesis is now,

$$(P(0) \land P(1) \land \ldots \land P(k)) \rightarrow P(k+1)$$

# Example University of Illinois: Proof Recurrence Relation by Strong Induction

Let  $a_n$  be a sequence where  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = 8$  and  $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$ . We want to prove that,

$$a_n = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 2(-1)^n$$

We prove by induction on n. Initial case: n=3

$$a_3 = 10$$

Inductive Step:  $3 \le n \le k \to a_n = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 2(-1)^n$ 

$$a_{n+1} = a_n + 2a_{n-1}$$

$$= 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 2(-1)^n + 2\left(3 \cdot 2^{n-2} + 2(-1)^{n-1}\right)$$

$$= 2(3 \cdot 2^{n-1}) + 2(-1)^n + 2^2(-1)^{n-1}$$

$$= 3 \cdot 2^n + 2(-1)^{n-1}(-1+2)$$

$$= 3 \cdot 2^n + 2(-1)^{n-1}$$

$$= 3 \cdot 2^n + 2(-1)^{n+1}$$

We can use the induction hypothesis twice

# Principle: Strong Induction

We notice that weak induction is not enough when we need more than a single hypothesis in the induction step.

# Forward-Backward Induction

We have the same 2 steps in a regular proof by induction, the initial case and the inductive step, but the inductive step is composed by two different steps.

- Initial case: P(0)
- Inductive step:
  - Forward step:  $P(k) \to P(f(k))$  where f is an increasing function.
  - Backward step:  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k-1)$

#### Quest: More patterns in forward backward induction

Find an application of a proof that requires more than one forward and/or backward step. Example:

- $f_1(k) = 2^k$
- $f_2(k) = 2^k 1$
- b(k) = k 2

# Example brilliant.org: AM-GM Inequality

Initial Case:  $\frac{a+b}{2} \ge \sqrt{ab}$ 

$$(a-b)^{2} \ge 0 \leftrightarrow$$

$$a^{2} - 2ab + b^{2} \ge 0 \leftrightarrow$$

$$a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2} - 4ab \ge 0 \leftrightarrow$$

$$a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2} \ge 4ab \leftrightarrow$$

$$a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2} \ge 4ab \leftrightarrow$$

$$(a+b)^{2} \ge 4ab \leftrightarrow$$

$$|a+b| \ge 2\sqrt{ab} \leftrightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow a+b \ge 2\sqrt{ab}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a+b}{2} \ge \sqrt{ab}$$

Since both a and b are positive so is their addition

Inductive Step:

Induction Hypothesis:

$$\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}a_{i}}{k}\geq\sqrt[k]{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{k}a_{i}}$$

Forward pass: We want to show,

$$\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{2k} a_i}{2k} \ge \sqrt[2k]{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{2k} a_i}$$

We can start by splitting the summation in the left hand side,

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{2k} = \frac{\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k}{k} + \frac{a_{k+1} + a_{k+2} + \dots + a_{2k}}{k}}{2}$$

$$\geq \frac{\sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k} + \sqrt[k]{a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \cdots a_{2k}}}{2}$$

$$\geq \sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k} \sqrt[k]{a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \cdots a_{2k}}$$

$$= \sqrt[2k]{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2k}}$$

This completes the proof for the forward pass.

Backward pass: We want to show,

$$\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k-1}a_i}{k-1}\geq \sqrt[k-1]{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{k-1}a_i}$$

We start by using the inductive hypothesis,

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k}{k} \ge \sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k}$$

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1} + \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1}}{k} \ge \sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1}$$

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1} \ge \sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1}$$

$$\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1}\right)^k \ge a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1} \cdot \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1}$$

$$\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1}\right)^{k-1} \ge a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}$$

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1} \ge \sqrt[k-1]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}}$$

This completes the backward pass and the proof of the AM-GM Inequality.

(\*1) The used property is: the arithmetic mean of k numbers in which one of them is the mean of the other k-1 numbers is actually the mean of the same k-1 numbers.

#### **Double Induction**

We start by defining the addition of two natural numbers recursively,

#### Definition: Recursive Definition of Addition of Two Natural Numbers

Let m and n be natural numbers.

- m+0=m
- m+(n+1)=(m+n)+1

## Example Intro to Uni Math ex.2: Commutativity of Addition of Natural Numbers

We want to show that the addition is commutative using its recursive definition,

$$m+n=n+m$$

Let n = 0. We want to show that m + 0 = 0 + m. We show by induction on m. The base case is skipped. We assume k + 0 = 0 + k. We want to prove 0 + (k + 1) = (k + 1) + 0

$$0 + (k + 1) = (0 + k) + 1$$
 Recursive Part of Definition  
 $= (k + 0) + 1$  Inductive Hypothesis  
 $= k + 1$  Base Case for Recursive Definition LR  
 $= (k + 1) + 0$  Base Case for Recursive Definition RL

Let n = 1. We want to show that m + 1 = 1 + m. We show by induction on m. Base case 0 + 1 = 1 + 0 was already proven when  $n = 0 \land m = 1$ . We assume k + 1 = 1 + k. We want to prove 1 + (k + 1) = (k + 1) + 1

$$(k+1)+1=(1+k)+1 \qquad \qquad \text{Inductive Hypothesis} \\ =1+(k+1) \qquad \qquad \text{Recursive Part of Definition RL}$$

We want to show that m + n = n + m. We prove by induction on n. The base case was already shown. We assume m + k = k + m. We want to prove m + (k + 1) = (k + 1) + m

$$(m+k)+1=(k+m)+1$$
 Inductive Hypothesis Using (ii) 
$$= (1+k)+m$$
 Recursive Part of Definition 
$$= (k+1)+m$$
 Using (ii)

#### Infinite Descent

# Example Wikipedia: Proof by Infinite Descent

The square root of a non-integer is always irrational, formally,

$$k\notin\mathbb{N}\to\sqrt{k}\notin\mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q}$$

Let  $\sqrt{k}$  be a rational number and q the last integer before  $\sqrt{k}$ ,

$$\sqrt{k} \in \mathbb{Q} \leftrightarrow \sqrt{k} = \frac{m}{n}, \ m, n \in \mathbb{N}$$
$$q \in \mathbb{N} \land q < \sqrt{k} \land q + 1 > \sqrt{k}$$

We start by describing k,

$$\sqrt{k} = \frac{m}{n}$$

$$= \frac{m(\sqrt{k} - q)}{n(\sqrt{k} - q)}$$

$$= \frac{m\sqrt{k} - mq}{n\sqrt{k} - nq}$$

$$= \frac{n\sqrt{k}\sqrt{k} - mq}{n\frac{m}{n} - nq}$$

$$= \frac{nk - mq}{m - nq}$$

Since there is an irreducible fraction for every rational number then the last expression is a contraction.

(\*2) We want to get rid of  $\sqrt{k}$  so we have to try to replace either the square itself or the term multiplying by the square root.

## **Structural Induction**

The power set of a set A,  $\mathbb{P}(A)$ , can be defined recursively.

## **Definition: Power Set**

Let A be a set. The smallest set that satisfies the properties below is the power set of A. An equivalent definition is that the power set is composed by all the subsets of A.

- $\emptyset \in \mathbb{P}(A)$
- $B \in \mathbb{P}(A) \land x \in A \leftrightarrow B \cup \{x\} \in \mathbb{P}(A)$

Doubt

NEED HELP! Is the definition OK? Should probably prove the equivalence. Does the previous definition qualify as a recursive one?

#### **Tricks**

TODO