Exercises NMSM 2024-2025

Name Surname

matr. 0123456

November 8, 2024

Lecture 1: Crude Monte Carlo and inversion sampling

Sampling random points within D-dimensional domains by hit and miss

Sampling random numbers from a given distribution: inversion method We start from the following PDF's:

$$\rho_1(x) = cxe^{-x^2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \qquad \rho_2(x) = bx^4, x \in [0, 3]$$

We first proceed by doing the normalization to find the coefficients c and b:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \rho_1(x) dx = 1 \iff c = 2 \qquad \int_0^3 \rho_2(x) dx = 1 \iff b = \frac{5}{243}$$

Then one can evaluate the CDF's by definition:

$$F_1(t) = \int_0^t \rho_1(x)dx = 1 - e^{-t^2}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \qquad F_2(t) = \int_0^t \rho_2(x)dx = \frac{t^5}{243}, \ t \in [0, 3]$$

Finally by inversion:

$$F_1^{-1}(y) = \sqrt{\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-y}\right)}$$
 $F_2^{-1}(y) = \sqrt[5]{243y}$

For both $y \in (0, 1]$.

We report in the following the obtained graphs:




Figure 1.1: Sampling from distribution ρ_1 and ρ_2 using the inversion method.

Lecture 2: Rejection method

The rejection sampling method is useful when our pdf is not easily invertible, and so the inversion method cannot be applied.

Suppose to have as pdf:

$$\rho(x) = A_f \exp\left(-8\left(\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4}\right)\right)$$

Imposing the normalization condition we obtain:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(x)dx = 1 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \frac{eA_f}{\sqrt{2}}K_{1/4}(1) = 1$$

Where we denote with $K_{1/4}(x)$ the Bessel function of the second kind. We find that $A_f \simeq 1.21$. Now we want to find an invertible pdf g(x) (that we'll call candidate density) with the property:

$$\rho(x) \le cg(x) \qquad \forall x \in S$$

Where S is the sample space of $\rho(x)$. The closer the function cg(x) is to $\rho(x)$ the more efficient the sampling is.

There can be different ways to choose the candidate density. We explore different possibilities in 1D and higher dimensions.

First we must start with a guess for the candidate density and the c parameter. Then we see if this guess respects the proposed inequality: if it does we are done, if not we do another guess and try again. In 1D the easiest way to to test our guess is the graphical one: we plot $\rho(x)$ and cg(x) in the same graph and evaluate if the inequality holds. Another way can be to evaluate point-wise the inequality. In dimensions where no graphical rapresentation is available, the second method can be easily extended and in order to reduce the computational complexity instead of starting with a proper point-wise evaluation, we can roughly grid the hyper-domain to check if our guess of the candidate density is sensible. If it is, we refine the grid and iterate the process until we are satisfied.

For our exercise, we can choose as candidate density $\mathcal{N}(0,\frac{1}{2})$ with c=1.6, as shown in the picture 1.2

Then the sampling is done with algorithm 1. Note that to obtain exactly N samples a while loop must be used in the algorithm.




Figure 1.2: Graphical method for evaluating the inequality $\rho(x) < cg(x)$ in the rejection method

Algorithm 1 Rejection Sampling Algorithm

```
1: S \leftarrow \emptyset
 2: count \leftarrow 0
 3: while count < N do
         Sample X \sim g(x)
                                                                                                   ▶ Generate candidate sample
 4:
         Sample U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)

A \leftarrow \frac{f(X)}{c \cdot g(X)}

if U \leq A then
                                                                                         ▷ Generate uniform random number
 5:
                                                                                              \triangleright Calculate acceptance threshold
 6:
 7:
              Append X to S
 8:
              count \leftarrow count + 1
 9:
         end if
10:
11: end while
12: Return S
```

Lecture 3: Importance sampling

Lecture 4: Markov chains

Lecture 5: Ising 2D

Lecture 6: Polymer MMC

Lecture 7: Brussellator