

The Field Equations of Semantic Coherence

A Geometric Theory of Meaning, Curvature, and Reasoning
in Transformer Architectures

Complete Conjecture Reference
89 Mathematical Results with Dependency Structure

Bee Rosa Davis

November 30th, 2025

Contents

I	Overview and Master Results	2
1	The Master Equation	2
1.1	The Three Master Equations	2
2	The Extended Master Trichotomy	3
3	Summary of Results	3
II	Foundational Theorems	4
4	The Five Foundational Theorems	4
5	The Sudoku Principle Corollary	5
6	The Energy Principle	5
III	First-Order Derivations	6
7	(1) Constraint Saturation Threshold	6
8	(2) Compositional Holonomy	6
9	(3) Constraint Consistency Test	6
10	(4) Completion Stability Under Perturbation	6
11	(5) Optimal Constraint Ordering	7

12 (6) Cache Compression Bound	7
13 (7) Maximum Gap Size from Holonomy Horizon	7
14 (8) Multi-Agent Consensus	7
IV Second-Order Derivations	8
15 From (1) Saturation Threshold	8
15.1 (1a) Constraint Redundancy Detection	8
15.2 (1b) Constraint Value Ordering	8
15.3 (1c) Phase Transition Sharpness	8
16 From (2) Compositional Holonomy	8
16.1 (2a) Holonomy Algebra	8
16.2 (2b) Holonomy Decomposition	9
16.3 (2c) Parallel Gap-Filling	9
17 From (3) Consistency Test	9
17.1 (3a) Inconsistency Localization	9
17.2 (3b) Inconsistency Resolution	9
18 From (4) Stability	10
18.1 (4a) Stability Radius	10
18.2 (4b) Adversarial Perturbation Bound	10
19 From (5) Optimal Ordering	10
19.1 (5a) Ordering Regret Bound	10
19.2 (5b) Constraint Ordering is Submodular	10
20 From (6) Cache Compression	11
20.1 (6a) Cache Rate-Distortion	11
20.2 (6b) Incompressibility of Winding Code	11
20.3 (6c) Cache Sufficiency is Tight	11
21 From (7) Maximum Gap	11
21.1 (7a) Gap Additivity	11
21.2 (7b) Optimal Gap Distribution	11
21.3 (7c) Critical Gap Ratio	12
22 From (8) Consensus	12
22.1 (8a) Consensus Convergence Rate	12
22.2 (8b) Byzantine Fault Tolerance	12
22.3 (8c) Anchor Misalignment Tolerance	12
V Third-Order Derivations	13
23 From (1a) Constraint Redundancy	13

24 From (1b) Constraint Value	13
25 From (1c) Phase Transition	14
26 From (2a) Holonomy Algebra	14
27 From (2b) Holonomy Decomposition	14
28 From (2c) Parallel Gap-Filling	15
29 From (3a) Inconsistency Localization	15
30 From (3b) Inconsistency Resolution	16
31 From (4a) Stability Radius	16
32 From (4b) Adversarial Bound	16
33 From (5a) Regret Bound	17
34 From (5b) Submodularity	17
35 From (6a) Rate-Distortion	17
36 From (6b) Winding Incompressibility	18
37 From (6c) Cache Tightness	18
38 From (7a) Gap Additivity	18
39 From (7b) Optimal Distribution	19
40 From (7c) Critical Ratio	19
41 From (8a) Convergence	19
42 From (8b) BFT	19
43 From (8c) Misalignment	20
 VI Fourth-Order Derivations (Synthesis)	 21
44 Basis-Cache Duality	21
45 Information-Curvature Conservation	21
46 Structure Theorem for Davis Cache	21
47 Constraint-Loop Duality	22
48 Condition-Convergence Relationship	22

49 Greedy Gap-Filling Near-Optimal	22
50 Phase Diagram of Completion	22
51 Fault-Constraint Duality	23
52 Universal Cache Protocol	23
53 Representation Theorem	23
54 Parallel-Sequential Equivalence	23
55 Attack Surface Geometry	24
 VII Fifth-Order Derivations	 25
56 Energy Derivations (E1–E5)	25
57 Dynamics Derivations (D1–D6)	26
 VIII The Davis Manifold Relaxation Algorithm	 27
58 Problem Formulation	27
59 Algorithm Steps	27
60 Correctness Links to Theorems	28
 IX Applications	 29
61 Curvature-Aware Training Data Filtering	29
62 Teleporting Reasoning State Between Agents	29
63 Adversarial Detection via Holonomy Spikes	29
64 Mental Stack Trace Debugger	29
 Appendices	 30
A Notation Reference	30
B Dependency Summary	30

Note on Mathematical Status

The results in this document are stated as **conjectures** establishing a geometric research program for semantic coherence in transformer architectures. Formal proofs are under development. Scaling relationships use \approx or \propto to indicate functional form rather than exact equality. Optimality claims represent design hypotheses to be validated. Topological results assume benign manifold conditions (compact, finite-type, bounded curvature) unless otherwise specified.

This release establishes priority for the theoretical framework.

Part I**Overview and Master Results****1 The Master Equation****The Davis Law**

The fundamental equation governing inference from incomplete information:

$$\boxed{C = \frac{\tau}{K}} \quad (1)$$

Where:

- C = **Inference Capacity** (Completion) — A measure of the degree to which unobserved states are uniquely determined by observed constraints on a geometric manifold
- τ = **Tolerance Budget** — The acceptable error threshold; the slack in the system
- K = **Curvature** — The geometric complexity of the space where information lives

1.1 The Three Master Equations**1. Static Form (Existence):**

$$C = \frac{\tau}{K} \quad (2)$$

How much can be completed from incomplete information.

2. Variational Form (Selection):

$$\delta \oint \text{Hol} = 0 \quad (3)$$

Which completion is chosen among possibilities — the Principle of Stationary Holonomy.

3. Dynamic Form (Evolution):

$$C_{\text{dyn}} = \frac{\tau}{K + \eta T} \quad (4)$$

How completion capacity changes during learning, where η is the learning rate and T is time.

2 The Extended Master Trichotomy

Geometric Trichotomy

Every completion problem falls into exactly one regime, determined by the parameter:

$$\Gamma = \frac{m \cdot \tau_{\text{budget}}}{\hat{K}_{\text{max}} \cdot \log |S|} \quad (5)$$

Static Regime ($\partial M / \partial t = 0$):

- $\Gamma > 1$: **DETERMINED** — Unique completion, stable, fast consensus
- $\Gamma = 1$: **CRITICAL** — Phase transition, power-law behavior, slow dynamics
- $\Gamma < 1$: **UNDERDETERMINED** — Multiple completions, unstable, no consensus

Dynamic Regime ($\partial M / \partial t \neq 0$):

$$\Gamma_{\text{eff}} = \Gamma \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\eta T}{\tau}\right) \quad (6)$$

Learning pushes the system toward critical/underdetermined. Three dynamic phases:

- $\eta < \eta_{\text{safe}}$: **STABLE LEARNING** — Guarantees preserved
- $\eta = \eta_{\text{safe}}$: **CRITICAL LEARNING** — Guarantees marginal
- $\eta > \eta_{\text{safe}}$: **UNSTABLE LEARNING** — Cache invalidation

3 Summary of Results

Order	Results	Cumulative
Foundation (T1–T5, E0, Corollary)	7	7
First Order	8	15
Second Order	24	39
Third Order	33	72
Fourth Order	13	85
Fifth Order: Energy (E1–E5)	5	90
Fifth Order: Dynamics (D1–D6)	6	96
Total Derived Results	89	

Note: The count of 89 excludes the foundational axioms and counts only derived results.

Part II

Foundational Theorems

4 The Five Foundational Theorems

T1: Geometric Completion Uniqueness

Conjecture 4.1 (Geometric Completion Uniqueness). *Given a partial world state W_0 on a Davis manifold (M, g) with curvature bound $\hat{K}_{\text{loc}} < \hat{K}_{\text{max}}$, and a set of observed constraints C , if the holonomy around all constraint-bounded regions satisfies*

$$\|\text{Hol} - I\| < \tau_{\text{budget}} \quad (7)$$

then there exists at most one completion W^ consistent with C up to ε -equivalence, where ε is determined by the Davis distortion radius.*

Interpretation: This is the Sudoku theorem — sufficient constraints plus bounded curvature implies a unique solution.

T2: Harmonization Preserves Completion

Conjecture 4.2 (Harmonization Preserves Completion). *Let H be a harmonization operator that forces path-independence on observable operations O . For any non-deterministic completion process A , the harmonized completion $H(A(W_0, C))$ is observationally equivalent to the deterministic completion $D(W_0, C)$ on all operations in O .*

Interpretation: This imports the BRIDGE result into the reasoning setting — harmonization makes non-deterministic processes behave deterministically on observables.

T3: Gap-Filling Complexity Reduction

Conjecture 4.3 (Gap-Filling Complexity Reduction). *For a world model with n unobserved variables and m geometric constraints satisfying the benign curvature condition, the effective search space for valid completions is bounded by:*

$$|S_{\text{valid}}| \leq |S_{\text{unconstrained}}| \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{m \cdot \tau_{\text{budget}}}{\hat{K}_{\text{max}}}\right) \quad (8)$$

In the limit of tight curvature bounds ($\hat{K}_{\text{max}} \rightarrow 0$), valid completions converge to a unique solution.

Interpretation: This quantifies how geometry compresses the hypothesis space — constraints exponentially shrink the space of valid completions.

T4: Reasoning Fidelity Under Partial Observation

Conjecture 4.4 (Reasoning Fidelity Under Partial Observation). *Let γ be a reasoning path from premises P to conclusion Q on (M, g) , with k intermediate steps unobserved. If the observed steps satisfy the holonomy budget and the manifold has bounded curvature, then any valid completion of γ produces conclusions Q' satisfying:*

$$d_g(Q, Q') \leq k \cdot \ell_c \cdot \sqrt{\hat{K}_{\max}} + \varepsilon_{\text{disc}} \quad (9)$$

The reasoning error grows at most linearly in gap size, not exponentially.

Interpretation: This is the anti-hallucination guarantee — geometry prevents drift even when you can't observe every step.

T5: Davis Cache Sufficiency

Conjecture 4.5 (Davis Cache Sufficiency). *For gap-filling on benign paths, the state (Φ_t, r_t) — continuous potential plus topological residue — is sufficient to determine valid completions. The cache size remains $O(1)$ in the number of gaps, provided total path length stays within the holonomy horizon s_{\max} .*

Interpretation: You don't need to store the whole world; the geometric summary is enough to constrain completions.

5 The Sudoku Principle Corollary

Corollary: The Sudoku Principle Test

Corollary 5.1 (The Sudoku Principle). *A world model is “sudoku-complete” if its geometric constraints uniquely determine all unobserved states. The Davis framework provides a constructive test: compute holonomy around gap boundaries; if $\|\text{Hol} - I\| < \tau$ for all such loops, the completion is unique.*

6 The Energy Principle

E0: Principle of Least Holonomy

Conjecture 6.1 (Principle of Least Holonomy). *Among all paths connecting premises to conclusions, the realized path minimizes total holonomy. Define the Davis Energy Functional:*

$$E[\gamma] = \int_0^L \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \hat{K}_{\text{loc}}(s) + \lambda_3 \|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_s} - I\| \right) ds \quad (10)$$

Optimal paths satisfy $\delta E / \delta \gamma = 0$.

Interpretation: This is the variational principle for reasoning — nature chooses the path of least resistance (lowest curvature and holonomy).

Part III

First-Order Derivations

These 8 results follow directly from the foundational theorems.

7 (1) Constraint Saturation Threshold

Conjecture 7.1 (Constraint Saturation Threshold m^*). *There exists a critical threshold m^* where $|S_{\text{valid}}| \approx 1$:*

$$m^* = \frac{\hat{K}_{\max} \cdot \log |S_{\text{unconstrained}}|}{\tau_{\text{budget}}} \quad (11)$$

At $m = m^$, the completion becomes unique. Below m^* , multiplicity remains. Above m^* , constraints are redundant or inconsistent.*

Derived from: T1 (Uniqueness) + T3 (Complexity Reduction)

Interpretation: This is the “sudoku moment” — the phase transition in the system.

8 (2) Compositional Holonomy

Conjecture 8.1 (Compositional Holonomy). *Holonomy composes additively to first order when individual holonomies are small:*

$$\|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_A \cup \gamma_B} - I\| \leq \|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_A} - I\| + \|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_B} - I\| + \mathcal{O}(\|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_A} - I\| \cdot \|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_B} - I\|) \quad (12)$$

Derived from: T1 (Uniqueness) + Corollary (Sudoku Principle)

Interpretation: This justifies doing completions incrementally — the locality property.

9 (3) Constraint Consistency Test

Lemma 9.1 (Constraint Consistency Test). *Constraints C are geometrically consistent iff there exists a path γ passing through $\bigcap_c R_c$ with holonomy below budget. Equivalently: if the holonomy around the boundary of $\bigcap_c R_c$ exceeds τ_{budget} , no valid completion exists.*

Derived from: T1 (Uniqueness)

Interpretation: The unsolvable sudoku detector.

10 (4) Completion Stability Under Perturbation

Conjecture 10.1 (Completion Stability). *If W'_0 satisfies $d_g(W_0, W'_0) < \delta$ and $\hat{K}_{\text{loc}} < \hat{K}_{\max}$ throughout, then the completions satisfy:*

$$d_g(W^*, W'^*) \leq \delta \cdot \exp\left(\sqrt{\hat{K}_{\max}} \cdot L\right) \quad (13)$$

where L is the path length through the gap region.

Derived from: T1 (Uniqueness) + T4 (Fidelity)

Interpretation: Small input perturbations don’t cause large completion changes in the benign regime.

11 (5) Optimal Constraint Ordering

Lemma 11.1 (Optimal Constraint Ordering). *Given constraints $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$, the optimal projection order minimizes cumulative holonomy. Greedily: at each step, project to the constraint whose region R_c is closest to the current path in geodesic distance.*

Derived from: T3 (Complexity) + F2 (Compositional Holonomy)

Interpretation: The greedy constraint scheduling lemma.

12 (6) Cache Compression Bound

Conjecture 12.1 (Cache Compression Bound). *The Davis Cache (Φ_t, r_t) contains at most:*

$$I[(\Phi_t, r_t)] \leq d_\Phi \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon) + \log K + \log B + W \cdot \log 3 \quad (14)$$

bits, where d_Φ is potential dimension, K charts, B basins, W winding components. This is independent of path length L and gap count k .

Derived from: T5 (Cache Sufficiency)

Interpretation: The cache has bounded entropy regardless of problem size.

13 (7) Maximum Gap Size from Holonomy Horizon

Lemma 13.1 (Maximum Gap Size). *A gap of geodesic length g can be uniquely completed iff:*

$$g < \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{max}}}} \quad (15)$$

Gaps larger than this threshold admit multiple completions even with perfect boundary constraints.

Derived from: T4 (Fidelity) + T1 (Uniqueness)

Interpretation: The holonomy horizon is the maximum gap size.

14 (8) Multi-Agent Consensus

Conjecture 14.1 (Multi-Agent Consensus). *If agents A and B share anchor topology and both receive (Φ_t, r_t) with holonomy below budget, their completions W_A and W_B satisfy:*

$$d_g(W_A, W_B) \leq 2\varepsilon_{\text{disc}} \quad (16)$$

where $\varepsilon_{\text{disc}}$ is the discretization slack.

Derived from: T5 (Cache Sufficiency) + T1 (Uniqueness)

Interpretation: The consensus theorem for teleportation — agents converge.

Part IV

Second-Order Derivations

These 24 results follow from the first-order derivations.

15 From (1) Saturation Threshold

15.1 (1a) Constraint Redundancy Detection

Conjecture 15.1 (Constraint Redundancy Detection). *If $m > m^*$, then at least $(m - m^*)$ constraints are redundant — they can be removed without changing the unique completion. Constructively: a constraint c_i is redundant iff removing it does not increase $|S_{\text{valid}}|$ above 1.*

Derived from: F1 (Saturation)

15.2 (1b) Constraint Value Ordering

Conjecture 15.2 (Constraint Value Ordering). *Not all constraints contribute equally to shrinking S_{valid} . Define the information value of constraint c as:*

$$V(c) = \log |S_{\text{valid}}^{-c}| - \log |S_{\text{valid}}| \quad (17)$$

where S_{valid}^{-c} is the valid set without c . Constraints with higher $V(c)$ are more “informative” geometrically.

Derived from: F1 (Saturation)

Interpretation: Geometric entropy measure on constraints.

15.3 (1c) Phase Transition Sharpness

Corollary 15.3 (Phase Transition Sharpness). *Near m^* , the transition from multiple completions to unique completion is sharp:*

$$\left. \frac{d|S_{\text{valid}}|}{dm} \right|_{m=m^*} = -\frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\hat{K}_{\text{max}}} |S_{\text{valid}}| \quad (18)$$

The transition sharpens as $\hat{K}_{\text{max}} \rightarrow 0$ (flatter geometry = sharper phase transition).

Derived from: F1 (Saturation)

Interpretation: The manifold has a critical exponent.

16 From (2) Compositional Holonomy

16.1 (2a) Holonomy Algebra

Conjecture 16.1 (Holonomy Algebra). *The set of holonomy operators $\{\text{Hol}_\gamma\}$ under composition forms a group $H \subset GL(d)$. In the benign regime (all $\|\text{Hol} - I\| < \tau$), H is approximately abelian:*

$$[\text{Hol}_{\gamma_1}, \text{Hol}_{\gamma_2}] = \mathcal{O}(\tau^2) \quad (19)$$

Completion order doesn't matter to first order.

Derived from: F2 (Compositional Holonomy)

Interpretation: The holonomy group is nearly commutative in the benign regime.

16.2 (2b) Holonomy Decomposition

Lemma 16.2 (Holonomy Decomposition). *Any holonomy Hol_γ for a complex loop γ can be decomposed into elementary loops around single gaps:*

$$\text{Hol}_\gamma = \prod_i \text{Hol}_{\gamma_i} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2) \quad (20)$$

where γ_i are simple loops around individual gaps.

Derived from: F2 (Compositional Holonomy)

Interpretation: Holonomy factorization — complex reasoning decomposes into local completions.

16.3 (2c) Parallel Gap-Filling

Corollary 16.3 (Parallel Gap-Filling). *If gaps $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ have non-overlapping loop boundaries, their completions can be computed in parallel with combined error:*

$$\varepsilon_{\text{parallel}} = \varepsilon_{\text{sequential}} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2) \quad (21)$$

Derived from: F2 (Compositional Holonomy)

Interpretation: Parallelization with bounded additional error.

17 From (3) Consistency Test

17.1 (3a) Inconsistency Localization

Conjecture 17.1 (Inconsistency Localization). *If constraints C are inconsistent, there exists a minimal inconsistent subset $C' \subseteq C$ such that:*

- $|C'| \leq d + 1$ where $d = \dim(M)$
- The holonomy around $\bigcap_{c \in C'} R_c$ exceeds τ_{budget}

Inconsistency is always localizable to at most $(d + 1)$ constraints.

Derived from: F3 (Consistency Test)

Interpretation: This is a geometric Helly theorem.

17.2 (3b) Inconsistency Resolution

Algorithm 17.2 (Inconsistency Resolution). Given inconsistent C , iteratively:

1. Find minimal inconsistent C' (at most $d + 1$ constraints)
2. Compute holonomy excess: $\Delta\tau = \|\text{Hol}\| - \tau_{\text{budget}}$
3. Relax the weakest constraint in C' by $\Delta\tau$

Terminates in at most $|C|$ iterations with a consistent relaxed constraint set.

Derived from: F3 (Consistency Test)

Interpretation: How to fix an unsolvable sudoku by minimal relaxation.

18 From (4) Stability

18.1 (4a) Stability Radius

Conjecture 18.1 (Stability Radius). *For a completion W^* under constraints C , define the stability radius:*

$$r_{\text{stable}}(W^*) = \sup\{\delta : d_g(W_0, W'_0) < \delta \Rightarrow d_g(W^*, W'^*) < \varepsilon\} \quad (22)$$

Then:

$$r_{\text{stable}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\exp(\sqrt{\hat{K}_{\max}} \cdot L)} \quad (23)$$

Flatter geometry (smaller \hat{K}_{\max}) yields larger stability radius.

Derived from: F4 (Stability)

Interpretation: Quantifies robustness to input noise.

18.2 (4b) Adversarial Perturbation Bound

Corollary 18.2 (Adversarial Perturbation Bound). *To change the completion from W^* to a different W'^* with $d_g(W^*, W'^*) > \varepsilon$, an adversary must perturb inputs by at least:*

$$\delta_{\text{adv}} \geq \varepsilon \cdot \exp(-\sqrt{\hat{K}_{\max}} \cdot L) \quad (24)$$

Derived from: F4 (Stability)

Interpretation: Geometric adversarial robustness certificate.

19 From (5) Optimal Ordering

19.1 (5a) Ordering Regret Bound

Conjecture 19.1 (Ordering Regret Bound). *Let σ be any constraint ordering and σ^* the optimal ordering. The excess holonomy from suboptimal ordering is bounded:*

$$\sum_i \|\text{Hol}_{\sigma(i)}\| - \sum_i \|\text{Hol}_{\sigma^*(i)}\| \leq m \cdot \hat{K}_{\max} \cdot D^2 \quad (25)$$

where D is the diameter of $\bigcap_c R_c$.

Derived from: F5 (Ordering)

Interpretation: Greedy is near-optimal.

19.2 (5b) Constraint Ordering is Submodular

Corollary 19.2 (Submodularity). *The cumulative holonomy reduction from adding constraints is submodular:*

$$\Delta\text{Hol}(c|C_1) \geq \Delta\text{Hol}(c|C_2) \quad \text{whenever } C_1 \subseteq C_2 \quad (26)$$

Adding a constraint helps more when you have fewer constraints.

Derived from: F5 (Ordering)

Interpretation: Greedy achieves $(1 - 1/e)$ optimal by standard submodular optimization.

20 From (6) Cache Compression

20.1 (6a) Cache Rate-Distortion

Conjecture 20.1 (Cache Rate-Distortion). *For any compression of the reasoning state to fewer than $I[(\Phi_t, r_t)]$ bits, there exist completions with error exceeding ε . The Davis Cache is conjectured to be rate-distortion optimal.*

Derived from: F6 (Compression)

20.2 (6b) Incompressibility of Winding Code

Corollary 20.2 (Incompressibility of Winding). *The winding code component r_t cannot be compressed below $W \cdot \log 3$ bits without losing topological distinguishability of paths.*

Derived from: F6 (Compression)

Interpretation: Topology is incompressible.

20.3 (6c) Cache Sufficiency is Tight

Conjecture 20.3 (Cache Tightness). *There exists a family of manifolds and path pairs (γ_1, γ_2) with identical Φ_t but different r_t that yield completions differing by $\Omega(\varepsilon)$. Both components are necessary.*

Derived from: F6 (Compression)

Interpretation: You can't drop either Φ or r .

21 From (7) Maximum Gap

21.1 (7a) Gap Additivity

Conjecture 21.1 (Gap Additivity). *Multiple gaps of sizes g_1, \dots, g_k can all be uniquely completed iff:*

$$\sum_i g_i \cdot \sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{loc}}(g_i)} < \tau_{\text{budget}} \quad (27)$$

Gaps compete for the shared holonomy budget.

Derived from: F7 (Max Gap)

Interpretation: Gap budget allocation.

21.2 (7b) Optimal Gap Distribution

Corollary 21.2 (Optimal Gap Distribution). *Given total unknown length $G = \sum g_i$, uniqueness is maximized when gaps are distributed to minimize $\sum g_i \cdot \sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{loc}}(g_i)}$. In uniform curvature: equal-sized gaps are optimal.*

Derived from: F7 (Max Gap)

Interpretation: Spread your ignorance evenly.

21.3 (7c) Critical Gap Ratio

Lemma 21.3 (Critical Gap Ratio). *Define the critical gap ratio:*

$$\rho^* = \frac{g_{\max}}{L_{\text{total}}} \quad (28)$$

where g_{\max} is the largest gap and L_{total} is total path length. Unique completion requires:

$$\rho^* < \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\sqrt{\hat{K}_{\max}} \cdot L_{\text{total}}} \quad (29)$$

Derived from: F7 (Max Gap)

Interpretation: Gap fraction determines completion success.

22 From (8) Consensus

22.1 (8a) Consensus Convergence Rate

Conjecture 22.1 (Consensus Convergence). *If k agents iteratively share (Φ_t, r_t) and re-complete, their completions converge:*

$$d_g(W_i^{(n)}, W_j^{(n)}) \leq 2\varepsilon_{\text{disc}} \cdot \lambda^n \quad (30)$$

where $\lambda < 1$ depends on anchor alignment quality.

Derived from: F8 (Consensus)

Interpretation: Exponential convergence through cache exchange.

22.2 (8b) Byzantine Fault Tolerance

Corollary 22.2 (Byzantine Fault Tolerance). *If $f < k/3$ agents send corrupted (Φ_t, r_t) , the remaining agents can still reach consensus on the correct completion by majority filtering on r_t (discrete) and median filtering on Φ_t (continuous).*

Derived from: F8 (Consensus)

Interpretation: Protocol is BFT for free.

22.3 (8c) Anchor Misalignment Tolerance

Conjecture 22.3 (Anchor Misalignment Tolerance). *If agents share anchors with alignment error δ_A (i.e., $d_g(a_i^A, a_i^B) < \delta_A$ for all anchors), consensus completions satisfy:*

$$d_g(W_A, W_B) \leq 2\varepsilon_{\text{disc}} + K \cdot \delta_A \quad (31)$$

where K is the number of charts traversed.

Derived from: F8 (Consensus)

Interpretation: Approximate anchor alignment is enough.

Part V

Third-Order Derivations

These 33 results follow from the second-order derivations. For brevity, we state them with minimal commentary.

23 From (1a) Constraint Redundancy

Conjecture 23.1 (Minimal Constraint Basis). *Every sudoku-complete constraint set C contains a minimal basis $B \subseteq C$ of exactly m^* constraints such that:*

- *Removing any $b \in B$ breaks uniqueness*
- *All $c \in C \setminus B$ are expressible as geometric combinations of B*

*The basis is unique up to holonomy-preserving equivalence. This is the **geometric matroid** underlying the constraint system.*

Algorithm 23.2 (Basis Extraction). Given C with $|C| > m^*$:

1. Order constraints by information value $V(c)$
2. Greedily add c to B if it reduces $|S_{\text{valid}}|$
3. Stop when $|S_{\text{valid}}| = 1$

Outputs minimal basis in $O(m \cdot |C|)$ holonomy computations.

Corollary 23.3 (Constraint Dimension). *The dimension of the constraint space is:*

$$\dim(C) = m^* = \frac{\hat{K}_{\max} \cdot \log |S_{\text{unconstrained}}|}{\tau_{\text{budget}}} \quad (32)$$

*This is invariant under constraint reparameterization. The system has a **geometric rank**.*

24 From (1b) Constraint Value

Conjecture 24.1 (Information Monotonicity). *Constraint information value satisfies:*

$$V(c|C_1) \geq V(c|C_2) \quad \text{when } C_1 \subseteq C_2 \quad (33)$$

Later constraints are always less informative than earlier ones (diminishing returns).

Lemma 24.2 (Curvature-Information Duality). *For a constraint c with region R_c :*

$$V(c) = \int_{R_c} \hat{K}_{\text{loc}}(x) dV_g(x) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2) \quad (34)$$

*Information value equals integrated curvature over the constraint region. **Curvature is information.***

Corollary 24.3 (Optimal Observation Strategy). *To maximally reduce $|S_{\text{valid}}|$ with k observations, sample constraints from regions of highest integrated curvature. This is **geometric active learning**.*

25 From (1c) Phase Transition

Conjecture 25.1 (Critical Exponent). *Near the phase transition m^* , define order parameter $\phi = |S_{\text{valid}}| - 1$. Then:*

$$\phi \sim (m^* - m)^\beta, \quad \beta = \frac{\hat{K}_{\text{max}}}{\tau_{\text{budget}}} \quad (35)$$

*The critical exponent β is determined by the curvature-to-budget ratio. The manifold has **universality class**.*

Corollary 25.2 (Finite-Size Scaling). *For finite systems (bounded M), the transition smooths:*

$$\Delta m_{\text{transition}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Vol}(M)}} \quad (36)$$

Larger manifolds have sharper transitions.

26 From (2a) Holonomy Algebra

Conjecture 26.1 (Holonomy Lie Algebra). *In the infinitesimal limit ($\tau \rightarrow 0$), the holonomy operators generate a Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} with bracket:*

$$[A_{\gamma_1}, A_{\gamma_2}] = \oint_{\gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2} R \quad (37)$$

*where R is the curvature 2-form and $A_\gamma = \text{Hol}_\gamma - I$. **The holonomy algebra is the curvature.***

Corollary 26.2 (Holonomy Dimension Bound).

$$\dim(\mathfrak{h}) \leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2} \quad (38)$$

where $d = \dim(M)$. Equality holds iff curvature spans all antisymmetric matrices.

Lemma 26.3 (Abelianization Error). *The error from treating H as abelian is:*

$$\|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_1} \text{Hol}_{\gamma_2} - \text{Hol}_{\gamma_2} \text{Hol}_{\gamma_1}\| \leq \|R\|_{L^\infty} \cdot A(\gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2) \quad (39)$$

*where A is the area of loop intersection. Non-commutativity is **localized to intersections**.*

27 From (2b) Holonomy Decomposition

Conjecture 27.1 (Prime Loop Decomposition). *Under suitable topological conditions, every loop γ on M decomposes into prime loops $\{\pi_i\}$ (not decomposable into smaller loops) such that:*

$$\text{Hol}_\gamma = \prod_i \text{Hol}_{\pi_i} \quad (40)$$

The prime loops generate the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$.

Corollary 27.2 (Holonomy Basis). *The number of independent holonomy operators is bounded by a function of the topology, related to $\beta_1(M)$ (the first Betti number) and the holonomy group dimension. **Topology bounds holonomy complexity.***

Algorithm 27.3 (Loop Factorization). Given complex loop γ :

1. Compute homology class $[\gamma] \in H_1(M)$
2. Express $[\gamma] = \sum n_i[\pi_i]$ in prime basis
3. Approximate $\text{Hol}_\gamma \approx \prod (\text{Hol}_{\pi_i})^{n_i}$

Reduces holonomy computation from $O(\text{length}(\gamma))$ to $O(\beta_1)$.

28 From (2c) Parallel Gap-Filling

Conjecture 28.1 (Parallelization Overhead). *The overhead from parallel vs. sequential gap-filling is exactly:*

$$\varepsilon_{\text{overhead}} = \sum_{i < j} \|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_i}, \text{Hol}_{\gamma_j}\| \quad (41)$$

This is computable before execution.

Corollary 28.2 (Optimal Parallelization Partition). *Given gaps $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$, the optimal partition into parallel batches minimizes:*

$$\sum_{\text{batches } i < j \in \text{batch}} A(\gamma_i \cap \gamma_j) \quad (42)$$

Gaps with non-intersecting boundaries should be parallelized.

Lemma 28.3 (Amdahl's Law for Geometric Completion). *Maximum speedup from parallelization:*

$$S_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{f_{\text{sequential}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{overhead}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{total}}}} \quad (43)$$

where $f_{\text{sequential}}$ is the fraction of inherently sequential holonomy.

29 From (3a) Inconsistency Localization

Conjecture 29.1 (Geometric Helly Number). *The Helly number of constraint consistency on a d -dimensional Davis manifold is at most $d + 1$ under geodesic convexity assumptions. That is: C is consistent iff every subset of size $\leq d + 1$ is consistent.*

Corollary 29.2 (Inconsistency Detection Complexity). *Checking consistency of m constraints requires at most:*

$$\binom{m}{d+1} = O(m^{d+1}) \quad (44)$$

holonomy computations. For fixed d , this is polynomial in m .

Algorithm 29.3 (Fast Inconsistency Detection). Using geometric hashing:

1. Hash each constraint by its boundary holonomy signature
2. Constraints with incompatible signatures cannot be jointly consistent
3. Only check $(d + 1)$ -tuples with compatible signatures

Expected complexity $O(m^2)$ for randomly distributed constraints.

30 From (3b) Inconsistency Resolution

Conjecture 30.1 (Minimal Relaxation). *Among all relaxations of inconsistent C to consistent C' , the minimal relaxation C^{*} satisfies:*

$$\sum_{c \in C} d_{\text{relax}}(c, c') \geq \oint_{\partial(\cap R_c)} \|\text{Hol} - I\| - \tau_{\text{budget}} \quad (45)$$

with equality for C^{*} . **Minimum edit distance to consistency equals holonomy excess.**

Corollary 30.2 (Relaxation is Unique). *If the holonomy excess is distributed among constraints proportionally to their boundary curvature contribution, the relaxation is unique.*

Lemma 30.3 (Relaxation Preserves Structure). *Minimal relaxation preserves constraint topology:*

$$\pi_1 \left(\bigcap_{c \in C'} R_{c'} \right) \cong \pi_1 \left(\bigcap_{c \in C} R_c \right) \quad (46)$$

when relaxation is below the injectivity radius. You don't **tear** the constraint space, just stretch it.

31 From (4a) Stability Radius

Conjecture 31.1 (Stability is Curvature-Determined). *The stability radius satisfies:*

$$r_{\text{stable}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{max}}}} \cdot \frac{1}{L} \quad (47)$$

Stability degrades linearly with path length and with square root of curvature.

Corollary 31.2 (Condition Number of Completion). *Define the geometric condition number:*

$$\kappa_g = \frac{L \cdot \sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{max}}}}{\tau_{\text{budget}}} \quad (48)$$

Completions with $\kappa_g > 1$ are ill-conditioned. This is **numerical stability** for geometric inference.

Lemma 31.3 (Stability Under Constraint Perturbation). *If constraint c is perturbed to c' with $d_g(R_c, R_{c'}) < \delta_c$, then:*

$$d_g(W^*, W'^*) \leq \delta_c \cdot V(c) \quad (49)$$

High-information constraints are more sensitive to perturbation.

32 From (4b) Adversarial Bound

Conjecture 32.1 (Adversarial Budget). *To force completion to a target W_{adv} with $d_g(W^*, W_{\text{adv}}) = \Delta$, an adversary must spend budget:*

$$B_{\text{adv}} \geq \Delta \cdot \exp(-\kappa_g) \quad (50)$$

Attacks are expensive when condition number is low.

Corollary 32.2 (Certified Radius). *No perturbation of size $\delta < r_{\text{stable}}$ can change the completion. This is a **geometric certificate**.*

Algorithm 32.3 (Adversarial Detection via Stability). Given input W_0 and completion W^* :

1. Compute r_{stable}
2. Sample perturbations of size $r_{\text{stable}}/2$
3. If completions vary by more than ε , flag as adversarial

Detects attacks that reduce stability radius.

33 From (5a) Regret Bound

Conjecture 33.1 (Regret Decomposition). *Total regret decomposes:*

$$\text{Regret}(\sigma) = \sum_i \sum_{j>i} \|[\text{Hol}_{\sigma(i)}, \text{Hol}_{\sigma(j)}]\| \quad (51)$$

Regret is sum of commutator norms over ordering inversions.

Corollary 33.2 (Optimal Order is Curvature-Sorted). *When constraints have nested regions ($R_{c_1} \supset R_{c_2} \supset \dots$), optimal order is by decreasing integrated curvature. Large, curved constraints first.*

34 From (5b) Submodularity

Conjecture 34.1 (Greedy Approximation Ratio). *Greedy constraint ordering achieves holonomy reduction within factor $(1 - 1/e)$ of optimal:*

$$\text{Hol}_{\text{greedy}} \leq (1 - 1/e) \cdot \text{Hol}_{\text{optimal}} + \tau_{\text{budget}} \quad (52)$$

Corollary 34.2 (Online Constraint Selection). *Constraints arriving online can be greedily accepted/rejected with competitive ratio $(1 - 1/e)$ against offline optimal.*

35 From (6a) Rate-Distortion

Conjecture 35.1 (Cache is Sufficient Statistic). *(Φ_t, r_t) is a minimal sufficient statistic for completion:*

$$I(W^*; W_0, \gamma) = I(W^*; \Phi_t, r_t) \quad (53)$$

All completion-relevant information is captured.

Corollary 35.2 (No Better Cache Exists). *Any cache with fewer than $I[(\Phi_t, r_t)]$ bits must either lose completions or introduce errors exceeding ε .*

36 From (6b) Winding Incompressibility

Conjecture 36.1 (Winding Code is Homological). *The winding code r_t encodes the homology class of the path:*

$$r_t \cong [\gamma_{0:t}] \in H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \quad (54)$$

Winding counts crossings of homology generators.

Corollary 36.2 (Winding Dimension Equals Betti Number).

$$|r_t| = \beta_1(M) \cdot \log 3 \quad (55)$$

bits (for winding in $\{-1, 0, +1\}$ per generator).

37 From (6c) Cache Tightness

Lemma 37.1 (Φ -Distinguishability). *Paths with different Φ_t are geometrically separated:*

$$\Phi_t \neq \Phi'_t \Rightarrow d_g(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) > \varepsilon \quad (56)$$

Lemma 37.2 (r -Distinguishability). *Paths with different r_t are topologically separated:*

$$r_t \neq r'_t \Rightarrow [\gamma] \neq [\gamma'] \in \pi_1(M) \quad (57)$$

Conjecture 37.3 (Cache Separates All Paths). *Two paths yield the same completion iff they have identical (Φ_t, r_t) :*

$$W_\gamma^* = W_{\gamma'}^* \Leftrightarrow (\Phi_t, r_t) = (\Phi'_t, r'_t) \quad (58)$$

Complete invariant.

38 From (7a) Gap Additivity

Conjecture 38.1 (Gap Budget Allocation). *Given total gap length G and holonomy budget τ , optimal allocation minimizes:*

$$\min_{\{g_i\}} \sum_i g_i \sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{loc}}(g_i)} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_i g_i = G \quad (59)$$

Solution: allocate proportionally to $1/\hat{K}_{\text{loc}}$. Put gaps where curvature is low.

Corollary 38.2 (Gap Capacity). *Maximum total gap length achievable:*

$$G_{\text{max}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\min_x \sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{loc}}(x)}} \quad (60)$$

Capacity is determined by the flattest region.

Lemma 38.3 (Gap Interference). *Gaps g_i and g_j interfere iff their loop boundaries share edges. Interference cost:*

$$I(g_i, g_j) = \|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_i \cap \gamma_j}\| \quad (61)$$

39 From (7b) Optimal Distribution

Conjecture 39.1 (Uniform Distribution Optimality). *In constant curvature ($\hat{K}_{\text{loc}} = \hat{K}_{\text{max}}$ everywhere), equal-sized gaps minimize total holonomy:*

$$g_i = G/k \quad \forall i \quad (62)$$

Corollary 39.2 (Gap Fragmentation Principle). *Many small gaps are better than few large gaps:*

$$\sum_i \sqrt{g_i} \leq \sqrt{k} \cdot \sqrt{G/k} = \sqrt{G} \quad (63)$$

*with equality for uniform distribution. **Divide your ignorance.***

40 From (7c) Critical Ratio

Conjecture 40.1 (Observability Threshold). *A world model is observable (admits unique completion) iff:*

$$\rho_{\text{observed}} = \frac{L_{\text{observed}}}{L_{\text{total}}} > 1 - \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{max}} \cdot L_{\text{total}}}} \quad (64)$$

Must observe at least this fraction.

Corollary 40.2 (Minimum Observation Density). *The minimum observation density for unique completion:*

$$\rho_{\text{min}} = 1 - \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{max}} \cdot L_{\text{total}}}} \quad (65)$$

In flat geometry ($\hat{K}_{\text{max}} \rightarrow 0$), even sparse observations suffice.

41 From (8a) Convergence

Conjecture 41.1 (Convergence Rate).

$$\lambda = 1 - \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\hat{K}_{\text{max}} \cdot D^2} \quad (66)$$

where D is manifold diameter. Flatter geometry = faster consensus.

Corollary 41.2 (Mixing Time). *Agents reach ε -consensus in:*

$$T_{\text{mix}} = \frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{\log(1/\lambda)} = O\left(\frac{\hat{K}_{\text{max}} \cdot D^2}{\tau_{\text{budget}}} \log(1/\varepsilon)\right) \quad (67)$$

42 From (8b) BFT

Conjecture 42.1 (Geometric BFT Threshold). *With f Byzantine agents among k total:*

$$f < \frac{k}{3} \cdot \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\hat{K}_{\text{max}}} \quad (68)$$

Curvature reduces fault tolerance.

Corollary 42.2 (Flat Geometry Maximizes Fault Tolerance). *As $\hat{K}_{\text{max}} \rightarrow 0$, Byzantine threshold approaches $k/3$ (classical optimal).*

43 From (8c) Misalignment

Conjecture 43.1 (Alignment-Consensus Tradeoff).

$$d_g(W_A, W_B) \leq 2\varepsilon_{\text{disc}} + K \cdot \delta_A + \frac{\delta_A^2}{\tau_{\text{budget}}} \quad (69)$$

Quadratic penalty for large misalignment.

Corollary 43.2 (Maximum Tolerable Misalignment).

$$\delta_A^{\max} = \sqrt{\tau_{\text{budget}} \cdot \varepsilon} \quad (70)$$

Beyond this, consensus degrades rapidly.

Algorithm 43.3 (Anchor Alignment Protocol). Given agents A, B with potentially misaligned anchors:

1. Exchange cache states $(\Phi_t^A, r_t^A), (\Phi_t^B, r_t^B)$
2. Compute alignment error: $\delta_A \approx \|\Phi_t^A - \Phi_t^B\|$ (on shared test paths)
3. If $\delta_A > \delta_A^{\max}$, run anchor recalibration
4. Else proceed with completion

Part VI

Fourth-Order Derivations (Synthesis)

These 13 results synthesize across third-order branches.

44 Basis-Cache Duality

Conjecture 44.1 (Basis-Cache Duality). *The minimal constraint basis B and the Davis cache (Φ_t, r_t) are dual representations:*

$$|B| = m^* = \frac{I[(\Phi_t, r_t)]}{\log(1/\varepsilon)} \quad (71)$$

*Constraints and cache carry the same information, differently encoded. This is **duality between observations and state**.*

Derived from: T1a-i (Minimal Basis) + T6a-i (Sufficient Statistic)

45 Information-Curvature Conservation

Conjecture 45.1 (Information-Curvature Conservation). *Total information required for completion equals total curvature over gaps:*

$$I_{\text{required}} = \int_{\text{gaps}} \hat{K}_{\text{loc}}(x) dV_g(x) \quad (72)$$

Information and curvature are conserved quantities.

Derived from: T1b-ii (Curvature-Info Duality) + T7a-i (Gap Allocation)

Corollary 45.2 (Observation-Gap Complementarity).

$$\int_{\text{observed}} \hat{K}_{\text{loc}} dV + \int_{\text{gaps}} \hat{K}_{\text{loc}} dV = \int_M \hat{K}_{\text{loc}} dV = \text{const} \quad (73)$$

What you observe and what you infer sum to the total manifold curvature.

46 Structure Theorem for Davis Cache

Conjecture 46.1 (Structure Theorem). *The Davis cache decomposes as:*

$$(\Phi_t, r_t) \cong \mathfrak{h}^* \times H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \quad (74)$$

Continuous part lives in dual of holonomy algebra; discrete part lives in first homology.

Derived from: T2a-i (Lie Algebra) + T6b-i (Winding Homological)

Corollary 46.2 (Cache Dimension Formula).

$$\dim(\Phi_t) = \dim(\mathfrak{h}) \leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2} \quad (75)$$

$$|r_t| = \beta_1(M) \quad (76)$$

Both determined by manifold topology.

47 Constraint-Loop Duality

Conjecture 47.1 (Constraint-Loop Duality). *The minimal constraint basis B has size:*

$$|B| = \beta_1(M) + d + 1 \quad (77)$$

*First Betti number (topological) plus Helly number (geometric). Constraints split into **topological and geometric components**.*

Derived from: T2b-ii (Holonomy Basis) + T3a-i (Helly Number)

48 Condition-Convergence Relationship

Conjecture 48.1 (Condition-Convergence).

$$T_{\text{mix}} = O(\kappa_g^2 \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon)) \quad (78)$$

*Consensus time scales with square of condition number. **Ill-conditioned problems have slow consensus**.*

Derived from: T4a-ii (Condition Number) + T8a-i (Convergence Rate)

49 Greedy Gap-Filling Near-Optimal

Conjecture 49.1 (Greedy Gap-Filling). *Greedly filling smallest gaps first achieves:*

$$\text{Hol}_{\text{greedy}} \leq (1 + 1/e) \cdot \text{Hol}_{\text{optimal}} \quad (79)$$

Combined with constraint ordering, total approximation ratio is $(1 - 1/e^2)$.

Derived from: T5b-i (Greedy Ratio) + T7b-ii (Fragmentation)

50 Phase Diagram of Completion

Conjecture 50.1 (Phase Diagram). *The $(\rho_{\text{observed}}, \hat{K}_{\text{max}})$ plane divides into:*

1. *Unique completion region:* $\rho > \rho_{\min}(\hat{K})$
2. *Multiple completion region:* $\rho < \rho_{\min}(\hat{K})$
3. *Critical line:* $\rho = \rho_{\min}(\hat{K})$ with phase transition

The critical line is:

$$\rho_{\text{crit}}(\hat{K}) = 1 - \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{\sqrt{\hat{K}} \cdot L} \quad (80)$$

Derived from: T1c-i (Critical Exponent) + T7c-i (Observability)

51 Fault-Constraint Duality

Conjecture 51.1 (Fault-Constraint Duality). *Byzantine agents act as inconsistent constraints. Maximum tolerable:*

$$f_{\max} = \frac{k - (d + 1)}{3} \quad (81)$$

Must have enough honest agents to satisfy Helly bound.

Derived from: T3a-i (Helly) + T8b-i (BFT Threshold)

52 Universal Cache Protocol

Conjecture 52.1 (Universal Cache Protocol). *For any two agents A, B with bounded misalignment $\delta_A < \delta_A^{\max}$:*

1. *Cache exchange: $O(d_\Phi + \beta_1)$ bits*
2. *Alignment check: $O(1)$ paths*
3. *Consensus: $O(T_{\text{mix}})$ rounds*

*Total communication: $O(d_\Phi + \beta_1 + T_{\text{mix}})$. **Optimal** for the given geometric constraints.*

Derived from: T6c-iii (Cache Separates) + T8c-iii (Alignment Protocol)

53 Representation Theorem

Conjecture 53.1 (Representation Theorem). *The following are equal:*

1. *Minimal constraint basis size $|B| = m^*$*
2. *Cache information $I[(\Phi_t, r_t)] / \log(1/\varepsilon)$*
3. *Holonomy algebra dimension + Betti number + 1*
4. *Geometric degrees of freedom for completion*

Fundamental invariant of the completion problem.

Derived from: T1a-iii (Constraint Dim) + T6a-ii (No Better Cache)

54 Parallel-Sequential Equivalence

Conjecture 54.1 (Parallel-Sequential Equivalence). *Total holonomy is invariant under execution strategy:*

$$\text{Hol}_{\text{sequential}} + \text{Regret}(\sigma) = \text{Hol}_{\text{parallel}} + \varepsilon_{\text{overhead}} \quad (82)$$

*for any ordering σ and any parallel partition. **Conservation law for holonomy.***

Derived from: T2c-i (Overhead) + T5a-i (Regret Decomposition)

55 Attack Surface Geometry

Conjecture 55.1 (Attack Surface Geometry). *The adversarial attack surface has measure:*

$$\mu(\text{Attack}) = G_{\max} \cdot \exp(-\kappa_g) \quad (83)$$

Large gap capacity but high condition number = small attack surface.

Derived from: T4b-i (Adversarial Budget) + T7a-ii (Gap Capacity)

Part VII

Fifth-Order Derivations

56 Energy Derivations (E1–E5)

From E0: Principle of Least Holonomy

Conjecture 56.1 (Geodesic Completion). *In regions where $\hat{K}_{\text{loc}} < \hat{K}_{\text{max}}$ uniformly, energy-minimizing paths are geodesics:*

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = 0 \quad (84)$$

Optimal reasoning follows straight lines in flat regions.

Conjecture 56.2 (Energy-Holonomy Equivalence). *For benign paths, total energy equals integrated holonomy:*

$$E[\gamma] = \lambda_3 \oint_{\partial\gamma} \|\text{Hol} - I\| + O(\tau^2) \quad (85)$$

Energy is holonomy (to first order).

Conjecture 56.3 (Noether's Theorem for Reasoning). *If the manifold has a symmetry (isometry group G), then there exists a conserved quantity along optimal paths:*

$$J_G = \langle \dot{\gamma}, \xi_G \rangle = \text{const} \quad (86)$$

where ξ_G is the Killing field of G . *Symmetries produce conservation laws.*

Conjecture 56.4 (Hamilton-Jacobi Equation). *The optimal completion value function $V(W_0, W_{\text{goal}})$ satisfies:*

$$\|\nabla V\|^2 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \hat{K}_{\text{loc}} + \lambda_3 \|\text{Hol} - I\| \quad (87)$$

This PDE characterizes all optimal completions simultaneously.

Corollary 56.5 (Principle of Stationary Holonomy). *Among all completions connecting W_0 to W^* , the realized completion is the one for which holonomy is stationary:*

$$\delta \oint \text{Hol} = 0 \quad (88)$$

The variational principle for reasoning.

57 Dynamics Derivations (D1–D6)

Manifold Evolution: $\partial M/\partial t$

Conjecture 57.1 (Cache Invalidation Condition). *The Davis Cache (Φ_t, r_t) remains valid under manifold update $\partial M/\partial t$ iff:*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^\infty} < \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{T \cdot L} \quad (89)$$

where T is time since cache computation and L is path length. Beyond this rate, cache must be recomputed.

Conjecture 57.2 (Learning-Completion Tradeoff). *During active learning with rate η , the completion capacity degrades:*

$$C_{\text{dyn}} = \frac{\tau}{K + \eta \cdot T} \quad (90)$$

Fast learning temporarily reduces completion capacity.

Conjecture 57.3 (Manifold Stability Under Updates). *A manifold remains in the benign regime during learning iff:*

$$\frac{\partial \hat{K}_{\text{max}}}{\partial t} < \frac{\tau_{\text{budget}}}{s_{\text{max}}^2} \quad (91)$$

Curvature cannot grow faster than the holonomy horizon can accommodate.

Conjecture 57.4 (Basin Drift Bound). *If basin B has center c_B at time t , then under learning:*

$$\|c_B(t + \Delta t) - c_B(t)\| \leq \eta \cdot \Delta t \cdot \sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{loc}}(c_B)} \quad (92)$$

Basins in high-curvature regions drift faster.

Conjecture 57.5 (Anchor Persistence). *An anchor set A remains valid (preserves cache structure) iff no anchor crosses a basin boundary:*

$$d_g(a_i(t), \partial B_j) > \eta \cdot T \cdot \sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{max}}} \quad \forall i, j \quad (93)$$

Anchors must stay away from basin boundaries by a margin proportional to learning rate.

Corollary 57.6 (Safe Learning Rate). *The maximum learning rate that preserves all completion guarantees:*

$$\eta_{\text{safe}} = \min \left(\frac{\tau}{T \cdot L \cdot K}, \frac{d_{\text{min}}(A, \partial B)}{T \cdot \sqrt{\hat{K}_{\text{max}}}} \right) \quad (94)$$

where d_{min} is minimum anchor-to-boundary distance. **This is the speed limit for training.**

Part VIII

The Davis Manifold Relaxation Algorithm

58 Problem Formulation

Given:

- Partial world W_0 , goal W_{goal} , constraints C
- Curvature bound \hat{K}_{max} , holonomy budget τ_{budget}

Goal: Compute a benign, low-curvature path γ^* from W_0 to W_{goal} whose completions obey the Sudoku Principle.

59 Algorithm Steps

1. Initialization (Anchor Step)

- Map W_0, W_{goal} to anchors $z_{\text{start}}, z_{\text{end}}$
- Initialize γ as a geodesic between anchors

2. Constraint Projection (Sudoku Step)

- For each constraint $c \in C$, define valid region $R_c \subset M$
- Project γ toward R_c ; treat violations as forces pulling γ back into $\bigcap_c R_c$

3. Manifold Relaxation (Energy Minimization)

- Define Davis Energy Functional:

$$E[\gamma] = \lambda_1 \int_0^L ds + \lambda_2 \int_0^L \hat{K}_{\text{loc}}(s) ds + \lambda_3 \int_0^L \|\text{Hol}_{\gamma_s} - I\| ds \quad (95)$$

- Gradient descent or geodesic shooting to minimize functional subject to boundary conditions

4. Discretization & Harmonization (BRIDGE Step)

- Discretize γ^* via speed-of-thought CFL: $\Delta s \approx \ell_c / \sqrt{1 + \hat{K}_{\text{loc}}}$
- Execute reasoning via BRIDGE-like engine
- Use Davis cache to store (Φ_t, r_t)
- Apply harmonization H on observable operations
- On failure (e.g., exit code 126 or holonomy spike), add new constraint at collision point and re-run relaxation

5. Output

- Verified deterministic path γ^* with:
 - Bounded curvature and holonomy
 - Unique completion guarantees
 - Zero hallucinated observables under H

60 Correctness Links to Theorems

- **Theorem 1:** Uniqueness of γ^* 's induced world completion
- **Theorem 2:** Harmonization ensures deterministic replay
- **Theorem 3:** Effective search-space reduction via energy functional
- **Theorem 4:** Linear error bounds for any remaining gaps
- **Theorem 5:** Use of Davis cache as sufficient state
- **E0:** Energy minimization selects optimal path

Part IX

Applications

61 Curvature-Aware Training Data Filtering

Use \hat{K}_{loc} as a pretrain filter:

- Estimate curvature induced by documents/tasks
- Exclude high-curvature samples to learn flatter world manifolds
- Extends the Sudoku regime

62 Teleporting Reasoning State Between Agents

Protocol:

- Each agent maintains (Φ_t, r_t)
- On handoff, send only this cache and relevant constraints
- Receiver reconstructs local view and continues Davis Manifold Relaxation
- Communication cost: $O(d_\Phi + \beta_1)$ bits

63 Adversarial Detection via Holonomy Spikes

Prompt/observation injection shatters geometry:

- Sudden increase in \hat{K}_{loc} or $\|\text{Hol} - I\|$ across loops that used to be benign
- Detect and block completions when holonomy exceeds τ_{budget}
- Detection before generation via curvature monitoring

64 Mental Stack Trace Debugger

Log $(\Phi_t, r_t, \hat{K}_{\text{loc}}, \text{Hol})$ along reasoning:

- On failure, locate step where:
 - Curvature exceeded \hat{K}_{max} , or
 - Holonomy budget violated
- Provide human-readable “where it lost the Sudoku solution” stack trace
- Geometric debugging: “model lost coherence at step 43 because curvature exceeded 1.0”

Appendices

A Notation Reference

Symbol	Definition
(M, g)	Davis manifold with metric g
\hat{K}_{loc}	Local normalized curvature
\hat{K}_{max}	Maximum curvature bound
τ_{budget}	Holonomy/tolerance budget
Hol_γ	Holonomy operator around loop γ
s_{max}	Holonomy horizon (maximum coherent path length)
ℓ_c	Characteristic semantic length
C	Constraint set
R_c	Valid region for constraint c
W, W_0, W^*	World state, partial world, completion
Φ_t	Continuous potential (location on manifold)
r_t	Topological residue (chart, basin, winding code)
(Φ_t, r_t)	Davis cache
m^*	Constraint saturation threshold
S_{valid}	Set of valid completions
$V(c)$	Information value of constraint c
κ_g	Geometric condition number
$\beta_1(M)$	First Betti number of M
\mathfrak{h}	Holonomy Lie algebra
$\varepsilon_{\text{disc}}$	Discretization slack
η	Learning rate
η_{safe}	Maximum safe learning rate
C (in $C = \tau/K$)	Inference capacity / completion
Γ	Trichotomy parameter
$E[\gamma]$	Davis energy functional

B Dependency Summary

Foundation \rightarrow First Order:

- T1 + T3 \rightarrow F1 (Saturation)
- T1 + Cor \rightarrow F2 (Compositional)
- T1 \rightarrow F3 (Consistency)
- T1 + T4 \rightarrow F4 (Stability)
- T3 + F2 \rightarrow F5 (Ordering)
- T5 \rightarrow F6 (Compression)

- $T4 + T1 \rightarrow F7$ (Max Gap)
- $T5 + T1 \rightarrow F8$ (Consensus)

First \rightarrow Second: Each F_i generates multiple S_{ix} results (see main text).

Second \rightarrow Third: Each S_{ix} generates multiple T_{ix-y} results (see main text).

Third \rightarrow Fourth: Cross-synthesis produces FO1–FO14.

Foundation \rightarrow Fifth: E0 generates E1–E5 (Energy). T1, T5, FO, S6, S8 generate D1–D6 (Dynamics).

Fourth + Fifth \rightarrow Master: FO9, FO12, E5, D6 \rightarrow Extended Trichotomy \rightarrow Master Equations.

“The amount you can know from incomplete information is inversely proportional to the curvature of the space where that information lives.”

The Davis Law: $C = \tau/K$
