Fast Matrix Exponentiation With Applications

Nurseiit Abdimomyn

UNIST

October 27, 2018

Outline

Consider two matrices:

Consider two matrices:

• Matrix A is n * k dimensional.

Consider two matrices:

- Matrix A is n * k dimensional.
- Matrix B is k * m dimensional.

Consider two matrices:

- Matrix A is n * k dimensional.
- Matrix B is k * m dimensional.

Notice that A's columns and B's rows number are identical!

Consider two matrices:

- Matrix A is n * k dimensional.
- Matrix B is k * m dimensional.

Notice that A's columns and B's rows number are identical!

Then we define matrix C = A * B as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \dots & c_{1m} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \dots & c_{2m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \dots & c_{nm} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nk} \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1m} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \dots & b_{2m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ b_{k1} & b_{k2} & \dots & b_{km} \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider two matrices:

- Matrix A is n * k dimensional.
- Matrix B is k * m dimensional.

Notice that A's columns and B's rows number are identical!

Then we define matrix C = A * B as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \dots & c_{1m} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \dots & c_{2m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \dots & c_{nm} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nk} \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1m} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \dots & b_{2m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ b_{k1} & b_{k2} & \dots & b_{km} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, C is an n * m dimensional matrix.

Which is calculated as:
$$c_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{k} a_{ir} * b_{rj}$$

Couple of things to notice

 Matrix C has n * m elements and each element is computed in k steps with given formula.

Couple of things to notice

 Matrix C has n * m elements and each element is computed in k steps with given formula.

Thus, we can obtain C in O(n*m*k), given A and B.

Couple of things to notice

- Matrix C has n*m elements and each element is computed in k steps with given formula.
 - Thus, we can obtain C in O(n * m * k), given A and B.
- If n = m = k (i.e. both A and B have n rows and n columns), then C has n rows and n columns, and can be computed in $O(n^3)$.

• It is not commutative: $A * B \neq B * A$ in general case.

- It is not commutative: $A * B \neq B * A$ in general case.
- It is associative:

$$A * B * C = (A * B) * C = A * (B * C)$$
 in case $A * B * C$ exists;

- It is not commutative: $A * B \neq B * A$ in general case.
- It is associative:

$$A * B * C = (A * B) * C = A * (B * C)$$
 in case $A * B * C$ exists;

- If you have a matrix with n rows and n columns, then multiplying it by I_n gives the same matrix.
 - i. e. $I_n * A = A * I_n = A$.

- It is not commutative: $A * B \neq B * A$ in general case.
- It is associative: A * B * C = (A * B) * C = A * (B * C) in case A * B * C exists;
- If you have a matrix with n rows and n columns, then multiplying it by I_n gives the same matrix.

i. e.
$$I_n * A = A * I_n = A$$
.

Where I_n is a matrix with n rows and n columns of such form:

$$I_n = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Outline

Matrix exponentiation

Suppose we need to find some n * n dimensional square matrix A to the power p or A^p

Matrix exponentiation

Suppose we need to find some n*n dimensional square matrix A to the power p or A^p

We can do so via:

```
function matpow_naive(A, p):
    result = I_n
    for i = 1..p:
        result = result * A
    return result
```

Which will run in $O(n^3 * p)$

Fast Matrix exponentiation

Can we do it any faster?

Fast Matrix exponentiation

Can we do it any faster?

Yes, we can apply the BinPower algorithm here:

```
function matBinPow(A, p):
    result = I_n
    while p > 0:
    if p % 2 == 1:
        result = result * A
    A = A * A
    p = p / 2
    return result
```

Which will run in $O(n^3 * \log p)$

Outline

Fibonacci numbers, F_n are defined as:

•
$$F_0 = F_1 = 1$$

•
$$F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}$$
 for $i > 1$.

Fibonacci numbers, F_n are defined as:

- $F_0 = F_1 = 1$
- $F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}$ for i > 1.

We want to calculate $F_n \mod M$, where $n < 10^{18}$ and $M = 10^9 + 7$.

Suppose we have a vector (matrix with one row and several columns) of (F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) and we want to multiply it by some matrix, so that we get (F_{i-1}, F_i) as a result.

Suppose we have a vector (matrix with one row and several columns) of (F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) and we want to multiply it by some matrix, so that we get (F_{i-1}, F_i) as a result. Let's call this matrix M: $(F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) * M = (F_{i-1}, F_i)$

Two questions we should answer arise immediately:

- What are the dimensions of *M*?
- ② What are the exact values in M?

We can answer them using the definition of matrix multiplication:

We can answer them using the definition of matrix multiplication:

• The size. We multiply (F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) , which has 1 row and 2 columns, by M. The result is (F_{i-1}, F_i) , which has 1 row and 2 columns.

We can answer them using the definition of matrix multiplication:

• The size.

We multiply (F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) , which has 1 row and 2 columns, by M. The result is (F_{i-1}, F_i) , which has 1 row and 2 columns.

By definition, if we multiply a matrix with N rows and K columns by a matrix with K rows and L columns, we get a matrix with N rows and L columns.

Therefore, matrix M has K = 2 rows and L = 2 columns.

We can answer them using the definition of matrix multiplication:

• The values.

We can answer them using the definition of matrix multiplication:

The values.
 We now know that M has 2 rows and 2 columns, 4 values overall.
 Lets denote them by letters, as we usually do with unknown variables:

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right]$$

We can answer them using the definition of matrix multiplication:

The values.
 We now know that M has 2 rows and 2 columns, 4 values overall.
 Lets denote them by letters, as we usually do with unknown variables:

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right]$$

We want to find a, b, c and d.

We have,

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right]$$

We have,

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right]$$

We want to find a, b, c and d.

Let's see what we get if we multiply (F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) by M by definition:

We have,

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right]$$

We want to find a, b, c and d.

Let's see what we get if we multiply (F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) by M by definition:

$$(F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) * \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = (a * F_{i-2} + c * F_{i-1}, b * F_{i-2} + d * F_{i-1})$$

We have,

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right]$$

We want to find a, b, c and d.

Let's see what we get if we multiply (F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) by M by definition:

$$(F_{i-2},F_{i-1})*\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = (a*F_{i-2}+c*F_{i-1},b*F_{i-2}+d*F_{i-1})$$

Moreover, we know that the result of this multiplication must be (F_{i-1}, F_i) : $(a * F_{i-2} + c * F_{i-1}, b * F_{i-2} + d * F_{i-1}) = (F_{i-1}, F_i)$

Now we can write the system of equations:

•
$$a * F_{i-2} + c * F_{i-1} = F_{i-1}$$

•
$$b * F_{i-2} + d * F_{i-1} = F_i$$

Now we can write the system of equations:

•
$$a * F_{i-2} + c * F_{i-1} = F_{i-1}$$

•
$$b * F_{i-2} + d * F_{i-1} = F_i$$

The easiest solution is to set:

$$a = 0, b = 1, c = 1, d = 1$$

Now we can write the system of equations:

•
$$a * F_{i-2} + c * F_{i-1} = F_{i-1}$$

•
$$b * F_{i-2} + d * F_{i-1} = F_i$$

The easiest solution is to set:

$$a = 0, b = 1, c = 1, d = 1$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$(F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) * \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = (F_{i-1}, F_i)$$

You may wonder why on Earth would we need to overcomplicate the problem, introduce matrices or else? Hang on, you'll see in a moment.

You may wonder why on Earth would we need to overcomplicate the problem, introduce matrices or else? Hang on, you'll see in a moment. Initially, we have F_0 and F_1 . $(F_0, F_1) = (1, 1)$

You may wonder why on Earth would we need to overcomplicate the problem, introduce matrices or else?

Hang on, you'll see in a moment.

Initially, we have F_0 and F_1 .

$$(F_0,F_1)=(1,1)$$

By multiplying this vector with the matrix M we get:

$$(F_1, F_2) = (1, 2) \text{ or } (1, 1) * M = (1, 2).$$

You may wonder why on Earth would we need to overcomplicate the problem, introduce matrices or else?

Hang on, you'll see in a moment.

Initially, we have F_0 and F_1 .

$$(F_0,F_1)=(1,1)$$

By multiplying this vector with the matrix M we get:

$$(F_1, F_2) = (1, 2) \text{ or } (1, 1) * M = (1, 2).$$

If we multiply (1,2) by M:

$$(1,2)*M=(2,3)$$

You may wonder why on Earth would we need to overcomplicate the problem, introduce matrices or else?

Hang on, you'll see in a moment.

Initially, we have F_0 and F_1 .

$$(F_0, F_1) = (1, 1)$$

By multiplying this vector with the matrix M we get:

$$(F_1, F_2) = (1, 2) \text{ or } (1, 1) * M = (1, 2).$$

If we multiply (1,2) by M:

$$(1,2)*M=(2,3)$$

But we could get the same result by multiplying (1,1) by M^2 .

$$(1,1)*M^2=(2,3).$$

You may wonder why on Earth would we need to overcomplicate the problem, introduce matrices or else?

Hang on, you'll see in a moment.

Initially, we have F_0 and F_1 .

$$(F_0, F_1) = (1, 1)$$

By multiplying this vector with the matrix M we get:

$$(F_1, F_2) = (1, 2) \text{ or } (1, 1) * M = (1, 2).$$

If we multiply (1,2) by M:

$$(1,2)*M=(2,3)$$

But we could get the same result by multiplying (1,1) by M^2 .

$$(1,1)*M^2=(2,3).$$

Now, can you see the pattern?

In general, we get:
$$(1,1) * M^k = (F_k, F_{k+1})$$

In general, we get: $(1,1)*M^k = (F_k,F_{k+1})$ Computing M^k takes $O(2^3*log(k))$ time. Thus, we can now find N-th Fibonacci number in O(log(N)) time.

In general, we get: $(1,1)*M^k = (F_k,F_{k+1})$ Computing M^k takes $O(2^3*log(k))$ time. Thus, we can now find N-th Fibonacci number in O(log(N)) time.

You can find the reference code at https://devnur.me/campunist

We can generalize the Fibonacci problem's solution to solve linear recurrent sequences.

We can generalize the Fibonacci problem's solution to solve linear recurrent sequences.

Let's take a look at more general problem than before. Suppose sequence A_i satisfies the following:

• $A_0 = a_0, A_1 = a_1, ..., A_{k-1} = a_{k-1}$ for given $a_0, a_1, ..., a_{k-1}$.

We can generalize the Fibonacci problem's solution to solve linear recurrent sequences.

Let's take a look at more general problem than before. Suppose sequence A_i satisfies the following:

- $A_0 = a_0, A_1 = a_1, ..., A_{k-1} = a_{k-1}$ for given $a_0, a_1, ..., a_{k-1}$.
- $A_i = c_1 * A_{i-1} + c_2 * A_{i-2} + ... + c_k * A_{i-k}$ for given $c_1, c_2, ..., c_k$.

We can generalize the Fibonacci problem's solution to solve linear recurrent sequences.

Let's take a look at more general problem than before. Suppose sequence A_i satisfies the following:

- $A_0 = a_0, A_1 = a_1, ..., A_{k-1} = a_{k-1}$ for given $a_0, a_1, ..., a_{k-1}$.
- $A_i = c_1 * A_{i-1} + c_2 * A_{i-2} + ... + c_k * A_{i-k}$ for given $c_1, c_2, ..., c_k$.

We need to find $A_N \mod 10^9 + 7$, for $N \le 10^{18}$ and $k \le 50$.

We can generalize the Fibonacci problem's solution to solve linear recurrent sequences.

Let's take a look at more general problem than before. Suppose sequence A_i satisfies the following:

- $A_0 = a_0, A_1 = a_1, ..., A_{k-1} = a_{k-1}$ for given $a_0, a_1, ..., a_{k-1}$.
- $A_i = c_1 * A_{i-1} + c_2 * A_{i-2} + ... + c_k * A_{i-k}$ for given $c_1, c_2, ..., c_k$.

We need to find $A_N \mod 10^9 + 7$, for $N \le 10^{18}$ and $k \le 50$.

Side note: sequence A_i is called **recurrent**, because computing A_i requires computing A_j for some j < i. Also, A_i is called **linear**, because it depends linearly on A_j for some j < i.

Notice that if we take k = 2, $a_0 = a_1 = 1$, $c_1 = c_2 = 1$, then this sequence will be equivalent to the *Fibonacci sequence* from the previous problem.

Notice that if we take k=2, $a_0=a_1=1$, $c_1=c_2=1$, then this sequence will be equivalent to the *Fibonacci sequence* from the previous problem. Let's try to solve using matrix multiplication right from the start. If we obtain matrix M, such that:

(A A) . AA (A A

$$(A_{i-k}, A_{i-k+1}, ..., A_{i-1}) * M = (A_{i-k+1}, A_{i-k+2}, ..., A_i)$$

Notice that if we take k=2, $a_0=a_1=1$, $c_1=c_2=1$, then this sequence will be equivalent to the Fibonacci sequence from the previous problem. Let's try to solve using matrix multiplication right from the start.

If we obtain matrix M, such that:

$$(A_{i-k}, A_{i-k+1}, ..., A_{i-1}) * M = (A_{i-k+1}, A_{i-k+2}, ..., A_i)$$
 we can get A_N as follows:

$$(a_0, a_1, ..., a_{k-1}) * M^{N-k+1} = (A_{N-k+1}, A_{N-k+2}, ..., A_N)$$

The two questions we had previously arise again:

The two questions we had previously arise again:

What is the number of rows and columns of M?

The two questions we had previously arise again:

What is the number of rows and columns of M? The reasoning is the same as with Fibonacci numbers: we multiply matrix with 1 row and k columns by M, and get matrix with 1 row and k columns.

The two questions we had previously arise again:

What is the number of rows and columns of M? The reasoning is the same as with Fibonacci numbers: we multiply matrix with 1 row and k columns by M, and get matrix with 1 row and k columns.

Therefore, M has k rows and k columns. In other words, M is a square matrix with size k.

The two questions we had previously arise again:

- What is the number of rows and columns of M? The reasoning is the same as with Fibonacci numbers: we multiply matrix with 1 row and k columns by M, and get matrix with 1 row and k columns.
 - Therefore, M has k rows and k columns. In other words, M is a square matrix with size k.
- What are the values in M?

The two questions we had previously arise again:

- What is the number of rows and columns of M? The reasoning is the same as with Fibonacci numbers: we multiply matrix with 1 row and k columns by M, and get matrix with 1 row and k columns.
 - Therefore, M has k rows and k columns. In other words, M is a square matrix with size k.
- ② What are the values in M? Let's denote them as x_{ij} :

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1k} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{k1} & x_{k2} & \dots & x_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we can write down the equations based on the definition of matrix multiplication:

Now we can write down the equations based on the definition of matrix multiplication:

$$A_{i-k} * x_{11} + A_{i-k+1} * x_{21} + \dots + A_{i-1} * x_{k1} = A_{i-k+1}$$

$$A_{i-k} * x_{12} + A_{i-k+1} * x_{22} + \dots + A_{i-1} * x_{k2} = A_{i-k+2}$$

$$\dots$$

$$A_{i-k} * x_{1k} + A_{i-k+1} * x_{2k} + \dots + A_{i-1} * x_{kk} = A_i$$

Now we can write down the equations based on the definition of matrix multiplication:

$$A_{i-k} * x_{11} + A_{i-k+1} * x_{21} + \dots + A_{i-1} * x_{k1} = A_{i-k+1}$$

$$A_{i-k} * x_{12} + A_{i-k+1} * x_{22} + \dots + A_{i-1} * x_{k2} = A_{i-k+2}$$

$$\dots$$

$$A_{i-k} * x_{1k} + A_{i-k+1} * x_{2k} + \dots + A_{i-1} * x_{kk} = A_{i-k+1}$$

From which we can easily obtain $x_{i,i-1}=1$ for i=2,3,...,k and $x_{ij}=0$ for i=1,2,3,...,k and $j\leq k-1, j\neq i-1$.

Now we can write down the equations based on the definition of matrix multiplication:

$$A_{i-k} * x_{11} + A_{i-k+1} * x_{21} + \dots + A_{i-1} * x_{k1} = A_{i-k+1}$$

$$A_{i-k} * x_{12} + A_{i-k+1} * x_{22} + \dots + A_{i-1} * x_{k2} = A_{i-k+2}$$

$$\dots$$

$$A_{i-k} * x_{1k} + A_{i-k+1} * x_{2k} + \dots + A_{i-1} * x_{kk} = A_i$$

From which we can easily obtain $x_{i,i-1} = 1$ for i = 2, 3, ..., k and $x_{ij} = 0$ for i = 1, 2, 3, ..., k and $j \le k - 1, j \ne i - 1$.

The last equation looks like the definition of A_i . Based on that, we get $x_{ik} = c_{k-i+1}$:

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_k \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_{k-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & c_{k-2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & c_1 \end{array} \right]$$

Now, when we have matrix M, there are no more obstacles. We can implement the solution just like the original *Fibonacci problem*.

Now, when we have matrix M, there are no more obstacles. We can implement the solution just like the original *Fibonacci problem*.

If you use Fast Maxtrix Exponentiation you get $O(k^3 * \log N)$ solution.

Lets go back to Fibonacci numbers:

•
$$F_0 = F_1 = 1$$

•
$$F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}$$
 for $i \ge 2$

Lets go back to Fibonacci numbers:

•
$$F_0 = F_1 = 1$$

•
$$F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}$$
 for $i \ge 2$

Now, suppose a new sequence P_i is defined as follows:

$$P_i = F_0 + F_1 + ... + F_i$$

i.e the sum of first *i* elements of the Fibonacci Sequence.

Lets go back to Fibonacci numbers:

•
$$F_0 = F_1 = 1$$

•
$$F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}$$
 for $i \ge 2$

Now, suppose a new sequence P_i is defined as follows:

$$P_i = F_0 + F_1 + ... + F_i$$

i.e the sum of first *i* elements of the Fibonacci Sequence.

We are to calculate $P_N \mod 10^9 + 7$ for $N \leq 10^{18}$

Well, we can do that with matrices, again! Let's imagine we have a matrix M, such that: $(P_{i-1}, F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) * M = (P_i, F_{i-1}, F_i)$

Well, we can do that with matrices, again! Let's imagine we have a matrix M, such that: $(P_{i-1}, F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) * M = (P_i, F_{i-1}, F_i)$ Then, we can get P_N as: $(P_1, F_0, F_1) * M^{N-1} = (P_N, F_{N-1}, F_N)$

Finding the sum of Fibonacci numbers up to N

Well, we can do that with matrices, again!

Let's imagine we have a matrix M, such that:

$$(P_{i-1}, F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) * M = (P_i, F_{i-1}, F_i)$$

Then, we can get P_N as:

$$(P_1, F_0, F_1) * M^{N-1} = (P_N, F_{N-1}, F_N)$$

By now you must already be familiar with the method of obtaining M and determining its size. I'll show you M right away:

Finding the sum of Fibonacci numbers up to N

Well, we can do that with matrices, again!

Let's imagine we have a matrix M, such that:

$$(P_{i-1}, F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) * M = (P_i, F_{i-1}, F_i)$$

Then, we can get P_N as:

$$(P_1, F_0, F_1) * M^{N-1} = (P_N, F_{N-1}, F_N)$$

By now you must already be familiar with the method of obtaining M and determining its size. I'll show you M right away:

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Finding the sum of Fibonacci numbers up to N

Well, we can do that with matrices, again!

Let's imagine we have a matrix M, such that:

$$(P_{i-1}, F_{i-2}, F_{i-1}) * M = (P_i, F_{i-1}, F_i)$$

Then, we can get P_N as:

$$(P_1, F_0, F_1) * M^{N-1} = (P_N, F_{N-1}, F_N)$$

By now you must already be familiar with the method of obtaining M and determining its size. I'll show you M right away:

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

You can find sum of first N numbers of any recurrent linear sequence A_i , not just Fibonacci.

Let's solve a little more complex but an interesting problem.

Let's solve a little more complex but an interesting problem.

Count the number of strings of length L with lowercase english letters, **if** some pairs of letters can **not** appear consequently **in** those strings.

For $L \le 10^7$ with up to 100 inputs.

The **bad** pairs are described in the input as a matrix: bad[i][j] = 1 if letter j can not go after letter i in a valid string. Otherwise, bad[i][j] = 0.

Let's come up with a basic dynamic programming solution first.

Let's come up with a basic dynamic programming solution first. Let dp[n][last] be the number of valid strings of length n, which end with the letter last.

Let's come up with a basic dynamic programming solution first.

Let dp[n][last] be the number of valid strings of length n, which end with the letter last.

Then we can calculate dp in order of increasing n as follows:

```
dp[1][a] = dp[1][b] = ... = dp[1][z] = 1
for n = 2..L:
    for last = a..z:
        for next = a..z:
        if bad[last][next] != 1:
            dp[n+1][next] += dp[n][last]
```

Let's come up with a basic dynamic programming solution first.

Let dp[n][last] be the number of valid strings of length n, which end with the letter last.

Then we can calculate dp in order of increasing n as follows:

```
dp[1][a] = dp[1][b] = ... = dp[1][z] = 1
for n = 2..L:
    for last = a..z:
        for next = a..z:
        if bad[last][next] != 1:
            dp[n+1][next] += dp[n][last]
```

This solution works in $\Theta(L*26^2)$ time and won't pass TL of 1 second for $L=10^7$.

To speed things up, we can apply matrix multiplication. But how?

To speed things up, we can apply matrix multiplication. But how?

• Well, one can notice that transition from n to n+1 inside the loop happens in exactly the same way as it would for example, from n+500 to n+501.

Because bad[i][j] does not change over time!

To speed things up, we can apply matrix multiplication. But how?

- Well, one can notice that transition from n to n+1 inside the loop happens in exactly the same way as it would for example, from n+500 to n+501.
 - Because bad[i][j] does not change over time!
- Also, another observation would be: transition formulas from n to n+1 are **linear**. To compute dp[n+1][next], we sum up dp[n][letter] for some letter.
 - We do not compute dp[n+1][next] += dp[n][letter] * dp[n][next] or anything alike, which would mean that the transition is **not linear**.

These two observations combined provide a useful property: there exists some matrix M, such that for any $n \ge 1$: $(dp_n[a], dp_n[b], ..., dp_n[z]) * M = (dp_{n+1}[a], dp_{n+1}[b], ..., dp_{n+1}[z])$

These two observations combined provide a useful property: there exists some matrix M, such that for any $n \geq 1$: $(dp_n[a], dp_n[b], ..., dp_n[z]) * M = (dp_{n+1}[a], dp_{n+1}[b], ..., dp_{n+1}[z])$ To find such M we modify our initial solution a little:

```
for last = a..z:
    for next = a..z:
        dp[n+1][next] += dp[n][last] * good[last][next]
```

Where $good[i][j] = not \ bad[i][j]$ for all letters i, j.

These two observations combined provide a useful property: there exists some matrix M, such that for any $n \geq 1$: $(dp_n[a], dp_n[b], ..., dp_n[z]) * M = (dp_{n+1}[a], dp_{n+1}[b], ..., dp_{n+1}[z])$ To find such M we modify our initial solution a little:

```
for last = a..z:
    for next = a..z:
        dp[n+1][next] += dp[n][last] * good[last][next]
```

Where $good[i][j] = not \ bad[i][j]$ for all letters i, j. Now, it is clear that matrix M is nothing but a matrix good.

These two observations combined provide a useful property: there exists some matrix M, such that for any $n \ge 1$: $(dp_n[a], dp_n[b], ..., dp_n[z]) * M = (dp_{n+1}[a], dp_{n+1}[b], ..., dp_{n+1}[z])$ To find such M we modify our initial solution a little:

```
for last = a..z:
    for next = a..z:
        dp[n+1][next] += dp[n][last] * good[last][next]
```

Where $good[i][j] = not \ bad[i][j]$ for all letters i, j.

Now, it is clear that matrix M is nothing but a matrix good.

The final solution is:

$$(dp_1[a], dp_1[b], ..., dp_1[z]) * M^{L-1} = (dp_L[a], dp_L[b], ..., dp_L[z])$$

That works in $O(26^3 * \log L)$ which is more than enough to pass the TL.

Thank you!