

## Lecture 17: Multiway Search Trees

Hyungon Moon

Acknowledgment: The content of this file is based on the slides of the textbook as well as the slides provided by Prof. Won-Ki Jeong.

# Outline

---

- m-way search trees
- B-trees
- B<sup>+</sup>-trees

# Outline

---

- m-way search trees
- B-trees
- B<sup>+</sup>-trees

# Memory Hierarchy

---

- Von Neumann model limitation
  - Memory is bottleneck
- Memory hierarchy
  - Register – cache – memory – disk
- Overall performance is closely related to reducing the access to slow memory

# Reduce Memory Access


---

- Number of memory accesses is closely tied to the height of the search tree
- Height-balanced binary search tree has  $\log_2 n$  height
- Can we break  $\log_2 n$  barrier?

→ Allow a node to have more than 2, up to  $m$  children.

# m-way Search Trees


- Root has at least two & at most m subtrees
- Node structure ( $n < m$ )



- $E_i.K < E_{i+1}.K$  (key)
  - $E_i.K < \text{all keys in } A_i < E_{i+1}.K$
  - Subtrees  $A_i$  are also m-way search trees (recursive definition)
- } Tree is ordered!

# Example: 4-way Search Tree

---



# m-way Search Trees

---

- Maximum # of nodes happens when all internal nodes are m-nodes (having m subtrees)
  - A full tree with degree  $m$ .
- Max # of nodes in a tree of degree  $m$  and height  $h$ 
  - $1 + m + m^2 + \dots + m^h = \frac{m^{h+1} - 1}{m - 1}$
- Each node has  $m - 1$  elements
- So, max # of elements:  $m^h - 1$



# Searching

---

```
// Search m-way search tree for an element with key x
E0.k=-MAXKEY;
for(p=root; p; p=Ai)
{
    Let p is a node (n, A0, E1, A1, .. , En, An)
    En+1.k = MAXKEY
    Determine i such that  $E_i.K \leq x < E_{i+1}.K$ ;
    if(x == Ei.K) return Ei; // x is found
}
// x is not found
return NULL;
```

# Outline

---


- m-way search trees
- **B-trees**
- B<sup>+</sup>-trees

# B-trees

---

- Extended m-way search trees by addition of external nodes
  - Replace a NULL pointer to an external node
- Definition
  - If not empty, root node has at least two children
  - All internal nodes (except root) have at least  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil$  children.
  - All external nodes are at the same level
- *Balanced* m-way search tree


# Example




5-way B-tree example,  $\left\lceil \frac{5}{2} \right\rceil = 3$

# 2-3 and 2-3-4 Trees

- 2-3 tree is B-tree of order 3
- 2-3-4 tree is B-tree of order 4
  - Also called (2,4) tree or 2-4 tree



2-3 tree



2-3-4 tree

# Height of a (2,4) Tree

- Theorem: A (2,4) tree storing  $n$  items has height  $O(\log n)$

Proof:


- Let  $h$  be the height of a (2,4) tree with  $n$  items
- Since there are at least  $2^i$  items at depth  $i = 0, \dots, h-1$  and no items at depth  $h$ , we have

$$n \geq 1 + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^{h-1} = 2^h - 1$$

- Thus,  $h \leq \log(n + 1)$

- Searching in a (2,4) tree with  $n$  items takes  $O(\log n)$  time

depth items



# Choice of m

---

- Worst-case search time
  - (time to fetch a node + time to search node) \* height
- Search time increases if m is too small or too large
- Pick m so that single node fits to a single memory access
  - Size of a cache line or disk block


# Insert

---

- If insertion results in  $m$  keys for  $m$ -way B-tree (overflow), split node
- Let node  $p$  have the format
  - $m, A_0, (E_1, A_1), \dots, (E_m, A_m)$
- $p$  is split into two nodes  $p$  and  $q$ 
  - Let  $k = \left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil$
  - node  $p$ :  $k-1, A_0, (E_1, A_1), \dots, (E_{k-1}, A_{k-1})$
  - node  $q$ :  $m-k, A_k, (E_{k+1}, A_{k+1}), \dots, (E_m, A_m)$
  - $(E_k, q)$  is inserted into the parent of  $p$
- Splitting can propagate up to the root




# Split Node




# Insert (3-way B-tree)

---




# Insert (3-way B-tree)



Insert 2

# Insert (3-way B-tree)




need split!

# Insert (3-way B-tree)

---


- Split overflowed node around middle key



- Insert middle key to its parent


# Insert (3-way B-tree)

---




# Insert (3-way B-tree)

---




# Insert (3-way B-tree)




Insert 18



# Insert (3-way B-tree)




# Insert (3-way B-tree)




# Insert (3-way B-tree)

---




# Insert (3-way B-tree)

---




# Insert (3-way B-tree)




Insert 7

# Insert (3-way B-tree)




# Insert (3-way B-tree)

need further split!




# Insert (3-way B-tree)





# Insert (3-way B-tree)




# Deletion

---

- Delete from interior node can be done by replacing with the largest in left subtree or the smallest in right subtree
  - Similar to binary search tree
  - Smallest/largest is in the leaf node
    - Deletion from an interior node is transformed into a deletion from a leaf node
  - If deletion results in less than  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil$  elements, rotation or combine must be done

# Example

- Delete 20
  - Replace with 10 or 25



# Deletion

---

- Four cases when deleting an element from a leaf node  $p$ 
  - $p$  is root: nothing to do.
  - $p$  is not the root:
    - The number of elements in  $p$ 

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \geq \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 1: & \text{nothing to do} \\ = \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 2: & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{can bring from the sibling} \\ \text{cannot bring from the sibling} \end{array} \right. \\ < \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 2: & \text{not happening} \end{array} \right.$$


# Deletion

---

- Four cases when deleting an element from a leaf node  $p$ 
  1.  $p$  is root and left with at least one element after delete
    - OK: root is not empty
  2.  $p$  is internal and left with at least  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil - 1$  elements after delete
    - OK:  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil - 1$  elements =  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil$  children

# Deletion

3.  $p$  has  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil - 2$  elements and its sibling  $q$  has at least  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil$  elements
- Rotation,  $p^{++}$ ,  $q^{--}$




$p$  is left child of  $r$


2-3 tree example

# Deletion

## 3. More rotation examples



$p$  is middle child of  $r$



$p$  is right child of  $r$

# Deletion

---


4.  $p$  has  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil - 2$  elements and its sibling  $q$  has  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil - 1$  elements
- $p$  is deficient and  $q$  has the minimum number of elements
  - Cannot rotate: cannot reduce  $q$ 's element
  - $p$ ,  $q$ , and in-between element  $E_i$  in the parent  $r$  are combined, reduce the number of element in  $r$  by one
  - If  $r$  has  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil - 2$  elements, rotation and combine is applied upward to the root




# Deletion

4.  $p$  has  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil - 2$  elements and its sibling  $q$  has  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil - 1$  elements


$r$  has insufficient element, combine is applied upward




$p$  is left child of  $r$



# Example




(a) Initial 2-3 tree




(b) 70 deleted

# Example




(b) 70 deleted




(c) 90 deleted


# Example



(c) 90 deleted




(d) 60 deleted



(e) 95 deleted

# Example



# Analysis

---

	Find	Put	Erase	Notes
Hash Table	1 expected	1 expected	1 expected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>no ordered map methods</li> <li>simple to implement</li> </ul>
Skip List	$\log n$ high prob.	$\log n$ high prob.	$\log n$ high prob.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>randomized insertion</li> <li>simple to implement</li> </ul>
AVL and (2,4) Tree	$\log n$ worst-case	$\log n$ worst-case	$\log n$ worst-case	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>complex to implement</li> </ul>


# Outline

---

- m-way search trees
- B-trees
- **B<sup>+</sup>-trees**

# B<sup>+</sup>-Trees

- Interior node : index (key)
- Leaf node : data
- Data nodes are linked using linked list






# B<sup>+</sup>-Trees

---

- All data nodes are at the same level and are leaves
  - Data node contains all the keys
- The index nodes define a B-tree of order  $m$
- Let index node  $p$  have the format
  - $m, A_0, (K_1, A_1), \dots, (K_n, A_n), n < m$
  - $K_i \leq \text{all elements in } A_i < K_{i+1}$
- Efficient for both direct and sequential access

# B<sup>+</sup>-Trees




# B<sup>+</sup>-Trees Search

---

- Exact match
  - Search to leaf node, return exact match
- Range search [A,B]
  - Search to leaf node for A
  - Start from that node, linear search in the data node that exceed B
  - Collect all the elements between them

# Range Search

- [23,55]




# B<sup>+</sup>-Trees Insert

---

- Similar to B-tree insert
- Split leaf (data) node if overfull
- Smallest key of the newly created data node is inserted to the parent index node
  - That key exists in both leaf and its parent

# Insert


- Insert key = 2



# Insert into a Data Node


---

- Split overflowed node into half




- Insert smallest key of right half to its parent
  - 2 is duplicated in parent and child nodes

# Insert







# Insert




Insert 18


# Insert




# Insert



# Insert



# Insert




# Delete

---

- Delete always occurs on data node
- Data node is deficient if its element is fewer than  $\text{ceil}(c/2)$ ,  $c$  : capacity of data node
  - Borrow one element from nearest left/right sibling data node and update root index
  - If siblings do not have enough element to borrow, merge two data node and delete index in-between
- If index node is deficient, update as in B-tree


# Delete



Delete 15


# Delete

---






# Delete



Delete 1

Get element from sibling and update parent key


# Delete



Delete 1

Get element from sibling and update parent key


# Delete



Delete 2

Merge with sibling, delete in-between key in parent


# Delete



Delete 2

Merge with sibling, delete in-between key in parent


# Delete



Delete 3

Get element from sibling and update parent key


# Delete



Delete 3

Get element from sibling and update parent key


# Delete



Delete 6

Merge with sibling, delete in-between key in parent

# Delete




Index node become deficient.  
Rotate index node (as in B-tree).




# Delete

---




# Delete



Delete 8

Merge with sibling, delete in-between key in parent

# Delete




Index node deficient

Merge with sibling and in-between key in parent

# Delete

---




Index node deficient

It is the root : discard

# Delete

---



# Discussion

---

- B & B+ trees perform similar on direct access
- B+ trees perform better for sequential access
- B+ trees always have to be traversed to leaf for direct access

# Questions?