EnergyBudget

Anusha Shankar January 14, 2015

Using published and unpublished data from Dr. Don Powers' earlier work, we construct here a preliminary energy budget for a North American species, the Broad-billed hummingbird (BBLH; Cynanthus latirostris).

1. Basal Metabolic Rate (BMR)

The BMR measurements here are based on a regression model of metabolic rate measured under BMR conditions (in the dark, fasted, during the sleep phase; measured during summer 2012). The assumptions of these measurements are:

- These measurements were taken at 35°C, which is the lower critical temperature and represents the lowest temperature for measurement of BMR. This is consistent with measurements for other hummingbirds (Lasiewski 1963) and data on Costa's Hummingbirds (Calypte costae) and broad-tailed hummingbirds (Selasphorus platycercus; unpublished, Donald R. Powers).
- BMR is assumed a continuous cost for 24 hours except when birds use torpor. If torpor is used then BMR is a continuous cost for 24 hours, excluding the hours spent in torpor. Hours for maximum torpor at the two sites studied were Harshaw Creek (HC) = 6 and at Sonoita Creek (SC) = 4.

Mean $BMR_{BBLH} = 0.204 \text{ mL/min} (4.1 \text{ J/min}; 0.0683\text{W})$

2. Thermoregulation

The thermoneutral zone (TNZ) is the optimum range of ambient temperatures over which there are no regulatory changes in metabolic heat production or evaporative heat loss (Kingma et al. 2012). Thermoregulatory costs are calculated separately below and above the TNZ, as the slopes are different for these two regions, and thought to be asymmetric, as seen in Figure 1. We thus retain separate equations for the two even though the TNZ of small hummingbirds appears so small that the lower and upper critical temperatures are essentially identical Lasiewski (1963).

Figure 1: TNZ = Thermoneutral zone; LCT = lower critical temperature; UCT = upper critical temperature; Tb = body temperature.

A. Below the TNZ (Operative temperature $T_e < 35^{\circ}C$)

First, hourly averages for metabolic rate across a range of temperatures below the TNZ were measured, resulting in this relationship: MR_L (mL O_2/min) = 0.9571 - 0.022*(T_e) -Equation 1a

Here, MR_L is metabolic rate when $T_e < 35^{\circ}C$, and includes both basal metabolic rate costs and thermoregulatory costs. We can then calculate TRE_L , which is the sum of the hourly averages of MR_L for all hours where mean $T_e <$ lower critical temperature.

$$TRE_L (kJ) = \Sigma (MR_L * 60)$$
 - Equation 1b

Thus, TRE_L is the total daily energy spent on thermoregulation below the TNZ. Torpor was assumed to be zero because birds rarely entered torpor in Sonoita Creek.

B. Above the TNZ $(T_e > 35^{\circ}C)$

Lasiewski's (1963) data suggests that the upper critical temperature for small hummingbirds is ~35-37 °C. The only data we know of for metabolic rate above the upper critical temperature in small hummingbirds are

Powers' unpublished data on Costa's. The slope of this relationship was based on unpublished measurements of Costa's Hummingbird (*Calypte costae*), a similarly sized species.

$$MR_H (mL O_2/min) = 0.0144 (T_e) - 0.3623$$
 - Equation 2a

Where MR_H is metabolic rate above upper critical temperatures. This is calculated by subtracting BMR from the result of equation 2a. Again, for simplicity of calculation the result of equation 2a is the combined cost of BMR and thermoregulation.

$$TRE_H (kJ) = \Sigma (MR_H * 60)$$
 - Equation 2b

 TRE_H is calculated as the sum of hourly averages for all hours where mean $T_e >$ upper critical temperature, again assuming no torpor use.

3. Activity Costs

A. Resting (perching)

Little data are available for how much resting metabolic rate (RMR) is elevated above BMR in hummingbirds. In the late 1980's Powers measured RMR for Costa's (3.66 mL O_2 g⁻¹ h^{-1} ; unpublished). Lasiewski (1963) measured BMR for Costa's (3.025 mL O_2 g⁻¹ h^{-1}). Thus,

$$RMR_{Costa} = 1.21 \times BMR$$

This is consistent with an Aschoff & Pohl study (1970) which reported data for several bird species suggesting RMR = $1.25 \times BMR$. The Aschoff & Pohl correction has often been used to estimate RMR but might underestimate true resting costs because the measurements upon which it is based were typically made on birds resting in the dark. This would eliminate any costs associated with response to light or surrounding events. Further, Aschoff & Pohl fasted birds so the cost of specific dynamic action (i.e. the cost of digestion; SDA) is also not included. Two years ago Powers made RMR measurements on Calliope hummingbirds (Selasphorus calliope; ~2.4 g) on a perch in an illuminated chamber. After subtracting thermoregulatory costs RMR_{Calliope} = $0.2835 \times C_2/min$. BMR_{Calliope} = $0.1843 \times C_2/min$ (Lasiewski 1963). Thus,

$$\mathrm{RMR}_{\mathrm{Calliope}} = 1.54~\mathrm{x~BMR}$$

This is likely to more accurately reflect the metabolic rate of a perching humming bird in the wild. Thus, we can estimate RMR as:

$$RMR = 1.5 \times BMR$$
 - Equation 3

This is a fair relationship to use for broad-billed hummingbirds, as Calliope and Broad-billed hummingbirds are behaviorally similar and perch in similar environments.

B. Hovering

Powers has measured hovering metabolic rate (HMR) in Broad-billed hummingbirds:

$$HMR = 2.1 \text{ mL } O_2/\text{min} (42.21 \text{ J/min}; 0.7035\text{W})$$

This value is 10.3 x BMR, which is reasonable (similar to findings in Fernandez et al. 2011).

C. Forward flight

No measurements have been made on the metabolic cost of forward flight (FLMR) in Broad-billed humming-birds. If we assume that humming-birds fly most often at their most efficient speed (6-8 m/s), FLMR can be estimated using data from other humming-bird species. These relationships are well-established from studies on power curves in humming-birds (Tobalske et al. 2003, 2010).

$$FLMR_{Calliope} = 0.53 \times HMR$$

$$\mathrm{FLMR}_{\mathrm{Rufous}} = 0.49 \mathrm{~x~HMR}$$

Thus, we can estimate FLMR to be:

 $FLMR = 0.5 \times HMR$ - Equation 4

D. Total activity costs

 $ACT = ((Time_{RMR} * (RMR - BMR)) + (Time_{HMR} * (HMR - BMR)) + (Time_{FLMR} * (FLMR - BMR))$ Equation 5

4. Nighttime Metabolic Rate

There is a large amount of individual and daily variation in the frequency and duration of torpor use. For the sake of modeling DEE we assume that either torpor is not used at all, or if torpor is used it is used to the full extent observed. By doing so we can create upper and lower energetic boundaries based on whether or not torpor was used.

A. No torpor

Nighttime metabolic rate (NMR) will be BMR plus the cost of thermoregulation below the lower critical temperatures. Thus, NMR for normothermic hummingbirds can be calculated using equation 1a.

B. Torpor

For birds that used torpor maximally last summer:

Nighttime energy expenditure (NEE) = 2 kJ

Note: This value can change slightly as we refine the calculations. Even so this is such a small component of DEE that small changes to this value will have little impact on model results.

5. DEE Model

$DEE = (TRE_L + TRE_H) + ACT + NEE$

Where: DEE = Daily energy expenditure

TRE_L = Metabolic rate below lower critical temperature (including thermoregulation and BMR)

TRE_H = Metabolic rate above higher critical temperature (including thermoregulation and BMR)

ACT = Activity costs (from above)

NEE = Nighttime energy expenditure

Note: If no torpor is used then NEE = 0, and NEE is included in TRE_L. If the species had a broader TNZ, BMR for time spent in the TNZ would be included as an additional term (thermoregultory costs would be 0).

Model Test Using Sonoita Creek C. latirostris values

Here, assuming: 1. 70% of the daytime was spent perching and 30% flying. Flying was 50% hovering and 50% forward flight.

- 2. No torpor (this is realistic for SC).
- 3. Respiratory quotient (RQ) = 0.85 (for conversion of oxygen consumption to CO_2 production)
- 4. 14/10 photoperiod.

Results: Mean Measured DEE (DLW) = $51.3 \text{ mL CO}_2/\text{h}$ Model Estimate = $43.2 \text{ mL CO}_2/\text{h}$

The model estimate is 16% lower than the measured value but is within the range of measured values (albeit at the low end). We are very encouraged by this considering that this model is likely biased low since costs of molt and reproduction are not specifically considered.