

ECN 594: Practice Final Exam

Instructions:

- You have **70 minutes**
- You may bring a calculator and notes on a two-sided cheat-sheet (letter-size paper)
- Please be neat. If your work is too messy it will not be graded.
- Be sure to show your working.
- This exam is **cumulative**—it covers all course material
- Good luck!

Name: _____

Question:	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Points:	30	25	20	15	10	100
Score:						

1. Short Answer Questions (30 points)

1. For each question, write either a number/formula, True/False/NEI, or a brief answer.

- (a) (3 points) In a Cournot duopoly with linear demand $P = 100 - Q$ and $MC = 20$, what is the equilibrium price?

(a) _____

- (b) (3 points) Write the formula for the critical discount factor in Cournot collusion with N firms.

(b) _____

- (c) (3 points) True, False, or NEI: In Bertrand competition with homogeneous products and identical costs, the equilibrium price equals marginal cost regardless of the number of firms.

(c) _____

- (d) (3 points) What is “double marginalization” and why does it occur in vertical relationships?

(d) _____

- (e) (3 points) True, False, or NEI: A horizontal merger always reduces consumer welfare.

(e) _____

- (f) (3 points) What does HHI stand for, and how is it calculated?

(f) _____

- (g) (3 points) True, False, or NEI: In the Hotelling model of spatial competition, firms locate at the center when transportation costs are linear.

(g) _____

- (h) (3 points) What is an “efficiency defense” in merger review?

(h) _____

- (i) (3 points) True, False, or NEI: Entry deterrence through capacity commitment is only credible if capacity is costly to reduce.

(i) _____

- (j) (3 points) Name one factor that makes collusion easier to sustain (higher δ^*).

(j) _____

2. Cournot Competition and Mergers (25 points)

2. Consider a market with 3 firms competing in quantities (Cournot). Market demand is $P = 120 - Q$ where $Q = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$. All firms have marginal cost $c = 30$.
- (a) (8 points) Find the Cournot-Nash equilibrium quantities, price, and per-firm profits.
- (b) (7 points) Firms 1 and 2 merge. The merged firm has marginal cost $c = 30$ (no efficiency gains). Find the new equilibrium and compare total output, price, consumer surplus, and total welfare to the pre-merger equilibrium.
- (c) (5 points) Now suppose the merger creates efficiency gains, reducing the merged firm's marginal cost to $c = 20$. How does this change your welfare analysis?
- (d) (5 points) Calculate the HHI before and after the merger. Would this merger likely face antitrust scrutiny?

3. Collusion (20 points)

3. Return to the 3-firm Cournot market from Question 2 (before any merger). Firms consider forming a cartel where each produces the monopoly quantity divided by 3.
 - (a) (5 points) What is the monopoly quantity and price? What would each firm's collusive profit be?
 - (b) (5 points) If one firm deviates while others stick to the collusive quantity, what is its optimal deviation quantity and profit?
 - (c) (5 points) Compute the critical discount factor δ^* for sustaining collusion with grim trigger strategies.
 - (d) (5 points) The industry is investigated for collusion. Explain how a leniency program works and why it can destabilize cartels.

4. Vertical Relationships (15 points)

4. A manufacturer (M) sells to a retailer (R), who sells to final consumers. Consumer demand is $Q = 100 - P$. The manufacturer's marginal cost is $c_M = 10$. The retailer's only cost is the wholesale price w paid to the manufacturer.
- (a) (5 points) If the manufacturer sets a wholesale price w and the retailer then sets the retail price P , find the equilibrium wholesale price, retail price, and total industry profit. This is the “double marginalization” outcome.
- (b) (5 points) What would be the vertically integrated (single monopolist) outcome? Compare to part (a).
- (c) (5 points) Describe two contractual solutions that can eliminate double marginalization without vertical integration.

5. Demand Estimation (10 points)

5. Consider a logit demand model with 2 products. Product 1 has $\delta_1 = 1$ and $p_1 = 5$. Product 2 has $\delta_2 = 0.5$ and $p_2 = 4$. The price coefficient is $\alpha = -0.3$.
- (a) (5 points) Compute the market shares for both products and the outside option.
- (b) (5 points) Using the log-sum formula, compute consumer surplus per consumer. If product 2's price increases to $p_2 = 5$, what happens to consumer surplus?