Task-D: Collinear features and their effect on linear models In [1]: %matplotlib inline import warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") import pandas as pd import numpy as np from sklearn.datasets import load\_iris from sklearn.linear\_model import SGDClassifier from sklearn.model\_selection import GridSearchCV import seaborn as sns import matplotlib.pyplot as plt from sklearn.model\_selection import train\_test\_split data = pd.read\_csv('task\_d.csv') In [2]: data.head() In [3]: 2\*y 2\*z+3\*x\*x X\*X Out[3]: w target **0** -0.581066 0.841837 -1.012978 -0.604025 0.841837 -0.665927 -0.536277 **1** -0.894309 -0.207835 -1.012978 -0.883052 -0.207835 -0.917054 -0.522364 0 **2** -1.207552 0.212034 -1.082312 -1.150918 0.212034 -1.166507 0.205738 **3** -1.364174 0.002099 -0.943643 -1.280666 0.002099 -1.266540 -0.665720 0 **4** -0.737687 1.051772 -1.012978 -0.744934 1.051772 -0.792746 -0.735054 In [4]: data.columns Index(['x', 'y', 'z', 'x\*x', '2\*y', '2\*z+3\*x\*x', 'w', 'target'], dtype='object') features = data.columns[:-1] In [5]: print(features) Index(['x', 'y', 'z', 'x\*x', '2\*y', '2\*z+3\*x\*x', 'w'], dtype='object') In [6]: X = data.drop(['target'], axis=1).values Y = data['target'].values In [7]: X.shape (100, 7)Out[7]: In [8]: np.unique(Y) array([0, 1], dtype=int64)Out[8]: In [9]: X.ndim Out[9]: 2 Doing perturbation test to check the presence of collinearity Task: 1 Logistic Regression 1. Finding the Correlation between the features a. check the correlation between the features b. plot heat map of correlation matrix using seaborn heatmap 2. Finding the best model for the given data a. Train Logistic regression on data(X,Y) that we have created in the above cell b. Find the best hyper prameter alpha with hyper parameter tuning using k-fold cross validation (grid search CV or random search CV make sure you choose the alpha in log space) c. Creat a new Logistic regression with the best alpha (search for how to get the best hyper parameter value), name the best model as 'best\_model' 3. Getting the weights with the original data a. train the 'best\_model' with X, Y b. Check the accuracy of the model 'best\_model\_accuracy' c. Get the weights W using best\_model.coef\_ 4. Modifying original data a. Add a noise(order of  $10^-2$ ) to each element of X and get the new data set X'(X' = X + e)b. Train the same 'best\_model' with data (X', Y) c. Check the accuracy of the model 'best\_model\_accuracy\_edited' d. Get the weights W' using best\_model.coef\_ 5. Checking deviations in metric and weights a. find the difference between 'best\_model\_accuracy\_edited' and 'best\_model\_accuracy' b. find the absolute change between each value of W and W' ==> |(W-W')|c. print the top 4 features which have higher % change in weights compare to the other feature Task: 2 Linear SVM 1. Do the same steps (2, 3, 4, 5) we have done in the above task 1. Do write the observations based on the results you get from the deviations of weights in both Logistic Regression and linear SVM 1. Finding the Correlation between the features In [10]: # 1. Correlation (heat Map) # a. check the correlation between the features XData = data.drop(['target'], axis=1) # b. plot heat map of correlation matrix using seaborn heatmap sns.heatmap(XData.corr(), cmap="Blues", annot=True) <AxesSubplot:> Out[10]: - 1.0 0.81 -0.21 -0.6 -0.21 -0.26 -0.4 - 0.6 0.85 0.67 0.81 -0.6 -0.6 - 0.4 -0.21 0.81 -0.21 - 0.2 - 0.0 2\*y - -0.21 -0.6 -0.21 -0.26 -0.4 - -0.2 2\*z+3\*x\*x --0.26 0.85 -0.26 - -0.4 --0.6 2\*y 2\*z+3\*x\*x w X\*X Logistic Regression 2. Finding the best model (ie Hyper-Param ) for the given data In [12]: # CV - Cross Validation (Training Phase - Hyper-Param Decision Time) (Model) # ref : https://www.kaggle.com/enespolat/grid-search-with-logistic-regression #from sklearn.model\_selection import GridSearchCV from sklearn.linear\_model import LogisticRegression # Train test Split x\_train, x\_test, y\_train, y\_test = train\_test\_split(X,Y,test\_size=0.3) # C is regularization term params={"C":np.logspace(-3,3,10)} # range(10\*\*-3 : 10\*\*3, 10) logreg=LogisticRegression() logreg\_cv=GridSearchCV(logreg, params, cv=10) # (Train-Test) Splitting Strategy = 10 Fold (ie Internal) logreg\_cv.fit(x\_train,y\_train) logreg\_best\_param\_C = logreg\_cv.best\_params\_['C'] print("tuned hpyerparameters :(C) ",logreg\_best\_param\_C) print("accuracy :", logreg\_cv.best\_score\_) tuned hpyerparameters :(C) 0.004641588833612777 accuracy : 1.0 In [13]: # Target Variables Y := (Unique vals) logreg\_cv.classes\_ array([0, 1], dtype=int64) Out[13]: In [18]: # Candidate params := Params (used whilst training) cand\_params = logreg\_cv.cv\_results\_['params'] cand\_params Out[18]: [{'C': 0.001}, {'C': 0.004641588833612777}, {'C': 0.021544346900318832}, {'C': 0.1}, {'C': 0.46415888336127775}, {'C': 2.154434690031882}, {'C': 10.0}, {'C': 46.41588833612773}, {'C': 215.44346900318823}, {'C': 1000.0}] In [19]: # Best Params Value position for param := 'C' selected\_param\_idx = logreg\_cv.best\_index\_ selected\_param\_idx, cand\_params[selected\_param\_idx] (1, {'C': 0.004641588833612777}) In [20]: # Total features that were accounted whilst doing this Grid Search (for Logistic Regression) feat\_cnt = logreg\_cv.n\_features\_in\_ print(feat\_cnt) 7 3. Getting Weights with Original Data In [21]: # Best Model (Weights + Score) best\_model = LogisticRegression(C=logreg\_best\_param\_C) # Train best\_model.fit(x\_train,y\_train) # Test (CV) -> Accuracy best\_score = best\_model.score(x\_test, y\_test) # Weight best\_weight = best\_model.coef\_.ravel() print("Best Score ", best\_score) print("Best Weights ", best\_weight) Best Score 1.0 Best Weights [ 0.0831106 -0.08739952 0.11976474 0.08098865 -0.08739952 0.08734999 0.07534088] 4. Modifying the Original Data In [22]: # 4. Modifying the Original Data noise = 10\*\*-2 $X_m = X + noise$ # Train test Split x\_train, x\_test, y\_train, y\_test=train\_test\_split(X\_m,Y,test\_size=0.3) best\_model.fit(x\_train,y\_train) # Test (CV) -> Accuracy best\_score\_edited = best\_model.score(x\_test, y\_test) #! NOTE:- You need to ravel/flatten ie convert the nd-array into single dimen array just like List to work efficiently late # Weight best\_weight\_edited = best\_model.coef\_.ravel() print("Best Score ", best\_score\_edited) print("Best Weights ", best\_weight\_edited) Best Score 1.0 Best Weights [ 0.0873578 -0.08296713 0.12143508 0.08634661 -0.08296713 0.09237386 0.07136226] ! NOTE :- You need to ravel/flatten ie convert the nd-array into single dimen array just like List to work efficiently late In [24]: # Adding Noise (ie Matrix + Scaler) // Sample Check a = np.array([[1,2], [3,4]])a + 4 array([[5, 6], Out[24]: [7, 8]]) 5. Checking Deviations in Metrics & Weights In [25]: # 5. Checking Deviations in Metrics & Weights import heapq diff\_score = abs(best\_score - best\_score\_edited) print('Score Difference :- ', diff\_score) diff\_weights = np.abs(best\_weight - best\_weight\_edited) print('Weights Difference :- ', diff\_weights) # 3. diff\_weights\_percent = diff\_weights / best\_weight print('Diff Weight Percent :- ', diff\_weights\_percent) largest\_idxs = heapq.nlargest(4, range(len(diff\_weights\_percent)), diff\_weights\_percent.\_\_getitem\_\_) print('Largest Indexes :-', largest\_idxs) top\_features = [features[i] for i in largest\_idxs] print('Top 4 features that have higher % change in Weights :- ', top\_features) Score Difference :- 0.0 Weights Difference :- [0.00424721 0.0044324 0.00167034 0.00535796 0.0044324 0.00502388 0.00397862] Diff Weight Percent :- [ 0.05110306 -0.0507142 0.01394681 0.06615693 -0.0507142 0.05751434 0.05280819] Largest Indexes :- [3, 5, 6, 0] Top 4 features that have higher % change in Weights :- ['x\*x', '2\*z+3\*x\*x', 'w', 'x'] In [26]: # def perturbation\_test(model, params): '''To check presence of Collinearity''' # Train test Split x\_train, x\_test, y\_train, y\_test=train\_test\_split(X,Y,test\_size=0.3) #params={"C":np.logspace(-3,3,10)} # 10 model=LogisticRegression() logreg\_cv=GridSearchCV(logreg, params, cv=10) # Splitting Strategy = 10 Fold logreg\_cv.fit(x\_train,y\_train) logreg\_best\_param\_C = logreg\_cv.best\_params\_['C'] print("tuned hpyerparameters :(best parameters) ",logreg\_best\_param\_C) print("accuracy :",logreg\_cv.best\_score\_) SVM (Linear) 2. Finding the best model (ie Hyper-Param ) for the given data In [35]: # CV - Cross Validation (Training Phase - Hyper-Param Decision Time) (Model) # ref : https://www.kaggle.com/enespolat/grid-search-with-logistic-regression #from sklearn.model\_selection import GridSearchCV from sklearn.svm import SVC # Train test Split x\_train, x\_test, y\_train, y\_test = train\_test\_split(X,Y,test\_size=0.3) # C is regularization term params={"C":np.logspace(-3,3,10)} # range(10\*\*-3 : 10\*\*3, 10) model=SVC(kernel='linear') model\_cv=GridSearchCV(model, params, cv=10) # (Train-Test) Splitting Strategy = 10 Fold (ie Internal) model\_cv.fit(x\_train,y\_train) best\_param\_C = model\_cv.best\_params\_['C'] print("tuned hpyerparameters :(C) ", best\_param\_C) print("accuracy :", model\_cv.best\_score\_) tuned hpyerparameters :(C) 0.004641588833612777 accuracy : 1.0 In [36]: # Target Variables Y := (Unique vals) model\_cv.classes\_ array([0, 1], dtype=int64)Out[36]: In [37]: # Candidate params := Params (used whilst training) cand\_params = model\_cv.cv\_results\_['params'] cand\_params Out[37]: [{'C': 0.001}, {'C': 0.004641588833612777}, {'C': 0.021544346900318832}, {'C': 0.1}, {'C': 0.46415888336127775}, {'C': 2.154434690031882}, {'C': 10.0}, {'C': 46.41588833612773}, {'C': 215.44346900318823}, {'C': 1000.0}] In [38]: # Best Params Value position for param := 'C' selected\_param\_idx = model\_cv.best\_index\_ selected\_param\_idx, cand\_params[selected\_param\_idx] (1, {'C': 0.004641588833612777}) Out[38]: # Total features that were accounted whilst doing this Grid Search (for Logistic Regression) feat\_cnt = model\_cv.n\_features\_in\_ print(feat\_cnt) 7 3. Getting Weights with Original Data In [40]: # Best Model (Weights + Score) best\_model = SVC(C=best\_param\_C, kernel='linear') best\_model.fit(x\_train,y\_train) # Test (CV) -> Accuracy best\_score = best\_model.score(x\_test, y\_test) # Weight best\_weight = best\_model.coef\_.ravel() print("Best Score ", best\_score) print("Best Weights ", best\_weight) Best Score 1.0 Best Weights [ 0.14219866 -0.13512138 0.23301992 0.1383527 -0.13512138 0.15265171 0.10999079] 4. Modifying the Original Data In [41]: # 4. Modifying the Original Data noise = 10\*\*-2 $X_m = X + noise$ # Train test Split x\_train, x\_test, y\_train, y\_test=train\_test\_split(X\_m,Y,test\_size=0.3) # Train best\_model.fit(x\_train,y\_train) # Test (CV) -> Accuracy best\_score\_edited = best\_model.score(x\_test, y\_test) #! NOTE :- You need to ravel/flatten ie convert the nd-array into single dimen array just like List to work efficiently late # Weight best\_weight\_edited = best\_model.coef\_.ravel() print("Best Score ", best\_score\_edited) print("Best Weights ", best\_weight\_edited) Best Score 1.0 Best Weights [ 0.12074683 -0.16857629 0.22225544 0.11542463 -0.16857629 0.13071661 0.13800882] ! NOTE :- You need to ravel/flatten ie convert the nd-array into single dimen array just like List to work efficiently late 5. Checking Deviations in Metrics & Weights In [42]: # 5. Checking Deviations in Metrics & Weights import heapq diff\_score = abs(best\_score - best\_score\_edited) print('Score Difference :- ', diff\_score) # 2. diff\_weights = np.abs(best\_weight - best\_weight\_edited) print('Weights Difference :- ', diff\_weights) # 3. diff\_weights\_percent = diff\_weights / best\_weight print('Diff Weight Percent :- ', diff\_weights\_percent) largest\_idxs = heapq.nlargest(4, range(len(diff\_weights\_percent)), diff\_weights\_percent.\_\_getitem\_\_) print('Largest Indexes :-', largest\_idxs) top\_features = [features[i] for i in largest\_idxs] print('Top 4 features that have higher % change in Weights :- ', top\_features) Score Difference :- 0.0 Weights Difference :- [0.02145183 0.0334549 0.01076448 0.02292808 0.0334549 0.0219351 0.02801804] Diff Weight Percent :- [ 0.15085816 -0.24759148 0.04619553 0.16572194 -0.24759148 0.14369378 0.25473077] Largest Indexes :- [6, 3, 0, 5] Top 4 features that have higher % change in Weights :- ['w', 'x\*x', 'x', '2\*z+3\*x\*x'] Observations Logistic Regression helps to spot out the correlated feature via perturbation test, more nearly compare to SVM(linear) because the top most feature deviated in case of Logistic Regression is x\*x Now  $x^*x$  is highly correlated to x, clearly