On page 2467 in Etzion and Wachter-Zeh, they state the gap of  $(\epsilon = 1, \ell)$  –  $\mathcal{N}_{h=2\ell,r,s=2\ell+1}$ . However, they did not explain the scalar linear solution. I would like you to double check for me as following.

$$\left[egin{array}{c} y_{j_1} \ dots \ y_{j_s} \end{array}
ight] = \left[egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{a}_1 \ dots \ oldsymbol{a}_{lpha\ell+\epsilon} \end{array}
ight] \cdot \left[egin{array}{c} x_1 \ dots \ x_h \end{array}
ight]$$

Notice:  $h = 2\ell$  and  $\alpha = \frac{s-\epsilon}{l} = 2$ . This explains one of your question why Tuvi and Wachter-Zeh's gap is dependent on  $\ell$ .

 $a_{\alpha\ell+1},\ldots,a_{\alpha\ell+\epsilon}$  can be independently chosen from any receiver, and there exits thus always  $a_{\alpha\ell+1}, \ldots, a_{\alpha\ell+\epsilon}$  such that,

$$rk \left[ egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{a}_1 \ dots \ oldsymbol{a}_{lpha\ell} \end{array} 
ight] \geq h - \epsilon \Leftrightarrow rk \left[ egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{a}_1 \ dots \ oldsymbol{a}_{2\ell} \end{array} 
ight] \geq 2\ell - 1,$$

$$\text{if and only if } rk \left[ \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{\alpha\ell} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{\alpha\ell+\epsilon} \end{array} \right] \geq 2\ell.$$

Following to my previous report, Chapter 5 Page 31, we formulate the above problem on rank as following.

Problem: A scalar solution exists, if and only if there exists a Grasmannian code  $\mathcal{G}_q(h=2\ell,\ell)$  such that any  $\alpha=2$  subspaces of the set span a subspace of dimension at least  $2\ell - 1$ .

## Solution:

USE A SUGGESTION YOU GAVE ME DURING OUR DISCUSSION >>> We need that no 2  $\ell$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_{q_s}^{2\ell}$  will contain a vector which is contained in the same  $(2\ell-2)$ -subspace, but  $(2\ell-1)$  of such subspaces

Therefore, we have: 
$$r_{scalar} \leq (2\ell - 1) \begin{bmatrix} 2\ell \\ 2\ell - 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \Rightarrow r_{scalar} \leq \mathcal{O}\left(q^l\right)$$

which is contained in the same (2) can have such vectors. Therefore, we have:  $r_{scalar} \leq (2\ell-1) \begin{bmatrix} 2\ell \\ 2\ell-2 \end{bmatrix}_{q_s} \Rightarrow r_{scalar} \leq \mathcal{O}\left(q^l\right)$ . I doubt my result, because in Section IV-D, Etzion and Wachter-Zeh seem to use  $r_{scalar} \leq \begin{bmatrix} 2\ell \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_{q_s} < \mathcal{O}\left(q^{l^2}\right)$ , which leads to their gap  $q^{t^2/2 + \mathcal{O}(t)}$  for the network.

To be similar with the proof that Etzion and Wachter-Zeh in Section IV-E, I re-formulate our problem. Let's denote any 2 subspaces of  $\mathcal{G}_q(h=2\ell,\ell)$  as U and V. If U and V span a subspace of dimension at least  $2\ell - 1$ , then we have  $dim(U+V) = 2\ell - 1$ . Therefore,  $dim(U \cap V) = dim(U) + dim(V) - 1$ dim(U+V)=1, which leads to the subspace distance  $d_s(U,V)=2\ell-2$ . It shows that our above use of  $\begin{bmatrix} 2\ell \\ 2\ell-2 \end{bmatrix}_{a_s}$  is correct.