Ten simple rules for organising an effective (student-led) writing retreat

Nicholas W. Daudt^{1,2*}, Claudia Hird^{1,3}, Eleanor Kelly¹, Elli Leinikki¹, Gretchen McCarthy¹, Ian S. Dixon-Anderson¹, Jackson Beagley^{1,4}, Jessica Moffitt¹, Joseph Curtis¹, Lindsay Wickman^{1\omega}, Meghan Duffy^{1,4}, Preston Maluafiti¹, Saskia Foreman¹, William Carome¹, Leah M. Crowe^{1,2}

- 1 Department of Marine Science, University of Otago, Dunedin, Aotearoa/New Zealand
- 2 Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Otago, Dunedin, Aotearoa/New Zealand
- 3 Department of Zoology, University of Otago, Dunedin, Aotearoa/New Zealand
- 4 Department of Geology, University of Otago, Dunedin, Aotearoa/New Zealand

¤Current Address: Marine Mammal Institute, Department of Fisheries, Wildlife and Conservation Sciences, Oregon State University, Newport, OR, USA

* nicholaswdaudt@gmail.com

Introduction

At every stage in a researcher's career, scholarly output advances scientific knowledge and supports career development. Early career researchers, in particular, significantly boost their career prospects by increasing their scholarly outputs [1,2]. Writing serves as an integral skill for academic work [3,4], especially when competing for grants and jobs. Academics juggle administrative tasks alongside teaching, collection and analysis of data, and production of publications and presentations. Consequently, many report a lack of time to think critically as a major challenge in academia [5], which too often leads researchers to deprioritise writing tasks [3,5]. Therefore, we must actively disengage from other tasks to fully engage with the act of writing [6,7].

July 10, 2025 1/15

Writing retreats provide structured periods where academics dedicate time to focused writing [6,8]. These retreats offer practical opportunities to disconnect from daily work routines [7,9], which help researchers gain writing momentum and increase scholarly output [8,10]. In addition, writing retreats foster a sense of community, promote wellbeing, and build self-confidence for academic writers [9–11]. Postgraduate students, in particular, highlight the value of these retreats in strengthening bonds among peers and appreciate the dedicated time and space to focus solely on writing [9,10,12,13].

As a cohort of PhD students in the Department of Marine Science at the University of Otago (Aotearoa/New Zealand), we organised a 5-day writing retreat at a remote field station in 2023. In New Zealand, PhDs follow research-only programs; as such, we do not participate in coursework that might promote group cohesion, and each student conducts research individually. In addition, given our diverse disciplines, field sites, and lab locations, we had felt a disconnection among us and a lack of community. Therefore, we initially planned the retreat to connect with our PhD cohort [14,15], but we also aimed to make it a productive work week [8,10]. After returning from the retreat, we realised how much writing we had accomplished and the importance of the moments we had shared as postgrads going through similar experiences in various stages of our PhDs. The retreat's success motivated us to organise a second retreat the following year; the second retreat's success inspired a third.

Based on our experience, we present Ten Simple Rules for organising effective (student-led) writing retreats. Although the authors were all PhD students at the time of the retreats, these rules apply broadly to any research-oriented or academically-minded group. We outline steps to support the planning and execution of pre- (Rules 1–4), during (Rules 5–9), and post- (Rule 10) writing retreat actions, but we do not cover writing techniques per se. Many resources on academic writing exist that we encourage you to explore (e.g. [16–19]), including articles in this series [20–22]—or you may simply choose to write a boring contribution instead [23].

Rule 1: Leverage university facilities

A change of scenery inspires productivity [7], whether you organise the writing retreat locally or as a multi-day trip. Local facilities, such as meeting rooms and other bookable

July 10, 2025 2/15

spaces on campus, offer accessible options. For longer retreats, students—regardless of departmental affiliation—should inquire about their university's field stations. For example, many biology and ecology programmes maintain field stations (for a global list, see [24]). By using university-owned facilities, students keep retreat costs manageable. Field stations often remain underutilized during certain periods, so retreats during these times can be used to promote year-round upkeep of facilities. In addition, field stations often sit in remote, natural settings with limited distractions, creating ideal environments for focus and uninterrupted work [7,9]. If your university lacks a suitable field station, other institutions may allow shared use depending on availability. We encourage students to contact station managers and build those connections.

When evaluating a potential site for a retreat, it is important to review available amenities both on-site and nearby. Also, make sure the space accommodates people with physical disabilities or impairments. We made use of our department's marine field station in Oban, Rakiura/Stewart Island (a small island off the southern coast of New Zealand's South Island). This location suited us well—everything we needed was within walking distance. Because this is a small community (around 400 year-round residents) abutting a national forest, we had limited distractions, straightforward options for restaurants and groceries, and ample access to walking tracks and beach strolls. When assessing suitability of the location, also consider internet access, heating/cooling, sleeping arrangements, and workspace infrastructure (power points, seats, tables, etc.). Taking advantage of institutional resources simplifies the planning process and enhances the success of your writing retreat.

Rule 2: Prepare a proposal to secure support

Begin planning your retreat by preparing a proposal that outlines the type of event you aim to organise and gauges interests from participants. Clearly define the capacity and target audience to plan details of the retreat effectively. Your options for accommodation (see Rule 1) may determine your maximum number of participants. In our case, we hosted all retreats with 10 participants; we found this number worked well for the size of our facility and the length of the retreat.

After outlining potential locations, dates, and number of attendees, calculate the

July 10, 2025 3/15

associated costs. These may include transportation, food, accommodation, and amenities such as internet.

71

100

Use the proposal to seek support. Academic researchers may access departmental or divisional funding to support these opportunities for development. There may be external funding available to provide supplemental support if internal money is not available to cover the entire costs of the retreat. This funding could be sought from industry and community organisations (e.g. Lions and Rotary Clubs), or through fundraisers. A well-developed proposal lays a solid foundation for organising the retreat and securing the support needed for success.

Rule 3: Structure your retreat

Create a schedule to structure your writing retreat, as this will be key to its success [6,9]. Use available resources to guide your planning (e.g. [9]), including this guide (see also Appendices). At our university, we collaborated with the Higher Education Development Centre, which provided guidance on designing productive days and structuring the week for our first and second retreats. We encourage you to take advantage of such services when available.

We travelled to the accommodation on Sunday and returned the following Saturday, allowing Monday through Friday to remain fully structured for retreat activities. We built in extra time on travel days to settle in, pack up, shop for groceries, and explore the area. Upon arrival, we held a welcome discussion to establish ground rules and ensure everyone felt comfortable (see Rule 5). We themed each workday to provide guidance and structure for participants (Table 1), while allowing each individual to pursue their own goals. We also incorporated optional social activities throughout the week (see Rule 9), such as a pub quiz, wildlife tours, game night, and local events.

Our daily schedule typically ran from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM and was shared in advance so participants knew when to expect focused work sessions [9] (see also S1 Appendix). We designated a different facilitator each day to lead discussions and manage writing blocks (60–120 minutes) and breaks (30–60 minutes). Each morning began with a 15-minute writing session using prompts that ranged from reflective writing to humorous research titles (see Rule 9). These sessions helped rev up our

July 10, 2025 4/15

Table 1. Examples of daily themes over a 5-day writing retreat. Note that days 1 and 7 were organised for travel to and settling in, and packing up and travelling back, respectively.

Day	Theme	Description
Day 2	Getting started	Commit to the goal for the week (see Rule 4), organize a strategy, and establish accountability partners.
Day 3	Words on the page	Just start writing something! (Rule 6)
Day 4	Keep going!	Continue writing and refine. (Rule 6)
Day 5	Good enough	Exchange work within accountability partners to practice giving and receiving comments. Use this opportunity to reflect on writing structure and style from a reader's perspective. (Rule 8)
Day 6	Wrap it up	End the retreat by reflecting on what was accomplished this week (Rule 10) and develop a plan on how to proceed.

writing engines for the day and offered a fun, low-pressure opportunity to share writing. Each evening, we closed the day with a reflective discussion on how the day went (with an 'accountability partner'; see Rule 5 in [22]), a shared dinner, and presentations (see Rule 7).

Rule 4: Have a pre-retreat meeting

Host a pre-retreat meeting with all attendees to ensure a smoother and more productive retreat. This meeting gives participants a chance to meet, finalise logistics, set goals, and raise questions or concerns. Use this time to build or reinforce a respectful and accountable group dynamic (see Rules 5 and 10). Collaboratively fine-tune logistics and schedules to clarify plans and foster shared ownership. Most importantly, a pre-retreat meeting allows participants to identify or workshop specific writing goals with time to prepare relevant resources (literature, data analysis, input from academic supervisors) so that non-writing activities do not become distractions during the initial days of the retreat.

We suggest that participants arrive at the pre-retreat meeting with a preliminary

July 10, 2025 5/15

goal to share with the group. Goals may be quantitative (e.g. word count, page number) or qualitative (e.g. specific sections or revisions). For reference, during our 5-day retreats, participants averaged 1.2 pages or 550 words per day, working on everything from detailed revisions to drafting full manuscripts. Identifying a specific writing target ahead of time reduces decision-making friction at the retreat and maximises productive preparation. Sharing goals also helps participants learn about each other's research and assess whether goals are realistic.

117

118

120

122

123

127

129

131

132

138

139

140

141

143

145

Hold the pre-retreat meeting within two weeks of departure. This timeframe supports goal-oriented preparation while preserving participant momentum. If possible, host the meeting in person in a casual setting. If attendees join from different campuses, a video call works as well. Additional objectives may include selecting writing prompts, presentation topics, icebreakers, roles (e.g. meal coordination, daily facilitator), or ideas for social activities.

If you cannot host a pre-retreat meeting, incorporate these objectives (introductions, goal-setting, and planning) into the first day of the retreat, ideally following the discussion of ground rules (see Rule 5). However, doing so may reduce writing time and diminish early momentum.

Rule 5: Establish ground rules

Establish clear ground rules and expectations at the start of the retreat. These include shared expectations for the group, such as setting quiet hours, assigning household responsibilities (e.g. cooking and cleaning), and setting guidelines for breaks. You can find the document we used as the basis for our ground rules in S2 Appendix. Ground rules should also set the tone of the retreat, creating a balanced foundation for respect, productivity, and enthusiasm.

At our retreat, we prioritised respectful and non-judgemental interactions between all participants. This attitude allowed flexibility in goal setting and accomplishments depending on each person's needs and stage of their PhD. Our baseline expectation of respect allowed us to set clear expectations for working versus quiet hours and to maintain a productive and peaceful environment. During our ground rule meeting, we also discussed the need for flexibility to ensure our retreat catered to the needs of all

July 10, 2025 6/15

participants. For example, not all participants were native English speakers and may find full days of writing and discussion in English more tiring. As a result, we designated writing blocks as optional—if participants need a longer break or feel they would benefit from refreshing their mind with a forest walk or gym session, they should do so without judgement. Continuing with our guideline of respect, we also made it clear in our opening meeting that while group discussions and feedback are useful, we only tolerate constructive criticism. Together, clear expectations, a set schedule, and an overall attitude of respect set the tone for a successful week of writing.

Rule 6: Write

Write. This is why you organise a retreat in the first place—to make progress on academic writing. Once a daily schedule and theme are set (Rule 3), it is time to execute. This is where individual goals (Rule 4) come into play. Knowing what you are working towards, and having a plan to get there, provides the bandwidth needed to put words on the page and have productive writing blocks.

However, writing is not easy, and writers face many hurdles, such as a lack of motivation, uncertainty about what to do next, writer's block, imposter syndrome, and more [3,7,25,26]. These obstacles can easily disrupt even the best-laid plans and most robust retreat structures. Therefore, have a backup plan. If it becomes challenging to write, consider taking a break or switching tasks; it is proven that even short breaks increase productivity [18,27]. Everyone has different strategies that work for them. For example, some people prefer to cite as they write, while others write everything out quickly and add citations during editing. Some authors, such as Ernest Hemingway, have even suggested to leave a sentence, paragraph, or idea unfinished at the end of a writing day so they have something easy to start with during the next writing block [28]. Assess what works best for your writing practice and reevaluate regularly to ensure that strategy is still serving you [22,26].

July 10, 2025 7/15

Rule 7: Lead an academic discussion

Schedule academic discussions around participants' work in a structured manner. A writing retreat gathers your academic peers in a collaborative environment with minimal external distractions. It presents a unique opportunity to garner advice and perspectives on written work (see also Rule 8) or any work-in-progress that participants might be developing.

Undertaking any large-writing project (e.g. a PhD thesis) is a daunting and varying experience for everyone. It is easy to become so engrossed in your work that you forget you are surrounded by people in a similar situation [25]. A writing retreat provides the opportunity to share your own research or discuss scientific/academic topics amongst your peers. While we found this occurred naturally throughout the retreats, especially within groups of similarly focused researchers, we also allocated a small block of time each day (see Rule 3) specifically for students to share a talk or lead a discussion with the other attendees. The casual, non-judgemental environment allowed presenting students a chance to practice a seminar talk, gain insight on methodologies, analyses and results, or simply share 'tips and tools of the trade.'

We suggest scheduling these discussions after writing blocks are complete for the day and spreading them out over the retreat. Access to a projector and screen in our second and third retreats was beneficial. However, previously, we have simply shared from our laptop screens or consulted notes and found it equally engaging due to the small group attending the retreats. Discussions were informal, and we aimed not to exceed 20 minutes per session. It is important to emphasise the casualness of such an exercise—students should not be using their time for writing anxiously preparing a conference-level presentation. These are simply opportunities to share, learn, and exchange insights. Importantly, these sessions offer a chance to get to know one another and connect as fellow PhD students [14,15].

Rule 8: Review and be reviewed

Schedule time to exchange written material and review that of your peers. An essential aspect of developing your writing is giving and receiving revisions [29,30]. Constructive

July 10, 2025 8/15

feedback from peers may offer valuable insights on gaps in clarity, logic, and structure. Even if you do not understand the scientific content of the work, focusing on structure, prose, and writing flow can be extremely helpful. This type of review can unblock a colleague who feels stuck. Likewise, feedback from a peer outside one's field may offer perspectives the author had not previously considered.

Providing feedback is just as valuable as receiving it. This practice encourages a collaborative approach to writing that builds confidence and resilience in both the reviewer and the reviewed. When giving feedback, use neutral language [31] and focus on writing components (structure, clarity, flow). If you are an expert in the content, detailed feedback can also be extremely valuable. Academics in general should be mindful of the historical barriers peer review imposes on minority groups and non-English speakers [32,33], and work towards breaking this pattern. Furthermore, peer reviewing should be an integral part of academic life [34]. Recognise peer review as a skill that can be practised, and a writing retreat could be the perfect place to begin if you are new to it.

Rule 9: Have fun

Have fun and build connections with your peers. While on a writing retreat, including recreational activities can enhance the overall experience. A writing retreat allows you to disconnect from the routine and responsibilities [7], providing a unique opportunity to bond with your peers. More rural places often have scenic landscapes, hospitable communities and limited distractions. While this may restrict choices for activities, it can lead to higher quality experiences where you can get to know local businesses and each other on a more personal level. Before embarking on a writing retreat, research what is unique about the location and take advantage of what may be on offer. We organised creative writing prompts for each morning (see Rule 3) and gave casual presentations (Rule 7) about various topics, which were fun and engaging bonding activities. Furthermore, balancing downtime activities with writing blocks can lead to optimal productivity [11,27], enhancing the writing experience.

July 10, 2025 9/15

Rule 10: Posterity—gather feedback and demonstrate value

After the retreat, take time to reflect and gather feedback from participants. A group discussion about the retreat's highlights and challenges may help clarify thoughts before participants are asked to complete an anonymous survey. Shortly after returning, summarise the feedback into a concise report while details are still fresh. Be sure to include comments on the schedule and notes on things that went well or poorly. This is valuable for cementing ideas and details that would otherwise fade before another retreat can be planned. These insights will shape future retreats and ensure important lessons are not lost over time.

A report also creates accountability and continuity. Student organisers may change, but a shared archive of resources—such as schedules, ground rules, and facilitation tips—makes it easier to plan future events. Creating an institutional repository for retreat materials provides blueprints and templates to future organisers, setting them up for success.

In addition, publicly acknowledging the retreat in your writing and presentations demonstrates its academic value. Include it in the acknowledgements of manuscripts and theses worked on during the retreat. Sharing this impact helps advocate for continued support, especially in institutions where publication output informs resource allocation. Maintaining a list of retreat-supported outputs can strengthen future proposals (see Rule 2) and make a compelling case for funding. Indeed, demonstrating the immense value of this experience may spur on support from leadership to fund retreats for students in other departments or institutions.

Conclusion

Collectively, we experienced myriad benefits of writing retreats reported in the literature. These include feeling empowered as writers through supporting each other in a respectful and non-judgmental environment [12], increasing our sense of belonging as PhD students [14] and decreasing our sense of isolation [11]. Most importantly, we achieved our writing goals at the retreats. Some of the references herein can help with

July 10, 2025 10/15

the theoretical side of writing, but ultimately writing is practice and requires dedicated time [22,26]. By facilitating the planning and execution of writing retreats through these Ten Simple Rules, we hope to foster scholarly productivity and wellbeing in student cohorts. Happy writing!

260

264

267

268

270

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. Schedule Examples. Daily schedules used during our writing retreats in 2023, 2024 and 2025.

S2 Appendix. Ground Rules. The base document for the Ground Rules discussed at the start of the retreats. Any additional points can be added to tailor the specifics of each group/location.

Acknowledgments

This manuscript is a contribution conceptualised, led, and written by PhD students, who attended writing retreats supported by the Department of Marine Science of the University of Otago, Aotearoa/New Zealand. We hope writing retreats stay as a trend in our Department, fostering collaboration and friendship among students and promoting quality science. Thanks also to Tracy Rogers and Claire Hudson from the Higher Education Development Centre of the University of Otago for the support while organising the first and second writing retreats, and the South Sea Hotel and the Rakiura/Stewart Island community for welcoming us.

Author Contributions

Conceptualisation: Nicholas W. Daudt (lead); Claudia Hird; Eleanor Kelly; Gretchen McCarthy; Ian S. Dixon-Anderson; Jackson Beagley; Jessica Moffitt; Joseph Curtis; Lindsay Wickman; Meghan Duffy; Saskia Foreman; Leah M. Crowe.

Writing – original draft: Nicholas W. Daudt; Claudia Hird; Eleanor Kelly;
 Gretchen McCarthy; Ian S. Dixon-Anderson; Jackson Beagley; Joseph Curtis; Meghan

July 10, 2025 11/15

Duffy; Saskia Foreman; Leah M. Crowe.

Writing – review editing: Nicholas W. Daudt (lead); Claudia Hird; Eleanor Kelly; Elli Leinikki; Gretchen McCarthy; Ian S. Dixon-Anderson; Jackson Beagley; Jessica Moffitt; Joseph Curtis; Lindsay Wickman; Meghan Duffy; Preston Maluafiti; Saskia Foreman; William Carome; Leah M. Crowe.

283

Project administration: Nicholas W. Daudt.

References

- Horta H, Santos JM. The impact of publishing during PhD studies on career research publication, visibility, and collaborations. Research in Higher Education. 2016;57:28–50. doi:10.1007/s11162-015-9380-0.
- Wilkins S, Hazzam J, Lean J. Doctoral publishing as professional development for an academic career in higher education. The International Journal of Management Education. 2021;19(1):100459. doi:10.1016/j.ijme.2021.100459.
- 3. Boice R, Jones F. Why academicians don't write. The Journal of Higher Education. 1984;55(5):567–582. doi:10.1080/00221546.1984.11780679.
- Hazelett DJ. An open letter to graduate students and other procrastinators: it's time to write. Nature Biotechnology. 2025; p. 1–4. doi:10.1038/s41587-025-02584-1.
- Menzies H, Newson J. No time to think: academics' life in the globally wired university. Time & Society. 2007;16(1):83–98. doi:10.1177/0961463X07074103.
- Murray R, Newton M. Writing retreat as structured intervention: margin or mainstream? Higher Education Research & Development. 2009;28(5):541–553. doi:10.1080/07294360903154126.
- 7. Murray R. 'It's not a hobby': reconceptualizing the place of writing in academic work. Higher Education. 2013;66(1):79–91. doi:10.1007/s10734-012-9591-7.

July 10, 2025 12/15

- McGrail MR, Rickard CM, Jones R. Publish or perish: a systematic review of interventions to increase academic publication rates. Higher Education Research & Development. 2006;25(1):19–35. doi:10.1080/07294360500453053.
- Tremblay-Wragg É, Mathieu Chartier S, Labonté-Lemoyne É, Déri C, Gadbois MÈ. Writing more, better, together: how writing retreats support graduate students through their journey. Journal of Further and Higher Education. 2021;45(1):95–106. doi:10.1080/0309877X.2020.1736272.
- Kornhaber R, Cross M, Betihavas V, Bridgman H. The benefits and challenges of academic writing retreats: an integrative review. Higher Education Research & Development. 2016;35(6):1210–1227. doi:10.1080/07294360.2016.1144572.
- Eardley AF, Banister E, Fletcher M. Can academic writing retreats function as wellbeing interventions? Journal of Further and Higher Education. 2021;45(2):183–196. doi:10.1080/0309877X.2020.1744542.
- Papen U, Thériault V. Writing retreats as a milestone in the development of PhD students' sense of self as academic writers. Studies in Continuing Education.
 2018;40(2):166–180. doi:10.1080/0158037X.2017.1396973.
- 13. Bojovic M, Frost E, Andal AG, Simon H. Read, write, retreat: a collaborative reflection on shared writing retreat experiences among PhD candidates. Journal of Geography in Higher Education. 2024;48(5):839–863. doi:10.1080/03098265.2024.2333288.
- O'Meara KA, Griffin KA, Kuvaeva A, Nyunt G, Robinson TN. Sense of belonging and its contributing factors in graduate education. International Journal of Doctoral Studies. 2017;12:251–279. doi:10.28945/3903.
- Bernery C, Lusardi L, Marino C, Philippe-Lesaffre M, Angulo E, Bonnaud E, et al. Highlighting the positive aspects of being a PhD student. eLife.
 2022;11:e81075. doi:10.7554/eLife.81075.
- Turbek SP, Chock TM, Donahue K, Havrilla CA, Oliverio AM, Polutchko SK, et al. Scientific writing made easy: a step-by-step guide to undergraduate writing

July 10, 2025 13/15

- in the biological sciences. The Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America. 2016;97(4):417–426. doi:10.1002/bes2.1258.
- 17. Hotaling S. Simple rules for concise scientific writing. Limnology and Oceanography Letters. 2020;5(6):379–383. doi:10.1002/lol2.10165.
- Carter S, Guerin C, Aitchinson C. Doctoral writing: practices, processes and pleasures. Springer; 2020.
- Cargill M, O'Connor P. Writing scientific research articles: strategy and steps.
 John Wiley & Sons; 2021.
- Weinberger CJ, Evans JA, Allesina S. Ten simple (empirical) rules for writing science. PLOS Computational Biology. 2015;11(4):e1004205. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004205.
- Mensh B, Kording K. Ten simple rules for structuring papers. PLOS Computational Biology. 2017;13(9):e1005619. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005619.
- Peterson TC, Kleppner SR, Botham CM. Ten simple rules for scientists: improving your writing productivity. PLoS Computational Biology. 2018;14(10):e1006379. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006379.
- 23. Sand-Jensen K. How to write consistently boring scientific literature. Oikos. 2007;116(5):723-727. doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.15674.x.
- 24. Tydecks L, Bremerich V, Jentschke I, Likens GE, Tockner K. Biological field stations: a global infrastructure for research, education, and public engagement. BioScience. 2016;66(2):164–171. doi:10.1093/biosci/biv174.
- 25. Wilson S, Cutri J. Negating isolation and imposter syndrome through writing as product and as process: the impact of collegiate writing networks during a doctoral programme. In: Pretorius L, Macaulay L, Cahusac de Caux B, editors. Wellbeing in doctoral education: insights and guidance from the student experience. Singapore: Springer Nature; 2019. p. 59–76.
- 26. Grogan KE. Writing science: what makes scientific writing hard and how to make it easier. The Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America. 2021;102(1):e01800. doi:10.1002/bes2.1800.

July 10, 2025 14/15

- 27. Lyubykh Z, Gulseren D, Premji Z, Wingate TG, Deng C, Bélanger LJ, et al. Role of work breaks in well-being and performance: a systematic review and future research agenda. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology. 2022;27(5):470–487. doi:10.1037/ocp0000337.
- 28. Hemingway E. Monologue to the Maestro: a high seas letter. Esquire, Oct. 1935;.
- 29. Guilford WH. Teaching peer review and the process of scientific writing.

 Advances in Physiology Education. 2001;25(3):167–175.

 doi:10.1152/advances.2001.25.3.167.
- 30. Reynolds JA, Thompson Jr RJ. Want to improve undergraduate thesis writing? Engage students and their faculty readers in scientific peer review. CBE—Life Sciences Education. 2011;10(2):209–215. doi:10.1187/cbe.10-10-0127.
- 31. Parsons CE, Baglini RB. Peer review: the case for neutral language. Trends in Cognitive Sciences. 2021;25(8):639–641. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2021.05.003.
- 32. Smith OM, Davis KL, Pizza RB, Waterman R, Dobson KC, Foster B, et al. Peer review perpetuates barriers for historically excluded groups. Nature Ecology & Evolution. 2023;7(4):512–523. doi:10.1038/s41559-023-01999-w.
- 33. Saleh F. Peer review bullying threatens diversity, equity, and inclusion. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2024;39(11):975–978. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2024.09.001.
- 34. Borja A, Elliott M. Do you want your paper published?—Then referee others! The view from seasoned editors. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science. 2024;310:109006. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2024.109006.

July 10, 2025 15/15