# Cheatsheet InfoTheory

Nicolas Wehrli

June 2024

### **Foundations**

### **Definitions**

Information of an outcome x

$$h(x) = -\log(p(x))$$

Cross-Entropy between p and q

$$H(p;q) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log q(x)$$

Shannon Entropy

$$H(p) = H(p; p)$$

#### Notation

We identify outcomes x with integers 1, ..., m and associate probabilities p(x) > 0.

$$H(\frac{1}{m})$$
 for  $H(p)$  with  $p(x) = \frac{1}{m}$  (uniform)

$$H(X) = H(p) = \mathbb{E}(-\log(p(X)))$$
 where p is the pdf of X

### Jensen's Inequality

Let f be convex and  $g:[m]\to\mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary function that assigns a value to each outcome.

$$f\left(\sum_{x} p(x)g(x)\right) \le \sum_{x} p(x)f(g(x)), \forall p(x) \ge 0, \sum_{x} p(x) = 1$$

alternatively

$$f(\mathbb{E}(q(X))) < \mathbb{E}(f(q(X)))$$

Applying this inequality to relate Cross-Entropy and Entropy, we get the following properties.

$$H(p;q) \ge H(p)$$

Defining KL divergence or Relative Entropy as

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{x} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$$

we get

$$H(p;q) = H(p) + D(p||q)$$

Further investigating KL divergence, we find

$$D(p||q) \ge 0 \tag{1}$$

$$D(p||q) = 0 \iff p = q \tag{2}$$

A further consequence of (1) is that the uniform distribution maximizes entropy.

$$H\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) = \max_{p} H(p)$$

### Definitions - Conditional distributions

Conditional information

$$h(x|y) = -\log p(x|y)$$

Conditional Entropy

$$H(X|Y = y) = -\sum_{x} p(x|y) \log p(x|y)$$

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_{y} p(y)H(X|Y = y)$$

Monotonicity of Conditioning

$$H(X|Y) \le H(X)$$

Joint Entropy

$$H(X,Y) = -\sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log p(x,y)$$

Chain Rule

$$H(X,Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y)$$

Subadditivity

$$H(X,Y) \le H(X) + H(Y)$$

with equality if  $X \perp Y$ .

Multiple Conditioning

Generalized to  $X_1, ..., X_n$  we get

$$H(X_1,...,X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i|X_1,...,X_{i-1}) \le \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i)$$

**Mutual Information** 

$$I(X;Y) := H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

We further have

$$I(X;Y) = D(P(X,Y)||P(X)P(Y))$$

with I(X;Y) = 0 if  $X \perp Y$ .

**Conditional Mutual Information** 

$$I(X;Y|Z) := H(X|Z) - H(X|Y,Z)$$

Conditional Independence

If  $X \perp Y|Z$ 

$$I(X;Y|Z) = 0$$
 and  $I(X;Y) < I(X;Z)$ 

We can deduct that for any function  $\phi$  on outcomes of X

$$I(\phi(X);Y) \le I(X;Y)$$

## Compression

### Definition - Code

A code C is a mapping from outcomes to codewords

$$C: \{1, ..., m\} \to \{0, 1\}^*$$

- If there is no codeword that is a prefix of another codeword, the code is a **prefix code**.
- Prefix codes retain injectivity when concatenating co-

Sets of codewords fulfilling the prefix property can be uniquely represented by the leaves of a binary tree. Since a leaf node has no children the prefix property is guaranteed.

### Kraft's Inequality

If  $\{c_1, ..., c_m\}$  are codewords of a prefix code, then

$$\sum_{x} 2^{-l_x} \le 1$$
, where  $l_x = |c_x|$  (3)

Conversely, given  $\{l_1, ..., l_m\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  satisfying (3), there exists a prefix code with those codeword lengths.

- A prefix code is **succinct**, if Kraft's inequality holds with a equality. Else it can be optimized by pruning.
- Succinct codes uniquely define a dyadic probabilistic mo-

$$q(x) = 2^{-l_x}$$

- Expected codeword length of a prefix code C

$$L(C) = \sum_{x} p(x)l_x = \sum_{x} p(x)(-\log q(x)) = H(p;q)$$

- Using H(p;q) = H(p) + D(p||q) we can deduce that the mi**nimal** L(C) for a binary prefix code C is

$$L^* = H(p) + \min_{q: dvadic} D(p||q)$$

- Thus the closer q is to p, the more optimal the prefix code is. But since p doesn't have to be dyadic there can be an inherent suboptimality based on rounding.

### Weak Law of Large Numbers

Let  $Y_1, ..., Y_n$  be iid. random variables with mean  $\mu$ . Then

$$\overline{Y}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(|\overline{Y}_n - \mu| < \varepsilon) = 1, \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

### Typicality - Asymptotic Equipartition

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} p$ . The  $\varepsilon$ -typical outcomes are

$$\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{n} = \left\{ x \in \{1, ..., m\}^{n} : \left| H(p) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(x_{i}) \right| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

By the law of large numbers for any  $p, \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists an  $n_0$ , s.t.  $\forall n > n_0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{\varepsilon}^n) > 1 - \delta$$

in particular for  $\delta = \varepsilon$ .

For all  $p, \varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^n$ , then

$$2^{-n(H(p)+\varepsilon)} \le p(x) \le 2^{-n(H(p)-\varepsilon)}$$
$$(1-\varepsilon)2^{n(H(p)-\varepsilon)} \le |\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^n| \le 2^{n(H(p)+\varepsilon)}$$
$$\implies |\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^n| \approx 2^{nH(p)} \text{ and for } x \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^n : p(x) \approx 2^{-nH(p)}$$

We define the AEP Code to encode whole sequences

$$AEP_{\varepsilon}^{n} = \begin{cases} 0B^{n}(x) & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{n} \\ 1C^{n}(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where we **enumerate** over the typical and atypical sequences. Then the average codeword length **amortized** over the encoding of the sequence x of n outcomes is

$$\frac{1}{n}|C_{\varepsilon}^{n}(x)| \le \frac{1}{n}(1 + \log|\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^{n}|) \le H(p) + \frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon$$
$$\frac{1}{n}|B^{n}(x)| \le \frac{1}{n}(1 + \log m^{n}) \le \log m + \frac{1}{n}$$

This result is theoretically optimal but practically not very useful.

### **Huffman Codes**

Let X have outcomes  $\{1,...,m\}$  ordered (wlog) st.  $p(1) \ge ... \ge p(m)$ . The Huffman contraction X' of X is defined as

$$X' = \min\{m - 1, X\}$$

We define the Huffman Code C for X recursively from C' for the H. contraction X'

$$C(x) = \begin{cases} x - 1 & \text{if } m = 2\\ C'(x)0 & \text{if } x = m - 1 \land m > 2\\ C'(x - 1)1 & \text{if } x = m \land m > 2\\ C'(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let C be a length-optimal code, then

$$p(x) > p(x') \implies l_x < l_{x'}$$

 $\forall c \in \operatorname{Img}(C) \text{ wt. } |c| \text{ maximal } : \exists c' \in \operatorname{Img}(C). \ c' \text{ sibling of } c$ 

Assume  $p_i$  ordered as above. Then a length-optimal prefix code C with  $l_1 \leq ... \leq l_{m-1} = l_m$  and  $c_{m-1}, c_m$  only differing in last bit, is called **canonical**.

Huffman codes are length-optimal.

### 3 Prediction

A betting strategy b bets a fraction b(x) on the x-th outcome. The bookmaker provides odds 1-for-q(x) for each outcome x.

$$S(X) = \frac{b(X)}{a(X)}$$

is a random variable which describes the wealth growth of a gamble.

- Maximizing  $\mathbb{E}(S(X))$  over all possible b results in betting all on the highest probable outcome.
- Let  $X_1, ..., X_n \sim p$  iid.

$$S_n := S(X_1, ..., X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n S(X_i)$$

- Any strategy with b(x) = 0, p(x) > 0 for some x will almost surely fail for increasing n.
- Doubling Rate

$$W(b) = \mathbb{E}(\log S(X)) = \sum_{x} p(x) \log \frac{b(x)}{q(x)}$$

- Odds 1-for-q are fair, if  $\sum_{x} q(x) = 1$
- In general, for fair odds, we have

$$W(b) = D(p||q) - D(p||b)$$

which is **optimal** for b = p, since then D(p||b) = 0.

- Conservation Theorem. For q uniform, fair and b = p.

$$W(b) + H(p) = \log m$$

- With fair odds, withholding part of the budget doesn't gain anything.
- If we have  $Q = \sum_{x} q(x) < 1$ , Kelly-betting (b = p) remains optimal in expectation. But the **Dutch book**

$$b(x) := \frac{q(x)}{Q} \implies S(X) = \frac{b(X)}{q(X)} = \frac{1}{Q} > 1$$

has a **guaranteed** doubling rate  $W(b) = -\log Q > 0$ .

Consider an offered bet, where we can bet  $b \in [0,1]$ . We receive  $\alpha b$  on a win and pay  $\beta b$  on a loss. Then

$$W(b) = p \log(1 + \alpha b) + (1 - p) \log(1 - \beta b)$$

We can find an optimal strategy using analysis (taking care of border cases). Kelly Criterion.

$$b^* = \min\{1, \max\{0, b\}\}, \qquad b = \frac{p}{\beta} - \frac{1-p}{\alpha}$$

### 4 Processes

A semi-infinite sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, ...$  is a **sto-chastic process**.

- A process is **stationary** if, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $\Delta > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1,...,X_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_{1+\Delta},...,X_{n+\Delta})$$

- Conditional Entropy Rate.

$$H(X) = \lim_{t \to \infty} H(X_{t+1}|X_t, ..., X_1)$$

- X stationary  $\Longrightarrow H(X)$  well-defined.
- Entropy Rate.

$$H'(X) = \lim_{\substack{t \to \infty \\ 2}} \frac{1}{t} H(X_1, ..., X_t)$$

A Markov Chain is a stochastic process for which

$$X_{t+1} \perp X_{t-1}, ..., X_1 | X_t$$

Let X be a Markov Chain and  $\pi := P(X_1)$ .

- then by the independence from past and future

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1, ..., X_t) = \mathbb{P}(X_1)\mathbb{P}(X_2|X_1)\mathbb{P}(X_3|X_2)\cdots\mathbb{P}(X_t|X_{t-1})$$

- X is **time-homogeneous**, if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+1}|X_t) = \mathbb{P}(X_2|X_1), \quad \forall t \ge 1$$

- A time-homogeneous Markov Chain is fully characterized by its initial distribution and the  ${f transition}$  matrix P with

$$P_{ij} := \mathbb{P}(X_2 = i | X_1 = j)$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i+r} = b | X_i = a) = (P^r)_{ba}$$

- M.C. X stationary
- $\iff \pi$  stationary and X time-homogeneous  $\iff P\pi = \pi$
- Entropy Rate of a stationary time-homogeneous M.C.

$$H'(X) = H(X) = \sum_{a} \pi_a \left( -\sum_{b} P_{ba} \log P_{ba} \right)$$

Note that H' = H if X is **stationary** 

- A M.C. is **ergodic**, iff.  $\exists t \geq 1$  s.t.  $(P^t)_{ij} > 0, \forall i, j$ .
- An M.C. **ergodic**  $\iff$  has a unique stationary distribution
- For stationary M.C.  $H(X_t|X_1) \leq H(X_{t+1}|X_1)$ .

### Reversible Chains.

For any finite Markove Chain X

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1, ..., X_t) = \mathbb{P}(X_t)\mathbb{P}(X_{t-1}|X_t)\cdots\mathbb{P}(X_1|X_2)$$

For a t.-h. M.C. X with stationary distribution  $\pi > 0$  and transition matrix P, then the backwards transitions are characterized by

$$U_{ab} = P_{ba} \frac{\pi_a}{\pi_b}$$

and X is reversible  $\iff P = U \iff P_{ba}\pi_a = P_{ab}\pi_b$ .

### Random Walks on Graphs.

Consider an undirected graph with nodes  $\{1,...,m\}$  and edge weights  $w_{ab}=w_{ba}\geq 0$ . We define a random walk as a Markov Chain

$$P_{ba} = \frac{w_{ab}}{W_a}, \quad W_a = \sum_{a} w_{ab}$$

- It has a stationary distribution  $\pi_a = \frac{W_a}{W}$  with  $W = \sum_a W_a$ .
- Graph connected  $\implies$  this stationary distribution is unique.
- A random walk on an undirected graph is reversible.
- Every t.-r. M.C. is equivalent to a random walk on a graph.

### Thermodynamics

Let (X, Y) and X', Y' be R.V. pairs over the same probability space, then

$$D(\mathbb{P}(X,Y)||\mathbb{P}(X',Y')) = D(\mathbb{P}(X)||\mathbb{P}(X')) + D(\mathbb{P}(Y|X)||\mathbb{P}(Y'|X'))$$

Let X be a t.-h. M.C. with  $\mu, v$  different PMF over states, then

$$D(P\mu||Pv) \le D(\mu||v) \quad \forall \mu, v$$

with  $\pi$  stationary

$$D(\mu||\pi) \ge D(P\mu||\pi)$$

if addionally X is reversible

$$D(\mu||\pi) > D(P\mu||\pi), \quad \forall \mu \neq \pi.$$

## 5 Universal Coding

A CDF  $F_X$  induces a partition of [0;1) into

$$\{I_x : x \in X(\Omega)\}, \qquad I_x := [F_X(x) - p_X(x); F_X(x))$$

For  $I = [a, b) \subseteq I$  there exists  $z \in I, (z)_2 = 0.z_1z_2...z_l$  with  $l = [-\log(b-a)]$  (there's short representation for every Interval I). Shannon-Fano-Elias Codes

- pick midpoint  $z_x = \sum_{x' < x} p_X(x') + \frac{1}{2} p_X(x)$
- truncate to  $\left[-\log p_X(x)\right] + 1$  bits

Prefix-free, but not wasteful. Idea can be translated into

### **Arithmetic Coding**

Note that Huffman Codes require knowledge of the distribution and cannot easily be adapted to changing distributions.

Consider a stochastic process made of  $X_t:\Omega\to A, A:=\{0,...,m-1\}.$  We define

$$Z = (0.X_1 X_2 ...)_m \in [0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}$$

For any Z. If  $F_Z$  is a **bijection**, then  $U := F_Z(Z) \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1])$ . Then  $F_U(u) = u$  and  $U = (0.U_1U_2...) \implies U_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{2})$ .

- encoder  $x_1, x_2, ... \mapsto z = (0.x_1x_2...)_m \stackrel{F_Z}{\mapsto} u = (0.u_1u_2...)_2$
- decoder  $u \stackrel{F_z^{-1}}{\mapsto} z = (0.x_1x_2...)_m \mapsto x_1x_2$

Consider  $X=(X_1,...,X_n)$  with  $X:\Omega\to A^n$  and thus  $m^n$  possible outcomes.

 $F_X$  induces a partitioning  $\{I_x : x = (x_1, ..., x_n)\}$  as defined above. The **arithmetic code** is given by the S.-F.-E. code for x.

For the arithmetic code C, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(|C(X_1, ..., X_n)|) = H(\{X_t\})$$

**Incremental refinement** of  $I_x$  for  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  into m subinterval using

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n + 1 | X_1 = x_1, ..., X_n = x_n)$$

### Lempel-Ziv Code

We denote a string to be compressed as

$$x = x_{-s}x_{-s+1}...x_{-1}x_0x_1...x_t$$

where  $x_0, ..., x_t$  still needs to be encoded.

#### Matching.

$$\operatorname{match}(x) := \{(j, l) \mid x_{-i} ... x_{-i+l-1} = x_0 ... x_{l-1} \land j, l \ge 1\}$$

The Maximal Matching is  $(j^*, l^*) \in \text{match}(x)$  is maximal in l and as tiebreaker minimal in j.

### LZ77.

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} (0, x_0)c(x_1...x_t) & \text{if } \mathrm{match}(x) = \emptyset \\ (1, j^*, l^*)c(x_{l^*}...x_t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

An integer x can be encoded with  $\leq \log x + 2 \log \log x + 4$  bits.

$$C'(x) = 00...01(x)_2, \quad |C'(x)| = 2\lceil \log x \rceil + 1$$

and we construct

$$C(x) = C'(\lceil \log x \rceil)1(x)_2, \quad |C(x)| \le \log x + 2\log\log x + 4$$

LZ77 is optimal (i.e. reaches the shannon limit).

## 6 Channel Coding

A noisy channel is a conditional probability distribution  $\mathbb{P}_{Y|X}$ , where  $X:\Omega\to\mathcal{X}$  is the input and  $Y:\Omega\to\mathcal{Y}$  the output. The noise reduces the information from H(X) to I(X;Y).

Channel Capacity. Given a channel with  $\mathbb{P}_{Y|X}$  its capacity is

$$R^* = \max_{\mathbb{P}_X} I(X;Y)$$

$$w \in [1:m] \overset{\text{enc.}}{\mapsto} x = f(w) \in \mathcal{X} \overset{\text{channel}}{\mapsto} y \in \mathcal{Y} \overset{\text{dec.}}{\mapsto} \hat{w} = g(y) \in [0:m]$$

### Binary Symmetric Channel.

A BSC $(n, \eta)$  is a channel with  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}^n$ , with

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{1:n}|X_{1:n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(Y_i|X_i), \text{ where } Y_i = X_i \oplus N_i, \ N_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathrm{Ber}(\eta)$$

The probability of communication error is  $P_e = 1 - (1 - \eta)^n$ .

A codebook  $C = \{x(1), ..., x(m)\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$  maps each message  $w \in [1 : m]$  to a unique binary codeword x(w).

Bayes-optimal Decoder. For a codebook  $\mathcal C$  the min. error probability decoder is given by

$$\hat{w} = g(y) = f^{-1}(\hat{x}), \quad \hat{x} = \underset{x \in \mathcal{C}}{\operatorname{arg max}} \mathbb{P}(x|y)$$

For X uniform, the Bayes-optimal decoder is the **maximum likelihood** decoder, i.e.  $\hat{x} = \arg\max_{x \in X} \mathbb{P}(y|x)$ .

**Joint Typicality.** Consider n pairs of R.V.  $(X_i, Y_i) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathbb{P}$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  define the jointly typical sets via

$$\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^{n} = \{(x_{1:n}, y_{1:n}) : |H(X, Y) + \frac{1}{n} \log p(x_{1:n}, y_{1:n})| < \varepsilon \land |H(X) + \frac{1}{n} \log p(x_{1:n})| < \varepsilon \land |H(Y) + \frac{1}{n} \log p(y_{1:n})| < \varepsilon \}$$

**Joint AEP.** In the same setting as above

- (i)  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_n^n) \to 1$ , as  $n \to \infty$
- (ii)  $\log |\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^n| \leq n(H(X,Y) + \varepsilon)$ , for all n large enough.

**Decoding by Joint Typicality.** Assume codeb. C and y received.

- 1.  $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}(y) := \{x \in \mathcal{C} : (x,y) \in \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^n\}$
- 2. If  $C_{\varepsilon}(y) = \{x\}$ , then decode  $g(y) = f^{-1}(x)$ .
- 3. otherwise declare an inability to decode by setting, q(y) = 0.

**Random Codebooks.** Generate a random codebook from  $m \cdot n$  fair coin tosses

$$X_i(w) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \operatorname{Ber}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad w \in [1:m], i \in [1:n]$$

Define events

$$E_w = (X(w), Y(1)) \in \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^n$$

Expected probability error of typicality Decoding

$$P_{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{P}(E_1^c \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_m)$$

With Union Bound and typicality  $(\mathbb{P}(E_1^c) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0(\varepsilon))$ 

$$P_{\varepsilon} \leq \mathbb{P}(E_1^c) + \sum_{i=2}^{m} \mathbb{P}(E_i) \leq \varepsilon + m\mathbb{P}(E_2)$$

To further bound the error we consider the following **Lemma:** Let  $(X_i,Y_i)\stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathbb{P}(X,Y)$  and  $(\overline{X}_i,\overline{Y}_i)\stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathbb{P}(X)\mathbb{P}(Y), i\in [1:n]$ . For large enough n

$$\mathbb{P}((\overline{X}_{1:n}, \overline{Y}_{1:n}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^n) \le 2^{-n(I(X;Y) - 3\varepsilon)}$$

With the lemma and noting  $m=2^{nR},$  we get for any  $\varepsilon>0$  and large enough n

$$P_{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon + 2^{nR} 2^{-n(I(X;Y) - 3\varepsilon)} < \varepsilon + 2^{-n\kappa}$$

with  $\kappa = I(X;Y) - R - 3\varepsilon$ . Thus as long as R < I(X;Y) we have  $P_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  for  $n \to \infty$ . Note then  $\kappa > 0$  since  $\varepsilon > 0$  can be arbitrary. Note that  $P_{\varepsilon}$  is the **expected error** over all possible codebooks (uniformly chosen). To find a codebook with an error  $\leq P_{\varepsilon}$  one could do an exhaustive search over all codebooks (**not practical**).

Channel Coding Theorem. Consider a BSC $(n, \eta)$ . For any rate  $R < R^* = 1 - H(\eta)$  we can communicate  $m = 2^{nR}$  distinct messages with an average error  $P_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  for  $n \to \infty$ .

The **Hamming Distance** between  $x, x' \in \{0, 1\}^n$  is the number of bits they differ.

$$d_H(x, x') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (1 - x'_i) + (1 - x_i) x'_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i + x'_i) - 2x_i x'_i$$

In BSC $(n, \eta)$  with  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  uniform (!) and  $\eta < \frac{1}{2}$ , optimal decoding wrt. to  $\mathcal{C}$  is characterized by

$$x^* = \underset{x \in \mathcal{C}}{\operatorname{arg max}} \ \mathbb{P}(y|x) = \underset{x \in \mathcal{C}}{\operatorname{min}} d_H(x, y)$$

**Detecting single-bit corruptions.** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{0,1\}^n$  be a code-book and y be received with atmost one bit corruption from input x. We construct  $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \{0,1\}^{n+1}$  with an appended parity bit for every  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ .

$$x \mapsto x' = \left(x_1, ..., x_n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mod 2\right)$$

Then  $\min_{x'\neq z'\in\mathcal{C}'}d_H(x',z')\geq 2$