

Cheatsheet InfoTheory

Nicolas Wehrli

June 2024

1 Foundations

Definitions

Information of an outcome x

$$h(x) = -\log(p(x))$$

Cross-Entropy between p and q

$$H(p; q) = -\sum_x p(x) \log q(x)$$

Shannon Entropy

$$H(p) = H(p; p)$$

Notation

We identify outcomes x with integers $1, \dots, m$ and associate probabilities $p(x) \geq 0$.

$H(\frac{1}{m})$ for $H(p)$ with $p(x) = \frac{1}{m}$ (uniform)
 $H(X) = H(p) = \mathbb{E}(-\log(p(X)))$ where p is the pdf of X

Jensen's Inequality

Let f be convex and $g : [m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function that assigns a value to each outcome.

$$f\left(\sum_x p(x)g(x)\right) \leq \sum_x p(x)f(g(x)), \forall p(x) \geq 0, \sum_x p(x) = 1$$

alternatively

$$f(\mathbb{E}(g(X))) \leq \mathbb{E}(f(g(X)))$$

Applying this inequality to relate **Cross-Entropy** and **Entropy**, we get the following properties.

$$H(p; q) \geq H(p)$$

Defining **KL divergence** or **Relative Entropy** as

$$D(p||q) = \sum_x p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$$

we get

$$H(p; q) = H(p) + D(p||q)$$

Further investigating KL divergence, we find

$$D(p||q) \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$D(p||q) = 0 \iff p = q \quad (2)$$

A further consequence of (1) is that the uniform distribution **maximizes** entropy.

$$H\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) = \max_p H(p)$$

Definitions - Conditional distributions

Conditional information

$$h(x|y) = -\log p(x|y)$$

Conditional Entropy

$$H(X|Y = y) = -\sum_x p(x|y) \log p(x|y)$$

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_y p(y) H(X|Y = y)$$

Monotonicity of Conditioning

$$H(X|Y) \leq H(X)$$

Joint Entropy

$$H(X, Y) = -\sum_{x,y} p(x, y) \log p(x, y)$$

Chain Rule

$$H(X, Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y)$$

Subadditivity

$$H(X, Y) \leq H(X) + H(Y)$$

with equality if $X \perp Y$.

Multiple Conditioning

$$H(X|Y, Y') \leq H(X|Y)$$

Generalized to X_1, \dots, X_n we get

$$H(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i|X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i)$$

Mutual Information

$$I(X; Y) := H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

We further have

$$I(X; Y) = D(P(X, Y) || P(X)P(Y))$$

with $I(X; Y) = 0$ if $X \perp Y$.

Conditional Mutual Information

$$I(X; Y|Z) := H(X|Z) - H(X|Y, Z)$$

Conditional Independence

If $X \perp Y|Z$

$$I(X; Y|Z) = 0 \text{ and } I(X; Y) \leq I(X; Z)$$

We can deduct that for any function ϕ on outcomes of X

$$I(\phi(X); Y) \leq I(X; Y)$$

2 Compression

Definition - Code

A code C is a mapping from outcomes to codewords

$$C : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$$

- If there is no codeword that is a prefix of another codeword, the code is a **prefix code**.
- Prefix codes retain injectivity when concatenating codewords.

Sets of codewords fulfilling the prefix property can be uniquely represented by the leaves of a binary tree. Since a leaf node has no children the prefix property is guaranteed.

Kraft's Inequality

If $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ are codewords of a prefix code, then

$$\sum_x 2^{-l_x} \leq 1, \text{ where } l_x = |c_x| \quad (3)$$

Conversely, given $\{l_1, \dots, l_m\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (3), there exists a prefix code with those codeword lengths.

- A prefix code is **succinct**, if Kraft's inequality holds with a equality. Else it can be optimized by pruning.
- Succinct codes uniquely define a **dyadic probabilistic model**

$$q(x) = 2^{-l_x}$$

- Expected codeword length of a prefix code C

$$L(C) = \sum_x p(x)l_x = \sum_x p(x)(-\log q(x)) = H(p; q)$$

- Using $H(p; q) = H(p) + D(p||q)$ we can deduce that the **minimal** $L(C)$ for a binary prefix code C is

$$L^* = H(p) + \min_{q:\text{dyadic}} D(p||q)$$

- Thus the closer q is to p , the more optimal the prefix code is. But since p doesn't have to be dyadic there can be an **inherent suboptimality** based on rounding.

Weak Law of Large Numbers

Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be iid. random variables with mean μ . Then

$$\bar{Y}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|\bar{Y}_n - \mu| < \varepsilon) = 1, \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

Typicality - Asymptotic Equipartition

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} p$. The ε -typical outcomes are

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^n = \left\{ x \in \{1, \dots, m\}^n : \left| H(p) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(x_i) \right| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

By the law of large numbers for any $p, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists an n_0 , s.t. $\forall n \geq n_0$

$$\mathbb{P}(A_\varepsilon^n) > 1 - \delta$$

in particular for $\delta = \varepsilon$.

For all $p, \varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-n(H(p)+\varepsilon)} &\leq p(x) \leq 2^{-n(H(p)-\varepsilon)} \\ (1-\varepsilon)2^{n(H(p)-\varepsilon)} &\leq |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^n| \leq 2^{n(H(p)+\varepsilon)} \\ \implies |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^n| &\approx 2^{nH(p)} \text{ and for } x \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^n : p(x) \approx 2^{-nH(p)} \end{aligned}$$

We define the AEP Code to encode whole sequences

$$\text{AEP}_\varepsilon^n = \begin{cases} 0B^n(x) & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^n \\ 1C^n(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where we **enumerate** over the typical and atypical sequences. Then the average codeword length **amortized** over the encoding of the sequence x of n outcomes is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} |C_\varepsilon^n(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{n} (1 + \log |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^n|) \leq H(p) + \frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{n} |B^n(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{n} (1 + \log m^n) \leq \log m + \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

This result is theoretically optimal but practically not very useful.

Huffman Codes

Let X have outcomes $\{1, \dots, m\}$ ordered (wlog) st. $p(1) \geq \dots \geq p(m)$. The Huffman contraction X' of X is defined as

$$X' = \min\{m-1, X\}$$

We define the Huffman Code C for X recursively from C' for the H. contraction X'

$$C(x) = \begin{cases} x-1 & \text{if } m=2 \\ C'(x)0 & \text{if } x=m-1 \wedge m>2 \\ C'(x-1)1 & \text{if } x=m \wedge m>2 \\ C'(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let C be a length-optimal code, then

$$p(x) > p(x') \implies l_x \leq l_{x'}$$

$$\forall c \in \text{Img}(C) \text{ wt. } |c| \text{ maximal} : \exists c' \in \text{Img}(C). \text{ } c' \text{ sibling of } c$$

Assume p_i ordered as above. Then a length-optimal prefix code C with $l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_{m-1} = l_m$ and c_{m-1}, c_m only differing in last bit, is called **canonical**.

Huffman codes are length-optimal.

3 Prediction

A betting strategy b bets a fraction $b(x)$ on the x -th outcome. The bookmaker provides odds 1-for- $q(x)$ for each outcome x .

$$S(X) = \frac{b(X)}{q(X)}$$

is a random variable which describes the wealth growth of a gamble.

- Maximizing $\mathbb{E}(S(X))$ over all possible b results in betting all on the highest probable outcome.
- Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim p$ iid.

$$S_n := S(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n S(X_i)$$

- Any strategy with $b(x) = 0, p(x) > 0$ for some x will almost surely fail for increasing n .
- **Doubling Rate**

$$W(b) = \mathbb{E}(\log S(X)) = \sum_x p(x) \log \frac{b(x)}{q(x)}$$

- Odds 1-for- q are **fair**, if $\sum_x q(x) = 1$
- In general, for fair odds, we have

$$W(b) = D(p||q) - D(p||b)$$

which is **optimal** for $b = p$, since then $D(p||b) = 0$.

- **Conservation Theorem.** For q uniform, fair and $b = p$.

$$W(b) + H(p) = \log m$$

- With fair odds, withholding part of the budget doesn't gain anything.
- If we have $Q = \sum_x q(x) < 1$, Kelly-betting ($b = p$) remains optimal in expectation. But the **Dutch book**

$$b(x) := \frac{q(x)}{Q} \implies S(X) = \frac{b(X)}{q(X)} = \frac{1}{Q} > 1$$

has a **guaranteed** doubling rate $W(b) = -\log Q > 0$.

Consider an offered bet, where we can bet $b \in [0, 1]$. We receive αb on a win and pay βb on a loss. Then

$$W(b) = p \log(1 + \alpha b) + (1-p) \log(1 - \beta b)$$

We can find an optimal strategy using analysis (taking care of border cases). **Kelly Criterion.**

$$b^* = \min\{1, \max\{0, b\}\}, \quad b = \frac{p}{\beta} - \frac{1-p}{\alpha}$$

4 Processes

A semi-infinite sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots is a **stochastic process**.

- A process is **stationary** if, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\Delta \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_{1+\Delta}, \dots, X_{n+\Delta})$$

- **Conditional Entropy Rate.**

$$H(X) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(X_{t+1}|X_t, \dots, X_1)$$

- X stationary $\implies H(X)$ well-defined.
- **Entropy Rate.**

$$H'(X) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H(X_1, \dots, X_t)$$

A **Markov Chain** is a stochastic process for which

$$X_{t+1} \perp X_{t-1}, \dots, X_1 | X_t$$

Let X be a Markov Chain and $\pi := P(X_1)$.

- then by the independence from past and future $\mathbb{P}(X_1, \dots, X_t) = \mathbb{P}(X_1)\mathbb{P}(X_2|X_1)\mathbb{P}(X_3|X_2) \cdots \mathbb{P}(X_t|X_{t-1})$
- X is **time-homogeneous**, if $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+1}|X_t) = \mathbb{P}(X_2|X_1), \quad \forall t \geq 1$

- A time-homogeneous Markov Chain is fully characterized by its initial distribution and the **transition matrix** P with $P_{ij} := \mathbb{P}(X_2 = i | X_1 = j)$

then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i+r} = b | X_i = a) = (P^r)_{ba}$$

- M.C. X **stationary** $\iff \pi$ stationary and X time-homogeneous $\iff P\pi = \pi$
- **Entropy Rate** of a stationary time-homogeneous M.C.

$$H'(X) = H(X) = \sum_a \pi_a \left(- \sum_b P_{ba} \log P_{ba} \right)$$

Note that $H' = H$ if X is **stationary**.

- A M.C. is **ergodic**, iff. $\exists t \geq 1$ s.t. $(P^t)_{ij} > 0, \forall i, j$.
- An M.C. **ergodic** \iff has a unique stationary distribution
- For stationary M.C. $H(X_t|X_1) \leq H(X_{t+1}|X_1)$.

Reversible Chains.

For any finite Markov Chain X

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1, \dots, X_t) = \mathbb{P}(X_t)\mathbb{P}(X_{t-1}|X_t) \cdots \mathbb{P}(X_1|X_2)$$

For a t.-h. M.C. X with stationary distribution $\pi > 0$ and transition matrix P , then the backwards transitions are characterized by

$$U_{ab} = P_{ba} \frac{\pi_a}{\pi_b}$$

and X is **reversible** $\iff P = U \iff P_{ba}\pi_a = P_{ab}\pi_b$.

Random Walks on Graphs.

Consider an undirected graph with nodes $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and edge weights $w_{ab} = w_{ba} \geq 0$. We define a random walk as a Markov Chain

$$P_{ba} = \frac{w_{ab}}{W_a}, \quad W_a = \sum_b w_{ab}$$

- It has a stationary distribution $\pi_a = \frac{W_a}{W}$ with $W = \sum_a W_a$.
- Graph connected \implies this stationary distribution is unique.
- A random walk on an undirected graph is reversible.
- Every t.-r. M.C. is equivalent to a random walk on a graph.

Thermodynamics

Let (X, Y) and X', Y' be R.V. pairs over the same probability space, then

$$D(\mathbb{P}(X, Y) || \mathbb{P}(X', Y')) = D(\mathbb{P}(X) || \mathbb{P}(X')) + D(\mathbb{P}(Y|X) || \mathbb{P}(Y'|X'))$$

Let X be a t.-h. M.C. with μ, v different PMF over states, then

$$D(P\mu || P\nu) \leq D(\mu || \nu) \quad \forall \mu, \nu$$

with π stationary

$$D(\mu || \pi) \geq D(P\mu || \pi)$$

if additionally X is reversible

$$D(\mu || \pi) > D(P\mu || \pi), \quad \forall \mu \neq \pi.$$

5 Universal Coding

A CDF F_X induces a partition of $[0; 1)$ into

$$\{I_x : x \in X(\Omega)\}, \quad I_x := [F_X(x) - p_X(x); F_X(x))$$

For $I = [a, b) \subseteq I$ there exists $z \in I, (z)_2 = 0.z_1z_2\dots z_l$ with $l = \lceil -\log(b-a) \rceil$ (there's short representation for every Interval I).

Shannon-Fano-Elias Codes

- pick midpoint $z_x = \sum_{x' < x} p_X(x') + \frac{1}{2}p_X(x)$
- truncate to $\lceil -\log p_X(x) \rceil + 1$ bits

Prefix-free, but not wasteful. Idea can be translated into

Arithmetic Coding

Note that Huffman Codes require knowledge of the distribution and cannot easily be adapted to changing distributions.

Consider a stochastic process made of $X_t : \Omega \rightarrow A, A := \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. We define

$$Z = (0.X_1X_2\dots)_m \in [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$$

For any Z . If F_Z is a **bijection**, then $U := F_Z(Z) \sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1])$.

Then $F_U(u) = u$ and $U = (0.U_1U_2\dots) \xRightarrow{iid} U_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{2})$.

- encoder $x_1, x_2, \dots \mapsto z = (0.x_1x_2\dots)_m \xrightarrow{F_Z} u = (0.u_1u_2\dots)_2$
- decoder $u \xrightarrow{F_Z^{-1}} z = (0.x_1x_2\dots)_m \mapsto x_1x_2$

Consider $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ with $X : \Omega \rightarrow A^n$ and thus m^n possible outcomes.

F_X induces a partitioning $\{I_x : x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$ as defined above.

The **arithmetic code** is given by the S.-F.-E. code for x .

For the arithmetic code C , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(|C(X_1, \dots, X_n)|) = H(\{X_t\})$$

Incremental refinement of I_x for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ into m subinterval using

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n + 1 | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$$

Lempel-Ziv Code

We denote a string to be compressed as

$$x = x_{-s}x_{-s+1}\dots x_{-1}x_0x_1\dots x_t$$

where x_0, \dots, x_t still needs to be encoded.

Matching.

$$\text{match}(x) := \{(j, l) \mid x_{-j}\dots x_{-j+l-1} = x_0\dots x_{l-1} \wedge j, l \geq 1\}$$

The **Maximal Matching** is $(j^*, l^*) \in \text{match}(x)$ is maximal in l and as tiebreaker minimal in j .

Lempel-Ziv Code.

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} (0, x_0)c(x_1\dots x_t) & \text{if } \text{match}(x) = \emptyset \\ (1, j^*, l^*)c(x_{l^*}\dots x_t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

An integer x can be encoded with $\leq \log x + 2 \log \log x + 4$ bits.

$$C'(x) = 00\dots 01(x)_2, \quad |C'(x)| = 2\lceil \log x \rceil + 1$$

and we construct

$$C(x) = C'(\lceil \log x \rceil 1(x)_2), \quad |C(x)| \leq \log x + 2 \log \log x + 4$$