VAST user manual

2 James Thorson

3

1

4 **Purpose of document**:

- 5 This document is intended to document the model structure and user-options available in
- 6 package VAST. For guidance and examples of how to use the model, please see the
- 7 Rmarkdown tutorials in the GitHub "/examples" directory. In the following, I try to use
- 8 notation similar to the TMB code: I use parentheses to indicate a parameter or variable that is
- 9 indexed by the specified indices, and I use subscripts for naming (e.g., to indicate different
- parameters for different model components). Feel free to change notation when describing
- the model to suite your purposes. For further details regarding terminology, motivation, and
- statistical properties, please read the papers listed on the GitHub main page.

13 Model description:

14 Linear predictors

- I use a delta-model that includes two linear predictors. The linear predictor for encounter
- 16 probability:

17
$$p_1(i) = \beta_1(c_i, t_i) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{\omega 1}} L_{\omega 1}(c_i, f) \omega_1(s_i, f) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{\varepsilon 1}} L_{\varepsilon 1}(c_i, f) \varepsilon_1(s_i, f, t_i)$$

$$+ \sum_{f=1}^{n_{\delta_1}} L_{\delta_1}(v_i, f) \delta_1(v_i, f) + \sum_{p=1}^{n_p} \gamma_1(c_i, t_i, p) X(x_i, t_i, p) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_k} \lambda_1(k) Q(i, k)$$

- where $p_1(i)$ is the predictor for observation i, $\beta_1(c_i, t_i)$ is an intercept for category c_i and
- year t_i , $\omega_1(s_i, f)$ represents spatial variation at location s_i for factor f and $L_{\omega 1}(c_i, f)$ is the
- 21 loadings matrix that generates spatial covariation among categories for this linear predictor,
- 22 $\varepsilon_1(s_i, f, t_i)$ is spatio-temporal variation and $L_{\varepsilon_1}(c_i, f)$ is the loadings matrix that generates

spatio-temporal covariation for this predictor, $\delta_1(v_i, f)$ is random variation in catchability among a grouping variable (tows or vessels) and $L_{\delta 1}(v_i, f)$ is a loadings matrix that generates covariation in catchability among categories for this predictor, $X(x_i, t_i, p)$ are measured density covariates that explain variation in density and $\gamma_1(c_i, t_i, p)$ is the estimated impact of density covariates, and Q(i, k) are measured catchability covariates that explain variation in catchability and $\lambda_1(k)$ is the estimated impact of catchability covariates for this linear predictor. Similarly, the linear predictor for positive catch rates:

30
$$p_2(i) = \beta_2(c_i, t_i) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{\omega 1}} L_{\omega 2}(c_i, f) \omega_1(s_i, f) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{\varepsilon 1}} L_{\varepsilon 2}(c_i, f) \varepsilon_2(s_i, f, t_i)$$

$$+\sum_{f=1}^{n_{\delta_1}} L_{\delta_2}(v_i, f) \delta_2(v_i, f) + \sum_{p=1}^{n_p} \gamma_2(c_i, t_i, p) X(x_i, t_i, p) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_k} \lambda_2(k) Q(i, k)$$

where all variables and parameters are defined similarly except using different subscripts (Thorson et al. In review, Thorson and Barnett 2017). The loadings matrices are designed such that $\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{L}$ is the covariance among categories for a given spatial or spatio-temporal process (Thorson et al. 2015a), and when there is only one category \mathbf{L} is a 1x1 matrix (i.e. a scalar) representing the standard deviation for a given process. This model therefore reduces to a single-species spatio-temporal model (e.g., Thorson et al. 2015b) when only one category is available.

The user controls the number of spatial and spatio-temporal factors used for each component via input:

```
# Control number of factors
fieldConfig = c("Omega1"=1, "Epsilon1"=1, "Omega2"=1, "Epsilon2"=1)
43
```

where FieldConfig[1] controls $n_{\omega 1}$, FieldConfig[2] controls $n_{\varepsilon 1}$, FieldConfig[3] controls $n_{\omega 2}$, and FieldConfig[4] controls $n_{\varepsilon 2}$, and a value of zero "turns off" that component of

- spatial or spatio-temporal covariation. The user controls the number of catchability factors
- 47 used for each component via input:

```
48 # Control number of spatial and spatio-temporal factors
```

OverdispersionConfig = c("Delta1"=0, "Delta2"=0)

49 50

- where OverdispersionConfig[1] controls $n_{\delta 1}$, and OverdispersionConfig[2] controls $n_{\delta 2}$,
- and a value of zero again "turns off" that component of random covariation in catchability.

53 Link functions

- 54 There are different user-controlled options for link-functions that calculate expected
- encounter probability and positive catch rates given these two linear predictors.
- 56 # Control number of catchability factors
- OverdispersionConfig = c("Vessel"=0, "VesselYear"=0)

- 59 where the 2nd element of this vector controls the link functions.
- 1. ObsModel[2]=0 corresponds to a conventional delta-model:

$$f_1(i) = logit^{-1}(p_1(i))$$

- where $r_1(i)$ is the predictor encounter probability and $logit^{-1}(p_1(i))$ is the logistic
- function of $p_1(i)$, and:

$$r_2(i) = a_i \times log^{-1}(p_2(i))$$

- where $r_2(i)$ is the predicted biomass density for positive catch rates, $log^{-1}(p_2(i))$ is the
- exponential function of $p_2(i)$, and a_i is the area-swept for observation i, which enters as a
- linear offset for expected biomass given an encounter.
- 2. Alternatively, ObsModel[2]=1 corresponds to a "Poisson-process" link function that
- approximates a Tweedie distribution:

$$r_1(i) = 1 - \exp(-a_i \times \exp(p_1(i)))$$

- where $r_1(i)$ is the predictor encounter probability and $1 \exp(-a_i \times \exp(p_1(i)))$ is a
- 72 complementary log-log link of $p_1(i) + \log(a_i)$, and:

73
$$r_2(i) = \frac{a_i \times \exp(p_1(i))}{r_1(i)} \times \exp(p_2(i))$$

where $r_2(i)$ is the predicted biomass given that the species is encountered. In this

"Poisson-process" link function, $\exp(p_1(i))$ is interpreted as the density in number of

individuals per area such that $a_i \times \exp(p_1(i))$ is the predicted number of individuals

encountered, and $\exp(p_2(i))$ is interpreted as the average weight per individual. Area
swept a_i therefore enters as a linear offset for the expected number of individuals

encountered (Thorson In review).

Observation models:

There are different user-controlled options for observation models for positive catch rates.

```
# Control observation error
ObsModel = c(2,0)
```

84

80

85 VAST then calculates the probability of data as:

86
$$\Pr(b_i = B) = \begin{cases} 1 - r_1(i) & \text{if } B = 0\\ r_1(x_i, c_i, t_i) \times g\{B | r_2(i), \sigma_m^2(c)\} & \text{if } B > 0 \end{cases}$$

- where ObsModel[1] controls the probability density function $g\{B|r_2(i),\sigma_m^2(c)\}$ used for
- positive catch rates (see ?Data_Fn for a list of options), where each options is defined to have
- with expectation $r_2(i)$ and dispersion $\sigma_m^2(c)$, where dispersion parameter $\sigma_m^2(c)$ varies
- 90 among categories by default.

91 Settings regarding spatial domain

- 92 VAST approximates spatial and spatio-temporal variation as being piecewise-constant. To
- 93 do so, the user specifies n x:

```
94 # Number of knots
95 n_x = 1000
```

VAST then uses a k-means algorithm to identify the location of n_x knots to minimize the total distance between the location of available data and the location of the nearest knot. This distributes knots as a function of the spatial intensity of sampling data.

VAST then uses a stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) approximation to the probability density function for spatial and spatio-temporal variation (Lindgren et al. 2011). This SPDE approximation involves generating a triangulated mesh that has a vertex of a triangle at each knot, and VAST generates this triangulated mesh using package R-INLA (Lindgren 2012). Outputs from this triangulated mesh can then be used to calculate the precision (inverse-covariance) matrix for a multivariate normal probability density function for the value of a spatial variable at each mesh vertex. Specifically, the correlation $\mathbf{R}_1(s,s+h)$ between location s and location s h for spatial and spatio-temporal terms included in the first linear predictor is approximated as following a Matern function:

$$\mathbf{R}_1(s, s+h) = \frac{1}{2^{\nu-1}\Gamma(n)} \times (\kappa_1|h\mathbf{H}|)^n \times K_{\nu}(\kappa_1|h\mathbf{H}|)$$

where **H** is a two-dimensional linear transformation representing geometric anisotropy (with a determinant of 1.0), ν is the Matern smoothness (fixed at 1.0), and κ_1 governs the decorrelation distance for that first linear predictor (κ_2 is also separately estimated for the second linear predictor). By default, the two degrees of freedom in **H** are estimated as fixed effects, but the user can specify isotropy (i.e., **H** = **I**) by specifying:

```
# Turn of geometric anisotropy
Data = Data_Fn( ..., Aniso=FALSE )
```

VAST then specifies that the spatial and spatio-temporal Gaussian random fields each have a variance of 1.0. By default VAST specifies these as follows:

120
$$\omega_1(\cdot, f) \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\omega_1}^2 \mathbf{R}_1)$$

121
$$\omega_2(\cdot, f) \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\omega_1}^2 \mathbf{R}_2)$$

122
$$\varepsilon_1(\cdot, f, t) \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\varepsilon_1}^2 \mathbf{R}_1)$$

- 123 $\varepsilon_2(\cdot, f, t) \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\varepsilon 2}^2 \mathbf{R}_2)$
- where $\omega_1(\cdot, f)$ is the vector formed when subsetting $\omega_1(s, f)$ for a given f, and $\sigma_{\omega_1}^2$ is the
- variance of $\omega_1(s, f)$, where other parameters are defined similarly. Specifying a variance of
- 1.0 ensures that the covariance among categories is defined by the loadings matrix for that
- term. However, VAST allows spatio-temporal variance to be specified differently as
- discussed in the section titled "Structure on parameters among years".
- 129 Structure on parameters among years:
- There are different user-controlled options for specifying structure for intercepts or spatio-
- temporal variation across time, using input:
- # Control autoregressive structure for parameters over time
- 133 RhoConfig = c("Beta1"=0, "Beta2"=0, "Epsilon1"=0, "Epsilon2"=0)
- 134
- By default (when RhoConfig[1]=0 and RhoConfig[2]=0) the model specifies that each
- intercept $\beta_1(t)$ and $\beta_2(t)$ is a fixed effect. However, other settings specify the following
- 137 structure:

138
$$\beta_1(t+1) \sim Normal(\rho_{\beta 1}\beta_1(t), \sigma_{\beta 1}^2)$$

139
$$\beta_2(t+1) \sim Normal(\rho_{\beta 2}\beta_2(t), \sigma_{\beta 2}^2)$$

- where RhoConfig[1] controls the specification of $\rho_{\beta 1}$:
- 141 1. Independent among years RhoConfig[1]=1 specifies $\rho_{\beta 1}=0$
- 142 2. Random walk RhoConfig[1]=2 specifies $\rho_{\beta 1}=1$
- 3. Constant intercept RhoConfig[1]=3 specifies $\rho_{\beta 1}=0$ and $\sigma_{\beta 1}^2=0$ (i.e., $\beta_1(t)$ is
- 144 constant for all t)
- 4. Autoregressive RhoConfig[1]=4 estimates $\rho_{\beta 1}$ as a fixed effect
- and settings are defined identically for RhoConfig[2] specifying ρ_{R2} .

- By default (when RhoConfig[3]=0 and RhoConfig[4]=0) the model specifies that each spatio-
- temporal random effect $\varepsilon_1(s, f, t)$ and $\varepsilon_2(s, f, t)$ is independent among years. However,
- other settings specify the following structure

150
$$\varepsilon_1(s, f, t+1) \sim MVN(\rho_{\varepsilon 1}\varepsilon_1(s, f, t), \sigma_{\varepsilon 1}^2 \mathbf{R}_1)$$

151
$$\varepsilon_2(s, f, t+1) \sim MVN(\rho_{\varepsilon_1} \varepsilon_2(s, f, t), \sigma_{\varepsilon_2}^2 \mathbf{R}_2)$$

- where RhoConfig[3] controls the specification of $\rho_{\varepsilon 1}$:
- 153 1. Random walk RhoConfig[3]=2 specifies $\rho_{\varepsilon 1} = 1$
- 2. Autoregressive RhoConfig[3]=4 estimates $\rho_{\varepsilon 1}$ as a fixed effect
- and settings are defined identically for RhoConfig[4] specifying $\rho_{\varepsilon 2}$.

156 Relationship to other named models

- 157 VAST can be configured to be identical to (or closely mimic) many models that have
- previously been published in ecology and fisheries:
- 1. Spatial Gompertz model: If intercepts are constant across years, spatio-temporal variation
- follows an autoregressive process, and only one category is modelled, then VAST is
- identical to a spatio-temporal Gompertz model (Thorson et al. 2014).
- 2. Spatial factor analysis: If only one year is analysed and multiple category are modelled,
- VAST is similar to spatial factor analysis (Thorson et al. 2015a), although it permits the
- use of a delta-model (separate analysis of encounters and positive catch rates).
- 3. Spatial dynamic factor analysis: If intercepts are constant among years, spatio-temporal
- variation follows an autoregressive process, and multiple category are modelled, then
- VAST is similar to spatial dynamic factor analysis (Thorson et al. 2016a), although
- VAST allows separate estimates of spatial vs. spatio-temporal covariation and also the
- user of a delta-model.

170

Settings regarding derived quantities

- 171 After a nonlinear minimizer has identified the value of fixed effects that maximizes the
- Laplace approximation to the marginal likelihood, Template Model Builder predicts the value
- of random effects that maximizes the joint likelihood conditional on these fixed effects.
- Estimated values of fixed and random effects are then used to predict density d(x, c, t) for :

175
$$d(x,c,t) = r_1^*(x,c,t) \times r_2^*(x,c,t)$$

- where $r_1^*(x, c, t)$ and $r_2^*(x, c, t)$ are identical to the values specified previously, except that
- catchability variables are excluded from their computation (i.e., $\delta_1(v, f) = 0$ and $\lambda_1(k) = 0$,
- 178 etc.)

- By default, density is used to predict total abundance for the entire domain (or a
- subset of the domain) for a given species:

$$I(c,t,l) = \sum_{x=1}^{n_x} (a(x,l) \times d(x,c,t))$$

- where a(x, l) is the area associated with extrapolation-cell x for index l (Shelton et al. 2014,
- Thorson et al. 2015b). The user can also specify additional post-hoc calculations via input:
- # Control observation error
- RhoConfig = c("SD_site_density"=0, "SD_site_logdensity"=0, "Calculate_Range"=0,
- "Calculate_evenness"=0, "Calculate_effective_area"=0, "Calculate_Cov_SE"=0,
- 'Calculate Synchrony'=0, 'Calculate Coherence'=0)
- 189 1. Distribution shift RhoConfig[3]=1 turns on calculation of the centroid of the
- 190 population's distribution:

$$Z(c,t,m) = \sum_{x=1}^{n_x} \frac{\left(z(x,m) \times a(x,1) \times d(x,c,t)\right)}{I(c,t,1)}$$

- where z(x, m) is a matrix representing location for each knot (by default z(x, m) is the
- location in Eastings and Northings of each knot), representing movement North-South
- and East-West). This model-based approach to estimating distribution shift can account

- for differences in the spatial distribution of sampling, unlike conventional sample-based
- estimators (Thorson et al. 2016b).
- 197 2. Range expansion RhoConfig[5]=1 turns on calculation of effective area occupied. This
- involves calculating biomass-weighted average density:

199
$$D(c,t,l) = \sum_{x=1}^{n_x} \frac{a(x,l) \times d(x,c,t)}{I(c,t,l)} d(x,c,t)$$

- Effective area occupied is then calculated as the area required to contain the population at
- this average density:

$$A(c,t,l) = \frac{I(c,t,l)}{\bar{d}(c,t,l)}$$

- This effective-area occupied estimator can then be used to monitor range expansion or
- contraction or density-dependent range expansion (Thorson et al. 2016c).

Works cited

205

- Lindgren, F. 2012. Continuous domain spatial models in R-INLA. ISBA Bull. 19(4): 14–20.
- 207 Lindgren, F., Rue, H., and Lindström, J. 2011. An explicit link between Gaussian fields and
 - Gaussian Markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach.
- J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol. **73**(4): 423–498. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9868.2011.00777.x.
- Shelton, A.O., Thorson, J.T., Ward, E.J., and Feist, B.E. 2014. Spatial semiparametric models improve estimates of species abundance and distribution. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 71(11): 1655–1666. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2013-0508.
- Thorson, J.T. In review. Three problems with the conventional delta-model for biomass sampling data, and a computationally efficient alternative.
- Thorson, J.T., and Barnett, L.A.K. 2017. Comparing estimates of abundance trends and distribution shifts using single- and multispecies models of fishes and biogenic habitat. ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons.: fsw193. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw193.
- Thorson, J.T., Ianelli, J.N., and Kotwicki, S. In review. The relative influence of temperature and size structure on fish distribution shifts: a case study on walleye pollock in the Bering Sea.
- Thorson, J.T., Ianelli, J.N., Larsen, E.A., Ries, L., Scheuerell, M.D., Szuwalski, C., and Zipkin, E.F. 2016a. Joint dynamic species distribution models: a tool for community ordination and spatio-temporal monitoring. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. **25**(9): 1144–1158. doi:10.1111/geb.12464.
- Thorson, J.T., Pinsky, M.L., and Ward, E.J. 2016b. Model-based inference for estimating shifts in species distribution, area occupied and centre of gravity. Methods Ecol. Evol. **7**(8): 990–1002. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12567.

- Thorson, J.T., Rindorf, A., Gao, J., Hanselman, D.H., and Winker, H. 2016c. Densitydependent changes in effective area occupied for sea-bottom-associated marine fishes. Proc R Soc B **283**(1840): 20161853. doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.1853.
- Thorson, J.T., Scheuerell, M.D., Shelton, A.O., See, K.E., Skaug, H.J., and Kristensen, K. 2015a. Spatial factor analysis: a new tool for estimating joint species distributions and correlations in species range. Methods Ecol. Evol. **6**(6): 627–637. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12359.
- Thorson, J.T., Shelton, A.O., Ward, E.J., and Skaug, H.J. 2015b. Geostatistical deltageneralized linear mixed models improve precision for estimated abundance indices for West Coast groundfishes. ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons. **72**(5): 1297–1310. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu243.
- Thorson, J.T., Skaug, H.J., Kristensen, K., Shelton, A.O., Ward, E.J., Harms, J.H., and Benante, J.A. 2014. The importance of spatial models for estimating the strength of density dependence. Ecology **96**(5): 1202–1212. doi:10.1890/14-0739.1.

243