ZZ Production at $\sqrt{s} = 13\,\mathrm{TeV}$ in Four-Lepton Events Using the CMS Detector at the CERN LHC

by

Nathaniel Woods

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of

the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

(Physics)

at the

University of Wisconsin – Madison

2017

Defended on X August 2017

Dissertation approved by the following members of the Final Oral Committee:

Wesley Smith · Bjorn Wiik Professor of Physics

Sridhara Dasu · Professor of Physics

Matthew Herndon · Professor of Physics

Someone · Professor of Physics

Someone · Professor of Something

Abstract

This thesis presents some cool stuff.

Acknowledgements

Nice people are nice.

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

The Standard Model

1.1 Introduction

Some history and stuff

1.2 Matter and Force

Does this need subsections? Not the way it's structured in my head right now, but maybe it could have one subsection for fermions and another for gauge bosons.

1.3 Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and the Higgs Boson

Everybody's favorite fundamental scalar boson

1.4 Diboson Physics

Really only ZZ, but you get the point

1.4.1 Vector Boson Scattering

Scatter scatter

1.5 Limitations and Possible Extensions

It misses a few things

1.5.1 Anomalous Gauge Couplings

Pro tip: we won't see them

Chapter 2

ZZ Phenomenology and Previous Results

Phenomenal!

2.1 Proton-Proton Collisions

Bang

2.2 ZZ Production and Decay

Dibosons come, dibosons go

2.2.1 Nonresonant Production

Working hard not to call this “Standard Model production”

2.2.1.1 Nonresonant Zγ^* Production

Virtual particles. Spoooooooooky!

2.2.1.2 Vector Boson Scattering

Them jets tho

2.2.1.3 Prior Measurements

The literature before I got here

2.3 Resonant ZZ*/Zγ^* Production

This resonates with me

2.3.1 Z Boson Decays to Four Leptons

Prior measurements of this probably don't need their own subsubsection

2.3.2 Higgs Boson Production

2.3.2.1 Prior Measurements

Discovery!

2.4 Background Processes

Basically, Z+jets and ttbar

2.5 Anomalous Gauge Couplings

Triple and quartic

Chapter 3

The CMS Experiment and the CERN LHC

Production of controlled high-energy particle collisions, and detection of the decay products resulting from those collisions, are monumental technical challenges. The apparatus used to obtain the results presented in this thesis are the result of decades of work by thousands of scientists and engineers, with many of the techniques used developed in the course of building and operating previous experiments. The CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [1, 2] accelerates pairs of charged hadron (proton or lead ion) beams to high energies and collides them to provide a source of data to several fully independent detectors, including the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) [3], which collected the data used in the studies presented here. Detailed descriptions of the LHC and CMS follow.

3.1 The CERN Large Hadron Collider

The LHC, the most powerful particle accelerator and collider ever built, is a 26.7 km circumference ring of superconducting magnets running through tunnels roughly 100 m below the suburbs and countryside near Geneva, Switzerland. It first produced collisions suitable for collecting physics data in 2010 before generating large datasets with beam energies of 3.5 TeV in 2011 and 4 TeV in 2012. Following a shutdown for upgrades an repairs, it returned in 2015 and 2016 to deliver beam energies of 6.5 TeV. Beams collide head-on so that the center-of-mass frame of the proton-proton system is the rest frame of the detectors, giving proton-proton center-of-mass energies of 7, 8, and 13 TeV respectively for collisions in 2010–2011, 2012, and 2015–2016. Each successive energy was the highest ever acheived in controlled proton-proton collisions, giving unprecedented access to previously unobserved processes at every step.

In addition to increasing collision energies, the LHC increased its rate of collisions with each new machine configuration. The average event rate $\mathrm{d}N/\mathrm{d}t$ for a process with production cross section σ is determined by the instantaneous luminosity $\mathscr{L}$ of the collider,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathscr{L}\sigma \tag{3.1}$$

so a high instantaneous luminosity is vital to the timely observation of rare processes like Higgs boson production. The LHC's unprecedented luminosities have allowed collection of the largest physics datasets in history.

The desire for high luminosities drove the decision to collide protons with other protons instead of with antiprotons as was done at Tevatron, LHC's predecessor at Fermilab in Batavia, IL. Antiprotons simply cannot be produced in sufficient quantities for a collider on this scale. Many of the physics processes Tevatron was designed to study are $\mathrm{q\bar{q}}$-initiated, so it is useful to have valence antiquarks available in the collisions. The LHC was designed with Higgs boson production in mind, and the two most important Higgs production modes are proton/antiproton agnostic. Even for $q\bar{q}$-initiated processes, valence antiquarks are less critical at the LHC because, for equal parton momenta, protons have larger antiquark content at LHC energies than at Tevatron energies (1.98 TeV center-of-mass energy) as discussed in Section 2.1.

In addition to protons, the LHC can accelerate beams of lead nuclei to 2.51 TeV per nucleon, also the highest ever achieved. All studies presented in this thesis were performed on proton-proton collision data, rendering the details of so-called "heavy ion" beams beyond the scope of this document.

Beams are maintained and manipulated with magnets, most of them made of superconducting NbTi winding cooled to 1.9 K by superfluid helium. Dipole magnets with fields up to 8.33 T bend the beam around the ring, interspersed with quadrupoles for focusing. More quadrupoles and higher-moment magnets apply a number of corrections and squeeze the beams for collisions. Superconducting radio frequency (RF) cavities operating at 400 MHz accelerate the beam, maintain it at its final energy, and maintain bunch shape and spacing.

3.1.1 Layout and Accelerator Chain

The LHC was built in tunnels originally constructed for the Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP), an e^+-e^- collider that operated from 1989 to 2000. Using existing caverns, tunnels, and infrastructure was a substantial cost-saving measure, but imposed several important constraints on the LHC's design. In LEP, the electron and positron beams could be accelerated in opposite directions by the same magnets, because they are oppositely charged. Conversely, proton beams require opposite magnetic fields for the two beams. Because the tunnels were not wide enough to accommodate two completely separate beam lines, most of the magnets in the LHC use a twin-bore design in which the pipes and windings for the two beams share a common cryogenic system. The electromagnetic, mechanical, and cryogenic coupling of the two beamlines represents a significant engineering challenge.

The ring is divided into eight sectors, each of which features a 528 m straight section connected to the adjacent sections by 2.45 km arcs. The straight section length was set by the need for RF cavities to accelerate LEP beams to counteract synchrotron radiation, which is a primary factor limiting electron and positron beam energy. This is not ideal for proton beams; protons' much higher mass means they radiate less and need fewer RF cavities. The straight sections feature "insertion" points numbered with Point 1 at the main CERN site in Meyrin, Switzerland, and the rest numbered 2–8, increasing in the clockwise direction when viewed from above. Points 1, 2, 5, and 8 have beam crossing points and host detectors to study the resulting proton-proton collisions. Points 3 and 7 feature collimators to remove nonuniformities in the beams. The RF cavities are at Point 4 and the beams are dumped after use into absorbers at Point 6.

Because no single accelerator has the dynamic range necessary to take a stationary proton to TeV-scale energies, a chain of smaller accelerators repurposed from previous experiments feeds moderate-energy protons into LHC.

3.1.2 Performance Goals and Constraints

What they wanted…

3.1.3 Operation in 2015 and 2016

…and what we got

3.2 The Compact Muon Solenoid Detector

It's big [3]

3.2.1 Terminology and Geometry

Something something definition of η something

3.2.2 Magnet and Inner Tracking System

The magnet: how does it work?

3.2.2.1 Pixel Detector

Basically the same as your cameraphone

3.2.2.2 Strip Tracker

Silicon for days

3.2.3 Electromagnetic Calorimeter

Lots of crystals

3.2.4 Hadronic Calorimeter

More like HATECAL amirite?

3.2.5 Muon Spectrometer

There's also a return yoke

3.2.5.1 Drift Tubes

Are in the barrel

3.2.5.2 Cathode Strip Chambers

Are in the endcap

3.2.5.3 Resistive Place Chambers

Also exist

3.2.6 Data Acquisition and Trigger

Somethigng about DAQ

3.2.6.1 Level-1 Trigger

Obviously the best part

3.2.6.2 High-Level Trigger

Many Computers

Chapter 4

Simulation

4.1 Monte Carlo Event Generation

It's like gambling

4.1.1 Matrix Element Generation

The real physics

4.1.2 Parton Shower, Hadronization, and Underlying Event

The way-too-real physics

4.1.3 Pileup Simulation

Lots of it

4.2 Detector Simulation

All kinds of fun

Chapter 5

Object Reconstruction and Selection

5.1 Track Reconstruction and Vertex Identification

So many fits

5.2 Particle Flow Reconstruction

The overview

5.2.1 PF Candidates

5.2.1.1 Muons

yep

5.2.1.2 Electrons and Charged Hadrons

uh huh

5.2.1.3 Photons and Neutral Hadrons

yeah

5.2.2 Jets

sure

5.2.3 Missing Transverse Energy

ok

5.3 Object Identification and Selection

What to use in the actual analysis

5.3.1 Electrons

nice

5.3.2 Muons

even nicer

5.3.3 Jets

not as nice

5.3.4 Final State Photon Radiation

Bit of a mess

5.3.5 Misidentified Objects

Fake rates

5.4 ZZ Candidate and Event Selection

Explain the different classes of events (full spectrum, Higgs, on shell. . .)

5.4.1 Z Candidate Selection

Mass cuts and lepton pairing

5.4.2 ZZ Candidate Selection

Disambiguation for ¿4 leptons

5.4.3 Background Estimation

5.4.4 VBS Signal Selection

Dijets and so on

Chapter 6

Analysis Strategy

6.1 Background Estimation

Control regions for days

6.2 Systematic Uncertainties

Who knows?

6.3 Fiducial and Total Cross Section Calculation

6.3.1 Signal Strength Extraction

Fitting

6.3.2 $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow 4\ell$ Branching Fraction

It is tiny

6.4 Differential Cross Sections

6.4.1 Unfolding

IT'S FREQUENTIST!

6.4.2 Propagation of Systematic Uncertainties

A small pain

6.5 VBS Signal Extraction

BDTs and other hip things

6.6 Anomalous Gauge Coupling Searches

Chapter 7

Results

7.1 Four-Lepton Yield and Distributions

7.1.1 Full Spectrum

Everything

7.1.2 $\mathrm{Z} \to 4\ell$ Resonance

Found it

7.1.3 Higgs Resonance

Found it too

7.1.4 ZZ Production

Including ZZ+jets

7.2 ZZ Fiducial and Total Cross Section

The big thing

7.2.1 $\mathrm{Z} \to 4\ell$ Branching Fraction

A nice little measurement

7.3 Differential Cross Sections

With awesome plots

7.4 Vector Boson Scattering

Electroweak signal's electrostrength

7.5 Anomalous Coupling Limits

Winning at not finding things

Chapter 8

Conclusions

Definitive

Bibliography

[1] L. Evans and P. Bryant, “LHC Machine,” *JINST*, vol. 3, p. S08001, 2008.

[2] O. Brüning, H. Burkhardt, and S. Myers, “The large hadron collider,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 705 – 734, 2012.

[3] S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC,” *JINST*, vol. 3, p. S08004, 2008.