ECON500: Problem Set 2

Nicholas Wu

Fall 2020

Part 1

(1.1) Homogeneity follows from the nature of the budget constraint; given that the maximization problem is given by

$$\max u(x)$$

$$p \cdot x \le w$$

But the constraint $p \cdot x \leq w$ holds if and only if $\alpha p \cdot x \leq \alpha w$. Hence the maximizer $x^*(p, w) = x^*(\alpha p, \alpha w)$, since the maximization problems are equivalent. So the demand is homogeneous of degree 0.

Now, we suppose the utility is weakly monotonic. To show Walras' law, suppose $x^*(p, w)$ is a maximizer for the utility maximization problem, but suppose $p \cdot x^* < w$.

(1.2)

(1.3) We take the general form:

$$u(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{1/\rho}$$

The first order conditions are given by:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} = \alpha_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho} \right)^{(1/\rho)-1} x_k^{\rho-1} = \lambda p_k$$

Equivalently,

$$\alpha_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho} \right)^{(1/\rho)-1} x_k^{\rho} = \lambda p_k x_k$$

Summing over all k, we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{(1/\rho)-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k x_k^{\rho} = \lambda w$$
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{(1/\rho)} = \lambda w$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{w} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i x_i^{\rho} \right)^{(1/\rho)}$$

Plugging in our Lagrange multiplier to the FOC, we get

$$\alpha_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{(1/\rho)-1} x_k^{\rho-1} = \frac{p_k}{w} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{(1/\rho)}$$

$$\alpha_k x_k^{\rho-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{-1} = \frac{p_k}{w}$$

$$\alpha_k x_k^{\rho-1} = \frac{p_k}{w} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)$$

$$x_k^{\rho-1} = \frac{p_k}{\alpha_k} \left(\frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)$$

$$x_k = \left(\frac{p_k}{\alpha_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}} \left(\frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}$$

Note the sum term is the same for all k. Working to eliminate this, we get

$$x_k^{\rho} = \left(\frac{p_k}{\alpha_k}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} \left(\frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}$$

$$\alpha_k x_k^{\rho} = \frac{p_k^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}}{\alpha_k^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}} \left(\frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}$$

$$\sum \alpha_k x_k^{\rho} = \left(\sum \frac{p_k^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}}{\alpha_k^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k x_k^{\rho} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{p_k^{\rho}}{\alpha_k w^{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}$$

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k x_k^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{p_k^{\rho}}{\alpha_k w^{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}\right)^{-1}$$

Plugging back in, we get

$$x_k = \left(\frac{p_k}{\alpha_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}} \left(\frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}$$
$$x_k = \left(\frac{p_k}{\alpha_k w}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{p_k^{\rho}}{\alpha_k w^{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}\right)^{-1}$$

$$x_k = w \left(\frac{p_k}{\alpha_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{p_k^{\rho}}{\alpha_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}\right)^{-1}$$
$$x_k = w \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-1}$$

(1.4) We first compute the partial derivative:

$$\frac{\partial x_l}{\partial p_k} = \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} w \left(\frac{\alpha_l}{p_l}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{p_i^{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2} \left(\alpha_k^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} p_k^{\frac{-\rho}{1-\rho}-1}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} w \left(\frac{\alpha_l \alpha_k}{p_l p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{p_i^{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2}$$

For an off-diagonal entry:

$$S_{lk} = \frac{\partial x_l}{\partial p_k} + \frac{\partial x_l}{\partial w} x_k$$

$$= \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} w \left(\frac{\alpha_l \alpha_k}{p_l p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{p_i^{\rho}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \rho}} \right)^{-2} + w \left(\frac{\alpha_k \alpha_l}{p_k p_l} \right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \rho}} \right)^{-2}$$

$$= \frac{w}{1 - \rho} \left(\frac{\alpha_l \alpha_k}{p_l p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{p_i^{\rho}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \rho}} \right)^{-2}$$

For the symmetric case, we first compute:

$$\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial p_k} = w \left(-\frac{1}{1-\rho} \left(\alpha_k p_k^{\rho-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \left(\frac{\alpha_k^2}{p_k^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right)^{-2} \right)$$

The Slutsky matrix entry on the diagonal is then

$$\begin{split} S_{kk} &= w \left(-\frac{1}{1-\rho} \left(\alpha_k p_k^{\rho-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{1}{1-\rho} \left(\frac{\alpha_k^2}{p_k^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right)^{-2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{w}{1-\rho} \left(\left(\alpha_k p_k^{\rho-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{\alpha_k^2}{p_k^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right)^{-2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{w}{1-\rho} \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(p_k^{\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right) - \alpha_k^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right)^{-2} \\ &= -\frac{w}{1-\rho} \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\alpha_i p_k^{\rho}}{p_i^{\rho}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right) - \alpha_k^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{p_i^{\rho}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \right)^{-2} \end{split}$$

(1.5) We let

$$w(p) = p \cdot x(\tilde{p}, \tilde{w})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k \tilde{w} \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\tilde{p_k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_i \tilde{p_i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-1}$$

We now consider

$$x(p, w(p))_k = w(p) \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-1}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial x(p, w(p))_{l}}{\partial p_{k}} = \frac{\partial w(p)}{\partial p_{k}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{l}}{p_{l}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-1} + \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} w(p) \left(\frac{\alpha_{l} \alpha_{k}}{p_{l} p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2}$$

$$= w \left(\frac{\alpha_{l} \alpha_{k}}{p_{l} p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2} + \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} w(p) \left(\frac{\alpha_{l} \alpha_{k}}{p_{l} p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2}$$

$$= \frac{w}{1-\rho} \left(\frac{\alpha_{l} \alpha_{k}}{p_{l} p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2}$$

$$= S_{lk}$$

In the symmetric case,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial x(p,w(p))_k}{\partial p_k} &= \frac{\partial w(p)}{\partial p_k} \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-1} - \frac{1}{1-\rho} w(p) \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k^{2-\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-1} \\ &+ \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} w(p) \left(\frac{\alpha_k^2}{p_k^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{1-\rho} w(p) \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k^{2-\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-1} + \frac{1}{1-\rho} w(p) \left(\frac{\alpha_k^2}{p_k^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2} \\ &= -\frac{w}{1-\rho} \left(\left(\alpha_k p_k^{\rho-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{\alpha_k^2}{p_k^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\alpha_i p_i^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2} \\ &= -\frac{w}{1-\rho} \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\alpha_i p_k^{\rho}}{p_i^{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right) - \alpha_k^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{p_i^{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-2} \\ &= S_{kk} \end{split}$$

(1.6) A matrix M is negative semidefinite if for all vectors x,

$$x^T M x < 0$$

M is positive definite if for all vectors $x \neq 0$,

$$x^T M x > 0$$

By Sylvester's criterion, we know that the determinant of all the principal minors must be positive for a matrix to be positive definite. Similarly, the determinant of all the principal minors must be nonpositive for a matrix to be negative semidefinite.

If a matrix is negative definite, we show the diagonal entries must be negative. Consider the entry M_{ii} . Consider x = (0, 0, 0, ...1,) where $x_i = 1$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. Then $x^T M x = M_{ii} < 0$ by negative definiteness. Hence $M_{ii} < 0$ for all i.

Similarly, if M is positive definite, consider M_{ii} . Consider the x = (0, 0, 0, ...1, ...) where $x_i = 1$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. Once again, $x^T M x = M_{ii}$, so by positive definiteness, $M_{ii} > 0$.

(1.7) At (p, w) the utility of x(p, w) is

$$u(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} \left(w \left(\frac{\alpha_{k}}{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\rho}\right)^{1/\rho}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_{k} w^{\rho} \left(\frac{\alpha_{k}}{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-\rho}\right)^{1/\rho}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} w^{\rho} \left(\frac{\alpha_{k}}{p_{k}^{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-\rho}\right)^{1/\rho}$$

$$= \left(w^{\rho} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\alpha_{k}}{p_{k}^{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{-\rho}\right)^{1/\rho}$$

$$= \left(w^{\rho} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{1-\rho}\right)^{1/\rho}$$

$$= w \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\alpha_{i} p_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}}$$

- (1.8)
- (1.9)
- (1.10)

Part 2

- (2.1)
- (2.2)
- (2.3)
- (2.4)
- (2.5)
- _
- _
- _
- _
- _