

Problem Set 1

Nicholas Wu

Fall 2020

Note: I use bold symbols to denote vectors and nonbolded symbols to denote scalars. I primarily use vector notation to shorthand some of the sums, since many of the sums are dot products.

Problem 1

(1) The consumer's problem is given by:

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log c_t$$

subject to

$$c_t - b_{t+1} + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t \leq r_t^k k_t + w_t - (1 + r_t^b)b_t$$

$$b_0 = 0$$

$$k_0 \leq \bar{k}_0$$

$$c_t, k_t \geq 0$$

$$b_{t+1} \leq B$$

where B is the borrowing limit. We have to include a borrowing limit else a consumer can achieve any allocation they want by borrowing forward; i.e., for any c^* , we can set

$$k_t = 0$$

$$b_1 = c_0^* - w_0$$

$$b_2 = (c_1^* - w_1) + (1 + r_1^b)(w_0 - c_0^*)$$

and so on

$$b_{t+1} = c_t^* - w_t + b_t(1 + r_t^b)$$

The form of b_t is then

$$b_t = \sum_{k=1}^t (c_{k-1}^* - w_{k-1}) \prod_{i=k}^{t-1} (1 + r_i^b)$$

The consumer is borrowing infinitely against the future, so the borrowing limit prevents these outcomes. Using the FOCs derived in lecture, the Euler condition is given by

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \beta(1 - \delta + r_{t+1}^k) \frac{1}{c_{t+1}}$$

$$c_{t+1} = c_t \beta (1 - \delta + r_{t+1}^k)$$

and the transversality condition is given by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t \frac{(1 + r_t^b) b_t}{c_t} = 0$$

(2) The sequential equilibrium consists of initial savings and capital \bar{b}_0, \bar{k}_0 , a consumer allocation $\{(c_t, k_t, b_t)\}_{t=0}^\infty$, a producer allocation $\{k_t^f, l_t^f\}_{t=0}^\infty$, and prices/wages $\{w_t, r_t^b, r_t^k\}_{t=0}^\infty$, that satisfy the following conditions:

- The consumer allocation solves the consumer maximization problem for the given $\bar{b}_0, \bar{k}_0, \{w_t, r_t^b, r_t^k\}_{t=0}^\infty$. We stated the problem in the previous part, but here it is again:

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log c_t$$

subject to

$$c_t - b_{t+1} + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t \leq r_t^k k_t + w_t - (1 + r_t^b)b_t$$

$$b_0 = \bar{b}_0, \quad k_0 \leq \bar{k}_0$$

$$c_t, k_t \geq 0, \quad b_{t+1} \geq -B$$

- The producer allocation maximizes profits for the firm, or (k_t^f, l_t^f) maximizes:

$$\max F(k_t^f, l_t^f) - r_t^k k_t^f - w_t l_t^f$$

subject to

$$k_t^f, l_t^f \geq 0$$

- All markets clear:

$$k_t = k_t^f$$

$$l_t^f = 1$$

$$b_t = 0$$

$$c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t = F(k_t^f, l_t^f)$$

In terms of relating the interest rates, we have the no-arbitrage condition, that

$$1 - \delta + r_{t+1}^k = 1 + r_t^b$$

$$r_{t+1}^k - \delta = r_t^b$$

Intuitively, this makes sense; if a consumer can achieve higher returns by investing in bonds rather than in capital, they would invest no capital, and no production could occur. Conversely, if a consumer can achieve higher returns in capital rather than bonds, the consumer would then choose to always borrow using bonds to buy capital, and will guarantee a profit, which violates the equilibrium assumption of $b_t = 0$.

(3) In this case, an allocation is governed by $\{k_t^f, c_t, l_t^f\}$, and our feasibility constraints are:

$$k_0^f \leq \bar{k}_0$$

$$c_t \geq 0, k_t^f \geq 0, l_t^f \in [0, 1]$$

$$c_t \leq F(k_t^f, l_t^f) + (1 - \delta)k_t^f - k_{t+1}^f$$

(4) An Arrow-Debreu equilibrium consists of allocation $\{k_t^f, c_t, l_t^f\}$, wages/good prices $\{w_t, p_t\}$, and an initial price of capital p^k such that:

- The consumer maximizes utility subject to his/her budget constraint. That is, the consumer allocation $\{c_t\}$ is a maximizer for:

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log c_t$$

subject to

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t c_t \leq p_0 p^k \bar{k}_0 + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t w_t$$

$$c_t \geq 0$$

- The producer maximizes profits. This means $\{k_t^f, l_t^f\}$ maximizes:

$$\max \left(-p_0 p^k \bar{k}_0 + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t F(k_t^f, l_t^f) - p_t w_t l_t^f - p_t k_{t+1}^f + p_t (1 - \delta) k_t^f \right)$$

subject to

$$k_t^f \geq 0, l_t^f \geq 0$$

- Markets clear. That is,

$$c_t + k_{t+1}^f = F(k_t^f, l_t^f)$$

$$l_t^f = 1$$

$$k_0^f = \bar{k}_0$$

(5) In this case, an equilibrium consists of firm allocation $\{k_t^f, l_t^f\}$, consumer allocation $\{c_t, k_t\}$, prices $\{p_t\}$, wages $\{w_t\}$, and rental rate $\{r_t\}$. The equilibrium must satisfy:

- Consumers maximize their own utility: that is, $\{c_t, k_t\}$ is an optimizer of

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log c_t$$

subject to

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t c_t + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (p_t w_t + p_t r_t k_t)$$

$$c_t \geq 0, k_t \geq 0$$

- Firms maximize profits: that is, k_t^f, l_t^f maximizes

$$\max p_t (F(k_t^f, l_t^f) - w_t l_t^f - r_t k_t^f)$$

subject to

$$k_t^f \geq 0, l_t^f \geq 0$$

- Markets clear:

$$k_t = k_t^f$$

$$l_t^f = 1$$

$$c_t + k_{t+1}^f = F(k_t^f, l_t^f)$$

$$k_0 = \bar{k}_0$$

(6)

Problem 2

- (1) Using the constraint binding, we get

$$c_t = \theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1}$$

Then we can take:

$$F(k_t, k_{t+1}) = \frac{(\theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1})^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1 - \sigma}$$

and

$$\Gamma(k_t) = [0, \theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t]$$

The Lagrangian is given by

$$\beta^t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1 - \sigma} - \lambda_t (c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t - \theta k_t^\alpha)$$

The FOCs are:

$$\frac{\beta^t}{c_t^\sigma} = \lambda_t$$

$$\lambda_t = \lambda_{t+1}((1 - \delta) + \alpha\theta k_t^{\alpha-1})$$

The Euler condition is

$$\frac{1}{(\theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1})^\sigma} = \frac{\beta(1 - \delta + \alpha\theta k_t^{\alpha-1})}{(\theta k_{t+1}^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_{t+1} - k_{t+2})^\sigma}$$

$$\theta k_{t+1}^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_{t+1} - k_{t+2} = (\theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1})\beta^{1/\sigma}(1 - \delta + \alpha\theta k_t^{\alpha-1})^{1/\sigma}$$

The transversality condition is

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta^t (\theta \alpha k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t)}{(\theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1})^\sigma} = 0$$

(2) Suppose the sequence $\{k_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ satisfies the Euler condition and transversality condition. To show $\{k_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ indeed optimizes the objective, consider some other feasible $\{k'_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$. We claim

$$\Delta = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t F(k_t) - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t F(k'_t) \geq 0$$

Using the fact that F is concave, continuous, and differentiable, we have

$$F(k_t, k_{t+1}) - F(k'_t, k'_{t+1}) \geq F_1(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_t - k'_t) + F_2(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_{t+1} - k'_{t+1})$$

Multiplying both sides by β^t , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t (F(k_t, k_{t+1}) - F(k'_t, k'_{t+1})) \right) \\ &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t (F_1(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_t - k'_t) + F_2(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_{t+1} - k'_{t+1})) \right) \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t F_1(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_t - k'_t) + \sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t F_2(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_{t+1} - k'_{t+1}) \right) \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t F_1(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_t - k'_t) + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \beta^t F_2(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_{t+1} - k'_{t+1}) + \beta^T F_2(k_T, k_{T+1})(k_{T+1} - k'_{T+1}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Note $k_0 = k'_0$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t F_1(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_t - k'_t) + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \beta^t F_2(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_{t+1} - k'_{t+1}) + \beta^T F_2(k_T, k_{T+1})(k_{T+1} - k'_{T+1}) \right) \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta^t F_1(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_t - k'_t) + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \beta^t F_2(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_{t+1} - k'_{t+1}) + \beta^T F_2(k_T, k_{T+1})(k_{T+1} - k'_{T+1}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \beta^{t+1} F_1(k_{t+1}, k_{t+2})(k_{t+1} - k'_{t+1}) + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \beta^t F_2(k_t, k_{t+1})(k_{t+1} - k'_{t+1}) + \beta^T F_2(k_T, k_{T+1})(k_{T+1} - k'_{T+1}) \right) \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \beta^t (\beta F_1(k_{t+1}, k_{t+2}) + F_2(k_t, k_{t+1}))(k_{t+1} - k'_{t+1}) + \beta^T F_2(k_T, k_{T+1})(k_{T+1} - k'_{T+1}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By the Euler condition, the sum is 0 (because the summand is 0), so

$$\Delta \geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\beta^T F_2(k_T, k_{T+1})(k_{T+1} - k'_{T+1}))$$

Also by the Euler condition, $F_2(k_T, k_{T+1}) = -\beta F_1(k_{T+1}, k_{T+2})$, so this becomes

$$\Delta \geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (-\beta^{T+1} F_1(k_{T+1}, k_{T+2})(k_{T+1} - k'_{T+1}))$$

$$\Delta \geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\beta^{T+1} F_1(k_{T+1}, k_{T+2})(k'_{T+1} - k_{T+1}))$$

Since k'_{T+1} is nonnegative,

$$\Delta \geq - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\beta^{T+1} F_1(k_{T+1}, k_{T+2})k_{T+1})$$

But by transversality condition, the limit on the RHS is 0, so $\Delta \geq 0$. Hence $\{k_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ is optimal.

(3) If we examine the original social planner problem, we see that the budget constraint must bind: if not, we can allocate more c_t for some period, and this gives a strictly larger value for the objective. Hence, since the constraint must bind, given an optimal sequence $\{k_t\}$ solving our problem that we phrased in part (1) of this question, we can easily backsolve for c_t :

$$c_t = \theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1}$$

Hence, we can derive the second-order equation from the Euler condition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(\theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1})^\sigma} &= \frac{\beta(1 - \delta + \alpha \theta k_t^{\alpha-1})}{(\theta k_{t+1}^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_{t+1} - k_{t+2})^\sigma} \\
\frac{1}{(\theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1})^\sigma} - \frac{\beta(1 - \delta + \alpha \theta k_t^{\alpha-1})}{(\theta k_{t+1}^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_{t+1} - k_{t+2})^\sigma} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Then taking:

$$S(k_t, k_{t+1}, k_{t+2}) = \frac{1}{(\theta k_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1})^\sigma} - \frac{\beta(1 - \delta + \alpha \theta k_t^{\alpha-1})}{(\theta k_{t+1}^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_{t+1} - k_{t+2})^\sigma}$$

gives the desired condition.

(4)

Problem 3

(1) At steady state, \bar{k} , we have $S(\bar{k}, \bar{k}, \bar{k}) = 0$, or

$$\frac{1}{(\theta \bar{k}^\alpha + (1 - \delta)\bar{k} - \bar{k})^\sigma} - \frac{\beta(1 - \delta + \alpha\theta \bar{k}^{\alpha-1})}{(\theta \bar{k}^\alpha + (1 - \delta)\bar{k} - \bar{k})^\sigma} = 0$$

$$1 = \beta(1 - \delta + \alpha\theta \bar{k}^{\alpha-1})$$

$$(1/\beta) - (1 - \delta) = \alpha\theta \bar{k}^{\alpha-1}$$

$$\frac{(1/\beta) - (1 - \delta)}{\alpha\theta} = \bar{k}^{\alpha-1}$$

$$\bar{k} = \left(\frac{(1/\beta) - (1 - \delta)}{\alpha\theta} \right)^{1/(\alpha-1)}$$

Consumption is then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c} &= \theta \bar{k}^\alpha - \delta \bar{k} \\ &= \theta \left(\frac{(1/\beta) - (1 - \delta)}{\alpha\theta} \right)^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)} - \delta \left(\frac{(1/\beta) - (1 - \delta)}{\alpha\theta} \right)^{1/(\alpha-1)} \end{aligned}$$

(2)

(3)

(4)