ECON 511 Problem Set 4

Nicholas Wu

Spring 2021

Problem 1

(1) The maximization problem is given by

$$V = \max \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \left(z_t k_t - i_t - \frac{i_t^2}{2} \right) dt \right]$$

subject to

$$\dot{k_t} = i_t - \delta k_t$$

$$dz_t = \mu \ dt + \sigma \ dW_t$$

(2) The HJB equation is

$$\rho V(k,z) = \max_{i} \left[zk - i - \frac{i^2}{2} + V_k(k,z)(i - \delta k) + V_z(k,z)\mu + \frac{1}{2}V_{zz}(k,z)\sigma^2 \right]$$

(3) The FOC on i is

$$-1 - i + V_k(k, z) = 0$$

$$i = V_k(k, z) - 1$$

Plugging in, we have

$$\begin{split} \rho V(k,z) &= zk - V_k(k,z) + 1 - \frac{(V_k(k,z) - 1)^2}{2} + V_k(k,z)(V_k(k,z) - 1 - \delta k) + V_z(k,z)\mu + \frac{1}{2}V_{zz}(k,z)\sigma^2 \\ &= zk - V_k(k,z) + 1 - \frac{(V_k(k,z))^2 - 2V_k(k,z) + 1}{2} + V_k(k,z)(V_k(k,z) - 1 - \delta k) + V_z(k,z)\mu + \frac{1}{2}V_{zz}(k,z)\sigma^2 \\ &= zk - V_k(k,z) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(V_k(k,z))^2 - \delta kV_k(k,z) + V_z(k,z)\mu + \frac{1}{2}V_{zz}(k,z)\sigma^2 \end{split}$$

We assume the quadratic functional form:

$$V(k,z) = a_0 + a_1k + a_2z + a_3z^2 + a_4zk$$

Then

$$V_k(k,z) = a_1 + a_4 z$$

$$V_z(k, z) = a_2 + 2a_3z + a_4k$$
$$V_{zz}(k, z) = 2a_3$$

Starting with the zk coefficient as in the hint, we have:

$$\rho a_4 = 1 - \delta a_4$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{\rho + \delta}$$

We then solve for the z^2 coefficients on both sides:

$$\rho a_3 = \frac{1}{2} (a_4^2)$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{2\rho(\rho+\delta)^2}$$

We can now solve for a_1 by examining coefficient on k:

$$\rho a_1 = -\delta a_1 + a_4 \mu$$

$$a_1(\rho + \delta) = a_4\mu$$

$$a_1 = \frac{\mu}{(\rho + \delta)^2}$$

And now for a_2 by examining the coefficient on z:

$$\rho a_2 = -a_4 + a_1 a_4 + 2a_3 \mu$$

$$\rho a_2 = -\frac{1}{\rho + \delta} + \frac{\mu}{(\rho + \delta)^3} + \frac{\mu}{\rho(\rho + \delta)^2}$$

$$\rho a_2 = -\frac{\rho(\rho + \delta)^2}{\rho(\rho + \delta)^3} + \frac{\rho\mu}{\rho(\rho + \delta)^3} + \frac{\mu(\rho + \delta)}{\rho(\rho + \delta)^3}$$

$$a_2 = \frac{\rho\mu + \mu(\rho + \delta) - \rho(\rho + \delta)^2}{\rho^2(\rho + \delta)^3}$$

Finally, the constant term a_0 :

$$\rho a_0 = \frac{1}{2} - a_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_1^2 + a_2\mu + a_3\sigma^2$$

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2\rho} - \frac{a_1}{\rho} + \frac{a_1^2}{2\rho} + \frac{\mu a_2}{\rho} + \frac{a_3\sigma^2}{\rho}$$

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2\rho} - \frac{\mu}{\rho(\rho + \delta)^2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\rho(\rho + \delta)^4} + \frac{\rho\mu^2 + \mu^2(\rho + \delta) - \mu\rho(\rho + \delta)^2}{\rho^3(\rho + \delta)^3} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\rho^2(\rho + \delta)^2}$$

For the sake of saving ugliness, we will not write V out explicitly, since $V = a_0 + a_1k + a_2z + a_3z^2 + a_4zk$ and we have defined all the coefficients already.

(4) The only coefficient featuring σ^2 is a_0 . So

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{a_3}{\rho} = \frac{1}{2\rho^2(\rho + \delta)^2}$$

This is positive, hence the economic value of uncertainty is positive. This is because the firm's net present value of profits are convex in z. Increasing both ρ and δ decreases the value of uncertainty. The higher the discount rate, the worse the future profits and hence the less value of future fluctuations of z. The higher δ , the less convex the profits.

(5)
$$i = V_k(k, z) - 1$$
 so
$$i^* = a_1 + a_4 z - 1 = \frac{\mu}{(\rho + \delta)^2} + \frac{1}{\rho + \delta} z - 1$$

This is decreasing in ρ and δ and increasing in μ and z. This is similar to the q-model in that it is independent of k and increasing in productivity, and implies firms invest for q > 1 and disinvest for q < 1. Investment increases in μ , since that results in expected higher future profitability, and decreases in ρ, δ for the same reasons as the previous part, that they decrease the value of the future profits.

Problem 2

(1) K^* satisfies:

$$K^* = \arg\max zk^{\theta} - rk$$

The FOC gives

$$\theta z(K^*)^{\theta-1} = r$$

$$K^* = \left(\frac{r}{\theta_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}}$$

(2) We have $e^x = K/K^*$, so $K = K^*e^x$. So the flow revenue

$$zK^{\theta} - rK = z(K^*e^x)^{\theta} - rK^*e^x$$
$$= z(K^*)^{\theta}e^{\theta x} - rK^*e^x$$

Plugging in K^* , we get

$$= z \left(\frac{r}{\theta z}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta - 1}} e^{\theta x} - r \left(\frac{r}{\theta z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}} e^{x}$$
$$= \left(\frac{r^{\theta}}{\theta z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}} \left(\frac{1}{\theta}e^{\theta x} - e^{x}\right)$$

Approximating the expression around 0 using a Taylor expansion, we have

$$= \left(\frac{r^{\theta}}{\theta z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} \left(1 + \theta x + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 x^2\right) - \left(1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2\right)\right)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \left(\frac{r^{\theta}}{\theta z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-1}} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\theta x^{2}\right) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}x^{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{r^{\theta}}{\theta z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-1}} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2}x^{2}\left(\theta - 1\right)\right) \\ &= r\left(\frac{r}{\theta z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-1}} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2}x^{2}\left(\theta - 1\right)\right) \\ &= rK^{*} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2}x^{2}\left(\theta - 1\right)\right) \end{split}$$

(3) The nonrecursive problem is given by (using the approximation from part 2)

$$\max_{x} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-rt} \left(rK_{t}^{*}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta}-1\right)+\frac{1}{2}x_{t}^{2}\left(\theta-1\right)\right)-K_{t}^{*}F'1_{x_{t}\neq0}\right) \ dt\right]$$

subject to

$$K_t^* = \left(\frac{r}{\theta z_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}}$$
$$x_t = \log(K_t/K_t^*)$$
$$\log z_t = \sigma W_t$$

Rewriting the objective by converting to K_t^*/K_0^* , we have

$$\max_{x} \mathbb{E}\left[K_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-rt} \left(r\left(\frac{K_{t}^{*}}{K_{0}^{*}}\right) \left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2}x_{t}^{2}\left(\theta - 1\right)\right) - \frac{K_{t}^{*}}{K_{0}^{*}}F'1_{x_{t}\neq 0}\right) dt\right]$$

subject to

$$\frac{K_t^*}{K_0^*} = \left(\frac{z_0}{z_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}}$$

$$x_t = \log(K_t / (K_t^* / K_0^*) K_0^*) = \log\left(\frac{K_t}{K_0} \frac{K_0}{K_0^*} \frac{K_0^*}{K_t^*}\right) = \log(K_t / K_0) + x_0 + \frac{1}{\theta - 1} \log(z_t / z_0)$$

$$\log z_t = \sigma W_t$$

Adding a dummy variable $y_t = K_t^*/K_0^*$, we get

$$\max_{x} \mathbb{E}\left[K_0^* \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} \left(ry_t \left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2}x_t^2 \left(\theta - 1\right)\right) - y_t F' 1_{x_t \neq 0}\right) dt\right]$$

subject to

$$y_t = \left(\frac{z_0}{z_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}}$$
$$x_t = \log(K_t/K_0) + x_0 + \frac{1}{\theta - 1}\log(z_t/z_0)$$
$$\log z_t = \sigma W_t$$

Note now that the only way K_0^* factors into the maximization problem is just as a constant term. Hence the value of the firm is linear in K_0^* , so the value of the firm is homogeneous of degree 1 in K^* . The law of motion of x is then

$$x = \log(K_t/K_t^*)$$

$$dx = -d\log K^*$$

Note that $\log K^* = c - \frac{1}{\theta - 1} \log z$, where the constant term c has no time dependence. Hence in the inaction region close to x = 0, the law of motion of x follows:

$$dx = -d\log K^* = d\left(\frac{1}{\theta - 1}\log z\right) = \frac{\sigma}{\theta - 1} dW$$

(4) Note that by definition of K^* , we have

$$K_t^* = \left(\frac{r}{\theta z_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}}$$

$$d\log K_t^* = -\frac{1}{\theta - 1}d\log z_t = \frac{\sigma}{1 - \theta}dW$$

Using Ito's Lemma, we get

$$dK_t^* = K_t^* d \log K_t^* + \frac{1}{2} K_t^* (d \log K_t^*)^2$$

$$dK_t^* = K_t^* \frac{\sigma}{1-\theta} dW + \frac{1}{2} K_t^* \left(\frac{\sigma}{1-\theta}\right)^2 dt$$

Let

$$\pi(x) = r\left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2}x^2\left(\theta - 1\right)\right)$$

We have the following two laws of motion:

$$dK_t^* = K_t^* \frac{\sigma}{1 - \theta} dW + \frac{1}{2} K_t^* \left(\frac{\sigma}{1 - \theta} \right)^2 dt$$
$$dx = \frac{\sigma}{\theta - 1} dW$$

where the second comes from the previous problem part. The 2-variable HJB is then

$$rV = K^*\pi(x) + V_k \left(\frac{1}{2}K^* \left(\frac{\sigma}{1-\theta}\right)^2\right) + (V_x)(0) + \frac{1}{2}V_{kk} \left(K^* \frac{\sigma}{1-\theta}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}V_{xx} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\theta-1}\right)^2 + V_{kx}K^* \frac{\sigma}{1-\theta} \frac{\sigma}{\theta-1}$$

$$rV = K^*\pi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{1-\theta}\right)^2 \left(K^*V_k + V_{kk}(K_t^*)^2 + V_{xx} - 2V_{kx}K^*\right)$$

Using $V = K^*W$, we get that $V_k = W$, $V_{kk} = 0$, $V_{kx} = W'$, $V_{xx} = K^*W''$, so

$$rK^*W = K^*\pi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{1-\theta}\right)^2 (K^*W + K^*W'' - 2W')$$

$$rW = \pi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{1 - \theta} \right)^2 (W + W'' - 2W')$$

(5) The upper band bound is \overline{x} , and let the optimal adjustment at that bound be \overline{x}^* . Similarly, let the lower band bound be \underline{x} and the optimal adjustment be \underline{x}^* . We have

$$W(\overline{x}) = W(\overline{x}^*) - F'$$

$$W(\underline{x}) = W(\underline{x}^*) - F'$$

Optimality of $\overline{x}^*, \underline{x}^*$ imply

$$W'(\overline{x}^*) = 0$$

$$W'(\underline{x}^*) = 0$$

Finally, together with the previous conditions, since F' is a constant, we get

$$W'(\overline{x}) = 0$$

$$W'(\underline{x}) = 0$$

(6) We first can plug the form into the HJB equation and match coefficients of terms: this gives 5 equations on 7 unknown variables (a, b, c, d, f, g, h). We also have the conditions from the previous part; this gives us 4 more unknowns: \overline{x} , \overline{x}^* , \underline{x} , \underline{x}^* , and 6 more equations. All together, we have 11 equations on 11 unknowns, so we can solve this system of equations to find the desired parameters.