ECON550: Problem Set 9

Nicholas Wu

Fall 2020

Problem 1

Taking the canonical projection into the first dimension, we get

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\beta}_{n,1} - \beta_{0,1}\right) \to_d N(0, \Sigma_{11})$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\sum_{11}^{n}}} \left(\hat{\beta}_{n,1} - \beta_{0,1} \right) \to_d N(0,1)$$

The confidence interval is then

$$\left[\hat{\beta}_{n,1} - \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\Sigma}_{11}}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2}, \hat{\beta}_{n,1} + \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\Sigma}_{11}}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2}\right]$$

$$=[3.2-\frac{\sqrt{1.7}}{\sqrt{n}}2.576,3.2+\frac{\sqrt{1.7}}{\sqrt{n}}2.576]$$

Problem 2

(a) Taking the canonical projection mapping into 1d, we can apply the CMT to get that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{n,1} - \theta_{0,1}) \to_d N(0, \Sigma_{11})$ Since $\hat{\Sigma}_{11} \to \Sigma_{11}$, we get

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\hat{\Sigma}_{11}}}(\hat{\theta}_{n,1} - \theta_{0,1}) \to_d N(0,1)$$

So the interval is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\hat{\theta}_{n,1} - \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\Sigma}_{11}}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2}, \hat{\theta}_{n,1} + \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\Sigma}_{11}}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2} \right] \\ & = \left[1.2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} 1.96, 1.2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} 1.96 \right] \end{aligned}$$

(b) We use the delta method to characterize the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,1} \hat{\theta}_{n,2} - \theta_{0,1} \theta_{0,2} \right)$. Take g(x,y) = xy. Then $G(\theta_0)$ is

$$G(\theta_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{0,2} & \theta_{0,1} \end{bmatrix}$$

We have that $G(\hat{\theta}_n) \to_p G(\theta_0)$, $\hat{\Sigma} \to_p \Sigma$, so we have that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{G(\hat{\theta}_n)\hat{\Sigma}G(\hat{\theta}_n)'}} \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,1}\hat{\theta}_{n,2} - \theta_{0,1}\theta_{0,2}\right) \to_d N(0,1)$$

So the interval is

$$\begin{split} \left[\hat{\theta}_{n,1} \hat{\theta}_{n,2} - \frac{\sqrt{G(\hat{\theta}_n)\hat{\Sigma}G(\hat{\theta}_n)'}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2}, \hat{\theta}_{n,1} \hat{\theta}_{n,2} + \frac{\sqrt{G(\hat{\theta}_n)\hat{\Sigma}G(\hat{\theta}_n)'}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2} \right] \\ &= [2.76 - \frac{\sqrt{8.41}}{\sqrt{n}} 1.645, 2.76 + \frac{\sqrt{8.41}}{\sqrt{n}} 1.645] \end{split}$$

Problem 3

(a) The interval is given by

$$\left[\hat{\beta}_{LS} - \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{ns_X^2}} t_{n-2,1-\alpha/2}, \hat{\beta}_{LS} + \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{ns_X^2}} t_{n-2,1-\alpha/2}\right]$$

$$= \left[1.4 - \frac{2}{15} t_{23,0.975}, 1.4 + \frac{2}{15} t_{23,0.975}\right]$$

$$= [1.12, 1.68]$$

- (b) Exact, since the t statistic follows the t distribution.
- (c) The one sided interval is

$$\left[\hat{\beta}_{LS} - \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{ns_X^2}} t_{n-2,1-\alpha}, \infty\right)$$
$$= [1.07, \infty)$$

Problem 4

(a) The log-likelihood is

$$k\log\theta + (n-k)\log(1-\theta)$$

where k is the number of i such that $X_i = 1$. The maximization FOC is

$$\frac{k}{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{n-k}{1-\hat{\theta}}$$
$$\hat{\theta}(n-k) = k(1-\hat{\theta})$$
$$\hat{\theta}n = k$$

$$\hat{\theta} = k/n = n^{-1} \sum_{i} X_i = \bar{X}_n$$

So this is our MLE.

(b) The log-likelihood is (dropping constant terms)

$$-\frac{n}{2}\log\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_i (X_i - \mu)^2$$

Solving the FOC for μ , we get $\mu = \bar{X}_n$. Solving the FOC for σ^2 ,

$$\frac{n}{2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i} (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = n^{-1} \sum_{i} (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$$

Problem 5

(a) $EX_1 = \int_0^\infty \lambda x e^{-\lambda x} = -x e^{-\lambda x} \Big|_0^\infty + \int e^{-\lambda x} \Big|_0^\infty$ $= 0 - \frac{-1}{\lambda} = 1/\lambda$

(b) The log likelihood is

$$n\log\lambda - \lambda\sum X_i$$

The MLE is

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum X_i}$$

(c) By the WLLN $\bar{X}_n \to_p EX_1 = 1/\lambda$ so by Slutsky's theorem,

$$\hat{\lambda} \to_p 1/(1/\lambda) = \lambda$$

And hence $\hat{\lambda}$ is consistent.

(d) We note that

$$E[X_1^2] = \int_0^\infty \lambda x^2 e^{-\lambda x} = \frac{2}{\lambda^2}$$

$$VX_1 = E[X_1^2] - (EX_1)^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

By the CLT, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - 1/\lambda) \to_d N(0, 1/\lambda^2)$. By the delta method, taking g(x) = 1/x, $g'(1/\lambda) = -\lambda^2$ so we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\lambda} - \lambda) \to_d N(0, \lambda^2)$$

Let $g(a,b) = \sqrt{b}/a$. Then the desired distribution is

$$\sqrt{n} \left(g \left(\begin{bmatrix} \bar{X}_n \\ S_{X_n}^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) - g \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right)$$

By the delta method, we have

$$G(\theta_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-\sigma}{\mu^2} & \frac{1}{2\mu\sigma} \end{bmatrix}$$

So

$$\sqrt{n} \left(g \left(\begin{bmatrix} \bar{X}_n \\ S_{X_n}^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) - g \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) \to_d N(0, G(\theta_0) \Sigma G(\theta_0)')$$

By Slutsky's theorem,

$$\sqrt{S_{X_n}^2} \to_p \sigma$$

and we know

$$\bar{X_n} \to_p \mu$$

Hence by the rules of convergence in probability, the estimator

$$\hat{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-\sqrt{S_{X_n}^2}}{\bar{X_n}^2} & \frac{1}{2\bar{X_n}\sqrt{S_{X_n}^2}} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow_p \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-\sigma}{\mu^2} & \frac{1}{2\mu\sigma} \end{bmatrix} = G(\theta_0)$$

We know

$$(\hat{G}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{G}')^{-1/2}\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{S_{X_n}}{\bar{X}_n}-\frac{\sigma}{\mu}\right)\to_p N(0,1)$$

So the desired confidence interval is

$$\left[\frac{S_{X_n}}{\bar{X_n}} - n^{-1/2} (\hat{G}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{G}')^{1/2} z_{0.975}, \frac{S_{X_n}}{\bar{X_n}} + n^{-1/2} (\hat{G}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{G}')^{1/2} z_{0.975}\right]$$

To show that this does indeed asymptotically contain the true value σ/μ with probability 0.95, we note that in PS8 we already showed

$$(\hat{G}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{G}')^{-1/2}\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{S_{X_n}}{\bar{X}_n}-\frac{\sigma}{\mu}\right)\to_p N(0,1)$$

Hence

$$P\left(\sigma/\mu \in \left[\frac{S_{X_n}}{\bar{X_n}} - n^{-1/2} (\hat{G}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{G}')^{1/2} z_{0.975}, \frac{S_{X_n}}{\bar{X_n}} + n^{-1/2} (\hat{G}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{G}')^{1/2} z_{0.975}\right]\right)$$

$$= P\left(\left|\frac{S_{X_n}}{\bar{X_n}} - \sigma/\mu\right| \le n^{-1/2} (\hat{G}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{G}')^{1/2} z_{0.975}\right)$$

$$= P\left(\hat{G}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{G}')^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \left|\frac{S_{X_n}}{\bar{X_n}} - \frac{\sigma}{\mu}\right| \le z_{0.975}\right)$$

$$= 0.95$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. We wish to show that for c_n , as $n \to \infty$, $P(|\bar{X}_n| \ge \epsilon) \to 0$. Rewriting \bar{X}_n as suggested, we get

$$P(|\bar{X}_n| \ge \epsilon) = P\left(\left|n^{-1}\sum X_i\{|X_i| \le c_n\}\right| + \left|n^{-1}\sum X_i\{|X_i| > c_n\}\right| \ge \epsilon\right)$$

$$\le P\left(\left|n^{-1}\sum X_i\{|X_i| \le c_n\}\right| \ge \epsilon\right) + P\left(\left|n^{-1}\sum X_i\{|X_i| > c_n\}\right| \ge \epsilon\right)$$

$$= P\left(\left|\sum X_i\{|X_i| \le c_n\}\right| \ge n\epsilon\right) + P\left(\left|n^{-1}\sum X_i\{|X_i| > c_n\}\right| \ge \epsilon\right)$$

$$\le \frac{c_n^2}{(n\epsilon)^2} + P\left(\left|n^{-1}\sum X_i\{|X_i| > c_n\}\right| \ge \epsilon\right)$$

where we applied Chebyshev to the left term, and noticed that $X_i\{X_i \leq c_n\}$ is at most c_n . We can apply Markov to the right term to get

$$\leq \frac{c_n^2}{(n\epsilon)^2} + \frac{E\left[\left|\sum X_i\{|X_i| > c_n\}\right|\right]}{n\epsilon}$$
$$= \leq \frac{c_n^2}{(n\epsilon)^2} + \frac{E\left[\left|X_i\{|X_i| > c_n\}\right|\right]}{\epsilon}$$

Note that this is dominated by |x|, and so by the DCT, $E[|X_i\{|X_i|>c_n\}|] \leq E|X|$, and as $c_n \to \infty$, $\{|X_i|>c_n\}\to 0$, so we have that as $n\to\infty$, the right term approaches 0. Take $c_n=n^{1/3}$. Then the left term also disappears as $n\to\infty$, so as $n\to\infty$, $P(|\bar{X}_n|\geq\epsilon)\to 0$ for fixed ϵ , and hence we are done.

Problem 8

(a) By the CLT, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \to_d N(0, \sigma^2)$. Then by the delta method, taking g(x) = 1/x, we have $g'(\mu) = -\frac{1}{\mu^2}$, so

$$\sqrt{n}(1/\bar{X}_n - 1/\mu) \to_d N(0, \sigma^2/\mu^4)$$

(b) By the CLT, $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n \to_d N(0, \sigma^2)$. Applying the CMT,

$$n^{-1/2}(1/\bar{X}_n) \to_d 1/N(0,\sigma^2)$$

Note in this case b_n is 0.

Problem 9

(a) We have

$$\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} - \frac{1 - x}{1 - \theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{x}{\theta^2} - \frac{1 - x}{(1 - \theta)^2}$$

$$E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = -\frac{\theta}{\theta^2} - \frac{1 - \theta}{(1 - \theta)^2} = -\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{1 - \theta}$$

$$I(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{1 - \theta}$$
$$I(\theta)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{1 - \theta}} = \theta(1 - \theta)$$

By Slutsky's theorem, since $\bar{X}_n \to_p \theta$ from WLLN, $\bar{X}_n(1-\bar{X}_n) \to_p \theta(1-\theta)$. By Slutsky, $\sqrt{\bar{X}_n(1-\bar{X}_n)} \to_p \sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)}$.

 $\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \mu} = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma^2}$

(b) We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^4} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \mu^2} &= -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma^4} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f}{(\partial \sigma^2)^2} &= \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^6} \\ E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \mu^2}\right] &= -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \\ E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma^2}\right] &= 0 \\ E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f}{(\partial \sigma^2)^2}\right] &= \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^6} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{split}$$

The information matrix is then

$$I(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}$$

I is nicely diagonal, so its inverse is

$$I(\theta)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0\\ 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, \hat{S}_X^2 is a consistent estimator of σ^2 as we have shown in class, so

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{S}_X^2 & 0\\ 0 & 2\hat{S}_X^4 \end{bmatrix} \to_p I(\theta)^{-1}$$

by Slutsky's theorem. Finally, $\sqrt{\hat{S}_X^2}$ is a consistent estimator of the error of $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)$ and $\sqrt{2}\hat{S}_X^2$ is a consistent estimator of the error of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{S}_X^2 - \sigma^2)$.

(a) f is a probability density, so

$$1 = \int f(y, \theta) d\mu$$

Then by assumption iii, we have

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f(y, \theta) d\mu = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(y, \theta) d\mu$$

Then we have

$$E_{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f = \int \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(y, \theta) \right) f(y, \theta) d\mu$$
$$= \int \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(y, \theta) \right) d\mu$$
$$= 0$$

(b) From the previous part, we have f is a probability density, so

$$1 = \int f(y,\theta) d\mu$$

Then by assumption iv, we have

$$0 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \int f(y, \theta) d\mu = \int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} f(y, \theta) d\mu$$

Since we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(y, \theta)\right) f(y, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(y, \theta)$$

we can differentiate both sides to get

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}\log f(y,\theta)\right)f(y,\theta) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\log f(y,\theta)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'}f(y,\theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}f(y,\theta)$$

Integrating, we get

$$\int \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}\log f(y,\theta)\right) f(y,\theta) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\log f(y,\theta)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} f(y,\theta) = \int \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'} f(y,\theta) = 0$$

$$\int \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}\log f(y,\theta)\right) f(y,\theta) + \int \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\log f(y,\theta)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'} f(y,\theta) = 0$$

$$E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}\log f(y,\theta)\right) + \int \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\log f(y,\theta)\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'}\log f(y,\theta)\right) f(y,\theta) = 0$$

$$E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}\log f(y,\theta)\right) + E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\log f(y,\theta)\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'}\log f(y,\theta)\right)\right] = 0$$

$$-E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}\log f(y,\theta)\right) = E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\log f(y,\theta)\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'}\log f(y,\theta)\right)\right]$$

(a) Taking the log-likelihood, we get

$$\mathcal{L} = n \log 2 + \sum_{i} \log X_i - 2 \log \theta - \infty (\theta < \max X_i)$$

Since X_i cannot be more than θ , we have to constrain the log-likelihood to $\theta \ge \max X_i$. Since the remainder of this expression is strictly decreasing in θ , the MLE is then the smallest allowable θ , so

$$\hat{\theta} = \max X_i$$

(b) The CDF of $\hat{\theta}$ is given by $F_{\hat{\theta}}(t) = F_{X_i}(t)^n = (t/\theta)^{2n}$. So $f_{\hat{\theta}}(t) = \frac{2n}{\theta}(t/\theta)^{2n-1}$. Then $E[\hat{\theta}]$ is

$$\int_0^\theta 2n(t/\theta)^{2n} = \frac{2n\theta}{2n+1}$$

So the desired constant is

$$\frac{2n+1}{2n}$$