Analysis of variance

Packages

```
library(tidyverse)
library(smmr)
library(PMCMRplus)
```

Jumping rats

- Link between exercise and healthy bones (many studies).
- Exercise stresses bones and causes them to get stronger.
- Study (Purdue): effect of jumping on bone density of growing rats.
- 30 rats, randomly assigned to 1 of 3 treatments:
 - No jumping (control)
 - Low-jump treatment (30 cm)
 - High-jump treatment (60 cm)
- 8 weeks, 10 jumps/day, 5 days/week.
- Bone density of rats (mg/cm³) measured at end.

Jumping rats 2/2

- See whether larger amount of exercise (jumping) went with higher bone density.
- Random assignment: rats in each group similar in all important ways.
- So entitled to draw conclusions about cause and effect.

Analysis of variance 4 / 27

Reading the data

Values separated by spaces:

```
my_url <- "http://ritsokiguess.site/datafiles/jumping.txt"
rats <- read_delim(my_url," ")</pre>
```

The data (some random rows)


```
# rats %>% slice_sample(n=10)
rats
```

```
A tibble: 30 \times 2
   group density
   <chr>
             <dbl>
1 Control
               611
2 Control
               621
3 Control
               614
               593
4 Control
5 Control
               593
               653
6 Control
  Control
               600
8 Control
               554
  Control
               603
10 Control
               569
 i 20 more rows
```

Analysis of variance 6 / 27

Boxplots


ggplot(rats, aes(y=density, x=group)) + geom_boxplot()



Analysis of variance 7 / 27

Or, arranging groups in data (logical) order

```
ggplot(rats, aes(y=density, x=fct_inorder(group))) +
  geom_boxplot()
```



Analysis of Variance

- Comparing > 2 groups of independent observations (each rat only does one amount of jumping).
- Standard procedure: analysis of variance (ANOVA).
- Null hypothesis: all groups have same mean.
- Alternative: "not all means the same", at least one is different from others.

Testing: ANOVA in R

```
rats.aov <- aov(density~group,data=rats)</pre>
summary(rats.aov)
```

```
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
           2 7434
                      3717 7.978 0.0019 **
group
Residuals 27 12579 466
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1
```

- Usual ANOVA table, small P-value: significant result.
- Conclude that the mean bone densities are not all equal.
- Reject null, but not very useful finding.

Which groups are different from which?

- ANOVA really only answers half our questions: it says "there are differences", but doesn't tell us which groups different.
- One possibility (not the best): compare all possible pairs of groups, via two-sample t.
- First pick out each group:

```
rats %>% filter(group=="Control") -> controls
rats %>% filter(group=="Lowjump") -> lows
rats %>% filter(group=="Highjump") -> highs
```

Control vs. low

```
t.test(controls$density, lows$density)
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data: controls$density and lows$density
t = -1.0761, df = 16.191, p-value = 0.2977
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal
95 percent confidence interval:
   -33.83725   11.03725
```

-33.83725 11.03725 sample estimates: mean of x mean of y

601.1 612.5

No sig. difference here.

Analysis of variance 12 / 27

Control vs. high

```
t.test(controls$density, highs$density)
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data: controls$density and highs$density
t = -3.7155, df = 14.831, p-value = 0.002109
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal
95 percent confidence interval:
   -59.19139 -16.00861
sample estimates:
```

mean of x mean of y 601.1 638.7

These are different.

Analysis of variance 13 / 27

Low vs. high

```
t.test(lows$density, highs$density)
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data: lows$density and highs$density
t = -3.2523, df = 17.597, p-value = 0.004525
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal
95 percent confidence interval:
-43.15242 -9.24758
```

-43.15242 -9.24758 sample estimates: mean of x mean of y

612.5 638.7

These are different too.

Analysis of variance 14 / 27

But...

- We just did 3 tests instead of 1.
- \bullet So we have given ourselves 3 chances to reject H_0 : all means equal, instead of 1.
- Thus α for this combined test is not 0.05.

Analysis of variance 15 / 27

John W. Tukey



American statistician, 1915–2000

Big fan of exploratory data analysis

Popularized boxplot

 Invented "honestly significant differences"

Invented jackknife estimation

Coined computing term "bit"

Co-inventor of Fast Fourier Transform

Honestly Significant Differences

- Compare several groups with one test, telling you which groups differ from which.
- Idea: if all population means equal, find distribution of highest sample mean minus lowest sample mean.
- Any means unusually different compared to that declared significantly different.

Tukey on rat data

```
rats.aov <- aov(density~group, data = rats)
summary(rats.aov)</pre>
```

```
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
group 2 7434 3717 7.978 0.0019 **
Residuals 27 12579 466
---
Signif. codes:
0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
TukeyHSD(rats.aov)
```

Tukey multiple comparisons of means 95% family-wise confidence level

Fit: aov(formula = density ~ group, data = rats)

Ф-----

Why Tukey's procedure better than all t-tests

Look at P-values for the two tests:


Comparison	Tukey	t-tests
Highjump-Control	0.0016	0.0021
Lowjump-Control	0.4744	0.2977
Lowjump-Highjump	0.0298	0.0045

- Tukey P-values (mostly) higher.
- Proper adjustment for doing three t-tests at once, not just one in isolation.

Analysis of variance 19 / 27

Checking assumptions

```
ggplot(rats,aes(y = density, x = fct_inorder(group)))+
  geom_boxplot()
```




Assumptions:

- Normally distributed data within each group
- with equal group SDs.

Analysis of variance 20 / 27

Normal quantile plots by group

```
ggplot(rats, aes(sample = density)) + stat_qq() +
  stat_qq_line() + facet_wrap( ~ group)
```



Analysis of variance 21 / 27

The assumptions

- Normally-distributed data within each group
- Equal group SDs.
- These are shaky here because:
 - control group has outliers
 - highjump group appears to have less spread than others.
- Possible remedies (in general):
 - Transformation of response (usually works best when SD increases with mean)
 - ▶ If normality OK but equal spreads not, can use Welch ANOVA. (Regular ANOVA like pooled t-test; Welch ANOVA like Welch-Satterthwaite t-test.)
 - ► Can also use Mood's Median Test (see over). This works for any number of groups.

Analysis of variance 22 / 27

Mood's median test here

- Find median of all bone densities, regardless of group
- Count up how many observations in each group above or below overall median
- Test association between group and being above/below overall median, using chi-squared test.
- Actually do this using median_test:

```
median_test(rats, density, group)
```

```
$grand_median
[1] 621.5
```

\$table

5	above	
group	above	below
Control	1	9
Highjump	10	0
Lowiumn	4	6

Analysis of variance 23 / 27

Comments

- No doubt that medians differ between groups (not all same).
- This test is equivalent of *F*-test, not of Tukey.
- To determine which groups differ from which, can compare all
 possible pairs of groups via (2-sample) Mood's median tests, then
 adjust P-values by multiplying by number of 2-sample Mood tests
 done (Bonferroni):

```
pairwise_median_test(rats, density, group)
```

• Now, lowjump-highjump difference no longer significant.

Welch ANOVA

- For these data, Mood's median test probably best because we doubt both normality and equal spreads.
- When normality OK but spreads differ, Welch ANOVA way to go.
- Welch ANOVA done by oneway.test as shown (for illustration):

```
oneway.test(density~group, data=rats)
```

One-way analysis of means (not assuming equal variances)

```
data: density and group
F = 8.8164, num df = 2.000, denom df = 17.405, p-value = 0.000
```

- 0.0101, num di 2.000, donom di 17.100, p varac 0.00
- P-value very similar, as expected.
- Appropriate Tukey-equivalent here called Games-Howell.

Analysis of variance 25 / 27

Games-Howell

• Lives in package PMCMRplus. Install first.

```
# gamesHowellTest(density ~ group, data = rats)
gamesHowellTest(density ~ factor(group), data = rats)
```

```
Control Highjump
Highjump 0.0056 -
Lowjump 0.5417 0.0120
```

Deciding which test to do

For two or more samples:

