

Assessing assumptions

- Our t-tests assume normality of variable being tested
- but, Central Limit Theorem says that normality matters less if sample is "large"
- in practice "approximate normality" is enough, but how do we assess whether what we have is normal enough?
- so far, use histogram/boxplot and make a call, allowing for sample size.

What actually has to be normal

- is: sampling distribution of sample mean
- the distribution of sample mean over all possible samples
- but we only have one sample!
- Idea: assume our sample is representative of the population, and draw samples from our sample (!), with replacement.
- This gives an idea of what different samples from the population might look like.
- Called *bootstrap*, after expression "to pull yourself up by your own bootstraps".

Packages

library(tidyverse)

Blue Jays attendances

jays\$attendance

```
[1] 48414 17264 15086 14433 21397 34743 44794 14184 15606
[10] 18581 19217 21519 21312 30430 42917 42419 29306 15062
[19] 16402 19014 21195 33086 37929 15168 17276
```

A bootstrap sample:

```
s <- sample(jays$attendance, replace = TRUE)
s</pre>
```

```
[1] 21195 34743 21312 44794 16402 19014 34743 21195 17264 [10] 18581 19014 19217 34743 19217 14433 15062 16402 15062 [19] 34743 15062 15086 15168 15086 48414 30430
```

Sorting

• It is easier to see what is happening if we sort both the actual attendances and the bootstrap sample:

```
sort(jays$attendance)
```

```
[1] 14184 14433 15062 15086 15168 15606 16402 17264 17276 [10] 18581 19014 19217 21195 21312 21397 21519 29306 30430 [19] 33086 34743 37929 42419 42917 44794 48414
```

sort(s)

```
[1] 14433 15062 15062 15062 15086 15086 15168 16402 16402 [10] 17264 18581 19014 19014 19217 19217 21195 21195 21312 [19] 30430 34743 34743 34743 34743 44794 48414
```

Getting mean of bootstrap sample

- A bootstrap sample is same size as original, but contains repeated values (eg. 15062) and missing ones (42917).
- We need the mean of our bootstrap sample:

mean(s)

[1] 23055.28

• This is a little different from the mean of our actual sample:

mean(jays\$attendance)

[1] 25070.16

- Want a sense of how the sample mean might vary, if we were able to take repeated samples from our population.
- Idea: take lots of *bootstrap* samples, and see how *their* sample means vary.

Setting up bootstrap sampling

 Begin by setting up a dataframe that contains a row for each bootstrap sample. I usually call this column sim. Do just 4 to get the idea:

```
# A tibble: 4 x 1
    sim
    <int>
1    1
2    2
3    3
4
```

Drawing the bootstrap samples

 Then set up to work one row at a time, and draw a bootstrap sample of the attendances in each row:

```
sim sample
<int> <list>
1 1 <dbl [25]>
2 2 <dbl [25]>
3 3 <dbl [25]>
4 4 <dbl [25]>
```

A tibble: 4×2

Rowwise:

• Each row of our dataframe contains all of a bootstrap sample of 25

Sample means

Find the mean of each sample:

These are (four simulated values of) the bootstrapped sampling


Make a histogram of them

• rather pointless here, but to get the idea:

```
tibble(sim = 1:4) %>%
  rowwise() %>%
  mutate(sample = list(sample(jays$attendance, replace = TRUE)
  mutate(my_mean = mean(sample)) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = my_mean)) + geom_histogram(bins = 3) -> g
```

The (pointless) histogram

g




Now do again with a decent number of bootstrap samples

• say 1000, and put a decent number of bins on the histogram also:

The (better) histogram

g



Comments

- This is very close to normal
- The bootstrap says that the sampling distribution of the sample mean is close to normal, even though the distribution of the data is not
- A sample size of 25 is big enough to overcome the skewness that we saw
- This is the Central Limit Theorem in practice
- It is surprisingly powerful.
- Thus, the t-test is actually perfectly good here.

Comments on the code 1/2

You might have been wondering about this:

Comments on the code 2/2


- how did we squeeze all 25 sample values into one cell?
 - ▶ sample is a so-called "list-column" that can contain anything.
- why did we have to put list() around the sample()?
 - ▶ because sample produces a collection of numbers, not just a single one
 - ▶ the list() signals this: "make a list-column of samples".

Two samples

- Assumption: both samples are from a normal distribution.
- In this case, each sample should be "normal enough" given its sample size, since Central Limit Theorem will help.
- Use bootstrap on each group independently, as above.

Kids learning to read

```
ggplot(kids, aes(x=group, y=score)) + geom_boxplot()
```



Getting just the control group


• Use filter to select rows where something is true:

```
kids %>% filter(group == "c") -> controls
controls
```

```
# A tibble: 23 x 2
  group score
  <chr> <dbl>
1 c
          42
       33
2 c
3 c
       46
       37
4 c
    43
    41
        10
8 c
        42
9 c
        55
10 c
          19
# i 13 more rows
```


Bootstrap these

```
tibble(sim = 1:1000) %>%
  rowwise() %>%
  mutate(sample = list(sample(controls$score, replace = TRUE))
  mutate(my_mean = mean(sample)) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = my_mean)) + geom_histogram(bins = 10)
```



... and the treatment group:

```
kids %>% filter(group=="t") -> treats
tibble(sim = 1:1000) %>%
   rowwise() %>%
   mutate(sample = list(sample(treats$score, replace = TRUE)))
   mutate(my_mean = mean(sample)) %>%
   ggplot(aes(x = my_mean)) + geom_histogram(bins = 10)
```



Comments

- sampling distributions of sample means both look pretty normal, though treatment group is a tiny bit left-skewed
- ullet as we thought, no problems with our two-sample t at all.