

Functions

Packages for this section

```
library(tidyverse)  
library(broom) # some regression stuff later
```

Don't repeat yourself

- See this:

```
a <- 50  
b <- 11  
d <- 3  
as <- sqrt(a - 1)  
as
```

```
[1] 7
```

```
bs <- sqrt(b - 1)  
bs
```

```
[1] 3.162278
```

```
ds <- sqrt(d - 1)  
ds
```

```
[1] 1.414214
```

What's the problem?

- Same calculation done three different times, by copying, pasting and editing.
- Dangerous: what if you forget to change something after you pasted?
- Programming principle: “don't repeat yourself”.
- Hadley Wickham: don't copy-paste more than twice.
- Instead: *write a function*.

Anatomy of function

- Header line with function name and input value(s).
- Body with calculation of values to output/return.
- Return value: the output from function. In our case:

```
sqrt_minus_1 <- function(x) {  
  ans <- sqrt(x - 1)  
  return(ans)  
}
```

or more simply (“the R way”, better style)

```
sqrt_minus_1 <- function(x) {  
  sqrt(x - 1)  
}
```

If last line of function calculates value without saving it, that value is returned.

About the input; testing 1/2

- The input to a function can be called anything. Here we called it `x`. This is the name used inside the function.
- The function is a “machine” for calculating square-root-minus-1. It doesn't do anything until you call it:

```
sqrt_minus_1(50)
```

```
[1] 7
```

```
sqrt_minus_1(11)
```

```
[1] 3.162278
```

```
sqrt_minus_1(3)
```

```
[1] 1.414214
```

Testing 2/2

```
q <- 17  
sqrt_minus_1(q)
```

```
[1] 4
```

```
sqrt_minus_1("text")
```

Error in `x - 1`: non-numeric argument to binary operator

- It works! (At least, it works when it should and fails when it should.)

Vectorization 1/2

- We conceived our function to work on numbers:

```
sqrt_minus_1(3.25)
```

```
[1] 1.5
```

- but it actually works on vectors too, as a free bonus of R:

```
sqrt_minus_1(c(50, 11, 3))
```

```
[1] 7.000000 3.162278 1.414214
```

- or... (over)

Vectorization 2/2

- or even data frames:

```
d <- tibble(x = 1:2, y = 3:4)
d
```

```
# A tibble: 2 x 2
```

	x	y
	<int>	<int>
1	1	3
2	2	4

```
sqrt_minus_1(d)
```

	x	y
1	0	1.414214
2	1	1.732051

More than one input

- Allow the value to be subtracted, before taking square root, to be input to function as well, thus:

```
sqrt_minus_value <- function(x, d) {  
  sqrt(x - d)  
}
```

- Call the function with the x and d inputs in the right order:

```
sqrt_minus_value(51, 2)
```

```
[1] 7
```

- or give the inputs names, in which case they can be in *any order*:

```
sqrt_minus_value(d = 2, x = 51)
```

```
[1] 7
```

Defaults 1/2

- Many R functions have values that you can change if you want to, but usually you don't want to, for example:

```
x <- c(3, 4, 5, NA, 6, 7)
```

```
x
```

```
[1] 3 4 5 NA 6 7
```

```
mean(x)
```

```
[1] NA
```

```
mean(x, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
[1] 5
```

- By default, the mean of data with a missing value is missing, but if you specify `na.rm=TRUE`, the missing values are removed before the mean is calculated.

Defaults 2/2

- In our function, set a default value for `d` like this:

```
sqrt_minus_value <- function(x, d = 1) {  
  sqrt(x - d)  
}
```

- If you specify a value for `d`, it will be used. If you don't, 1 will be used instead:

```
sqrt_minus_value(51, 2)
```

```
[1] 7
```

```
sqrt_minus_value(51)
```

```
[1] 7.071068
```

Catching errors before they happen

- What happened here?

```
sqrt_minus_value(6, 8)
```

Warning in sqrt(x - d): NaNs produced

```
[1] NaN
```

- Message not helpful. Actually, function tried to take square root of negative number.
- In fact, not even error, just warning.
- Check that the square root will be OK first. Here's how:

```
sqrt_minus_value <- function(x, d = 1) {  
  stopifnot(x - d >= 0)  
  sqrt(x - d)  
}
```

What happens with stopifnot

- This should be good, and is:

```
sqrt_minus_value(8, 6)
```

```
[1] 1.414214
```

- This should fail, and see how it does:

```
sqrt_minus_value(6, 8)
```

```
Error in sqrt_minus_value(6, 8): x - d >= 0 is not TRUE
```

- Where the function fails, we get informative error, but if everything good, the stopifnot does nothing.
- stopifnot contains one or more logical conditions, and all of them have to be true for function to work. So put in everything that you want to be true.

Using R's built-ins

- When you write a function, you can use anything built-in to R, or even any functions that you defined before.
- For example, if you will be calculating a lot of regression-line slopes, you don't have to do this from scratch: you can use R's regression calculations, like this:

```
my_df <- tibble(x = 1:4, y = c(10, 11, 10, 14))  
my_df
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 2
```

	x	y
	<int>	<dbl>
1	1	10
2	2	11
3	3	10
4	4	14

Running the regression

```
my_df.1 <- lm(y ~ x, data = my_df)
tidy(my_df.1)
```

```
# A tibble: 2 x 5
```

	term	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
	<chr>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>
1	(Intercept)	8.5	1.88	4.53	0.0455
2	x	1.1	0.686	1.60	0.250

Pulling out just the slope

Use pluck:

```
tidy(my_df.1) %>% pluck("estimate", 2)
```

```
[1] 1.1
```

Making this into a function

- First step: make sure you have it working without a function (we do)
- Inputs: two, an x and a y.
- Output: just the slope, a number. Thus:

```
slope <- function(xx, yy) {  
  y.1 <- lm(yy ~ xx)  
  tidy(y.1) %>% pluck("estimate", 2)  
}
```

- Check using our data from before: correct:

```
with(my_df, slope(x, y))
```

```
[1] 1.1
```

Passing things on

- `lm` has a lot of options, with defaults, that we might want to change. Instead of intercepting all the possibilities and passing them on, we can do this:

```
slope <- function(xx, yy, ...) {  
  y.1 <- lm(yy ~ xx, ...)  
  tidy(y.1) %>% pluck("estimate", 2)  
}
```

- The `...` in the header line means “accept any other input”, and the `...` in the `lm` line means “pass anything other than `x` and `y` straight on to `lm`”.

Using ...

- One of the things `lm` will accept is a vector called `subset` containing the list of observations to include in the regression.
- So we should be able to do this:

```
with(my_df, slope(x, y, subset = 3:4))
```

```
[1] 4
```

- Just uses the last two observations in `x` and `y`:

```
my_df %>% slice(3:4)
```

```
# A tibble: 2 x 2
```

	x	y
	<int>	<dbl>
1	3	10
2	4	14

- so the slope should be $(14 - 10)/(4 - 3) = 4$ and is.

What happens here?

```
with(my_df, slope(x, y, hair = "spiky"))
```

Warning: In `lm.fit(x, y, offset = offset, singular.ok = singular.ok)`:
extra argument 'hair' will be disregarded

```
[1] 1.1
```

- Where did the warning come from?

Running a function for each of several inputs

- Suppose we have a data frame containing several different x's to use in regressions, along with the y we had before:

```
(d <- tibble(x1 = 1:4, x2 = c(8, 7, 6, 5), x3 = c(2, 4, 6, 9)))
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 3
   x1    x2    x3
<int> <dbl> <dbl>
1     1     8     2
2     2     7     4
3     3     6     6
4     4     5     9
```

- Want to use these as different x's for a regression with y from `my_df` as the response, and collect together the three different slopes.
- Python-like way: a for loop.
- R-like way: `map_dbl`: less coding, but more thinking.

The loop way

- “Pull out” column `i` of data frame `d` as `d %>% pull(i)`.
- Create empty vector `slopes` to store the slopes.
- Looping variable `i` goes from 1 to 3 (3 columns, thus 3 slopes):

```
slopes <- numeric(3)
for (i in 1:3) {
  d %>% pull(i) -> xx
  slopes[i] <- slope(xx, my_df$y)
}
slopes
```

```
[1] 1.1000000 -1.1000000 0.5140187
```

- Check this by doing the three `lms`, one at a time.

The map_dbl way

- In words: for each of these (columns of d), run function (slope) with inputs “it” and y), and collect together the answers.
- Since slope returns a decimal number (a dbl), appropriate function-running function is map_dbl:

```
map_dbl(d, \(d) slope(d, my_df$y))
```

x1	x2	x3
1.1000000	-1.1000000	0.5140187

- Same as loop, with a lot less coding.

Square roots

- “Find the square roots of each of the numbers 1 through 10”:

```
x <- 1:10  
map_dbl(x, \(x) sqrt(x))
```

```
[1] 1.000000 1.414214 1.732051 2.000000 2.236068 2.449490 2.6  
[9] 3.000000 3.162278
```

Summarizing all columns of a data frame, two ways

- use my d from above:

```
map_dbl(d, \(d) mean(d))
```

```
      x1      x2      x3  
2.50 6.50 5.25
```

```
d %>% summarize(across(everything(), \(x) mean(x)))
```

```
# A tibble: 1 x 3  
      x1      x2      x3  
  <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>  
1   2.5   6.5   5.25
```

The mean of each column, with the columns labelled.

What if summary returns more than one thing?

- For example, finding quartiles:

```
quartiles <- function(x) {  
  quantile(x, c(0.25, 0.75))  
}  
quartiles(1:5)
```

```
25% 75%  
  2   4
```

- When function returns more than one thing, `map` (or `map_df`) instead of `map_dbl`.

Map results

- Try:

```
map(d, \(d) quartiles(d)) -> e  
e
```

\$x1

	25%	75%
	1.75	3.25

\$x2

	25%	75%
	5.75	7.25

\$x3

	25%	75%
	3.50	6.75

- A list.

Or

- Better: pretend output from quartiles is one-row data frame:

```
map_df(d, \(d) quartiles(d))
```

```
# A tibble: 3 x 2
  `25%` `75%`
  <dbl> <dbl>
1  1.75  3.25
2  5.75  7.25
3  3.5   6.75
```

Or even

```
d %>% map_df(\(d) quartiles(d))
```

```
# A tibble: 3 x 2
```

```
  `25%` `75%`
```

```
  <dbl> <dbl>
```

```
1  1.75  3.25
```

```
2  5.75  7.25
```

```
3  3.5   6.75
```

Comments

- This works because the implicit first thing in `map` is (the columns of) the data frame that came out of the previous step.
- These are 1st and 3rd quartiles of each column of `d`, according to R's default definition (see help for `quantile`).

Map in data frames with mutate

- map can also be used within data frames to calculate new columns.
- Let's do the square roots of 1 through 10 again:

```
d <- tibble(x = 1:10)
d %>% mutate(root = map_dbl(x, \(x) sqrt(x)))
```

```
# A tibble: 10 x 2
```

	x	root
	<int>	<dbl>
1	1	1
2	2	1.41
3	3	1.73
4	4	2
5	5	2.24
6	6	2.45
7	7	2.65
8	8	2.83
9	9	3
10	10	3.16

Write a function first and then map it

- If the “for each” part is simple, go ahead and use `map_-whatever`.
- If not, write a function to do the complicated thing first.
- Example: “half or triple plus one”: if the input is an even number, halve it; if it is an odd number, multiply it by three and add one.
- This is hard to do as a one-liner: first we have to figure out whether the input is odd or even, and then we have to do the right thing with it.

Odd or even?

- Odd or even? Work out the remainder when dividing by 2:

```
6 %% 2
```

```
[1] 0
```

```
5 %% 2
```

```
[1] 1
```

- 5 has remainder 1 so it is odd.

Write the function

- First test for integerness, then test for odd or even, and then do the appropriate calculation:

```
hotpo <- function(x) {  
  stopifnot(round(x) == x) # passes if input an integer  
  remainder <- x %% 2  
  if (remainder == 1) { # odd number  
    ans <- 3 * x + 1  
  }  
  else { # even number  
    ans <- x %/% 2 # integer division  
  }  
  ans  
}
```

Test it

```
hotpo(3)
```

```
[1] 10
```

```
hotpo(12)
```

```
[1] 6
```

```
hotpo(4.5)
```

```
Error in hotpo(4.5): round(x) == x is not TRUE
```

One through ten

- Use a data frame of numbers 1 through 10 again:

```
tibble(x = 1:10) %>% mutate(y = map_int(x, \(x) hotpo(x)))
```

```
# A tibble: 10 x 2
```

	x	y
	<int>	<int>
1	1	4
2	2	1
3	3	10
4	4	2
5	5	16
6	6	3
7	7	22
8	8	4
9	9	28
10	10	5

Until I get to 1 (if I ever do)

- If I start from a number, find hotpo of it, then find hotpo of that, and keep going, what happens?
- If I get to 4, 2, 1, 4, 2, 1 I'll repeat for ever, so let's stop when we get to 1:

```
hotpo_seq <- function(x) {  
  ans <- x  
  while (x != 1) {  
    x <- hotpo(x)  
    ans <- c(ans, x)  
  }  
  ans  
}
```

- Strategy: keep looping “while x is not 1”.
- Each new x: add to the end of ans. When I hit 1, I break out of the while and return the whole ans.

Trying it 1/2

- Start at 6:

```
hotpo_seq(6)
```

```
[1] 6 3 10 5 16 8 4 2 1
```

Trying it 2/2

- Start at 27:

```
hotpo_seq(27)
```

[1]	27	82	41	124	62	31	94	47	142	71	214
[12]	107	322	161	484	242	121	364	182	91	274	137
[23]	412	206	103	310	155	466	233	700	350	175	526
[34]	263	790	395	1186	593	1780	890	445	1336	668	334
[45]	167	502	251	754	377	1132	566	283	850	425	1276
[56]	638	319	958	479	1438	719	2158	1079	3238	1619	4858
[67]	2429	7288	3644	1822	911	2734	1367	4102	2051	6154	3077
[78]	9232	4616	2308	1154	577	1732	866	433	1300	650	325
[89]	976	488	244	122	61	184	92	46	23	70	35
[100]	106	53	160	80	40	20	10	5	16	8	4
[111]	2	1									

Which starting points have the longest sequences?

- The `length` of the vector returned from `hotpo_seq` says how long it took to get to 1.
- Out of the starting points 1 to 100, which one has the longest sequence?

Top 10 longest sequences

```
tibble(start = 1:100) %>%  
  mutate(seq_length = map_int(  
    start, \(start) length(hotpo_seq(start)))) %>%  
  slice_max(seq_length, n = 10)
```

```
# A tibble: 10 x 2  
  start seq_length  
  <int>      <int>  
1     97         119  
2     73         116  
3     54         113  
4     55         113  
5     27         112  
6     82         111  
7     83         111  
8     41         110  
9     62         108  
10    63         108
```

- 27 is an unusually low starting point to have such a long sequence.

What happens if we save the entire sequence?

```
tibble(start = 1:7) %>%  
  mutate(sequence = map(start, \(start) hotpo_seq(start)))
```

```
# A tibble: 7 x 2  
  start sequence  
  <int> <list>  
1     1 <int [1]>  
2     2 <dbl [2]>  
3     3 <dbl [8]>  
4     4 <dbl [3]>  
5     5 <dbl [6]>  
6     6 <dbl [9]>  
7     7 <dbl [17]>
```

- Each entry in sequence is itself a vector. sequence is a “list-column”.

Using the whole sequence to find its length and its max

```
tibble(start = 1:7) %>%  
  mutate(sequence = map(start, \(start) hotpo_seq(start))) %>%  
  mutate(  
    seq_length = map_int(sequence, \(sequence) length(sequence)),  
    seq_max = map_int(sequence, \(sequence) max(sequence))  
  )
```

A tibble: 7 x 4

	start	sequence	seq_length	seq_max
	<int>	<list>	<int>	<int>
1	1	<int [1]>	1	1
2	2	<dbl [2]>	2	2
3	3	<dbl [8]>	8	16
4	4	<dbl [3]>	3	4
5	5	<dbl [6]>	6	16
6	6	<dbl [9]>	9	16
7	7	<dbl [17]>	17	52

Does it work with rowwise?

```
tibble(start=1:7) %>%  
  rowwise() %>%  
  mutate(sequence = list(hotpo_seq(start))) %>%  
  mutate(seq_length = length(sequence)) %>%  
  mutate(seq_max = max(sequence))
```

A tibble: 7 x 4

Rowwise:

	start	sequence	seq_length	seq_max
	<int>	<list>	<int>	<dbl>
1	1	<int [1]>	1	1
2	2	<dbl [2]>	2	2
3	3	<dbl [8]>	8	16
4	4	<dbl [3]>	3	4
5	5	<dbl [6]>	6	16
6	6	<dbl [9]>	9	16
7	7	<dbl [17]>	17	52

It does.

Final thoughts on this

- Called the **Collatz conjecture**.
- Nobody knows whether the sequence always gets to 1.
- Nobody has found an n for which it doesn't.
- A [tree \(link\)](#).