

Assessing assumptions

- Our t-tests assume normality of variable being tested
- but, Central Limit Theorem says that normality matters less if sample is "large"
- in practice "approximate normality" is enough, but how do we assess whether what we have is normal enough?
- so far, use histogram/boxplot and make a call, allowing for sample size.

What actually has to be normal

- is: sampling distribution of sample mean
- the distribution of sample mean over all possible samples
- but we only have one sample!
- Idea: assume our sample is representative of the population, and draw samples from our sample (!), with replacement.
- This gives an idea of what different samples from the population might look like.
- Called *bootstrap*, after expression "to pull yourself up by your own bootstraps".

Packages

library(tidyverse)

Blue Jays attendances

jays\$attendance

```
[1] 48414 17264 15086 14433 21397 34743 44794 14184 15606 189
[13] 21312 30430 42917 42419 29306 15062 16402 19014 21195 330
[25] 17276
```

A bootstrap sample:

```
s <- sample(jays$attendance, replace = TRUE)
s</pre>
```

```
[1] 21195 34743 21312 44794 16402 19014 34743 21195 17264 188
[13] 34743 19217 14433 15062 16402 15062 34743 15062 15086 153
[25] 30430
```

• It is easier to see what is happening if we sort both the actual attendances and the bootstrap sample:

Getting mean of bootstrap sample

- A bootstrap sample is same size as original, but contains repeated values (eg. 15062) and missing ones (42917).
- We need the mean of our bootstrap sample:

mean(s)

[1] 23055.28

• This is a little different from the mean of our actual sample:

mean(jays\$attendance)

[1] 25070.16

- Want a sense of how the sample mean might vary, if we were able to take repeated samples from our population.
- Idea: take lots of *bootstrap* samples, and see how *their* sample means vary.

Setting up bootstrap sampling

 Begin by setting up a dataframe that contains a row for each bootstrap sample. I usually call this column sim. Do just 4 to get the idea:

```
# A tibble: 4 x 1
    sim
    <int>
1    1
2    2
3    3
4    4
```

Drawing the bootstrap samples

• Then set up to work one row at a time, and draw a bootstrap sample of the attendances in each row:

```
tibble(sim = 1:4) %>%
  rowwise() %>%
  mutate(sample = list(sample(jays$attendance, replace = TRUE)
# A tibble: 4 x 2
# Rowwise:
    sim sample
```

- 2 2 <dbl [25]>
- 3 3 <db1 [25]>
- 4 4 <dbl [25]>
 - Each row of our dataframe contains *all* of a bootstrap sample of 25 observations drawn with replacement from the attendances.

Sample means

• Find the mean of each sample:

- 1 1 <dbl [25] > 28472.
- 2 2 <dbl [25]> 28648.
- 3 3 <dbl [25]> 23329.
- 4 4 <dbl [25]> 24808.
 - These are (four simulated values of) the bootstrapped sampling distribution of the sample mean.


Make a histogram of them

• rather pointless here, but to get the idea:

```
tibble(sim = 1:4) %>%
  rowwise() %>%
  mutate(sample = list(sample(jays$attendance, replace = TRUE)
  mutate(my_mean = mean(sample)) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = my_mean)) + geom_histogram(bins = 3) -> g
```



g




Now do again with a decent number of bootstrap samples

• say 1000, and put a decent number of bins on the histogram also:

```
tibble(sim = 1:1000) %>%
  rowwise() %>%
  mutate(sample = list(sample(jays$attendance, replace = TRUE)
  mutate(my_mean = mean(sample)) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = my_mean)) + geom_histogram(bins = 10) -> g
```

The (better) histogram

g



Comments

- This is very close to normal
- The bootstrap says that the sampling distribution of the sample mean is close to normal, even though the distribution of the data is not
- A sample size of 25 is big enough to overcome the skewness that we saw
- This is the Central Limit Theorem in practice
- It is surprisingly powerful.
- Thus, the *t*-test is actually perfectly good here.

Comments on the code 1/2

4 <dbl [25]>

4

You might have been wondering about this:

```
tibble(sim = 1:4) %>%
 rowwise() %>%
 mutate(sample = list(sample(jays$attendance, replace = TRUE)
# A tibble: 4 x 2
# Rowwise:
   sim sample
 <int> <list>
   1 <dbl [25]>
2 2 <dbl [25]>
3
     3 <dbl [25]>
```

Comments on the code 2/2

- how did we squeeze all 25 sample values into one cell?
 - sample is a so-called "list-column" that can contain anything.
- why did we have to put list() around the sample()?
 - ▶ because sample produces a collection of numbers, not just a single one
 - ▶ the list() signals this: "make a list-column of samples".

Two samples

- Assumption: both samples are from a normal distribution.
- In this case, each sample should be "normal enough" given its sample size, since Central Limit Theorem will help.
- Use bootstrap on each group independently, as above.

Kids learning to read

```
# A tibble: 44 \times 2
   group score
   <chr> <dbl>
 1 t.
             24
             61
 2 t
 3 t.
             59
             46
 4 t
 5 t
           43
           44
            52
 7 t
             43
 8 t
             58
 9 t
             67
10 t
# i 34 more rows
```

ggplot(kids, aes(x=group, y=score)) + geom_boxplot()

Getting just the control group


• Use filter to select rows where something is true:

```
kids %>% filter(group == "c") -> controls
controls
```

```
# A tibble: 23 \times 2
   group score
   <chr> <dbl>
 1 c
             42
 2 c
             33
 3 c
             46
             37
 4 c
 5 c
             43
 6 c
             41
 7 c
             10
             42
 8 c
 9 c
              55
10 c
              19
```


Bootstrap these

```
tibble(sim = 1:1000) %>%
  rowwise() %>%
  mutate(sample = list(sample(controls$score, replace = TRUE))
  mutate(my_mean = mean(sample)) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = my_mean)) + geom_histogram(bins = 10)
```



... and the treatment group:

```
kids %>% filter(group=="t") -> treats
tibble(sim = 1:1000) %>%
   rowwise() %>%
   mutate(sample = list(sample(treats$score, replace = TRUE)))
   mutate(my_mean = mean(sample)) %>%
   ggplot(aes(x = my_mean)) + geom_histogram(bins = 15)
```



Comments

- sampling distributions of sample means both look pretty normal, though treatment group is a tiny bit left-skewed
- ullet as we thought, no problems with our two-sample t at all.