### 1 Using NB:

#### 1.1 Test Report Without Stop-words:

		ham	spam
Wrongly	classified	11/348	21/130
files			
/total files			
Accuracy		96.83908045977012%	83.84615384615385%

## 1.2 Test Report With Stop-words

		ham	spam
Wrongly	classified	13/348	19/130
files			
/total files			
Accuracy		96.26436781609196%	85.38461538461539%

Conclusion: accuracy will improve without stop-words. This is because NB produces real prob data, without stop-words the prob will get closer to real values;

# 2 Using Logistic Regression:

#### 2.1 lambda: 0.001, eta: 0.01, iterations: 200

	With stop-words		Without stop-words	
	ham	spam	ham	spam
Wrongly				
classified				
files	1/348	3/130	1/348	4/130
/total				
files				
Accuracy	99.7%	97.6%	99.7%	96.9%

2.2 lambda: 0.035, eta: 0.01, iterations: 200

	With stop-words		Without stop-words	
	ham	spam	ham	spam
Wrongly				
classified				
files	268/348	96/130	279/348	102/130
/total				
files				
Accuracy	22.9%	26.1%	19.8%	21.5%

# 2.3 lambda: 0.075, eta: 0.01, iterations: 200

	With stop-words		Without stop-words	
	ham	spam	ham	spam
Wrongly				
classified				
files	285/348	103/130	330/348	112/130
/total				
files				
Accuracy	18.1%	20.7%	5.1%	13.8%

Conclusion: lambda=0.001 has good performance. accuracy will NOT improve without stop-words. This is because LR doesn't produce real prob data, without stop-words the prob will NOT get closer to real values;