

New York City Residential Curbside Organics Program: Spring 2025 Quarterly Capture Rate Report

July 2025

Samantha MacBride, Ph.D.

Adjunct Associate Professor, Baruch College Marxe School of Public and International Affairs, CUNY, Samantha.macbride@baruch.cuny.edu

Key Findings on Enforcement and Capture Rate Trends

- April 2025 Enforcement Had a Strong, Lasting Impact:**
Three weeks of residential curbside organics enforcement in April 2025—marked by the issuance of 3,929 tickets—led to a significant and sustained improvement in organics capture rates across all 59 community districts in New York City.
- Citywide Capture Rates Rose Substantially:**
The average citywide capture rate increased from 3.3% in March 2025 to 8.8% in April, peaked at 10.4% in May, and declined slightly to 9.4% in June.

	Average Monthly Residential Curbside Organics Capture Rate			
	2025-03	2025-04	2025-05	2025-06
Bronx	2.0%	5.5%	6.8%	6.2%
Brooklyn	3.3%	8.3%	9.3%	8.3%
Manhattan	2.7%	5.5%	6.6%	6.0%
Queens	3.8%	12.6%	15.5%	14.2%
Staten Island	8.9%	20.8%	22.9%	19.7%
Citywide	3.3%	8.8%	10.4%	9.4%

Table 1. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

- Quarterly Trends Confirm Gains:**

Analysis by calendar quarter shows rising seasonal averages, consistent with broader program improvement.

Borough	not all districts in BX, MN or SI included; All districts in BK and QN included			All districts in all boroughs included		
	Summer			Fall 2024 capture rate	Winter 2025 capture rate	Spring 2025 capture rate
	Winter 2024 capture rate	Spring 2024 capture rate	2024 capture rate			
Bronx	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	3.0%	1.8%	6.2%
Brooklyn	3.1%	3.1%	2.8%	4.9%	3.4%	8.6%
Manhattan	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	2.2%	3.3%	6.0%
Queens	3.5%	3.8%	3.5%	5.8%	3.5%	14.1%
Staten Island	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%	7.6%	21.1%
Grand Total	1.9%	2.0%	1.8%	4.6%	3.3%	9.5%

Table 2. DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

- Challenges Remain:** Despite this progress, capture rates around 10% remain too low to ensure program sustainability in the long term. For that, NYC needs to attain at least a 30% capture rate over the next five years at most. For comparison, Seattle achieves an organics capture rates in the 60% range, and NYC's recycling capture rate is approximately 50%.

Enforcement and Equity in Ticketing

- DSNY issued over 4,000 organics violations in April 2025, totaling nearly \$160,000 in penalties,** for “failure to recycle” organics. Tickets were issued when inspectors observed organics in refuse, but not for failing to set out a bin or for contamination.
- Data presented throughout this report is for the 3,929 out of 4,340 organics violations that could be matched, by address or BBL, to a community district using PLUTO, the City’s property database.**

Charge #1: Code	Charge #1: Code Description	Number of Tickets Issued	Penalty Amount	Total Penalty Imposed
ARI7	FAILURE TO RECYCLE LEAF YARD WASTE 1-8 DWELLING UNITS 1ST	57	\$25	\$1,425
ARJ1	FAIL TO RECYCLE LEAF YARD WASTE 9 OR MORE DWELLING UNITS 1ST	20	\$100	\$2,000
ARL4	FAIL TO RECYCLE ORGANIC WASTE 1-8 DWELLING UNITS 1ST	3086	\$25	\$77,575
ARL7	FAIL TO RECYCLE ORGANIC WASTE 9 OR MORE DWELLING UNITS 1ST	762	\$100	\$77,400
ASZ1	IMPROPER RECEPTACLE FOR YARD WASTE RESIDENT- 1 TO 8 DWELLING UNITS 1ST	4	\$25	\$100
Total		3929	\$100	\$158,500

Table 3. Data source: OATH Hearings Division Case Status, NYC Open Data

- **No Bias Toward Smaller Buildings:**

While more tickets were issued to 1–8 unit buildings, this is proportional to their overwhelming presence in NYC's housing stock. Penalty amounts were equivalent across small and large buildings. When normalized per 1,000 buildings, no evidence suggests that smaller buildings were disproportionately targeted.

April 2025 Residential Organics Tickets Issued by Building Type				April 2025 Residential Organics Tickets Penalties Imposed by Building Type				April 2025 Residential Organics Tickets per 1,000 Buildings			
Borough	1 to 8 units	9+ Units	Total	Borough	1 to 8 units	9+ Units	Total	Borough	1 to 8 units	9+ Units	Total
BK	738	173	911	BK	\$ 18,400	\$ 17,300	\$ 35,700	BK	2.5	10.7	3.0
BX	750	261	1,011	BX	\$ 18,750	\$ 26,100	\$ 44,850	BX	9.2	22.9	10.9
MN	166	293	459	MN	\$ 4,150	\$ 29,300	\$ 33,450	MN	11.7	14.5	13.2
QN	1,308	54	1,362	QN	\$ 32,700	\$ 5,400	\$ 38,100	QN	3.2	2.3	3.1
SI	185	1	186	SI	\$ 4,625	\$ 100	\$ 4,725	SI	1.4	0.1	1.4
TOTAL	3,147	782	3,929	TOTAL	\$78,625	\$78,200	\$156,825	TOTAL	3.4	9.9	3.9

Table 4. Note that total penalties imposed are slightly lower than totals above because several records could not be attributed to boroughs. Differences are trivial and do not affect analytics or conclusions. Data source: OATH Hearings Division Case Status, NYC Open Data; DCP PLUTO v25.1

- **A surprise: the enforcement announcement mattered; the rate of tickets issued did not matter.** An important, and counterintuitive, finding was that *rate of ticketing* did not correlate with improvements in the capture rate. In other words, while the fact that enforcement was being implemented citywide definitely improved the capture rate in every district, neither the capture rate nor the quantity of improvement in the rate pre and post enforcement correlated at all with the number of tickets issued in total, or on a per 1,000 buildings basis.
 - Brooklyn 11, the most improved district in terms of capture rate (an 18 percentage point jump from a low level), received zero tickets in April (likely because of the premature cancellation of enforcement). Other highly improved districts received few or no tickets.
 - There was no statistical correlation between tickets issued, or tickets issued per 1K buildings, and change in the capture rate pre- and post- enforcement; nor with or actual capture rates achieved.
 - It is clear that *more ticketing did not have an effect* that was greater than *less ticketing* in any district. But the fact that ticketing *could happen* appeared to have a strong effect that lasted well beyond the enforcement period.




Figure 1. Data source: OATH Hearings Division Case Status, NYC Open Data; DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

- **There continues to be wide variation in performance among districts.** All districts improved, but at different rates. The most consistent predictor of higher capture rates and greater gains was the percentage of 1–2 unit homes. The share of buildings with 20+ units was negatively correlated. These patterns reflect longstanding challenges in multi-unit building participation and the contribution of yard waste from lower-density neighborhoods.
- **Most compostables, by far, are still trashed.** Despite improvements in curbside residential organics capture and tonnage, the vast majority of potentially compostable materials are still going to landfills and combustion because buildings, and their occupants, are not participating fully (not due to DSNY trashing separated organics, which is a myth). The quantity of curbside compostable organics (yard trimmings, food scraps, and compostable paper) currently going to landfill has gone down from 96.7% in March 2023 to an average around 91% for April, May and June.
- **School/SMART Bin collections have remained steady,** now that all schools are serviced and no new SMART bins have been placed. There continues to be no data published on the breakdown between school origin and SMART bin origin quantities in these collections. DSNY tonnages classed as “School Organics” totaled over 8,000 tons for the Spring of 2025, nearly identical to performance in Spring 2024.
- **There has been progress in Openness, Accountability and Transparency.** As of 2025, DSNY’s reporting has become more data-driven and less reliant on conflated or promotional statistics. The Department’s internal analytic capabilities are now more visible in its public reporting, creating a firmer foundation for evaluating performance.





Figure 2. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

Introduction

Residential citywide collection of separated food scraps, yard trimmings, and soiled paper for composting or anaerobic digestion was expanded to residents of all of NYC's 59 community districts throughout the five boroughs on October 1, 2024.



On April 1, 2025, DSNY began enforcement of organics separation and setout requirements, which mandate that New Yorkers divert compostables from trash and consolidate them for once weekly organics, or “compost” pickup. This initiative, and the ticketing that followed, coincided with a substantial and sustained increase in the residential curbside organics capture rate that has held for three straight months. In May 2025, the Citywide average hit double digits.

Average Monthly Capture Rate		Yr-Mo	▼		
BOROUGH		2025-03	2025-04	2025-05	2025-06
Bronx		2.0%	5.5%	6.8%	6.2%
Brooklyn		3.3%	8.3%	9.3%	8.3%
Manhattan		2.7%	5.5%	6.6%	6.0%
Queens		3.8%	12.6%	15.5%	14.2%
Staten Island		8.9%	20.8%	22.9%	19.7%
Citywide		3.3%	8.8%	10.4%	9.4%

Residential Curbside Organics Capture Rate by Month, March 2025 to June 2025

Table 5. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

Average Monthly Capture Rate	Year	CYQuarter
	2024	2025
BOROUGH	2-Spring (April-May-June)	
Bronx	4.3%	6.2%
Brooklyn	3.1%	8.6%
Manhattan	2.2%	6.0%
Queens	3.8%	14.1%
Staten Island		21.1%
Citywide	3.4%	9.5%

Residential Curbside Organics Capture, Spring Season Average for Districts with Service, Spring 2024 vs. Spring 2025

Table 6. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

Residential Curbside Organics Capture Rates Improved Compared to 1 Year Ago

When examining Spring season performance—which corresponds to the second quarter of the year (April, May, and June)—average curbside organics capture rates across all boroughs were substantially higher than during the same period one year earlier, considering only those districts that had service in both years.

Average Monthly Capture Rate	Year	CYQuarter	Districts Served	
	2024	2025	Spring 2024	Spring 2025
BOROUGH	2-Spring (April-May-June)		2-Spring (April-May-June)	
Bronx	4.3%	6.2%	BX08 (Opt In District)	All of Bronx
Brooklyn	3.1%	8.6%	All of Brooklyn	All of Brooklyn
Manhattan	2.2%	6.0%	MN06,07 (Opt In)	All of Manhattan
Queens	3.8%	14.1%	All of Queens	All of Queens
Staten Island		21.1%	No Districts	All of Staten Island
Citywide	3.4%	9.5%	Total: 37	Total: 59

Residential Curbside Organics Capture, Spring Season Average for Districts with Service, Spring 2024 vs. Spring 2025

Table 7. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

In Spring 2024, the citywide curbside organics capture rate averaged 3.4%, based on the districts that had collection at that time. By Spring 2025, with all districts included, the average had risen by 6.1 percentage points to 9.5%. This means that 90.5% of compostable organics were still disposed of, an improvement from 96.6% the previous year. The largest gains occurred in Staten Island, Queens, and Brooklyn, while the Bronx and Manhattan saw more modest increases.

Residential Curbside Organics Capture Rates Also Improved from One Month Prior to Enforcement

Comparing Spring 2024 to Spring 2025 provides the most meaningful evaluation of program performance, given the strong influence of seasonality—particularly weather, the growing season, and gardening or landscaping habits—on organics generation and diversion. However, only 37 of the city's 59 districts had curbside service in Spring 2024 (see Table 7).

To assess changes across all districts, it is also useful to examine capture rates month by month in 2025. While some increase from Winter (January–March) to Spring is expected due to seasonal yard waste, the data show that capture rates rose significantly beginning in April 2025, following the start of enforcement.




Figure 3. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS




Figure 4. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

Tonnage Records Have Finally Been Set

This improvement was also reflected in total organics tonnage. In April, May, and June 2025, DSNY collected more organic material each month than at any point in the program's history. The peak occurred in May 2025, when a record 12,000 tons were collected across all 59 districts, including buildings of all sizes. By comparison, the previous high was approximately 4,800 tons, collected from 1–9 unit buildings in just 24 districts in November 2018.




Figure 5. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

This total tonnage reflected several facts on the ground. First, all districts, as opposed to only some as of one year ago, are now served with curbside collections. Second, all households, as opposed to only 1-9 unit households, have been served since late 2021.

Changing Curbside Collection Service: DeBlasio-era (2013-2020) and Adams Era (2021 to Present)

Number of Districts

Residential Curbside Organics was rolled out by District 2013 to 2018; expansion paused 2018; program was suspended May 2020; Program was reinstated late 2021 for 7 "Opt in" districts; expanded to all of Queens Oct 2022; all of Brooklyn Oct 2023; rest of city Oct 2024

Year	1	6	12
2013	1	3	
2014	3	6	6
2015	6	8	9
2016	9	9	10
2017	10	14	23
2018	23	24	24
2019	24	24	24
2020	24		
2021		7	
2022	7	7	21
2023	7	21	35
2024	35	35	59
2025	59	59	

Number of Households

Prior to 2021, only 1-9 unit households were served; after that time, all households are served

Year	1	6	12
2013		56,319	117,566
2014	117,566	251,241	251,241
2015	251,241	299,570	340,316
2016	340,316	340,316	380,492
2017	380,492	468,158	792,431
2018	792,431	855,369	855,369
2019	855,369	855,369	855,369
2020	855,369		
2021			518,891
2022	518,891	518,891	1,415,709
2023	518,891	1,415,709	2,246,143
2024	2,246,143	2,246,143	3,620,453
2025	3,620,453	3,620,453	

Table 8. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, ACS 2022 5YR

Monthly data on households and districts served make it possible to calculate pounds of separated organics collected per household. These figures, also normalized by total households, show that as of Spring 2025, the current program is significantly outperforming the earlier DeBlasio-era program on a per-household basis—even when accounting for differences in the number of participating households and districts.

DSNY began publicly asserting “wild” outperformance of the current program as early as November 2022 and continued doing so through 2023 and 2024. However, data from that period did not substantiate those claims. As of Spring 2025, DSNY can now make this comparison accurately and justifiably, provided performance is monitored and reassessed over time.




Figure 6. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

Vast Majority of Compostables Still Trashed by Residents

The introduction of even a partial month of enforcement in April 2025 clearly put the City on a promising trajectory. It is now conceivable that New York City—or substantial portions of it—could reach sustained capture rates high enough to achieve operational efficiencies and protect the program from future cancellation due to high costs. A sustained average of around 30% would be a reasonable target. The progress seen in Spring 2025 is a meaningful milestone—one that DSNY can legitimately take pride in—so long as it is understood as a step forward, not a final success.

Nonetheless, over 90% of food scraps, compostable paper, and yard waste that could and should have been separated for collection were still mixed with trash by residents, set out as refuse, and ultimately sent to landfills or incinerators via New York City's land-based and marine transfer stations. Landfills, which handle roughly 70% of the City's waste disposal, continue to emit methane from these organic materials—despite gas capture systems—because such systems can only recover a portion of total methane generated.

Over the past decade, there is preliminary—but not definitive—evidence that the organics diversion program has begun to reduce the total quantity of compostables disposed of as refuse. However, this impression must be tested over time. In Spring 2025, the observed decrease in organics within refuse was smaller than the increase in separated organics collection, suggesting that other factors—such as reduced generation or discard of compostables—may also be influencing the trend.

Specifically, in Spring 2025, the City disposed of approximately 264,000 tons of food scraps, compostable paper, and yard trimmings in trash, down from more than 280,000 tons in Spring 2024—a net reduction of 16,000 tons. During that same period, nearly 25,000 more tons of compostables were collected separately. Meanwhile, Paper/MGP recycling collections declined by about 80 tons. The tables and figures below present these trends in greater detail, including borough-level comparisons between Spring 2024 and Spring 2025 and a citywide historical overview.

Spring Season Year				organics in refuse reduction	Spring Season Year				residential curbside organics increase	Spring Season Year				SMART bin curbside organics change
BOROUGH	2024	2025			BOROUGH	2024	2025			BOROUGH	2024	2025		
Bronx	44,839	43,963	876	Bronx	138	3,197	3,059	Bronx	1,429	1,514	85			
Brooklyn	93,131	88,723	4,408	Brooklyn	2,678	8,232	5,554	Brooklyn	2,602	2,536	(66)			
Manhattan	39,266	38,783	483	Manhattan	220	2,728	2,508	Manhattan	878	818	(60)			
Queens	81,398	74,498	6,901	Queens	3,325	12,154	8,828	Queens	3,063	2,972	(92)			
Staten Island	21,730	18,325	3,405	Staten Island		4,925	4,925	Staten Island	149	202	53			
Grand Total	280,365	264,292	16,073	Grand Total	6,361	31,235	24,874	Grand Total	8,121	8,042	(79)			

Table 9. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS




Figure 7. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

It is important to be clear here that trashed organics do *not* reflect quantities of properly collected organics that are, later, dumped as refuse by DSNY. Although there is a persistent myth that DSNY mixes and then dumps separated organics with other trash, this does not in fact happen. Instead, trashed compostables take place when residents choose not to participate in the curbside organics program, and instead throw compostable paper, food scraps and yard trimmings in with blacked bagged trash. This practice is now illegal in NYC.

District Level Performance

As in previous years, quarters, and months, capture rates varied across Community Districts, both in terms of overall performance and in improvement following enforcement. Notably, all 59 districts saw increased capture rates between Winter and Spring 2025. Additionally, every district that had service in Spring 2024 showed improvement over the one-year period.

The following figures summarize district-level performance by grouping districts into three tiers—High, Mid, and Low—based on their Spring 2025 residential curbside organics capture rates.

The data is presented in two formats. The first is a bar graph comparing Spring 2024 to Spring 2025. For districts that did not have curbside organics service in Spring 2024, no data is shown for that year.





Figure 8. Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

The next set of figures presents dot graphs showing improvement in capture rates between Winter 2025—when all districts had curbside collection—and Spring 2025. The most improved district was clearly Brooklyn 11, which increased its capture rate from 1.7% in Winter to 19.9% in Spring 2025 (up from just 1% in Spring 2024). Although Queens 11 recorded a slightly larger improvement in percentage points over both seasons, it began from a higher baseline in the 5% range and ultimately achieved the highest Spring 2025 capture rate at 26%. The least improved district from Winter to Spring 2025 was Bronx 4 (BX04), which had no organics service in Spring 2024.

Both sets of graphs show that the High achieving group for Spring 2025 also had the greatest increases from Winter to Spring, with Mid and Low Spring achievement groups attaining lower increases.




Table 11. DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

Spring 2025 Achievement Group	Community District	Winter2025	Spring 2025	Improvement in Percentage Points
High	QN11	5.1%	26.0%	20.9%
High	BK11	1.7%	19.9%	18.2%
High	SI03	7.9%	22.7%	14.8%
High	QN05	3.4%	17.6%	14.2%
High	SI02	8.1%	22.2%	14.0%
High	QN10	2.0%	14.9%	13.0%
High	BX12	3.9%	15.7%	11.8%
High	QN13	3.0%	14.6%	11.6%
High	SI01	6.9%	18.5%	11.6%
High	QN09	4.4%	15.8%	11.4%
High	QN07	2.1%	13.1%	11.0%
High	BK10	3.2%	14.1%	10.9%
High	BX10	3.8%	14.5%	10.8%
High	QN08	4.4%	14.6%	10.2%
High	QN02	3.8%	13.8%	10.1%
High	QN06	4.6%	14.6%	9.9%
Mid	BK15	2.2%	11.3%	9.1%
High	QN01	4.4%	13.5%	9.1%
High	QN12	4.3%	13.3%	8.9%
Mid	BX11	2.8%	11.3%	8.5%
Mid	QN04	1.4%	9.2%	7.8%
High	BX08	5.7%	13.1%	7.4%
Mid	BK13	1.6%	8.2%	6.6%
Mid	BK07	4.3%	10.3%	6.0%
Mid	QN03	2.3%	7.9%	5.7%
Mid	QN14	3.2%	8.8%	5.6%
High	MN07	8.4%	13.5%	5.1%
Mid	BK18	3.5%	8.4%	4.9%
Mid	BK17	3.2%	8.0%	4.8%
Mid	BK05	1.7%	6.4%	4.7%
Mid	BX09	1.6%	6.3%	4.7%
Mid	BK06	8.5%	12.7%	4.2%
Mid	MN08	3.3%	7.5%	4.2%
Mid	MN02	4.3%	7.8%	3.5%
Low	BK16	1.5%	4.7%	3.2%
Mid	MN06	3.1%	6.2%	3.2%
Mid	BK08	5.0%	8.1%	3.1%
Mid	BK02	7.7%	10.7%	3.0%
Low	MN09	2.7%	5.5%	2.9%
Low	BK14	2.8%	5.7%	2.8%
Low	MN03	2.1%	4.9%	2.8%
Low	MN05	2.2%	4.9%	2.8%
Low	BK12	1.1%	3.7%	2.7%
Low	MN12	1.8%	4.4%	2.7%
Low	BK09	2.8%	5.4%	2.6%
Mid	BK03	4.9%	7.3%	2.4%
Low	BX07	0.4%	2.7%	2.3%
Low	BX02	0.6%	2.9%	2.3%
Low	MN10	1.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Mid	BK04	4.2%	6.2%	2.0%
Low	BK01	2.0%	3.9%	1.9%
Mid	MN04	5.3%	6.8%	1.5%
Low	MN01	2.8%	4.1%	1.3%
Low	BX03	0.5%	1.7%	1.2%
Low	BX01	0.8%	2.0%	1.1%
Low	BX06	0.4%	1.3%	0.8%
Low	MN11	2.1%	2.8%	0.8%
Low	BX05	0.7%	1.4%	0.8%
Low	BX04	0.5%	1.1%	0.6%

Table 10. DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS

Expected Correlations with Housing Density

Looking at housing density data from the US Census and the NYC Department of City Planning points to overall trends that show greater achievements in lower density districts, all things being equal.




Figure 9. DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS, ACS 2022

The graphs above plot improvement against two major measures of density, percentage of 1 and 2 family homes in a district, and percentage of 20+ buildings in a district. Similar trends show strong explanatory power for Spring 2025 capture rate achievement overall, regardless of prior rate:





Figure 10. DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS, ACS 2022



Data sources: DSNY Monthly Tonnages, DSNY WCS 2023. ACS 2021

Figure 11. DSNY Monthly Tonnages, 2023 WCS, ACS 2022

What is Driving Improvement?

Unknowns on Yard vs Food Waste

The inverse relationship between housing density and yard trimmings generation is well established and widely acknowledged. However, a key question remains: how much of the recent improvement in capture rates is attributable to yard trimmings versus food scraps and compostable paper? This distinction is important for several reasons.

First, food scraps and compostable paper represent a much larger share of the residential refuse stream than yard trimmings. Second, their characteristics differ significantly. Food scraps and compostable paper are generated year-round in kitchens, are more likely to cause resident disgust and concerns about rodents, and are frequently mingled with plastic contaminants. In contrast, yard trimmings are seasonal, generated outdoors in batches, perceived as clean and natural, and typically consolidated in uniform, low-contamination setouts.

According to DSNY, an average of 6.8% of citywide refuse consists of yard trimmings, while 35.7% is made up of food scraps and compostable paper. Similar proportions were observed in the 2017 Waste Characterization Study (WCS). Given New York City's overall density—with only limited areas having lawns—it is expected that the refuse stream would contain significantly more food and paper waste than yard debris.

Importantly, these figures reflect the composition of **refuse**, not of **separated organics collections**. DSNY has provided only citywide composition estimates for separated organics in the 2023 WCS, based on a small number of samples drawn from Queens districts—then among the few receiving curbside organics service. In both the 2023 and 2017 WCS, which sampled primarily low-density districts, yard trimmings made up a disproportionately high share of collected organics.

These samples are not representative of the citywide collection landscape today. Thus, while it is reasonable to infer that yard trimmings have contributed significantly to the observed rise in capture rates, the absence of detailed, representative data means we cannot quantify that contribution with any confidence until DSNY collects and reports better data on the composition of current curbside organics collections.

How much of current residential curbside organics collections are food scraps and compostable, as opposed to yard trimmings?

Short answer: we don't know, because DSNY does not report.

This is a question I am asked regularly by journalists and municipal managers outside NYC.

Unfortunately, in both the 2017 and 2023 WCS, DSNY did not assess curbside residential organics composition except at as a citywide average across seasons. Both times, samples came from low density areas of the City, because that is where residential curbside organics service was in place at the time of the WCS. So the citywide estimates are not representative of NYC.

In 2023, all DSNY reported was that 77.2% of residential separated collections were yard trimmings. It did not report results by housing density/income stratum, Borough, or district.

In 2017, DSNY reported that nearly 60% of residential separated collections were yard trimmings, with a high of 91% in Staten Island (SI01 was in the first, DeBlasio-era curbside organics program). Again, it is highly unlikely that these results reflect actual composition of collected organics.

Yard Trimmings and Other Compostables, DSNY Waste Characterization Studies

2023 WCS	Yard Waste Percentage		Food and Compostable Paper Percentage	
	Housing Density/Income Stratum	in Refuse	in Separated Organics	in Refuse
HDLI	2.8%	not assessed	36.8%	not assessed
HDMI	1.9%	not assessed	39.3%	not assessed
HDHI	2.9%	not assessed	35.7%	not assessed
MDLI	5.2%	not assessed	37.2%	not assessed
MDMI	5.9%	not assessed	37.4%	not assessed
MDHI	7.0%	not assessed	36.9%	not assessed
LDLI	6.0%	not assessed	37.0%	not assessed
LDMI	8.5%	not assessed	35.2%	not assessed
LDHI	14.2%	not assessed	31.0%	not assessed
CITYWIDE	6.8%	77.2%	35.7%	19%

2017 WCS	Yard Waste Percentage		Food and Compostable Paper Percentage	
	Borough	in Refuse	in Separated Organics	in Refuse
MANHATTAN	1.4%	not assessed	35.3%	not assessed
BRONX	3.9%	74.0%	34.5%	22.2%
BROOKLYN	5.1%	56.7%	34.6%	36.6%
QUEENS	10.4%	52.5%	35.9%	38.9%
STATEN ISLAND	15.3%	90.5%	32.0%	5.2%
CITYWIDE	6.5%	59.9%	34.9%	33.0%

Table 12.. DSNY 2023 WCS, 2017 WCS

The Effect of DSNY Residential Curbside Organics Enforcement

Between April 1, and April 18, DSNY issued nearly 4,000 residential organics violations, with almost \$160,000 in Penalties Imposed. In the NYC Administrative Code, there are four types of violations that apply to residential organics compliance, listed below. There are different ticket penalty amounts, and corresponding codes, for 1-8 unit buildings, and 9+ unit buildings.

Charge #1: Code	Charge #1: Code Description	Number of Tickets Issued	Penalty Amount	Total Penalty Imposed
ARI7	FAILURE TO RECYCLE LEAF YARD WASTE 1-8 DWELLING UNITS 1ST	57	\$25	\$1,425
ARJ1	FAIL TO RECYCLE LEAF YARD WASTE 9 OR MORE DWELLING UNITS 1ST	20	\$100	\$2,000
ARL4	FAIL TO RECYCLE ORGANIC WASTE 1-8 DWELLING UNITS 1ST	3086	\$25	\$77,575
ARL7	FAIL TO RECYCLE ORGANIC WASTE 9 OR MORE DWELLING UNITS 1ST	762	\$100	\$77,400
ASZ1	IMPROPER RECEPTACLE FOR YARD WASTE RESIDENT- 1 TO 8 DWELLING UNITS 1ST	4	\$25	\$100
Total		3929	\$100	\$158,500

Table 13. Data source: OATH Hearings Division Case Status, NYC Open Data

In the month of April 2025, there were many more violations issued for refuse rules and compliance, street cleanliness, and recycling in the residential and commercial sectors. In total, over 43,000 tickets were issued, shown here to put the 4,000 total residential organics tickets in context. For all tickets, penalties summed to over \$2.4 million dollars, just for April 2025.

MajorArea	Sum of DSNYTicketCount	Sum of Penalty Imposed
C&D	1	\$100
HAZMAT	6	\$22,900
LITTER/DUMPING/FAILtoSWEEP	14,236	\$1,006,200
ORGANICS	3,929	\$158,500
PLASTICS LEG	1	\$150
RECY	2,776	\$103,975
TRASH	22,528	\$1,164,250
TS		\$10,000
Total	43,522	\$2,490,550

Table 14. Data source: OATH Hearings Division Case Status, NYC Open Data

For the nearly 4,000 organics tickets, DSNY assessed penalties of nearly \$160,000 in the month of April 2025. Ticket types are divided into those for 1-8 unit buildings, and those for 9 plus unit buildings. Across boroughs, the total penalties for 1-8 residential unit buildings vs. 9+ residential buildings were nearly identical, although many more of the former were issued.

borough	1 to 8 units	9+ units	Total	borough	1 to 8 units	9+ units	Total
BK	738	173	911	BK	\$475	\$1,200	\$1,675
BX	750	261	1011	BX	\$18,400	\$17,300	\$35,700
MN	166	293	459	MN	\$18,750	\$26,100	\$44,850
QN	1308	54	1362	QN	\$4,150	\$29,300	\$33,450
SI	185	1	186	SI	\$32,700	\$5,400	\$38,100
Total	3147	782	3929	Total	\$4,625	\$100	\$4,725
					\$79,100	\$79,400	\$158,500

Table 15. Data source: OATH Hearings Division Case Status, NYC Open Data

The greater numbers of tickets for 1-8 unit buildings does not, as might first seem, suggest that there is disproportionate ticketing of houses and small buildings as opposed to apartment buildings and high rises. This is another myth that is common in public opinion on DSNY enforcement, but in the case of organics, there is no basis for it.

There are over one million residential buildings in New York City, over 900,000 of which are 1-8 units. Greater numbers of tickets simply correspond to greater numbers of buildings in this category. In fact, calculating the number of organics tickets per 1,000 buildings, we see that in Brooklyn, the Bronx and Manhattan, nine plus unit buildings are much more likely to be ticketed than 1-8 unit homes. In Queens and Staten Island, 9 plus unit buildings are ticketed slightly less than average, which is the rate at which 1-8 unit homes are ticketed.

Buildings by Units in Building				April 2025 Residential Organics Tickets Issued by Building Type			
borough	1 to 8 units	9 plus units	Grand Total	borough	1 to 8 units	9 plus units	Grand Total
BK	290,408	16,165	306,730	BK	739	172	911
BX	81,555	11,398	92,990	BX	753	258	1011
MN	14,166	20,250	34,815	MN	164	294	459
QN	413,525	23,987	437,600	QN	1299	63	1362
SI	127,939	7,184	135,134	SI	185	1	186
Grand Total	927,593	78,984	1,007,269	Grand Tot:	3140	788	3929

April 2025 Residential Organics Tickets per 1,000 Buildings			
borough	1 to 8 units	9 plus units	All Units
BK	2.5	10.6	3.0
BX	9.2	22.6	10.9
MN	11.6	14.5	13.2
QN	3.1	2.6	3.1
SI	1.4	0.1	1.4
Grand Total	3.4	10.0	3.9

Table 16. Data source: OATH Hearings Division Case Status, NYC Open Data; DCP PLUTO v25.1

Extending this analysis to look at ticketing rates for single family homes, in comparison to buildings further sorted by size, confirms that no disproportionate ticketing was applied to one or two family homes, for all boroughs but Manhattan, where such homes tend to be high end Brownstones and not free-standing houses with lawns.

Buildings with...	April 2025 Residential Organics Tickets Issued by Building Type					
	BK	BX	MN	QN	SI	Total
1 unit	0.9	6.1	20.3	1.9	0.8	1.9
2 units	2.2	10.5	11.7	3.8	2.6	3.9
3 to 4 units	3.5	10.3	6.8	7.0	10.0	5.8
5 to 9 units	9.5	20.5	11.2	8.4	3.8	10.2
10 to 19 units	11.3	12.2	14.9	8.0	-	12.3
20 to 49 units	10.7	28.2	15.8	5.7	-	14.3
50 to 99 units	13.6	33.4	18.6	3.7	-	12.8
100 to 400 units	8.9	13.1	7.1	1.0	0.4	3.5
500 plus units	2.9	-	4.3	-	-	0.9
Total	3.0	10.9	13.2	3.1	1.4	3.9

Table 17. Data source: OATH Hearings Division Case Status, NYC Open Data; DCP PLUTO v.25.1

Did Ticketing Correlate with Increases in Curbside Organics Diversion?

It is clear that residential organics ticketing during the month of April was even handed across building types, and in no way targeted homeowners with houses and lawns. But did the rate of ticketing correlate with improvements in the curbside organics diversion rate that were so clearly seen during and after April 2025? The answer seems to be no. Although the *announcement* of ticketing as an active policy in April had an undeniable effect, the rate of ticketing had no correlation with changes in the capture rate or with rates for April, May or June..

Brooklyn 11, the greatest improver by far, actually received zero organics tickets in April 2025, as did Staten Island 3, a very high achiever. Brooklyn 18, which performed a mid level range, also was not ticketed. Given that roughly even amounts of tickets were issued to most other districts suggests that borough level enforcement was planned for the month and did not get to these districts due to premature cancellation of the policy on April 18 by City Hall.

Looking at district-by-district correlations between rates of ticketing and improvements in the capture rate in fact shows no statistically significant effects, whether we are looking at capture rates for March, April, or May; or changes between March and April or March and May. The effects are also not significant if we look at rates of ticketing for 1-8 unit buildings vs. rates for 9 plus unit buildings. The only statistically significant predictor of rates and rate improvement continues to be housing density, with percent of 1-2 unit homes the best measure of that to impact curbside capture.

Regression Results: Predictors of Capture Rate and Change in Capture Rate

Dependent Variable % 1–2 Unit Structures (β , p) Tickets/1k Buildings (β , p) R²

March 2025 Capture Rate 0.038, 0.005	0.0002, 0.611	.15
April 2025 Capture Rate 0.174, < 0.001	0.0007, 0.291	.56

Dependent Variable	% 1–2 Unit Structures (β , p) Tickets/1k Buildings (β , p)	R ²
May 2025 Capture Rate	0.205, <0.001	0.0008, 0.272
Δ March → April	0.136, <0.001	0.0005, 0.237
Δ March → May	0.167, <0.001	0.0006, 0.230

Note: All models use linear regression with district-level data. No models show significant effects for ticketing rates. Bold p-values indicate statistical significance ($p < .05$).

Putting School (+SMART Bin) Tonnages in Perspective

As previously noted, DSNY reported over 8,000 tons of material classified as “School Organics” for Spring 2025—virtually unchanged from Spring 2024. This consistency is expected for several reasons. First, by 2024, all schools had already been incorporated into curbside organics collection. In earlier years, increases in tonnage primarily reflected the expansion of service to additional schools. Now that service coverage is complete, tonnage levels have stabilized.

Second, this reported figure also includes an unquantified contribution from SMART bins used by residents. The number and placement of SMART bins has remained static over this period, further supporting the expectation of little to no growth in the combined tonnage.

Third, neither school organics nor SMART bin usage is subject to enforcement. DSNY does not have authority to enforce recycling or organics regulations on government entities, including schools. As a result, the enforcement-driven gains observed in the residential sector are not present in the School/SMART bin stream.




Figure 12. Data source: DSNY Monthly Tonnages on Open Data.

The consistent tonnages reported for Spring 2024 and 2025 support prior performance analyses that caution against combining School/SMART Bin organics data with Residential Curbside Organics performance. As illustrated below, the residential sector targets over 1 million tons of potentially compostable material annually—dwarfing the school waste stream in scale.

Schools are estimated to generate approximately 50,000 tons of compostable material per year, of which only about 30% is currently separated for collection, based on limited data from the DSNY 2023 Waste Characterization Study. While the figures shown below reflect totals for Calendar Year 2024, the same distinctions apply to the Spring 2025 statistics.




Figure 13. Data sources: legacy FOILed DSNY dataset 1993-2018; DSNY Monthly Tonnages on Open Data; DSNY 2023 WCS.

It is tempting to interpret school organics collections as evidence of growing environmental awareness and education among students and staff. City testimony often frames such progress as a reflection of increased understanding and enthusiasm for organics among “the New Yorkers of the future,” implying that success in schools foretells success in citywide organics policy.

In practice, however, the relationship between school-based organics (and recycling) programs and residential behavior remains unproven, despite its intuitive appeal. Numerous infrastructural and labor-related challenges—such as custodial routines, bin placement, and cafeteria logistics—stand between classroom intentions and actual curbside setouts. These barriers have persisted for decades, resisting each new administration’s efforts to turn schools into engines of Zero Waste behavior that translates into household compliance.

DSNY does not routinely publish school organics capture rates, but in the 2023 Waste Characterization Study, they were estimated between 24% and 37%. If accurate, these rates far exceed current residential capture levels. However, tonnage from schools has plateaued, consistent with the fact that all schools are now included in the program.

It is also important to recognize that the reported totals for “School Organics” include more than just material generated by schools. SMART Bins—introduced under the deBlasio administration—have been added to school collection routes, but no data has been provided on the respective contributions of schools versus residential SMART Bin usage. This lack of disaggregation is problematic, especially given that SMART Bin organics are residential in origin and could appropriately be counted toward residential capture rate metrics.

The leveling off of school organics tonnage reinforces a broader operational reality: DSNY’s primary responsibility, by a wide margin, is residential collection. It is in the residential sector that the most substantial and measurable reductions in waste and greenhouse gas emissions can be achieved—provided capture rates improve. The fact that residential curbside organics tonnage now surpasses that from schools and SMART Bins is encouraging, since no level of school-based performance can match the scale or significance of household waste generation.

Discussion

The initiation of mandatory enforcement produced a clear, positive impact across the city, with improvements observed at the borough and district levels. Even the lowest-performing districts slightly raised their capture rates, while high-performing areas achieved even greater gains. This result was unexpected—but now, with a solid analytic foundation in place, it is clear that the effect is real and not the result of conflated or selectively framed statistics, as had often characterized DSNY public information practices through the end of calendar year 2024.

Whether this promising outcome can be sustained over time remains an open question. It is possible that capture rates will stay steady, improve, or decline in the coming months following the announcement of paused enforcement. Continued tracking of capture rates into 2026 will provide a sound basis to reassess the impact of enforcement.

I have previously written about the inherent challenges of enforcement based on inspectors witnessing organics improperly mixed with refuse. Surprisingly, these challenges did not appear to impede DSNY’s ability to issue multiple violations as it moved street by street, targeting specific areas.

I have also addressed the implications of enforcement for building staff such as superintendents, janitors, and porters. Since contamination is not currently a ticketable offense (unlike in recycling enforcement), these workers are likely less burdened by the need to remove contaminants from organics bins. Still, it is unclear whether building workers are being expected to separate organics from refuse in the waste consolidation area—and in my view, they should never be expected to do so.

This raises the issue of contamination. Anecdotal reports from transfer stations and compost facilities suggest that contamination rates in residential organics collections may exceed 20%. However, DSNY does not publicly release contamination data, and given the effort required to assess it (i.e., waste audits), is unlikely to do so routinely. Without sustained education and contamination monitoring, greater participation based on an expectation of fine may lead to *increased* contamination levels—an outcome that must be carefully managed.

There are clear steps DSNY can take to improve openness, accountability, and public trust. Providing transparent information on contamination rates is critical. These figures should not be concealed or ignored in public discourse, as has been the case in the past. Moreover, contamination data should be addressed in relation to public concerns about the use of the Newtown Creek Water Resource Recovery Facility (WRRF), where separated organics are sent for anaerobic digestion following mechanical decontamination.

High-quality, low-contamination organics collections should be directed to composting—whether at DSNY's Staten Island Compost Facility or to local community-based composters. If Newtown Creek is increasingly used because it can tolerate higher rates of contamination, care must be taken to ensure this does not relieve DSNY of its municipal and contractual responsibility to reduce contamination. The absence of reliable, publicly available data on both contamination rates and the end uses of collected organics remains a significant oversight.

A related issue is the continued failure to disaggregate organics tonnages collected from schools and SMART bins. Although these materials are collected in the same trucks and reported as a combined total for efficiency reasons, estimating SMART bin tonnage is straightforward. DSNY receives open/close data from bins and can apply empirically validated weight-to-volume conversions. The reluctance to report separate figures for schools and SMART bins is therefore not a technical limitation, and the reason for this omission remains unclear.

Overall, DSNY is on a more constructive path with respect to residential organics, but should be cautious not to mistake the unexpected success of partial-month enforcement for long-term program stability. The agency must continue to assess what improves capture rates and reduces contamination. It is likely that some outreach methods—those based on sustained engagement and clear performance metrics—will prove effective, while others (such as generic door-knocking campaigns) may not yield measurable results.

Enforcement, paired with targeted outreach, must be maintained, evaluated rigorously, and reported transparently. Full accountability to the public and stakeholders—including citizen groups, elected officials, and NYC's waste and other business sectors—requires the following:

1. **Meaningful public involvement** in the development of the 2026 Solid Waste Management Plan (SWMP), with open, responsive, and non-perfunctory engagement.
2. **Transparent reporting of outreach performance**, including metrics that quantify public education reach, on-site activities, and their effect on capture and diversion rates.
3. **Detailed trajectory data** tracing the path of collected organics from curb to processing facility to end use, presented in accessible terms and based on measured data.

4. **Published recognition of community partners**, documenting the measurable contributions (quantified in tons processed into compost, and metrics of community education) of contracted composters and outreach organizations whose long-standing work has made organics sustainability policy in New York City.

DATA SOURCES

DSNY Waste Characterization Study 2023

NYC Department of Sanitation. “DSNY Waste Characterization 2023 - Main Sort Results,” May 2, 2024. https://data.cityofnewyork.us/dataset/DSNY-Waste-Characterization-2023-Main-Sort-Results/bpea-2i5q/about_data

NYC Department of Sanitation. “2023 Waste Characterization Study.” New York, N.Y., April 2023. <https://www.nyc.gov/site/dsny/resources/reports/waste-characterization.page>

DSNY Curbside Tonnage Data

NYC Department of Sanitation. “NYC Open Data: DSNY Monthly Tonnages,” July 2025

https://data.cityofnewyork.us/City-Government/DSNY-Monthly-Tonnage-Data/ebb7-mvp5/about_data

DSNY Violations, Enforcement, and Ticketing Data

NYC Open Data. “OATH Hearings Division Case Status” April 2025

https://data.cityofnewyork.us/City-Government/OATH-Hearings-Division-Case-Status/jz4z-kudi/about_data



Buildings

New York City Department of City Planning. (2025, July 16). *Primary Land Use Tax Lot Output (PLUTO)* (Version 25v1) [Data set]. Bytes of the Big Apple. <https://data.cityofnewyork.us/d/64uk-42ks>

Households




NYC Department of City Planning. “Population American Community Survey (ACS) Data Tables,” 2022. <https://www.nyc.gov/site/planning/planning-level/nyc-population/american-community-survey.page>.

Graphic Appendices





All data is from public source and can be verified independently.

Graphic Appendices






All data is from public source and can be verified independently.

Graphic Appendices




All data is from public source and can be verified independently.

Graphic Appendices





All data is from public source and can be verified independently.

Graphic Appendices





Graphic Appendices

Citywide





All data is from public source and can be verified independently.

Graphic Appendices



All data is from public source and can be verified independently.

Graphic Appendices



All data is from public source and can be verified independently.

Data Appendices


Residential Curbside Organics Capture Rate by Month and District

		Average of capture rate23																	
		Yr-Mo																	
BOROUGH	Com Dist	2024-01	2024-02	2024-03	2024-04	2024-05	2024-06	2024-07	2024-08	2024-09	2024-10	2024-11	2024-12	2025-01	2025-02	2025-03	2025-04	2025-05	2025-06
Bronx	BX01	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	2.9%	2.3%	1.1%	0.7%	0.8%	1.8%	2.0%	2.1%
Bronx	BX02	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.8%	0.3%	1.0%	0.4%	0.4%	2.4%	3.8%	2.4%
Bronx	BX03	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.4%	0.9%	0.7%	0.3%	0.5%	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%
Bronx	BX04	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	1.0%	1.3%	1.1%
Bronx	BX05	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.9%	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%	0.5%	1.2%	1.5%	1.6%
Bronx	BX06	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	1.1%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%
Bronx	BX07	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	2.1%	3.0%	3.1%
Bronx	BX08	2.8%	3.2%	3.8%	4.2%	4.7%	4.1%	3.6%	4.2%	3.4%	5.6%	8.5%	7.0%	6.0%	4.9%	6.3%	12.3%	13.7%	13.2%
Bronx	BX09	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	5.1%	3.6%	1.9%	1.3%	1.7%	5.0%	7.3%	6.6%
Bronx	BX10	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	8.8%	6.6%	3.7%	3.1%	4.5%	13.6%	16.0%	14.1%
Bronx	BX11	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	8.9%	4.6%	3.0%	2.1%	3.3%	9.9%	12.6%	11.3%
Bronx	BX12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	11.8%	6.2%	4.2%	3.1%	4.4%	13.2%	17.7%	16.2%
Brooklyn	BK01	2.7%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.7%	1.6%	1.8%	1.8%	1.4%	1.9%	2.6%	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%	1.7%	3.4%	4.2%	4.1%
Brooklyn	BK02	9.6%	5.8%	6.2%	6.2%	6.8%	6.6%	5.8%	5.6%	5.6%	6.4%	9.9%	9.1%	9.9%	6.1%	7.3%	10.8%	11.1%	10.4%
Brooklyn	BK03	5.3%	3.4%	4.0%	3.9%	4.0%	4.2%	4.1%	3.6%	3.8%	5.3%	9.7%	7.3%	6.1%	4.0%	4.5%	6.5%	8.0%	7.4%
Brooklyn	BK04	2.6%	2.4%	3.2%	3.2%	3.4%	3.4%	2.8%	3.1%	2.9%	3.7%	3.4%	4.7%	4.1%	4.6%	3.9%	5.2%	7.1%	6.5%
Brooklyn	BK05	1.3%	1.0%	1.2%	1.1%	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.5%	3.4%	2.5%	2.1%	1.4%	1.7%	5.4%	7.3%	6.5%
Brooklyn	BK06	10.3%	7.7%	9.0%	9.5%	10.3%	10.4%	8.2%	7.7%	7.9%	8.6%	13.1%	10.4%	9.5%	7.6%	8.4%	15.4%	12.8%	9.9%
Brooklyn	BK07	5.1%	4.2%	4.2%	4.4%	4.4%	4.0%	3.2%	2.9%	3.2%	3.6%	5.6%	5.2%	4.8%	4.3%	4.0%	10.6%	11.2%	9.1%
Brooklyn	BK08	5.6%	3.8%	4.0%	3.7%	3.7%	4.7%	4.2%	3.9%	3.4%	5.1%	5.8%	5.8%	6.2%	4.3%	4.4%	8.1%	8.1%	8.1%
Brooklyn	BK09	2.7%	2.2%	2.5%	2.5%	3.1%	3.0%	2.5%	2.8%	2.6%	3.3%	5.9%	3.3%	3.3%	2.5%	2.7%	5.0%	6.0%	5.2%
Brooklyn	BK10	3.3%	2.2%	2.3%	2.7%	2.8%	2.4%	2.6%	2.5%	3.4%	5.6%	4.5%	3.6%	2.9%	3.1%	14.7%	14.7%	13.1%	
Brooklyn	BK11	1.3%	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	1.0%	0.9%	1.7%	3.4%	2.2%	2.0%	1.3%	1.7%	18.5%	21.7%	19.3%
Brooklyn	BK12	1.2%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%	1.2%	0.9%	1.4%	2.6%	2.2%	1.1%	0.9%	1.2%	2.9%	4.3%	3.8%
Brooklyn	BK13	1.9%	1.1%	0.8%	1.0%	1.2%	0.9%	1.0%	1.4%	1.2%	1.9%	4.7%	3.1%	1.9%	1.2%	1.6%	8.1%	8.3%	8.1%
Brooklyn	BK14	2.4%	1.7%	2.3%	2.1%	2.4%	2.6%	2.4%	2.6%	2.2%	3.5%	6.1%	5.0%	3.2%	2.1%	3.2%	4.7%	6.6%	5.7%
Brooklyn	BK15	2.2%	2.2%	1.5%	1.4%	2.2%	2.0%	2.0%	1.9%	1.5%	3.0%	6.4%	4.3%	2.5%	2.0%	2.2%	10.5%	12.2%	11.2%
Brooklyn	BK16	1.5%	1.3%	1.4%	1.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%	1.7%	1.3%	2.3%	6.1%	2.7%	2.0%	1.1%	1.4%	3.9%	5.3%	5.0%
Brooklyn	BK17	3.2%	2.6%	3.0%	3.1%	3.1%	3.5%	3.3%	3.0%	2.6%	4.3%	7.9%	5.1%	3.8%	2.7%	3.1%	6.7%	8.9%	8.4%
Brooklyn	BK18	3.5%	3.2%	3.6%	3.4%	3.4%	3.9%	3.2%	3.7%	3.2%	5.0%	9.7%	5.5%	4.1%	2.8%	3.6%	8.7%	8.8%	7.8%
Manhattan	MN01	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	1.6%	1.6%	5.0%	1.5%	1.7%	3.3%	4.7%	4.3%
Manhattan	MN02	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	1.6%	2.8%	6.6%	2.9%	3.3%	7.2%	8.0%	8.1%
Manhattan	MN03	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	3.7%	2.9%	1.6%	2.2%	4.7%	5.3%	4.8%	
Manhattan	MN04	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	4.1%	3.2%	6.6%	4.5%	4.9%	5.9%	7.9%	6.5%
Manhattan	MN05	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	1.1%	1.5%	3.6%	1.3%	1.5%	4.7%	5.4%	4.8%
Manhattan	MN06	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	0.7%	1.0%	0.9%	0.8%	1.3%	2.4%	2.2%	2.3%	2.6%	5.2%	7.3%	6.2%	
Manhattan	MN07	3.5%	3.3%	3.7%	3.5%	3.9%	3.5%	3.5%	3.3%	3.2%	4.3%	6.2%	7.3%	10.3%	7.2%	7.6%	12.7%	14.5%	13.2%
Manhattan	MN08	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	1.6%	1.8%	5.3%	2.2%	2.4%	6.4%	8.3%	7.7%
Manhattan	MN09	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	2.7%	2.7%	3.1%	2.4%	2.5%	4.8%	6.4%	5.5%
Manhattan	MN10	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	2.2%	2.3%	2.7%	1.9%	1.1%	3.9%	4.3%	3.9%
Manhattan	MN11	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	4.7%	3.0%	2.8%	1.9%	1.5%	3.4%	2.7%	2.4%
Manhattan	MN12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.6%	1.7%	2.1%	1.7%	1.6%	3.9%	4.9%	4.5%
Queens	QN01	5.2%	3.6%	4.4%	4.0%	4.4%	4.6%	4.9%	4.7%	3.3%	4.9%	7.2%	5.3%	5.2%	3.3%	4.7%	11.4%	14.4%	14.6%
Queens	QN02	4.0%	2.4%	2.8%	2.9%	3.0%	3.0%	2.5%	2.8%	2.5%	3.4%	5.2%	4.0%	4.3%	3.1%	3.8%	12.1%	14.8%	14.5%
Queens	QN03	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%	1.6%	1.8%	1.8%	1.5%	1.9%	1.7%	2.1%	3.4%	2.6%	2.0%	2.2%	6.4%	9.0%	8.3%	
Queens	QN04	1.4%	1.1%	1.5%	1.3%	1.5%	1.6%	1.5%	1.7%	1.5%	1.9%	2.4%	1.8%	1.6%	1.3%	1.4%	7.9%	10.1%	9.6%
Queens	QN05	2.8%	2.2%	2.9%	3.4%	3.7%	3.3%	3.4%	3.1%	3.0%	4.6%	7.0%	4.6%	3.8%	2.6%	3.8%	16.0%	18.8%	18.1%
Queens	QN06	4.1%	2.7%	3.9%	3.7%	5.3%	5.1%	4.0%	4.9%	4.6%	6.9%	11.7%	7.7%	4.9%	3.8%	5.3%	11.8%	16.5%	15.4%
Queens	QN07	3.0%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	3.1%	2.5%	2.1%	2.0%	2.5%	3.6%	6.4%	3.6%	2.0%	1.6%	2.7%	12.8%	14.8%	11.8%
Queens	QN08	4.7%	4.0%	3.3%	3.9%	5.1%	3.7%	3.9%	4.1%	3.2%	5.1%	9.7%	7.5%	4.5%	3.6%	5.0%	13.5%	16.7%	13.5%
Queens	QN09	6.1%	4.8%	4.7%	4.6%	5.0%	5.2%	4.3%	4.7%	4.1%	6.4%	9.9%	6.5%	4.3%	4.1%	4.8%	14.1%	17.0%	16.2%
Queens	QN10	4.7%	2.7%	1.4%	1.6%	2.2%	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%	1.8%	2.5%	4.2%	2.6%	2.1%	2.1%	1.6%	14.0%	16.8%	14.0%
Queens	QN11	6.7%	5.1%	5.4%	5.2%	6.3%	5.4%	4.7%	5.0%	4.5%	7.8%	15.1%	9.0%	5.3%	3.9%	6.1%	23.3%	28.4%	26.3%
Queens	QN12	4.6%	3.7%	4.0%	5.5%	9.3%	8.4%	6.8%	8.1%	6.6%	8.9%	14.2%	8.4%	4.4%	3.2%	5.5%	10.7%	14.9%	14.1%
Queens	QN13	4.2%	3.9%	2.4%	3.0%	3.3%	3.2%	3.3%	2.6%	2.3%	3.6%	6.4%	3.7%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	14.7%	15.6%	13.6%
Queens	QN14	5.1%	3.1%	3.7%	3.3%	4.9%	4.4%	3.8%	4.6%	4.0%	4.4%	5.2%	3.4%	3.5%	2.7%	3.3%	7.5%	9.9%	8.8%
Staten Island	SI01	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	19.0%	12.2%	7.9%	5.3%	7.6%	18.2%	20.3%	17.1%
Staten Island	SI02	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	18.7%	13.3%	8.6%	6.7%	9.1%	21.3%	23.8%	21.5%
Staten Island	SI03	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.9%	17.8%	11.3%	7.2%	6.2%	10.1%	22.8%	24.6%	20.6%

all data is from public sources and can be verified independently.

Data Appendices

Residential Curbside Organics Capture Rate by Calendar Year Quarter



Data Appendices

Residential Curbside Organics Average Monthly Lbs per Household Properly Separated, by Calendar Year Quarter

BOROUGH	Com Dist	Average of org/lbh		Year		CYQuarter													
		2021	2021	2021	2021	2022	2022	2022	2022	2023	2023	2023	2023	2024	2024	2024	2024	2025	2025
		1-winter	2-Spring	3-Summer	4-Fall	1-winter	2-Spring	3-Summer	4-Fall	1-winter	2-Spring	3-Summer	4-Fall	1-winter	2-Spring	3-Summer	4-Fall	1-winter	2-Spring
Bronx	BX01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.98	0.41
Bronx	BX02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.27	0.36
Bronx	BX03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.54	0.26
Bronx	BX04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.30	0.25
Bronx	BX05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.41	0.35
Bronx	BX06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.35	0.26
Bronx	BX07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.31	0.21
Bronx	BX08	-	-	-	-	0.25	0.88	1.62	1.53	2.10	1.52	1.99	1.88	2.39	1.48	2.09	1.79	3.54	2.59
Bronx	BX09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.69	0.72
Bronx	BX10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.07	1.53
Bronx	BX11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.45	1.59
Bronx	BX12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.62	2.06
Brooklyn	BK01	-	-	-	-	0.11	0.47	0.56	0.51	0.56	0.52	0.59	0.57	1.22	1.07	0.97	0.83	1.33	1.16
Brooklyn	BK02	-	-	-	-	0.31	1.13	1.65	1.43	2.08	1.67	1.99	1.78	3.22	2.84	2.75	2.29	3.65	3.11
Brooklyn	BK03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.49	2.11	2.18	1.91	4.04	2.45
Brooklyn	BK04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.37	1.56	2.13	1.91	2.44	2.38
Brooklyn	BK05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.01	0.58	0.67	0.60	1.32	0.84
Brooklyn	BK06	-	-	-	-	2.63	2.87	3.85	2.99	4.33	3.46	4.24	3.56	4.16	3.18	3.83	2.84	4.07	2.93
Brooklyn	BK07	-	-	-	-	0.46	1.49	2.31	1.98	2.44	2.06	2.74	2.50	3.39	2.73	2.81	2.04	3.11	2.57
Brooklyn	BK08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.02	1.84	1.77	1.73	2.45	2.02
Brooklyn	BK09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.99	1.39	1.72	1.56	2.53	1.56
Brooklyn	BK10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.27	1.24	1.45	1.28	2.30	1.45
Brooklyn	BK11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.05	0.65	0.67	0.60	1.59	1.00
Brooklyn	BK12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.61	0.92	0.94	0.85	2.01	1.00
Brooklyn	BK13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.90	0.44	0.40	0.47	1.22	0.53
Brooklyn	BK14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.93	1.36	1.59	1.58	3.31	1.77
Brooklyn	BK15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.58	1.15	1.22	1.07	2.95	1.28
Brooklyn	BK16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.69	0.67	0.63	0.73	1.93	0.71
Brooklyn	BK17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.57	1.53	1.92	1.82	3.42	1.66
Brooklyn	BK18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.78	1.97	2.46	2.30	4.51	1.99
Manhattan	MN01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.34	0.73
Manhattan	MN02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.44	1.04
Manhattan	MN03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.64	0.51
Manhattan	MN04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.70	1.24
Manhattan	MN05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.27	0.56
Manhattan	MN06	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.14	0.21	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.22	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.44	0.67
Manhattan	MN07	-	-	-	-	0.08	0.49	0.66	0.65	0.88	0.83	0.93	0.87	1.02	0.90	0.99	0.86	1.65	2.19
Manhattan	MN08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.36	0.88
Manhattan	MN09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.84	0.98
Manhattan	MN10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.57	0.58
Manhattan	MN11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.93	0.61
Manhattan	MN12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.65	0.80
Queens	QN01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.12	0.10	1.79	1.88	2.11	1.58	1.69	1.68	2.24	1.57
Queens	QN02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.36	0.05	1.18	1.07	1.44	1.19	1.24	1.07	1.72	1.42
Queens	QN03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.54	0.05	1.12	1.12	1.32	1.05	1.23	1.22	1.89	1.41
Queens	QN04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.23	0.06	0.84	0.86	1.01	0.76	0.96	0.99	1.26	0.82
Queens	QN05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.04	0.15	2.73	2.60	3.22	1.50	2.24	1.98	3.39	1.88
Queens	QN06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.93	0.09	1.89	1.78	3.53	1.46	2.11	2.02	3.99	1.86
Queens	QN07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.80	0.16	2.49	2.20	2.98	1.49	1.71	1.42	2.77	1.12
Queens	QN08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.07	0.16	2.51	2.01	3.20	2.16	2.60	2.28	4.54	2.30
Queens	QN09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.26	0.22	5.21	5.33	6.36	3.47	3.78	3.37	5.64	2.83
Queens	QN10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.76	0.10	2.92	2.64	3.38	2.05	1.56	1.46	2.33	1.25
Queens	QN11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.18	0.36	5.40	4.24	7.26	2.98	3.54	2.89	6.66	2.53
Queens	QN12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.00	0.16	6.15	5.93	7.88	2.74	6.49	6.01	8.11	2.85
Queens	QN13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.98	0.21	4.97	4.27	4.07	2.17	2.47	2.18	3.32	1.77
Queens	QN14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.78	0.11	2.97	2.95	2.45	2.10	2.61	2.54	2.43	1.60
Staten Island	SI01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.57	4.15	
Staten Island	SI02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.53	5.31	
Staten Island	SI03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.96	5.68	
																		21.51	

Data Appendices

Residential Curbside Organics Average Monthly Lbs per Household **Trashed Organics in Refuse**, by Calendar Year Quarter

Average of compostable ref/Year CYQuarter		2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2022	2022	2022	2022	2023	2023	2023	2023	2024	2024	2024	2024	2025	2025
BOROUGH	Com Dist	1-winter	2-Spring	3-Summer	4-Fall	1-winter	2-Spring													
Bronx	BX01	44.16	47.53	49.36	48.93	44.39	44.05	44.58	45.03	42.85	46.00	45.76	48.63	47.08	49.15	49.89	51.13	47.77	51.01	
Bronx	BX02	60.60	64.54	66.65	64.53	57.08	58.38	59.29	59.61	55.47	58.63	59.47	60.87	59.07	61.29	62.11	63.10	58.18	61.61	
Bronx	BX03	48.73	52.35	54.44	52.90	47.55	47.50	47.86	47.58	45.61	48.32	49.52	50.21	48.56	50.69	51.70	51.47	48.51	53.30	
Bronx	BX04	55.44	57.62	58.56	57.60	51.08	51.98	52.92	54.50	50.87	52.66	54.17	55.35	53.27	55.51	56.48	56.56	53.06	57.09	
Bronx	BX05	56.62	60.00	60.13	58.71	52.23	52.99	53.72	54.70	51.19	53.51	55.02	55.82	53.87	56.08	57.18	57.08	53.10	57.16	
Bronx	BX06	61.23	65.69	68.06	66.54	59.95	60.69	60.60	61.64	57.77	60.06	62.06	62.85	60.82	63.82	65.62	64.91	61.20	64.45	
Bronx	BX07	53.18	55.70	56.20	55.54	50.03	51.46	51.48	51.83	48.53	50.92	52.44	53.54	50.99	54.00	55.10	55.47	51.95	55.14	
Bronx	BX08	45.62	49.89	49.74	49.18	42.61	44.39	44.14	45.77	42.23	45.24	46.24	47.34	44.02	46.12	46.33	46.87	42.40	44.75	
Bronx	BX09	45.71	51.26	52.79	50.41	43.64	48.44	47.72	47.27	43.10	47.22	47.64	47.46	44.49	48.77	49.33	47.59	43.36	47.07	
Bronx	BX10	40.68	48.51	47.69	47.70	39.67	46.90	45.63	44.26	38.57	45.20	45.92	44.71	39.89	46.58	45.81	43.22	38.55	42.06	
Bronx	BX11	55.95	64.80	67.33	63.41	53.57	59.88	60.00	58.03	51.58	59.05	60.70	61.50	55.62	63.03	62.95	59.97	54.29	59.17	
Bronx	BX12	53.69	64.52	68.24	61.55	51.10	58.99	58.82	55.40	49.64	57.59	59.48	58.24	52.80	60.98	61.99	55.87	50.06	54.49	
Brooklyn	BK01	56.14	59.05	55.86	60.94	56.92	58.39	50.70	59.59	56.87	56.46	51.44	58.86	56.71	59.61	50.01	58.97	56.27	58.86	
Brooklyn	BK02	32.92	36.79	36.98	36.92	33.68	36.93	36.04	37.57	35.47	37.37	37.23	38.79	36.18	39.16	38.26	39.30	36.65	39.34	
Brooklyn	BK03	48.21	53.57	52.92	53.21	47.77	51.26	48.44	50.68	46.98	48.87	48.04	49.46	46.94	52.03	47.94	50.14	47.50	50.89	
Brooklyn	BK04	58.90	67.41	71.13	66.38	57.77	62.14	62.85	60.57	56.10	60.63	62.74	59.50	55.92	61.41	62.88	59.98	54.63	60.99	
Brooklyn	BK05	50.81	58.69	60.28	56.95	49.18	53.78	52.03	52.02	47.16	52.47	54.39	51.71	48.38	54.55	54.96	52.30	47.46	51.95	
Brooklyn	BK06	33.40	37.10	37.48	35.83	31.89	34.97	32.35	34.14	31.37	33.18	32.18	35.01	31.93	34.30	32.97	33.92	31.26	33.87	
Brooklyn	BK07	63.83	70.21	72.42	67.85	60.63	65.76	63.33	63.27	57.85	61.49	63.21	62.30	57.85	63.21	63.52	61.92	56.62	59.22	
Brooklyn	BK08	44.51	48.47	49.43	47.61	42.52	44.61	44.64	45.17	41.13	42.25	43.20	42.61	38.83	42.23	43.20	41.64	38.28	41.07	
Brooklyn	BK09	58.02	63.16	64.29	63.91	55.81	58.14	57.48	60.86	55.20	57.39	58.81	59.73	54.58	58.17	57.79	58.80	53.58	56.68	
Brooklyn	BK10	46.70	52.03	53.18	51.71	45.32	49.97	49.32	49.77	45.59	50.00	50.39	49.14	45.65	50.14	50.42	49.01	43.61	45.63	
Brooklyn	BK11	62.25	69.58	70.29	67.92	61.48	67.46	65.10	65.40	60.11	65.19	67.60	66.48	62.36	67.53	66.20	64.42	58.93	57.66	
Brooklyn	BK12	87.87	93.85	85.55	95.85	90.80	93.44	77.38	95.09	91.07	92.20	80.77	95.61	93.05	99.74	79.69	96.98	93.06	96.10	
Brooklyn	BK13	35.58	39.21	40.06	37.57	33.97	36.21	35.95	35.34	33.00	35.40	36.78	35.37	33.67	37.50	38.60	36.53	33.24	36.80	
Brooklyn	BK14	62.03	68.89	68.89	65.80	61.24	65.50	62.36	64.97	59.97	63.20	64.13	64.92	60.93	65.94	63.68	65.37	59.51	63.30	
Brooklyn	BK15	59.45	67.02	65.14	66.30	58.22	63.56	59.05	63.32	57.19	61.09	60.35	62.07	57.66	63.42	59.38	62.07	55.99	57.58	
Brooklyn	BK16	49.85	55.75	57.40	53.10	47.56	49.86	50.36	50.87	45.81	50.07	51.96	49.55	46.12	51.38	52.05	50.45	46.12	49.46	
Brooklyn	BK17	53.84	63.84	67.01	61.11	51.17	57.22	57.89	55.82	50.25	55.89	58.57	55.80	50.68	57.42	59.24	55.88	50.05	55.70	
Brooklyn	BK18	59.52	72.95	75.27	68.36	56.32	66.76	64.92	62.75	54.99	64.60	67.15	61.43	55.12	66.07	66.15	62.22	54.11	61.38	
Manhattan	MN01	23.09	25.26	25.34	27.68	25.74	26.62	25.46	26.70	24.76	26.02	25.30	26.26	25.26	26.72	25.90	27.37	25.19	27.35	
Manhattan	MN02	23.58	25.66	25.33	27.54	24.23	26.00	23.48	25.43	23.23	24.70	23.33	24.93	23.35	24.70	23.37	24.73	22.84	23.98	
Manhattan	MN03	25.59	27.36	28.59	27.90	25.67	27.17	27.16	26.51	24.94	25.30	25.37	24.86	24.13	25.53	25.92	24.66	23.10	25.77	
Manhattan	MN04	20.81	22.39	23.43	23.92	22.43	23.25	22.57	22.57	21.28	22.87	22.80	22.77	21.95	23.26	23.06	23.18	21.86	23.71	
Manhattan	MN05	23.67	25.70	26.88	28.01	25.94	27.15	25.95	26.56	24.55	26.33	25.66	26.48	25.28	27.34	26.65	26.75	25.09	27.99	
Manhattan	MN06	20.02	21.69	22.43	23.08	21.52	22.57	22.00	22.42	21.00	22.25	21.96	21.89	21.27	22.28	21.87	22.01	20.75	21.85	
Manhattan	MN07	24.74	26.59	26.07	27.75	25.30	26.65	24.92	26.52	24.52	25.85	24.91	26.44	24.74	26.18	25.14	26.07	23.72	24.87	
Manhattan	MN08	24.37	26.49	26.07	28.43	26.06	27.41	24.99	27.64	25.46	25.62	25.26	25.26	27.00	25.37	26.80	25.03	27.20	25.11	
Manhattan	MN09	37.32	39.74	40.93	40.69	36.82	39.27	38.31	39.36	36.26	37.64	37.26	38.22	36.11	39.07	38.94	38.83	35.74	38.29	
Manhattan	MN10	32.37	34.35	34.99	34.05	31.17	32.52	32.07	32.80	30.24	31.07	31.37	31.80	30.36	31.63	32.13	32.48	30.09	32.06	
Manhattan	MN11	28.31	30.69	31.75	31.55	29.32	31.57	30.28	32.12	30.19	31.25	30.49	30.76	30.02	31.99	31.59	30.98	28.73	31.14	
Manhattan	MN12	47.86	50.26	51.38	50.71	45.07	47.28	46.63	47.71	44.20	45.70	46.46	47.29	45.12	47.45	47.44	47.95	44.23	46.86	
Queens	QN01	35.23	38.48	40.13	38.40	34.15	37.37	36.85	36.55	35.26	36.75	37.53	37.20	33.96	37.15	37.11	36.52	33.45	35.08	
Queens	QN02	37.58	41.75	43.09	42.57	37.86	40.88	40.37	39.52	36.32	38.56	40.04	39.10	37.56	40.46	40.63	39.30	36.00	38.38	
Queens	QN03	67.57	76.47	77.70	75.67	63.27	69.25	69.10	67.47	61.30	68.73	70.72	68.83	62.50	69.83	69.99	67.87	60.68	65.99	
Queens	QN04	58.60	64.64	66.27	65.66	56.69	60.58	61.02	60.24	55.66	59.82	61.98	60.51	57.27	62.23	63.18	61.33	55.60	58.93	
Queens	QN05	59.04	68.27	69.55	68.09	56.89	64.09	62.27	60.85	55.22	59.93	60.07	60.13	55.37	62.26	61.17	59.69	52.49	54.04	
Queens	QN06	41.37	45.99	46.68	44.82	39.27	43.76	42.88	41.57	39.12	41.41	42.55	41.57	38.91	42.43	42.97	41.58	37.75	39.43	
Queens	QN07	54.60	64.14	67.09	63.38	53.22	61.05	61.29	57.63	51.56	57.19	60.17	58.65	53.20	60.98	62.43	58.32	52.00	55.78	
Queens	QN08	52.44	61.76	64.30	61.03	51.28	60.09	58.02	55.64	50.75	56.10	57.90	56.67	51.60	58.52	58.54	56.66	49.78	54.45	
Queens	QN09	67.45	79.67	80.45	75.65	64.68	75.30	72.35	67.52	61.95	69.22	71.05	68.60	63.12	72.77	73.24	68.55	61.19	66.47	
Queens	QN10	70.97	90.40	91.42	84.64	69.43	84.84	82.50	75.62	67.19	79.43	79.51	75.67	66.69	80.70	80.27	74.			

Monthly Residential Curbside RECYCLING Capture Rate

Reflecting Proper Separation of Paper/Cardboard, and Metal/Glass/Plastics/Cartons

CDLION	Average of All Items																	
	2024-01	2024-02	2024-03	2024-04	2024-05	2024-06	2024-07	2024-08	2024-09	2024-10	2024-11	2024-12	2025-01	2025-02	2025-03	2025-04	2025-05	2025-06
101	69.3%	73.4%	74.6%	67.6%	72.2%	69.5%	65.7%	72.3%	72.3%	68.4%	70.8%	78.5%	77.9%	73.2%	72.2%	68.1%	73.8%	70.5%
102	68.3%	65.4%	62.4%	64.1%	62.9%	64.1%	64.7%	62.2%	65.8%	67.3%	62.9%	71.3%	69.5%	67.0%	65.7%	70.0%	65.8%	66.3%
103	57.5%	60.3%	57.5%	52.0%	59.5%	58.3%	54.1%	60.4%	56.6%	59.8%	55.7%	58.4%	64.2%	58.9%	56.5%	57.9%	59.8%	52.3%
104	57.8%	62.5%	62.5%	56.3%	62.6%	58.2%	55.8%	63.7%	58.0%	59.5%	58.9%	63.2%	65.8%	61.0%	59.1%	59.1%	64.6%	56.9%
105	63.0%	59.7%	57.7%	58.5%	59.6%	56.0%	59.3%	58.9%	58.2%	64.5%	59.0%	66.5%	65.1%	60.9%	56.3%	63.8%	58.4%	54.4%
106	54.6%	60.2%	59.0%	53.0%	58.9%	56.1%	53.4%	61.0%	56.3%	57.6%	57.1%	63.6%	62.7%	58.4%	56.3%	55.8%	62.6%	55.9%
107	65.6%	66.7%	67.6%	64.2%	64.7%	64.2%	61.9%	66.6%	65.0%	64.8%	66.1%	72.4%	69.5%	68.0%	67.4%	67.7%	70.2%	66.7%
108	63.7%	64.9%	66.2%	61.2%	63.9%	63.4%	61.3%	63.8%	62.7%	63.6%	64.4%	69.0%	67.7%	64.7%	63.9%	65.2%	67.2%	63.0%
109	51.8%	54.8%	57.5%	48.0%	54.0%	53.6%	47.7%	56.5%	51.0%	49.2%	52.6%	52.5%	56.9%	52.2%	52.0%	50.5%	60.9%	52.5%
110	51.0%	50.7%	49.7%	48.1%	51.4%	46.5%	47.0%	48.6%	47.1%	50.4%	46.1%	53.0%	55.1%	49.8%	45.9%	51.9%	50.7%	47.3%
111	47.3%	44.2%	42.7%	41.4%	42.7%	42.7%	44.1%	41.5%	41.7%	46.0%	42.8%	44.9%	49.9%	47.2%	42.7%	47.8%	44.3%	41.7%
112	49.6%	51.4%	48.4%	46.4%	49.9%	46.5%	47.2%	48.5%	45.0%	48.7%	43.5%	50.1%	53.8%	47.5%	45.9%	49.9%	50.4%	45.2%
201	33.8%	30.8%	30.9%	34.6%	29.0%	30.3%	33.9%	31.4%	31.3%	29.9%	30.0%	37.1%	30.8%	31.1%	31.4%	34.0%	30.1%	31.3%
202	38.8%	44.6%	43.0%	38.8%	45.0%	39.3%	36.2%	44.5%	37.7%	39.3%	37.2%	43.6%	48.0%	40.2%	38.9%	38.3%	45.4%	38.7%
203	40.6%	34.7%	35.8%	38.5%	37.7%	34.1%	39.2%	33.4%	32.6%	37.5%	32.9%	36.5%	41.1%	35.8%	34.1%	40.8%	33.3%	32.2%
204	40.3%	36.7%	39.0%	38.9%	36.4%	38.3%	39.2%	37.6%	37.4%	36.1%	36.9%	41.3%	37.3%	36.4%	37.2%	38.0%	36.5%	36.1%
205	44.7%	39.8%	43.3%	41.4%	39.6%	42.1%	42.5%	41.1%	40.3%	39.6%	41.8%	44.6%	42.2%	40.0%	41.5%	42.2%	40.4%	41.0%
206	40.5%	36.9%	36.5%	38.0%	37.8%	35.2%	39.6%	33.9%	34.6%	39.1%	34.7%	37.5%	39.8%	36.3%	34.5%	43.3%	35.6%	34.3%
207	54.5%	51.9%	50.9%	49.5%	50.1%	49.4%	49.8%	49.7%	47.7%	49.0%	47.4%	52.8%	53.7%	49.3%	48.6%	50.5%	50.9%	48.9%
208	65.6%	61.4%	60.7%	60.5%	60.1%	58.9%	61.4%	59.3%	59.2%	60.2%	57.3%	66.8%	64.3%	61.0%	59.0%	64.2%	61.7%	60.6%
209	45.9%	45.6%	44.8%	42.5%	43.6%	44.3%	43.1%	44.6%	41.9%	41.9%	41.6%	48.0%	47.6%	45.5%	44.9%	45.8%	49.2%	45.2%
210	66.0%	64.2%	63.6%	57.8%	58.7%	61.4%	57.5%	60.7%	58.1%	58.4%	61.5%	69.6%	66.6%	65.5%	61.8%	64.9%	67.5%	63.7%
211	55.2%	52.6%	53.1%	49.3%	48.7%	50.0%	48.3%	49.6%	47.3%	47.6%	48.8%	55.7%	55.3%	52.3%	49.8%	52.7%	54.5%	50.3%
212	62.7%	59.9%	59.8%	56.6%	55.0%	56.3%	55.8%	56.3%	55.2%	57.1%	57.9%	64.4%	65.1%	61.1%	59.3%	63.2%	64.1%	61.4%
301	51.4%	51.7%	48.1%	46.3%	50.4%	48.0%	55.3%	57.5%	50.4%	49.4%	47.1%	54.7%	55.6%	51.7%	47.9%	49.4%	53.8%	49.6%
302	74.0%	69.9%	69.6%	67.1%	66.8%	67.4%	66.5%	67.3%	68.9%	67.5%	67.6%	74.9%	74.5%	70.2%	68.9%	70.4%	68.0%	
303	50.3%	47.0%	45.8%	43.2%	43.6%	42.6%	46.5%	45.5%	45.4%	47.1%	42.7%	49.2%	49.9%	46.4%	42.5%	45.9%	45.5%	44.1%
304	52.3%	50.9%	50.3%	47.9%	46.9%	48.5%	46.3%	48.8%	47.4%	46.9%	46.8%	52.1%	52.6%	49.9%	49.5%	48.6%	50.0%	49.4%
305	44.0%	40.6%	39.9%	39.8%	39.5%	38.2%	40.9%	39.0%	38.3%	39.7%	39.5%	45.7%	46.4%	43.5%	40.7%	44.4%	42.7%	42.8%
306	84.2%	82.3%	81.8%	78.2%	78.9%	79.5%	77.5%	80.6%	78.7%	79.5%	80.4%	87.4%	85.1%	83.5%	80.4%	81.3%	82.6%	78.2%
307	68.3%	62.9%	62.0%	62.4%	59.7%	60.3%	60.5%	60.5%	61.2%	60.6%	59.8%	68.4%	66.2%	64.2%	62.2%	65.4%	65.0%	63.3%
308	64.3%	57.5%	54.9%	57.5%	53.5%	53.3%	55.9%	52.2%	54.9%	58.1%	54.5%	64.8%	61.6%	58.4%	55.6%	59.5%	55.5%	56.9%
309	49.2%	49.4%	51.9%	47.1%	50.3%	47.3%	46.9%	49.5%	44.7%	49.2%	49.9%	51.5%	55.2%	50.0%	47.9%	50.6%	52.8%	46.3%
310	52.4%	49.6%	47.8%	46.9%	45.7%	46.7%	46.2%	44.9%	43.0%	46.8%	45.9%	54.5%	52.4%	50.4%	49.2%	52.0%	52.5%	49.3%
311	54.0%	53.2%	51.8%	50.1%	50.0%	49.7%	50.4%	50.4%	47.1%	50.5%	50.4%	59.4%	56.5%	55.0%	53.5%	58.9%	61.0%	56.0%
312	42.1%	43.0%	42.5%	40.2%	42.1%	38.0%	42.2%	45.3%	43.1%	39.7%	40.0%	45.6%	42.0%	42.8%	41.5%	42.3%	45.3%	39.1%
313	55.4%	54.5%	53.8%	51.5%	52.2%	48.3%	49.5%	49.2%	48.3%	50.9%	51.4%	58.1%	56.7%	55.4%	50.2%	55.2%	55.0%	49.6%
314	49.4%	46.2%	47.4%	44.1%	44.1%	44.8%	45.3%	43.7%	43.4%	44.4%	46.0%	51.5%	47.8%	48.0%	49.0%	47.6%	48.8%	47.6%
315	63.0%	61.5%	60.1%	57.6%	57.1%	57.6%	59.6%	56.1%	54.0%	56.5%	57.0%	66.8%	62.8%	62.8%	60.4%	64.8%	64.0%	60.9%
316	31.8%	33.7%	34.6%	29.4%	32.0%	31.9%	29.3%	31.4%	28.5%	30.8%	31.1%	31.9%	35.5%	31.6%	31.9%	31.7%	34.2%	32.5%
317	51.0%	47.7%	47.8%	46.1%	44.5%	46.1%	45.9%	44.8%	42.6%	46.6%	46.5%	52.8%	50.9%	48.8%	46.7%	47.6%	48.7%	46.9%
318	64.9%	60.9%	58.7%	54.9%	54.1%	54.1%	53.5%	53.3%	50.7%	54.4%	55.4%	63.7%	63.7%	61.0%	58.8%	60.1%	61.0%	56.6%
401	76.5%	73.0%	72.7%	71.3%	68.0%	68.7%	70.9%	68.6%	70.2%	69.3%	69.2%	79.3%	77.7%	75.2%	71.9%	76.3%	75.3%	73.2%
402	55.1%	52.1%	51.7%	50.5%	49.8%	48.9%	50.4%	48.8%	49.8%	50.7%	50.1%	56.9%	55.2%	53.3%	50.4%	54.9%	52.7%	51.1%
403	50.3%	50.3%	48.9%	45.3%	47.4%	46.0%	45.8%	48.3%	45.8%	46.0%	45.0%	52.2%	53.8%	49.3%	47.4%	48.2%	51.5%	47.7%
404	55.6%	56.0%	57.4%	54.0%	56.3%	54.6%	53.7%	55.9%	53.0%	54.6%	52.4%	58.6%	59.0%	55.6%	53.8%	56.7%	60.0%	56.4%
405	72.5%	70.5%	69.5%	64.6%	65.1%	66.1%	65.0%	66.6%	64.6%	65.2%	65.2%	76.1%	74.4%	71.4%	68.7%	74.0%	76.8%	73.0%
406	63.8%	62.4%	62.0%	58.5%	59.1%	58.5%	56.9%	58.8%	58.5%	58.6%	58.4%	67.2%	65.5%	63.6%	60.5%	63.2%	65.6%	61.5%
407	65.0%	63.8%	63.7%	59.3%	57.4%	59.1%	57.4%	57.7%	56.9%	57.5%	57.9%	67.9%	65.1%	63.2%	62.2%	65.8%	65.9%	61.4%
408	61.9%	61.2%	59.6%	57.2%	56.7%	56.7%	56.0%	56.5%	55.5%	53.4%	54.5%	64.3%	61.8%	60.3%	58.4%	60.7%	63.6%	58.8%
409	68.7%	65.3%	63.6%	61.5%	60.2%	60.9%	61.6%	61.3%	59.6%	59.7%	59.1%	71.1%	66.7%	65.2%	63.5%	67.1%	68.4%	64.3%
410	66.3%	64.2%	62.3%	59.9%	58.4%	59.8%	59.7%	59.1%	58.7%	57.4%	57.6%	69.6%	67.1%	65.5%	61.8%	68.1%	65.6%	
411	81.4%	77.5%	73.1%	70.6%	67.5%	67.5%	69.2%	67.2%	67.5%	67.7%	66.6%	80.8%	80.0%	77.1%	73.1%	83.1%	81.1%	77.7%
412	58.7%	57.8%	57.0%	53.2%	53.5%	53.9%	52.7%	54.0%	51.7%	53.2%	54.2%	61.6%	60.3%	57.5%	56.4%	56.6%	57.8%	55.7%
413	68.8%	67.9%	64.8%	59.7%	58.3%	59.8%	59.8%	60.0%	57.8%	58.9%	57.6%	69.4%	70.0%	67.6%	64.1%	68.9%	66.9%	64.1%
414	43.3%	47.7%	48.5%	41.8%	44.1%	43.4%	42.3%	45.1%	42.4%	42.4%	43.9%	48.7%	48.4%	47.2%	46.9%	46.2%	49.8%	44.2%
501	65.7%	61.6%	60.2%	57.2%	57.0%	58.1%	57.7%	56.2%	53.5%	62.0%	61.1%	70.1%	68.2%	66.0%	63.7%	67.6%	67.6%	63.2%
502	67.5%	64.0%	61.9%	58.5%	58.7%	58.4%	57.8%	56.7%	53.2%	62.8%	62.9%	75.2%	72.3%	69.7%	66.9%	71.9%	72.1%	66.7%
503	66.8%	64.5%	61.6%	58.1%	60.4%	59.8%	58.6%	59.0%	54.3%	64.1%	63.1%	73.0%	72.2%	68.3%	66.3%	74.1%	75.2%	67.9%


note: this capture rate does not include containerized refuse, paper or MGP collections. Each capture rate is higher than a rate factoring in containerized collections, which average citywide to roughly 50%.

Trends are what is important here. Note the consistency of capture rates well above 30%,

School/SMART Bin Curbside Organics Tonnages

limitations on data made available by DSNY prevent capture rate calculations.

Sum of SCHOOLORG BOROUGH							
Year	CYQuarte	Bronx	Brooklyn	Manhattan	Queens	Staten Is	Grand Total
2023	1-winter	1,047.50	1,104.30	432.30	1,592.80	156.60	4,333.50
2023	2-Spring	1,352.70	990.30	518.30	1,491.80	145.90	4,499.00
2023	3-Summe	501.30	419.50	265.50	436.50	49.10	1,671.90
2023	4-Fall	1,806.40	2,438.00	1,022.20	1,612.50	181.40	7,060.50
2024	1-winter	1,661.20	2,335.90	892.50	2,571.40	162.90	7,623.90
2024	2-Spring	1,429.00	2,602.30	877.60	3,063.40	148.90	8,121.20
2024	3-Summe	772.10	1,227.80	607.40	1,591.60	107.20	4,306.10
2024	4-Fall	1,587.40	2,556.30	924.10	3,150.30	183.50	8,401.60
2025	1-winter	1,612.40	2,472.70	851.30	3,075.00	190.10	8,201.50
2025	2-Spring	1,513.60	2,536.40	818.00	2,971.80	202.20	8,042.00



Data Appendices

DSNY Residential Organics Tickets Issued April 2025: ARI7, ARJ1, ARL4, ARL7, ASZ1

data source:		NYC Open Data: OATH Hearings Division Case Status Dataset			NYC DCP: PLUTO v.25.1			Calculations		
		DSNY Residential Organics Tickets Issued			Buildings in District			Tickets Per 1,000 Buildings		
Borough	Community District	1-8 unit buildings	9 plus unit buildings	All buildings	buildings 1-8 units	9 plus units	buildings total	1-8 unit buildings	9 plus unit buildings	All buildings
MANHATTAN	101	3	1	4	445	416	903	6.74	2.40	4.43
MANHATTAN	102	38	34	72	2,208	1,807	4,066	17.21	18.82	17.71
MANHATTAN	103	6	13	19	1,122	2,493	3,634	5.35	5.21	5.23
MANHATTAN	104	7	8	15	950	1,753	2,750	7.37	4.56	5.45
MANHATTAN	105	1	4	5	313	495	939	3.19	8.08	5.32
MANHATTAN	106	16	19	35	931	1,314	2,288	17.19	14.46	15.30
MANHATTAN	107	22	51	73	1,438	2,803	4,255	15.30	18.19	17.16
MANHATTAN	108	58	32	90	1,953	2,996	4,967	29.70	10.68	18.12
MANHATTAN	109	0	17	17	915	1,183	2,103	0.00	14.37	8.08
MANHATTAN	110	3	10	13	2,145	1,950	4,102	1.40	5.13	3.17
MANHATTAN	111	4	15	19	1,169	1,198	2,377	3.42	12.52	7.99
MANHATTAN	112	8	89	97	409	1,771	2,186	19.56	50.25	44.37
BRONX	201	5	11	16	2,092	953	3,053	2.39	11.54	5.24
BRONX	202	9	8	17	1,573	510	2,085	5.72	15.69	8.15
BRONX	203	5	7	12	2,147	791	2,942	2.33	8.85	4.08
BRONX	204	3	29	32	1,498	1,114	2,615	2.00	26.03	12.24
BRONX	205	20	15	35	1,787	1,038	2,827	11.19	14.45	12.38
BRONX	206	13	20	33	2,578	883	3,463	5.04	22.65	9.53
BRONX	207	58	41	99	2,032	1,209	3,246	28.54	33.91	30.50
BRONX	208	79	55	134	3,954	649	4,603	19.98	84.75	29.11
BRONX	209	70	16	86	11,306	1,227	12,535	6.19	13.04	6.86
BRONX	210	200	10	210	16,783	1,921	18,705	11.92	5.21	11.23
BRONX	211	202	40	242	13,651	398	14,054	14.80	100.50	17.22
BRONX	212	86	9	95	22,141	679	22,820	3.88	13.25	4.16
BROOKLYN	301	49	9	58	11,124	1,956	13,095	4.40	4.60	4.43
BROOKLYN	302	5	8	13	6,092	1,179	7,311	0.82	6.79	1.78
BROOKLYN	303	3	1	4	14,647	1,211	15,871	0.20	0.83	0.25
BROOKLYN	304	125	10	135	10,153	504	10,659	12.31	19.84	12.67
BROOKLYN	305	87	7	94	20,482	802	21,285	4.25	8.73	4.42
BROOKLYN	306	119	20	139	11,577	955	12,542	10.28	20.94	11.08
BROOKLYN	307	76	20	96	12,032	568	12,615	6.32	35.21	7.61
BROOKLYN	308	32	35	67	6,499	1,081	7,584	4.92	32.38	8.83
BROOKLYN	309	0	6	6	7,302	730	8,036	0.00	8.22	0.75
BROOKLYN	310	47	10	57	22,372	651	23,028	2.10	15.36	2.48
BROOKLYN	311	0	0	0	27,022	938	27,961	0.00	0.00	0.00
BROOKLYN	312	3	0	3	21,267	746	22,035	0.14	0.00	0.14
BROOKLYN	313	2	1	3	6,129	710	6,843	0.33	1.41	0.44
BROOKLYN	314	17	23	40	14,390	1,103	15,498	1.18	20.85	2.58
BROOKLYN	315	23	10	33	27,407	729	28,142	0.84	13.72	1.17
BROOKLYN	316	75	12	87	6,966	645	7,612	10.77	18.60	11.43
BROOKLYN	317	75	1	76	22,694	793	23,489	3.30	1.26	3.24
BROOKLYN	318	0	0	0	42,141	814	42,958	0.00	0.00	0.00
QUEENS	401	91	15	106	19,325	2,017	21,355	4.71	7.44	4.96
QUEENS	402	100	2	102	8,919	762	9,692	11.21	2.62	10.52
QUEENS	403	41	5	46	17,631	653	18,286	2.33	7.66	2.52
QUEENS	404	171	7	178	13,076	550	13,635	13.08	12.73	13.05
QUEENS	405	70	3	73	38,531	290	38,824	1.82	10.34	1.88
QUEENS	406	5	14	19	13,214	691	13,911	0.38	20.26	1.37
QUEENS	407	176	1	177	42,776	3,048	45,846	4.11	0.33	3.86
QUEENS	408	70	4	74	25,894	3,326	29,221	2.70	1.20	2.53
QUEENS	409	131	2	133	27,390	393	27,785	4.78	5.09	4.79
QUEENS	410	109	0	109	35,184	591	35,777	3.10	0.00	3.05
QUEENS	411	15	0	15	34,105	4,454	38,559	0.44	0.00	0.39
QUEENS	412	287	1	288	55,487	701	56,198	5.17	1.43	5.12
QUEENS	413	41	0	41	65,805	2,905	68,712	0.62	0.00	0.60
QUEENS	414	1	0	1	16,170	3,573	19,745	0.06	0.00	0.05
STATEN ISLAND	501	185	0	185	43,654	2,426	46,083	4.24	0.00	4.01
STATEN ISLAND	502	0	1	1	35,054	2,949	38,010	0.00	0.34	0.03
STATEN ISLAND	503	0	0	0	49,224	1,809	51,034	0.00	0.00	0.00