Stochastic Processes

David Nualart
The University of Kansas
nualart@math.ku.edu

1 Stochastic Processes

1.1 Probability Spaces and Random Variables

In this section we recall the basic vocabulary and results of probability theory. A probability space associated with a random experiment is a triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) where:

- (i) Ω is the set of all possible outcomes of the random experiment, and it is called the *sample space*.
- (ii) \mathcal{F} is a family of subsets of Ω which has the structure of a σ -field:
 - a) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
 - b) If $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then its complement A^c also belongs to \mathcal{F}
 - c) $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{F} \Longrightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$
- (iii) P is a function which associates a number P(A) to each set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with the following properties:
 - a) $0 \le P(A) \le 1$,
 - **b)** $P(\Omega) = 1$
 - c) For any sequence A_1, A_2, \ldots of disjoints sets in \mathcal{F} (that is, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$),

$$\boxed{P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)}$$

The elements of the σ -field \mathcal{F} are called *events* and the mapping P is called a *probability* measure. In this way we have the following interpretation of this model:

$$P(F)$$
="probability that the event F occurs"

The set \emptyset is called the *empty event* and it has probability zero. Indeed, the additivity property (iii,c) implies

$$P(\emptyset) + P(\emptyset) + \dots = P(\emptyset).$$

The set Ω is also called the *certain set* and by property (iii,b) it has probability one. Usually, there will be other events $A \subset \Omega$ such that P(A) = 1. If a statement holds for all ω in a set A with P(A) = 1, then it is customary to say that the statement is true *almost surely*, or that the statement holds for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

The axioms a), b) and c) lead to the following basic rules of the probability calculus:

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) \text{ if } A \cap B = \emptyset$$

 $P(A^c) = 1 - P(A)$
 $A \subset B \Longrightarrow P(A) \le P(B).$

Example 1 Consider the experiment of flipping a coin once.

$$\Omega = \{H, T\}$$
 (the possible outcomes are "Heads" and "Tails")
 $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{P}(\Omega)$ (\mathcal{F} contains all subsets of Ω)

$$P(\{H\}) = P(\{T\}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Example 2 Consider an experiment that consists of counting the number of traffic accidents at a given intersection during a specified time interval.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Omega &=& \{0,1,2,3,\ldots\} \\ \mathcal{F} &=& \mathbb{P}(\Omega) \; (\mathcal{F} \; \text{contains all subsets of} \; \Omega) \\ \\ P(\{k\}) &=& e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \; (\text{Poisson probability with parameter} \; \lambda > 0) \end{array}$$

Given an arbitrary family \mathcal{U} of subsets of Ω , the smallest σ -field containing \mathcal{U} is, by definition,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{U}) = \cap \{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-field}, \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{G}\}.$$

The σ -field $\sigma(\mathcal{U})$ is called the σ -field generated by \mathcal{U} . For instance, the σ -field generated by the open subsets (or rectangles) of \mathbb{R}^n is called the Borel σ -field of \mathbb{R}^n and it will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

Example 3 Consider a finite partition $\mathcal{P} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$ of Ω . The σ -field generated by \mathcal{P} is formed by the unions $A_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{i_k}$ where $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ is an arbitrary subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Thus, the σ -field $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$ has 2^n elements.

Example 4 We pick a real number at random in the interval [0,2]. $\Omega = [0,2]$, \mathcal{F} is the Borel σ -field of [0,2]. The probability of an interval $[a,b] \subset [0,2]$ is

$$P([a,b]) = \frac{b-a}{2}.$$

Example 5 Let an experiment consist of measuring the lifetime of an electric bulb. The sample space Ω is the set $[0,\infty)$ of nonnegative real numbers. \mathcal{F} is the Borel σ -field of $[0,\infty)$. The probability that the lifetime is larger than a fixed value $t \geq 0$ is

$$P([t,\infty)) = e^{-\lambda t}.$$

A random variable is a mapping

$$\Omega \xrightarrow{X} \mathbb{R}$$
$$\omega \to X(\omega)$$

which is \mathcal{F} -measurable, that is, $X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$, for any Borel set B in \mathbb{R} . The random variable X assigns a value $X(\omega)$ to each outcome ω in Ω . The measurability condition means that given two real numbers $a \leq b$, the set of all outcomes ω for which $a \leq X(\omega) \leq b$ is an event. We will denote this event by $\{a \leq X \leq b\}$ for short, instead of $\{\omega \in \Omega : a \leq X(\omega) \leq b\}$.

- A random variable defines a σ -field $\{X^{-1}(B), B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ called the σ -field generated by X.
- A random variable defines a probability measure on the Borel σ -field $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by $P_X = P \circ X^{-1}$, that is,

$$P_X(B) = P(X^{-1}(B)) = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\}).$$

The probability measure P_X is called the *law* or the *distribution* of X.

We will say that a random variable X has a probability density f_X if $f_X(x)$ is a nonnegative function on \mathbb{R} , measurable with respect to the Borel σ -field and such that

$$P\left\{a < X < b\right\} = \int_{a}^{b} f_X(x) dx,$$

for all a < b. Notice that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_X(x) dx = 1$. Random variables admitting a probability density are called *absolutely continuous*.

We say that a random variable X is *discrete* if it takes a finite or countable number of different values x_k . Discrete random variables do not have densities and their law is characterized by the *probability function*:

$$p_k = P(X = x_k).$$

Example 6 In the experiment of flipping a coin once, the random variable given by

$$X(H) = 1, X(T) = -1$$

represents the earning of a player who receives or loses an euro according as the outcome is heads or tails. This random variable is discrete with

$$P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Example 7 If A is an event in a probability space, the random variable

$$\mathbf{1}_{A}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \notin A \end{cases}$$

is called the indicator function of A. Its probability law is called the *Bernoulli* distribution with parameter p = P(A).

Example 8 We say that a random variable X has the normal law $N(m, \sigma^2)$ if

$$P(a < X < b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_a^b e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx$$

for all a < b.

Example 9 We say that a random variable X has the binomial law B(n,p) if

$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k},$$

for k = 0, 1, ..., n.

The function $F_X : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ defined by

$$F_X(x) = P(X \le x) = P_X((-\infty, x])$$

is called the distribution function of the random variable X.

• The distribution function F_X is non-decreasing, right continuous and with

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} F_X(x) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} F_X(x) = 1.$$

• If the random variable X is absolutely continuous with density f_X , then,

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(y) dy,$$

and if, in addition, the density is continuous, then $F'_X(x) = f_X(x)$.

The mathematical expectation (or expected value) of a random variable X is defined as the integral of X with respect to the probability measure P:

$$E(X) = \int_{\Omega} X dP.$$

In particular, if X is a discrete variable that takes the values $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots$ on the sets A_1, A_2, \ldots , then its expectation will be

$$E(X) = \alpha_1 P(A_1) + \alpha_1 P(A_1) + \cdots$$

Notice that $E(\mathbf{1}_A) = P(A)$, so the notion of expectation is an extension of the notion of probability. If X is a non-negative random variable it is possible to find discrete random variables X_n , $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that

$$X_1(\omega) \le X_2(\omega) \le \cdots$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n(\omega) = X(\omega)$$

for all ω . Then $E(X) = \lim_{n\to\infty} E(X_n) \le +\infty$, and this limit exists because the sequence $E(X_n)$ is non-decreasing. If X is an arbitrary random variable, its expectation is defined by

$$E(X) = E(X^{+}) - E(X^{-}),$$

where $X^+ = \max(X, 0)$, $X^- = -\min(X, 0)$, provided that both $E(X^+)$ and $E(X^-)$ are finite. Note that this is equivalent to say that $E(|X|) < \infty$, and in this case we will say that X is integrable.

A simple computational formula for the expectation of a non-negative random variable is as follows:

$$E(X) = \int_0^\infty P(X > t)dt.$$

In fact,

$$E(X) = \int_{\Omega} X dP = \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{X > t\}} dt \right) dP$$
$$= \int_{0}^{+\infty} P(X > t) dt.$$

The expectation of a random variable X can be computed by integrating the function x with respect to the probability law of X:

$$E(X) = \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) dP(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x dP_X(x).$$

More generally, if $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel measurable function and $E(|g(X)|) < \infty$, then the expectation of g(X) can be computed by integrating the function g with respect to the probability law of X:

$$E(g(X)) = \int_{\Omega} g(X(\omega))dP(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dP_X(x).$$

The integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dP_X(x)$ can be expressed in terms of the probability density or the probability function of X:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dP_X(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f_X(x)dx, & f_X(x) \text{ is the density of } X \\ \sum_k g(x_k)P(X = x_k), & X \text{ is discrete} \end{cases}$$

Example 10 If X is a random variable with normal law $N(0, \sigma^2)$ and λ is a real number,

$$E(\exp(\lambda X)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda x} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{\sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\sigma^2 \lambda)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx$$
$$= e^{\frac{\sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2}}.$$

Example 11 If X is a random variable with Poisson distribution of parameter $\lambda > 0$, then

$$E(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^n}{n!} = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda.$$

The variance of a random variable X is defined by

$$\sigma_X^2 = \text{Var}(X) = E((X - E(X))^2) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2$$

provided $E(X^2) < \infty$. The variance of X measures the deviation of X from its expected value. For instance, if X is a random variable with normal law $N(m, \sigma^2)$ we have

$$P(m-1.96\sigma \le X \le m+1.96\sigma) = P(-1.96 \le \frac{X-m}{\sigma} \le 1.96)$$

= $\Phi(1.96) - \Phi(-1.96) = 0.95$,

where Φ is the distribution function of the law N(0,1). That is, the probability that the random variable X takes values in the interval $[m-1.96\sigma, m+1.96\sigma]$ is equal to 0.95.

If X and Y are two random variables with $E(X^2) < \infty$ and $E(Y^2) < \infty$, then its covariance is defined by

$$cov(X,Y) = E[(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))]$$

= $E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)$.

A random variable X is said to have a finite moment of order $p \ge 1$, provided $E(|X|^p) < \infty$. In this case, the pth moment of X is defined by

$$m_p = E(X^p).$$

The set of random variables with finite pth moment is denoted by $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

The *characteristic function* of a random variable X is defined by

$$\varphi_X(t) = E(e^{itX}).$$

The moments of a random variable can be computed from the derivatives of the characteristic function at the origin:

$$m_n = \frac{1}{i^n} \varphi_X^{(n)}(t)|_{t=0},$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

We say that $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ is an *n*-dimensional random vector if its components are random variables. This is equivalent to say that X is a random variable with values in \mathbb{R}^n .

The mathematical expectation of an n-dimensional random vector X is, by definition, the vector

$$E(X) = (E(X_1), \dots, E(X_n))$$

The covariance matrix of an n-dimensional random vector X is, by definition, the matrix $\Gamma_X = (\text{cov}(X_i, X_j))_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$. This matrix is clearly symmetric. Moreover, it is non-negative definite, that means,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \Gamma_X(i,j) a_i a_j \ge 0$$

for all real numbers a_1, \ldots, a_n . Indeed,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \Gamma_X(i,j) a_i a_j = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i a_j \text{cov}(X_i, X_j) = \text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i) \ge 0$$

As in the case of real-valued random variables we introduce the law or distribution of an n-dimensional random vector X as the probability measure defined on the Borel σ -field of \mathbb{R}^n by

$$P_X(B) = P(X^{-1}(B)) = P(X \in B).$$

We will say that a random vector X has a probability density f_X if $f_X(x)$ is a nonnegative function on \mathbb{R}^n , measurable with respect to the Borel σ -field and such that

$$P\{a_i < X_i < b_i, i = 1, \dots, n\} = \int_{a_n}^{b_n} \cdots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f_X(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n,$$

for all $a_i < b_i$. Notice that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_X(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = 1.$$

We say that an *n*-dimensional random vector X has a multidimensional normal law $N(m, \Gamma)$, where $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and Γ is a symmetric positive definite matrix, if X has the density function

$$f_X(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = (2\pi \det \Gamma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^n (x_i - m_i)(x_j - m_j)\Gamma_{ij}^{-1}}$$

In that case, we have, m = E(X) and $\Gamma = \Gamma_X$.

If the matrix Γ is diagonal

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sigma_n^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the density of X is the product of n one-dimensional normal densities:

$$f_X(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-m_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}} \right).$$

There exists degenerate normal distributions which have a singular covariance matrix Γ . These distributions do not have densities and the law of a random variable X with a (possibly degenerated) normal law $N(m,\Gamma)$ is determined by its characteristic function:

$$E\left(e^{it'X}\right) = \exp\left(it'm - \frac{1}{2}t'\Gamma t\right),$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In this formula t' denotes a row vector $(1 \times n \text{ matrix})$ and t denoted a column vector $(n \times 1 \text{ matrix})$.

If X is an n-dimensional normal vector with law $N(m,\Gamma)$ and A is a matrix of order $m \times n$, then AX is an m-dimensional normal vector with law $N(Am, A\Gamma A')$.

We recall some basic inequalities of probability theory:

• Chebyshev's inequality: If $\lambda > 0$

$$P(|X| > \lambda) \le \frac{1}{\lambda^p} E(|X|^p).$$

• Schwartz's inequality:

$$E(XY) \le \sqrt{E(X^2)E(Y^2)}.$$

Hölder's inequality:

$$E(XY) \le [E(|X|^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}} [E(|Y|^q)]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where p, q > 1 and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

• Jensen's inequality: If $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function such that the random variables X and $\varphi(X)$ have finite expectation, then,

$$\varphi(E(X)) \le E(\varphi(X)).$$

In particular, for $\varphi(x) = |x|^p$, with $p \ge 1$, we obtain

$$|E(X)|^p \le E(|X|^p).$$

We recall the different types of convergence for a sequence of random variables X_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$:

Almost sure convergence: $X_n \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\longrightarrow} X$, if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n(\omega) = X(\omega),$$

for all $\omega \notin N$, where P(N) = 0.

Convergence in probability: $X_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} X$, if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = 0,$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Convergence in mean of order $p \ge 1$: $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$, if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E(|X_n - X|^p) = 0.$$

Convergence in law: $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} X$, if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x),$$

for any point x where the distribution function F_X is continuous.

 \bullet The convergence in mean of order p implies the convergence in probability. Indeed, applying Chebyshev's inequality yields

$$P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} E(|X_n - X|^p).$$

- The almost sure convergence implies the convergence in probability. Conversely, the convergence in probability implies the existence of a subsequence which converges almost surely.
- The almost sure convergence implies the convergence in mean of order $p \geq 1$, if the random variables X_n are bounded in absolute value by a fixed nonnegative random variable Y possessing pth finite moment (dominated convergence theorem):

$$|X_n| \le Y, \ E(Y^p) < \infty.$$

• The convergence in probability implies the convergence law, the reciprocal being also true when the limit is constant.

The independence is a basic notion in probability theory. Two events $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ are said independent provided

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B).$$

Given an arbitrary collection of events $\{A_i, i \in I\}$, we say that the events of the collection are independent provided

$$P(A_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap A_{i_k}) = P(A_{i_1}) \cdots P(A_{i_k})$$

for every finite subset of indexes $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \subset I$.

A collection of classes of events $\{G_i, i \in I\}$ is independent if any collection of events $\{A_i, i \in I\}$ such that $A_i \in \mathcal{G}_i$ for all $i \in I$, is independent.

A collection of random variables $\{X_i, i \in I\}$ is independent if the collection of σ -fields $\{X_i^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}), i \in I\}$ is independent. This means that

$$P(X_{i_1} \in B_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k} \in B_{i_k}) = P(X_{i_1} \in B_{i_1}) \cdots P(X_{i_k} \in B_{i_k}),$$

for every finite set of indexes $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \subset I$, and for all Borel sets B_i .

Suppose that X, Y are two independent random variables with finite expectation. Then the product XY has also finite expectation and

$$E(XY) = E(X)E(Y).$$

More generally, if X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent random variables,

$$E\left[g_1(X_1)\cdots g_n(X_n)\right] = E\left[g_1(X_1)\right]\cdots E\left[g_n(X_n)\right],$$

where g_i are measurable functions such that $E[|g_i(X_i)|] < \infty$.

The components of a random vector are independent if and only if the density or the probability function of the random vector is equal to the product of the marginal densities, or probability functions.

The conditional probability of an event A given another event B such that P(B) > 0 is defined by

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

We see that A and B are independent if and only if P(A|B) = P(A). The conditional probability P(A|B) represents the probability of the event A modified by the additional information that the event B has occurred occurred. The mapping

$$A \longmapsto P(A|B)$$

defines a new probability on the σ -field \mathcal{F} concentrated on the set B. The mathematical expectation of an integrable random variable X with respect to this new probability will be the conditional expectation of X given B and it can be computed as follows:

$$E(X|B) = \frac{1}{P(B)}E(X\mathbf{1}_B).$$

The following are two two main limit theorems in probability theory.

Theorem 1 (Law of Large Numbers) Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables, such that $E(|X_1|) < \infty$. Then,

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} m,$$

where $m = E(X_1)$.

Theorem 2 (Central Limit Theorem) Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables, such that $E(X_1^2) < \infty$. Set $m = E(X_1)$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1)$. Then,

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - nm}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\to} N(0, 1).$$

1.2 Stochastic Processes: Definitions and Examples

A stochastic process with state space S is a collection of random variables $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ defined on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . The set T is called its parameter set. If $T = \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, the process is said to be a discrete parameter process. If T is not countable, the process is said to have a continuous parameter. In the latter case the usual examples are $T = \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ and $T = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. The index t represents time, and then one thinks of X_t as the "state" or the "position" of the process at time t. The state space is \mathbb{R} in most usual examples, and then the process is said real-valued. There will be also examples where S is \mathbb{N} , the set of all integers, or a finite set.

For every fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the mapping

$$t \longrightarrow X_t(\omega)$$

defined on the parameter set T, is called a realization, trajectory, sample path or sample function of the process.

Let $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ be a real-valued stochastic process and $\{t_1 < \dots < t_n\} \subset T$, then the probability distribution $P_{t_1,\dots,t_n} = P \circ (X_{t_1},\dots,X_{t_n})^{-1}$ of the random vector

$$(X_{t_1},\ldots,X_{t_n}):\Omega\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^n.$$

is called a finite-dimensional marginal distribution of the process $\{X_t, t \in T\}$.

The following theorem, due to Kolmogorov, establishes the existence of a stochastic process associated with a given family of finite-dimensional distributions satisfying the *consistence condition*:

Theorem 3 Consider a family of probability measures

$$\{P_{t_1, \dots, t_n}, t_1 < \dots < t_n, n > 1, t_i \in T\}$$

such that:

- 1. $P_{t_1,...,t_n}$ is a probability on \mathbb{R}^n
- 2. (Consistence condition): If $\{t_{k_1} < \cdots < t_{k_m}\} \subset \{t_1 < \cdots < t_n\}$, then $P_{t_{k_1},\dots,t_{k_m}}$ is the marginal of P_{t_1,\dots,t_n} , corresponding to the indexes k_1,\dots,k_m .

Then, there exists a real-valued stochastic process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ defined in some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) which has the family $\{P_{t_1,\dots,t_n}\}$ as finite-dimensional marginal distributions.

A real-valued process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is called a second order process provided $E(X_t^2) < \infty$ for all $t \in T$. The mean and the covariance function of a second order process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ are defined by

$$m_X(t) = E(X_t)$$

$$\Gamma_X(s,t) = \text{Cov}(X_s, X_t)$$

$$= E((X_s - m_X(s))(X_t - m_X(t)).$$

The variance of the process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is defined by

$$\sigma_X^2(t) = \Gamma_X(t,t) = \text{Var}(X_t).$$

Example 12 Let X and Y be independent random variables. Consider the stochastic process with parameter $t \in [0, \infty)$

$$X_t = tX + Y.$$

The sample paths of this process are lines with random coefficients. The finite-dimensional marginal distributions are given by

$$P(X_{t_1} \le x_1, \dots, X_{t_n} \le x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_X \left(\min_{1 \le i \le n} \frac{x_i - y}{t_i} \right) P_Y(dy).$$

Example 13 Consider the stochastic process

$$X_t = A\cos(\varphi + \lambda t),$$

where A and φ are independent random variables such that $E(A)=0, E(A^2)<\infty$ and φ is uniformly distributed on $[0,2\pi]$. This is a second order process with

$$m_X(t) = 0$$

 $\Gamma_X(s,t) = \frac{1}{2}E(A^2)\cos\lambda(t-s).$

Example 14 Arrival process: Consider the process of arrivals of customers at a store, and suppose the experiment is set up to measure the interarrival times. Suppose that the interarrival times are positive random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots Then, for each $t \in [0, \infty)$, we put $N_t = k$ if and only if the integer k is such that

$$X_1 + \dots + X_k \le t < X_1 + \dots + X_{k+1}$$

and we put $N_t = 0$ if $t < X_1$. Then N_t is the number of arrivals in the time interval [0, t]. Notice that for each $t \ge 0$, N_t is a random variable taking values in the set $S = \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\{N_t, t \ge 0\}$ is a continuous time process with values in the state space \mathbb{N} . The sample paths of this process are non-decreasing, right continuous and they increase by jumps of size 1 at the points $X_1 + \cdots + X_k$. On the other hand, $N_t < \infty$ for all $t \ge 0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k = \infty.$$

Example 15 Consider a discrete time stochastic process $\{X_n, n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ with a finite number of states $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$. The dynamics of the process is as follows. You move from state 1 to state 2 with probability 1. From state 3 you move either to 1 or to 2 with equal probability 1/2, and from 2 you jump to 3 with probability 1/3, otherwise stay at 2. This is an example of a *Markov chain*.

A real-valued stochastic process $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ is said to be Gaussian or normal if its finitedimensional marginal distributions are multi-dimensional Gaussian laws. The mean $m_X(t)$ and the covariance function $\Gamma_X(s,t)$ of a Gaussian process determine its finite-dimensional marginal distributions. Conversely, suppose that we are given an arbitrary function $m: T \to \mathbb{R}$, and a symmetric function $\Gamma: T \times T \to \mathbb{R}$, which is nonnegative definite, that is

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \Gamma(t_i, t_j) a_i a_j \ge 0$$

for all $t_i \in T$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $n \geq 1$. Then there exists a Gaussian process with mean m and covariance function Γ .

Example 16 Let X and Y be random variables with joint Gaussian distribution. Then the process $X_t = tX + Y$, $t \ge 0$, is Gaussian with mean and covariance functions

$$m_X(t) = tE(X) + E(Y),$$

 $\Gamma_X(s,t) = stVar(X) + (s+t)Cov(X,Y) + Var(Y).$

Example 17 Gaussian white noise: Consider a stochastic process $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ such that the random variables X_t are independent and with the same law $N(0, \sigma^2)$. Then, this process is Gaussian with mean and covariance functions

$$m_X(t) = 0$$

 $\Gamma_X(s,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s=t \\ 0 & \text{if } s \neq t \end{cases}$

Definition 4 A stochastic process $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ is equivalent to another stochastic process $\{Y_t, t \in T\}$ if for each $t \in T$

$$P\{X_t = Y_t\} = 1.$$

We also say that $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ is a version of $\{Y_t, t \in T\}$. Two equivalent processes may have quite different sample paths.

Example 18 Let ξ be a nonnegative random variable with continuous distribution function. Set $T = [0, \infty)$. The processes

$$X_t = 0$$

$$Y_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \xi \neq t \\ 1 & \text{if } \xi = t \end{cases}$$

are equivalent but their sample paths are different.

Definition 5 Two stochastic processes $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ and $\{Y_t, t \in T\}$ are said to be indistinguishable if $X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega)$ for all $\omega \notin N$, with P(N) = 0.

Two stochastic process which have right continuous sample paths and are equivalent, then they are indistinguishable. Two discrete time stochastic processes which are equivalent, they are also indistinguishable.

Definition 6 A real-valued stochastic process $\{X_t, t \in T\}$, where T is an interval of \mathbb{R} , is said to be continuous in probability if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $t \in T$

$$\lim_{s \to t} P(|X_t - X_s| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Definition 7 Fix $p \ge 1$. Let $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ be a real-valued stochastic process, where T is an interval of \mathbb{R} , such that $E(|X_t|^p) < \infty$, for all $t \in T$. The process $\{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ is said to be continuous in mean of order p if

$$\lim_{s \to t} E\left(|X_t - X_s|^p\right) = 0.$$

Continuity in mean of order p implies continuity in probability. However, the continuity in probability (or in mean of order p) does not necessarily implies that the sample paths of the process are continuous.

In order to show that a given stochastic process has continuous sample paths it is enough to have suitable estimations on the moments of the increments of the process. The following continuity criterion by Kolmogorov provides a sufficient condition of this type:

Proposition 8 (Kolmogorov continuity criterion) Let $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ be a real-valued stochastic process and T is a finite interval. Suppose that there exist constants a > 1 and p > 0 such that

$$E\left(\left|X_{t} - X_{s}\right|^{p}\right) \le c_{T}|t - s|^{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

for all $s, t \in T$. Then, there exists a version of the process $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ with continuous sample paths.

Condition (1) also provides some information about the modulus of continuity of the sample paths of the process. That means, for a fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, which is the order of magnitude of $X_t(\omega) - X_s(\omega)$, in comparison |t-s|. More precisely, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a random variable G_{ε} such that, with probability one,

$$|X_t(\omega) - X_s(\omega)| \le G_{\varepsilon}(\omega)|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{p} - \varepsilon},\tag{2}$$

for all $s, t \in T$. Moreover, $E(G_{\varepsilon}^p) < \infty$.

1.3 The Poisson Process

A random variable $T:\Omega\to(0,\infty)$ has exponential distribution of parameter $\lambda>0$ if

$$P(T > t) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Then T has a density function

$$f_T(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(t).$$

The mean of T is given by $E(T) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, and its variance is $Var(T) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$. The exponential distribution plays a fundamental role in continuous-time Markov processes because of the following result.

Proposition 9 (Memoryless property) A random variable $T: \Omega \to (0, \infty)$ has an exponential distribution if and only if it has the following memoryless property

$$P(T > s + t | T > s) = P(T > t)$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$.

Proof. Suppose first that T has exponential distribution of parameter $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} P(T &> s+t|T>s) = \frac{P(T>s+t)}{P(T>s)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda(s+t)}}{e^{-\lambda s}} = e^{-\lambda t} = P(T>t). \end{split}$$

The converse implication follows from the fact that the function g(t) = P(T > t) satisfies

$$g(s+t) = g(s)g(t),$$

for all $s, t \ge 0$ and g(0) = 1.

A stochastic process $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is said to be a *Poisson* process of rate λ if it verifies the following properties:

- i) $N_0 = 0$,
- ii) for any $n \ge 1$ and for any $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ the increments $N_{t_n} N_{t_{n-1}}, \ldots, N_{t_2} N_{t_1}$, are independent random variables,
- iii) for any $0 \le s < t$, the increment $N_t N_s$ has a Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda(t s)$, that is,

$$P(N_t - N_s = k) = e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \frac{[\lambda(t-s)]^k}{k!},$$

 $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, where $\lambda > 0$ is a fixed constant.

Notice that conditions i) to iii) characterize the finite-dimensional marginal distributions of the process $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$. Condition ii) means that the Poisson process has independent and stationary increments.

A concrete construction of a Poisson process can be done as follows. Consider a sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of independent random variables with exponential law of parameter λ . Set $T_0 = 0$ and for $n \geq 1$, $T_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. Notice that $\lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = \infty$ almost surely, because by the strong law of large numbers

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T_n}{n} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Let $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ be the arrival process associated with the interarrival times X_n . That is

$$N_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mathbf{1}_{\{T_n \le t < T_{n+1}\}}.$$
 (3)

Proposition 10 The stochastic process $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ defined in (3) is a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. Clearly $N_0 = 0$. We first show that N_t has a Poisson distribution with parameter λt . We have

$$P(N_{t} = n) = P(T_{n} \le t < T_{n+1}) = P(T_{n} \le t < T_{n} + X_{n+1})$$

$$= \int_{\{x \le t < x+y\}} f_{T_{n}}(x) \lambda e^{-\lambda y} dx dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} f_{T_{n}}(x) e^{-\lambda(t-x)} dx.$$

The random variable T_n has a gamma distribution $\Gamma(n,\lambda)$ with density

$$f_{T_n}(x) = \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-1)!} x^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x} \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x).$$

Hence,

$$P(N_t = n) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}.$$

Now we will show that $N_{t+s} - N_s$ is independent of the random variables $\{N_r, r \leq s\}$ and it has a Poisson distribution of parameter λt . Consider the event

$$\{N_s = k\} = \{T_k \le s < T_{k+1}\},\,$$

where $0 \le s < t$ and $0 \le k$. On this event the interarrival times of the process $\{N_t - N_s, t \ge s\}$ are

$$\widetilde{X}_1 = X_{k+1} - (s - T_k) = T_{k+1} - s$$

and

$$\widetilde{X}_n = X_{k+n}$$

for $n \geq 2$. Then, conditional on $\{N_s = k\}$ and on the values of the random variables X_1, \ldots, X_k , due to the memoryless property of the exponential law and independence of the X_n , the interarrival times $\{\widetilde{X}_n, n \geq 1\}$ are independent and with exponential distribution of parameter λ . Hence, $\{N_{t+s} - N_s, t \geq 0\}$ has the same distribution as $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$, and it is independent of $\{N_r, r \leq s\}$.

Notice that $E(N_t) = \lambda t$. Thus λ is the expected number of arrivals in an interval of unit length, or in other words, λ is the arrival rate. On the other hand, the expect time until a new arrival is $\frac{1}{\lambda}$. Finally, $Var(N_t) = \lambda t$.

We have seen that the sample paths of the Poisson process are discontinuous with jumps of size 1. However, the Poisson process is continuous in mean of order 2:

$$E\left[(N_t - N_s)^2\right] = \lambda(t - s) + \left[\lambda(t - s)\right]^2 \stackrel{s \to t}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Notice that we cannot apply here the Kolmogorov continuity criterion.

The Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ can also be characterized as an integer-valued process, starting from 0, with non-decreasing paths, with independent increments, and such that, as $h \downarrow 0$, uniformly in t,

$$P(X_{t+h} - X_t = 0) = 1 - \lambda h + o(h),$$

 $P(X_{t+h} - X_t = 1) = \lambda h + o(h).$

Example 19 An item has a random lifetime whose distribution is exponential with parameter $\lambda = 0.0002$ (time is measured in hours). The expected lifetime of an item is $\frac{1}{\lambda} = 5000$ hours, and the variance is $\frac{1}{\lambda^2} = 25 \times 10^6$ hours². When it fails, it is immediately replaced by an identical item; etc. If N_t is the number of failures in [0, t], we may conclude that the process of failures $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$.

Suppose that the cost of a replacement is β euros, and suppose that the discount rate of money is $\alpha > 0$. So, the present value of all future replacement costs is

$$C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta e^{-\alpha T_n}.$$

Its expected value will be

$$E(C) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta E(e^{-\alpha T_n}) = \frac{\beta \lambda}{\alpha}.$$

For $\beta = 800$, $\alpha = 0.24/(365 \times 24)$ we obtain E(C) = 5840 euros.

The following result is an interesting relation between the Poisson processes and uniform distributions. This result say that the jumps of a Poisson process are as randomly distributed as possible.

Proposition 11 Let $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ be a Poisson process. Then, conditional on $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ having exactly n jumps in the interval (s, s + t], the times at which jumps occur are uniformly and independently distributed on (s, s + t].

Proof. We will show this result only for n=1. By stationarity of increments, it suffices to consider the case s=0. Then, for $0 \le u \le t$

$$P(T_1 \le u | N_t = 1) = \frac{P(\{T_1 \le u\} \cap \{N_t = 1\})}{P(N_t = 1)}$$

$$= \frac{P(\{N_u = 1\} \cap \{N_t - N_u = 0\})}{P(N_t = 1)}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda u e^{-\lambda u} e^{-\lambda (t - u)}}{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}} = \frac{u}{t}.$$

Exercises

1.1 Consider a random variable X taking the values -2, 0, 2 with probabilities 0.4, 0.3, 0.3 respectively. Compute the expected values of X, $3X^2 + 5$, e^{-X} .

1.2 The headway X between two vehicles at a fixed instant is a random variable with

$$P(X \le t) = 1 - 0.6e^{-0.02t} - 0.4e^{-0.03t},$$

 $t \geq 0$. Find the expected value and the variance of the headway.

1.3 Let Z be a random variable with law $N(0, \sigma^2)$. From the expression

$$E(e^{\lambda Z}) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \sigma^2},$$

deduce the following formulas for the moments of Z:

$$E(Z^{2k}) = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k k!} \sigma^{2k},$$

$$E(Z^{2k-1}) = 0.$$

1.4 Let Z be a random variable with Poisson distribution with parameter λ . Show that the characteristic function of Z is

$$\varphi_Z(t) = \exp\left[\lambda \left(e^{it} - 1\right)\right].$$

As an application compute $E(Z^2)$, Var(Z) y $E(Z^3)$.

- 1.5 Let $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ be independent and identically distributed non-negative random variables. Put $Z_0 = 0$, and $Z_n = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$ for $n \geq 1$. We think of Z_n as the time of the *n*th arrival into a store. The stochastic process $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$ is called a *renewal process*. Let N_t be the number of arrivals during $\{0, t\}$.
 - a) Show that $P(N_t \ge n) = P(Z_n \le t)$, for all $n \ge 1$ and $t \ge 0$.
 - **b)** Show that for almost all ω , $\lim_{t\to\infty} N_t(\omega) = \infty$.
 - c) Show that almost surely $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{Z_{N_t}}{N_t} = a$, where $a = E(Y_1)$.
 - d) Using the inequalities $Z_{N_t} \leq t < Z_{N_t+1}$ show that almost surely

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{N_t}{t} = \frac{1}{a}.$$

1.6 Let X and U be independent random variables, U is uniform in $[0, 2\pi]$, and the probability density of X is for x > 0

$$f_X(x) = 2x^3 e^{-1/2x^4}$$
.

Show that the process

$$X_t = X^2 \cos(2\pi t + U)$$

is Gaussian and compute its mean and covariance functions.

2 Martingales

We will first introduce the notion of conditional expectation of a random variable X with respect to a σ -field $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$ in a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

2.1 Conditional Expectation

Consider an integrable random variable X defined in a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , and a σ -field $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$. We define the *conditional expectation* of X given \mathcal{B} (denoted by $E(X|\mathcal{B})$) to be any integrable random variable Z that verifies the following two properties:

- (i) Z is measurable with respect to \mathcal{B} .
- (ii) For all $A \in \mathcal{B}$

$$E(Z\mathbf{1}_A) = E(X\mathbf{1}_A)$$
.

It can be proved that there exists a unique (up to modifications on sets of probability zero) random variable satisfying these properties. That is, if \tilde{Z} and Z verify the above properties, then $Z = \tilde{Z}$, P-almost surely.

Property (ii) implies that for any bounded and \mathcal{B} -measurable random variable Y we have

$$E(E(X|\mathcal{B})Y) = E(XY). \tag{4}$$

Example 1 Consider the particular case where the σ -field \mathcal{B} is generated by a finite partition $\{B_1, ..., B_m\}$. In this case, the conditional expectation $E(X|\mathcal{B})$ is a discrete random variable that takes the constant value $E(X|B_j)$ on each set B_j :

$$E(X|\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{E(X\mathbf{1}_{B_j})}{P(B_j)} \mathbf{1}_{B_j}.$$

Here are some rules for the computation of conditional expectations in the general case:

Rule 1 The conditional expectation is linear:

$$E(aX + bY|\mathcal{B}) = aE(X|\mathcal{B}) + bE(Y|\mathcal{B})$$

Rule 2 A random variable and its conditional expectation have the same expectation:

$$E(E(X|\mathcal{B})) = E(X)$$

This follows from property (ii) taking $A = \Omega$.

Rule 3 If X and B are independent, then E(X|B) = E(X).

In fact, the constant E(X) is clearly \mathcal{B} -measurable, and for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$E(X\mathbf{1}_A) = E(X)E(\mathbf{1}_A) = E(E(X)\mathbf{1}_A).$$

Rule 4 If X is \mathcal{B} -measurable, then $E(X|\mathcal{B}) = X$.

Rule 5 If Y is a bounded and \mathcal{B} -measurable random variable, then

$$E(YX|\mathcal{B}) = YE(X|\mathcal{B}).$$

In fact, the random variable $YE(X|\mathcal{B})$ is integrable and \mathcal{B} -measurable, and for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$E(E(X|\mathcal{B})Y\mathbf{1}_A) = E(XY\mathbf{1}_A),$$

where the equality follows from (4). This Rule means that \mathcal{B} -measurable random variables behave as constants and can be factorized out of the conditional expectation with respect to \mathcal{B} . This property holds if $X, Y \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Rule 6 Given two σ -fields $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$, then

$$E(E(X|\mathcal{B})|\mathcal{C}) = E(E(X|\mathcal{C})|\mathcal{B}) = E(X|\mathcal{C})$$

Rule 7 Consider two random variable X and Z, such that Z is \mathcal{B} -measurable and X is independent of \mathcal{B} . Consider a measurable function h(x,z) such that the composition h(X,Z) is an integrable random variable. Then, we have

$$E(h(X,Z)|\mathcal{B}) = E(h(X,z))|_{z=Z}$$

That is, we first compute the conditional expectation E(h(X, z)) for any fixed value z of the random variable Z and, afterwards, we replace z by Z.

Conditional expectation has properties similar to those of ordinary expectation. For instance, the following monotone property holds:

$$X \le Y \Rightarrow E(X|\mathcal{B}) \le E(Y|\mathcal{B}).$$

This implies $|E(X|\mathcal{B})| \leq E(|X||\mathcal{B})$.

Jensen inequality also holds. That is, if φ is a convex function such that $E(|\varphi(X)|) < \infty$, then

$$\varphi(E(X|\mathcal{B})) \le E(\varphi(X)|\mathcal{B}).$$
 (5)

In particular, if we take $\varphi(x) = |x|^p$ with $p \ge 1$, we obtain

$$|E(X|\mathcal{B})|^p \le E(|X|^p|\mathcal{B}),$$

hence, taking expectations, we deduce that if $E(|X|^p) < \infty$, then $E(|E(X|\mathcal{B})|^p) < \infty$ and

$$E(|E(X|\mathcal{B})|^p) \le E(|X|^p). \tag{6}$$

We can define the conditional probability of an even $C \in \mathcal{F}$ given a σ -field \mathcal{B} as

$$P(C|\mathcal{B}) = E(\mathbf{1}_C|\mathcal{B}).$$

Suppose that the σ -field \mathcal{B} is generated by a finite collection of random variables Y_1, \ldots, Y_m . In this case, we will denote the conditional expectation of X given \mathcal{B} by $E(X|Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$. In this case this conditional expectation is the mean of the conditional distribution of X given Y_1, \ldots, Y_m .

The conditional distribution of X given Y_1, \ldots, Y_m is a family of distributions $p(dx|y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ parameterized by the possible values y_1, \ldots, y_m of the random variables Y_1, \ldots, Y_m , such that for all a < b

$$P(a \le X \le b|Y_1, \dots, Y_m) = \int_a^b p(dx|Y_1, \dots, Y_m).$$

Then, this implies that

$$E(X|Y_1,\ldots,Y_m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} xp(dx|Y_1,\ldots,Y_m).$$

Notice that the conditional expectation $E(X|Y_1,\ldots,Y_m)$ is a function $g(Y_1,\ldots,Y_m)$ of the variables Y_1,\ldots,Y_m , where

$$g(y_1,\ldots,y_m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x p(dx|y_1,\ldots,y_m).$$

In particular, if the random variables X, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m have a joint density $f(x, y_1, \ldots, y_m)$, then the conditional distribution has the density:

$$f(x|y_1,\ldots,y_m) = \frac{f(x,y_1,\ldots,y_m)}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x,y_1,\ldots,y_m)dx},$$

and

$$E(X|Y_1,\ldots,Y_m) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f(x|Y_1,\ldots,Y_m) dx.$$

The set of all square integrable random variables, denoted by $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$\langle Z, Y \rangle = E(ZY).$$

Then, the set of square integrable and \mathcal{B} -measurable random variables, denoted by $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

Then, given a random variable X such that $E(X^2) < \infty$, the conditional expectation $E(X|\mathcal{B})$ is the projection of X on the subspace $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$. In fact, we have:

- (i) $E(X|\mathcal{B})$ belongs to $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ because it is a \mathcal{B} -measurable random variable and it is square integrable due to (6).
- (ii) $X E(X|\mathcal{B})$ is orthogonal to the subspace $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$. In fact, for all $Z \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ we have, using the Rule 5,

$$E[(X - E(X|\mathcal{B}))Z] = E(XZ) - E(E(X|\mathcal{B})Z)$$
$$= E(XZ) - E(E(XZ|\mathcal{B})) = 0.$$

As a consequence, $E(X|\mathcal{B})$ is the random variable in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ that minimizes the mean square error:

$$E\left[\left(X - E(X|\mathcal{B})\right)^{2}\right] = \min_{Y \in L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)} E\left[\left(X - Y\right)^{2}\right]. \tag{7}$$

This follows from the relation

$$E\left[(X-Y)^2\right] = E\left[(X-E(X|\mathcal{B}))^2\right] + E\left[(E(X|\mathcal{B})-Y)^2\right]$$

and it means that the conditional expectation is the optimal estimator of X given the σ -field \mathcal{B} .

2.2 Discrete Time Martingales

In this section we consider a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and a nondecreasing sequence of σ -fields

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \cdots$$

contained in \mathcal{F} . A sequence of real random variables $M = \{M_n, n \geq 0\}$ is called a martingale with respect to the σ -fields $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ if:

- (i) For each $n \geq 0$, M_n is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable (that is, M is adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$).
- (ii) For each $n \geq 0$, $E(|M_n|) < \infty$.
- (iii) For each $n \geq 0$,

$$E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = M_n.$$

The sequence $M = \{M_n, n \geq 0\}$ is called a *supermartingale* (or *submartingale*) if property (iii) is replaced by $E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) \leq M_n$ (or $E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) \geq M_n$).

Notice that the martingale property implies that $E(M_n) = E(M_0)$ for all n. On the other hand, condition (iii) can also be written as

$$E(\Delta M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = 0,$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where $\Delta M_n = M_n - M_{n-1}$.

Example 2 Suppose that $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are independent centered random variables. Set $M_0 = 0$ and $M_n = \xi_1 + ... + \xi_n$, for $n \geq 1$. Then M_n is a martingale with respect to the sequence of σ -fields $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ for $n \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. In fact,

$$E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = E(M_n + \xi_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)$$

$$= M_n + E(\xi_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)$$

$$= M_n + E(\xi_{n+1}) = M_n.$$

Example 3 Suppose that $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are independent random variable such that $P(\xi_n = 1) = p$, i $P(\xi_n = -1) = 1 - p$, on $0 . Then <math>M_n = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}$, $M_0 = 1$, is a martingale with respect to the sequence of σ -fields $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ for $n \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. In fact,

$$E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = E\left(\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{\xi_1+\dots+\xi_{n+1}}|\mathcal{F}_n\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{\xi_1+\dots+\xi_n} E\left(\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{\xi_{n+1}}|\mathcal{F}_n\right)$$

$$= M_n E\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{\xi_{n+1}}$$

$$= M_n.$$

In the two previous examples, $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(M_0, \dots, M_n)$, for all $n \geq 0$. That is, $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ is the filtration generated by the process $\{M_n\}$. Usually, when the filtration is not mentioned, we will take $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(M_0, \dots, M_n)$, for all $n \geq 0$. This is always possible due to the following result:

Lemma 12 Suppose $\{M_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a martingale with respect to a filtration $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(M_0, \ldots, M_n) \subset \mathcal{G}_n$. Then $\{M_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a martingale with respect to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$.

Proof. We have, by the Rule 6 of conditional expectations,

$$E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = E(E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{G}_n)|\mathcal{F}_n) = E(M|\mathcal{F}_n) = M_n.$$

Some elementary properties of martingales:

1. If $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale, then for all $m \geq n$ we have

$$E(M_m|\mathcal{F}_n) = M_n.$$

In fact,

$$M_n = E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = E(E(M_{n+2}|\mathcal{F}_{n+1})|\mathcal{F}_n)$$
$$= E(M_{n+2}|\mathcal{F}_n) = \dots = E(M_m|\mathcal{F}_n).$$

- 2. $\{M_n\}$ is a submartingale if and only if $\{-M_n\}$ is a supermartingale.
- 3. If $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale and φ is a convex function such that $E(|\varphi(M_n)|) < \infty$ for all $n \geq 0$, then $\{\varphi(M_n)\}$ is a submartingale. In fact, by Jensen's inequality for the conditional expectation we have

$$E\left(\varphi(M_{n+1})|\mathcal{F}_n\right) \ge \varphi\left(E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)\right) = \varphi(M_n).$$

In particular, if $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale such that $E(|M_n|^p) < \infty$ for all $n \ge 0$ and for some $p \ge 1$, then $\{|M_n|^p\}$ is a submartingale.

4. If $\{M_n\}$ is a submartingale and φ is a convex and increasing function such that $E(|\varphi(M_n)|) < \infty$ for all $n \geq 0$, then $\{\varphi(M_n)\}$ is a submartingale. In fact, by Jensen's inequality for the conditional expectation we have

$$E\left(\varphi(M_{n+1})|\mathcal{F}_n\right) \ge \varphi\left(E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)\right) \ge \varphi(M_n).$$

In particular, if $\{M_n\}$ is a submartingale, then $\{M_n^+\}$ and $\{M_n \vee a\}$ are submartingales.

Suppose that $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a given filtration. We say that $\{H_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a predictable sequence of random variables if for each $n \geq 1$, H_n is \mathcal{F}_{n-1} -measurable. We define the martingale transform of a martingale $\{M_n, n \geq 0\}$ by a predictable sequence $\{H_n, n \geq 1\}$ as the sequence

$$\boxed{(H \cdot M)_n = M_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n H_j \Delta M_j.}$$

Proposition 13 If $\{M_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a (sub)martingale and $\{H_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a bounded (nonnegative) predictable sequence, then the martingale transform $\{(H \cdot M)_n\}$ is a (sub)martingale.

Proof. Clearly, for each $n \geq 0$ the random variable $(H \cdot M)_n$ is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable and integrable. On the other hand, if $n \geq 0$ we have

$$E((H \cdot M)_{n+1} - (H \cdot M)_n | \mathcal{F}_n) = E(H_{n+1} \Delta M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)$$

= $H_{n+1} E(\Delta M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = 0.$

We may think of H_n as the amount of money a gambler will bet at time n. Suppose that $\Delta M_n = M_n - M_{n-1}$ is the amount a gambler can win or lose at every step of the game if the bet is 1 Euro, and M_0 is the initial capital of the gambler. Then, M_n will be the fortune of the gambler at time n, and $(H \cdot M)_n$ will be the fortune of the gambler if he uses the gambling system $\{H_n\}$. The fact that $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale means that the game is fair. So, the previous proposition tells us that if a game is fair, it is also fair regardless the gambling system $\{H_n\}$.

Suppose that $M_n = M_0 + \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n$, where $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are independent random variable such that $P(\xi_n = 1) = P(\xi_n = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. A famous gambling system is defined by $H_1 = 1$ and for $n \geq 2$, $H_n = 2H_{n-1}$ if $\xi_{n-1} = -1$, and $H_n = 0$ if $\xi_{n-1} = 1$. In other words, we double our bet when we lose, and we quit the game when we win, so that if we lose k times and then win, out net winnings will be

$$-1 - 2 - 4 - \dots - 2^{k-1} + 2^k = 1.$$

This system seems to provide us with a "sure win", but this is not true due to the above proposition.

Example 4 Suppose that $S_n^0, S_n^1, \ldots, S_n^d$ are adapted and positive random variables which represent the prices at time n of d+1 financial assets. We assume that $S_n^0 = (1+r)^n$, where r>0 is the interest rate, so the asset number 0 is non risky. We denote by $S_n = (S_n^0, S_n^1, \ldots, S_n^d)$ the vector of prices at time n, where $1 \le n \le N$.

In this context, a portfolio is a family of predictable sequences $\{\phi_n^i, n \geq 1\}$, $i = 0, \ldots, d$, such that ϕ_n^i represents the number of assets of type i at time n. We set $\phi_n = (\phi_n^0, \phi_n^1, ..., \phi_n^d)$. The value of the portfolio at time $n \geq 1$ is then

$$V_n = \phi_n^0 S_n^0 + \phi_n^1 S_n^1 + \dots + \phi_n^d S_n^d = \phi_n \cdot S_n.$$

We say that a portfolio is self-financing if for all $1 \le n \le N$

$$V_n = V_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j \cdot \Delta S_j,$$

where V_0 denotes the initial capital of the portfolio. This condition is equivalent to

$$\phi_n \cdot S_n = \phi_{n+1} \cdot S_n$$

for all $0 \le n \le N-1$. A self-financing portfolio is characterized by V_0 and the amount of risky assets $(\phi_n^1, ..., \phi_n^d)$. Define the discounted prices by

$$\widetilde{S}_n = (1+r)^{-n} S_n = (1, (1+r)^{-n} S_n^1, ..., (1+r)^{-n} S_n^d).$$

Then the discounted value of the portfolio is

$$\widetilde{V}_n = (1+r)^{-n} V_n = \phi_n \cdot \widetilde{S}_n,$$

and the self-financing condition can be written as

$$\phi_n \cdot \widetilde{S}_n = \phi_{n+1} \cdot \widetilde{S}_n,$$

for $n \geq 0$, that is, $\widetilde{V}_{n+1} - \widetilde{V}_n = \phi_{n+1} \cdot (\widetilde{S}_{n+1} - \widetilde{S}_n)$ and summing in n we obtain

$$\widetilde{V}_n = V_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j \cdot \Delta \widetilde{S}_j.$$

In particular, if d=1, then $\widetilde{V}_n=(\phi^1\cdot\widetilde{S}^1)_n$ is the martingale transform of the sequence $\{\widetilde{S}_n^1\}$ by the predictable sequence $\{\phi_n^1\}$. As a consequence, if $\{\widetilde{S}_n^1\}$ is a martingale and $\{\phi_n^1\}$ is a bounded sequence, $\{\widetilde{V}_n\}$ will also be a martingale.

We say that a probability Q equivalent to P (that is, $P(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q(A) = 0$), is a risk-free probability, if in the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, Q) the sequence of discounted prices $\widetilde{S}^i{}_n$ is a martingale with respect to \mathcal{F}_n . Then, the sequence of values of any self-financing portfolio will also be a martingale with respect to \mathcal{F}_n , provided the β_n are bounded.

In the particular case of the binomial model $(d = 1 \text{ and } S_n = S_n^1)$ (also called Ross-Cox-Rubinstein model), we assume that the random variables

$$T_n = \frac{S_n}{S_{n-1}} = 1 + \frac{\Delta S_n}{S_{n-1}},$$

n = 1, ..., N are independent and take two different values 1 + a, 1 + b, a < r < b, with probabilities p, and 1 - p, respectively. In this example, the risk-free probability will be

$$p = \frac{b - r}{b - a}.$$

In fact, for each $n \geq 1$,

$$E(T_n) = (1+a)p + (1+b)(1-p) = 1+r,$$

and, therefore,

$$E(\widetilde{S}_{n}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = (1+r)^{-n}E(S_{n}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1})$$

$$= (1+r)^{-n}E(T_{n}S_{n-1}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1})$$

$$= (1+r)^{-n}S_{n-1}E(T_{n}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1})$$

$$= (1+r)^{-1}\widetilde{S}_{n-1}E(T_{n})$$

$$= \widetilde{S}_{n-1}.$$

Consider a random variable $H \geq 0$, \mathcal{F}_N -measurable, which represents the payoff of a derivative at the maturity time N on the asset. For instance, for an European call option with strike price K, $H = (S_N - K)^+$. The derivative is replicable if there exists a self-financing portfolio such that $V_N = H$. We will take the value of the portfolio V_n as the price of the derivative at time n. In

order to compute this price we make use of the martingale property of the sequence \tilde{V}_n and we obtain the following general formula for derivative prices:

$$V_n = (1+r)^{-(N-n)} E_Q(H|\mathcal{F}_n).$$

In fact,

$$\widetilde{V}_n = E_Q(\widetilde{V}_N | \mathcal{F}_n) = (1+r)^{-N} E_Q(H | \mathcal{F}_n).$$

In particular, for n = 0, if the σ -field \mathcal{F}_0 is trivial,

$$V_0 = (1+r)^{-N} E_Q(H).$$

2.3 Stopping Times

Consider a non-decreasing family of σ -fields

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \cdots$$

in a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . That is $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ for all n.

A random variable $T: \Omega \to \{0, 1, 2, ...\} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called a *stopping time* if the event $\{T = n\}$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_n for all n = 0, 1, 2, ... Intuitively, \mathcal{F}_n represents the information available at time n, and given this information you know when T occurs.

Example 5 Consider a discrete time stochastic process $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0\}$. Let A be a subset of the space state. Then the first hitting time T_A is a stopping time because

$$\{T_A = n\} = \{X_0 \notin A, \dots, X_{n-1} \notin A, X_n \in A\}.$$

The condition $\{T = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for all $n \ge 0$ is equivalent to $\{T \le n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for all $n \ge 0$. This is an immediate consequence of the relations

$$\{T \leq n\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} \{T = j\},$$

$$\{T = n\} = \{T \leq n\} \cap (\{T \leq n-1\})^{c}.$$

The extension of the notion of stopping time to continuous time will be inspired by this equivalence. Consider now a continuous parameter non-decreasing family of σ -fields $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ in a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . A random variable $T : \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ is called a *stopping time* if the event $\{T \leq t\}$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_t for all $t \geq 0$.

Example 6 Consider a real-valued stochastic process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ with continuous trajectories, and adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$. Assume $X_0 = 0$ and a > 0. The first passage time for a level $a \in \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$T_a := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = a\}$$

is a stopping time because

$$\{T_a \le t\} = \left\{ \sup_{0 \le s \le t} X_s \ge a \right\} = \left\{ \sup_{0 \le s \le t, s \in \mathbb{Q}} X_s \ge a \right\} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Properties of stopping times:

1. If S and T are stopping times, so are $S \vee T$ and $S \wedge T$. In fact, this a consequence of the relations

$$\left\{ S \vee T \le t \right\} = \left\{ S \le t \right\} \cap \left\{ T \le t \right\},$$

$$\left\{ S \wedge T \le t \right\} = \left\{ S \le t \right\} \cup \left\{ T \le t \right\}.$$

2. Given a stopping time T, we can define the σ -field

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \{A : A \cap \{T \le t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t, \text{ for all } t \ge 0\}.$$

 \mathcal{F}_T is a σ -field because it contains the empty set, it is stable by complements due to

$$A^{c} \cap \{T \le t\} = (A \cup \{T > t\})^{c} = ((A \cap \{T \le t\}) \cup \{T > t\})^{c},$$

and it is stable by countable intersections.

3. If S and T are stopping times such that $S \leq T$, then $\mathcal{F}_S \subset \mathcal{F}_T$. In fact, if $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$, then

$$A \cap \{T \le t\} = [A \cap \{S \le t\}] \cap \{T \le t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

4. Let $\{X_t\}$ be an adapted stochastic process (with discrete or continuous parameter) and let T be a stopping time. Assume $T < \infty$. If the parameter is continuous, we assume that the trajectories of the process $\{X_t\}$ are right-continuous. Then the random variable

$$X_T(\omega) = X_{T(\omega)}(\omega)$$

is \mathcal{F}_T -measurable. In discrete time this property follows from the relation

$${X_T \in B} \cap {T = n} = {X_n \in B} \cap {T = n} \in \mathcal{F}_n$$

for any subset B of the state space (Borel set if the state space is \mathbb{R}).

2.4 Optional stopping theorem

Consider a discrete time filtration and suppose that T is a stopping time. Then, the process

$$H_n = \mathbf{1}_{\{T > n\}}$$

is predictable. In fact, $\{T \geq n\} = \{T \leq n-1\}^c \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$. The martingale transform of $\{M_n\}$ by this sequence is

$$(H \cdot M)_n = M_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{T \ge j\}} (M_j - M_{j-1})$$
$$= M_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{T \land n} (M_j - M_{j-1}) = M_{T \land n}.$$

As a consequence, if $\{M_n\}$ is a (sub)martingale, the stopped process $\{M_{T\wedge n}\}$ will be a (sub)martingale.

Theorem 14 (Optional Stopping Theorem) Suppose that $\{M_n\}$ is a submartingale and $S \leq T \leq m$ are two stopping times bounded by a fixed time m. Then

$$E(M_T|\mathcal{F}_S) \geq M_S$$
,

with equality in the martingale case.

This theorem implies that $E(M_T) \geq E(M_S)$.

Proof. We make the proof only in the martingale case. Notice first that M_T is integrable because

$$|M_T| \le \sum_{n=0}^m |M_n|.$$

Consider the predictable process $H_n = \mathbf{1}_{\{S < n \le T\} \cap A}$, where $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$. Notice that $\{H_n\}$ is predictable because

$${S < n \le T} \cap A = {T < n}^c \cap [{S \le n - 1}] \cap A] \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}.$$

Moreover, the random variables H_n are nonnegative and bounded by one. Therefore, by Proposition 13, $(H \cdot M)_n$ is a martingale. We have

$$(H \cdot M)_0 = M_0,$$

 $(H \cdot M)_m = M_0 + \mathbf{1}_A (M_T - M_S).$

The martingale property of $(H \cdot M)_n$ implies that $E((H \cdot M)_0) = E((H \cdot M)_m)$. Hence,

$$E\left(\mathbf{1}_A(M_T - M_S)\right) = 0$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$ and this implies that $E(M_T | \mathcal{F}_S) = M_S$, because M_S is \mathcal{F}_S -measurable.

Theorem 15 (Doob's Maximal Inequality) Suppose that $\{M_n\}$ is a submartingale and $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$P(\sup_{0 \le n \le N} M_n \ge \lambda) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} E(M_N \mathbf{1}_{\{\sup_{0 \le n \le N} M_n \ge \lambda\}}).$$

Proof. Consider the stopping time

$$T = \inf\{n > 0 : M_n > \lambda\} \land N.$$

Then, by the Optional Stopping Theorem,

$$E(M_N) \geq E(M_T) = E(M_T \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\sup_{0 \leq n \leq N} M_n \geq \lambda\right\}}) + E(M_T \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\sup_{0 \leq n \leq N} M_n < \lambda\right\}})$$

$$\geq \lambda P(\sup_{0 \leq n \leq N} M_n \geq \lambda) + E(M_N \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\sup_{0 \leq n \leq N} M_n < \lambda\right\}}).$$

As a consequence, if $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale and $p \geq 1$, applying Doob's maximal inequality to the submartingale $\{|M_n|^p\}$ we obtain

$$P(\sup_{0 \le n \le N} |M_n| \ge \lambda) \le \frac{1}{\lambda^p} E(|M_N|^p),$$

which is a generalization of Chebyshev inequality.

2.5 Martingale convergence theorems

Theorem 16 (The Martingale Convergence Theorem) If $\{M_n\}$ is a submartingale such that $\sup_n E(M_n^+) < \infty$, then

$$M_n \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} M$$

where M is an integrable random variable.

As a consequence, any nonnegative martingale converges almost surely. However, the convergence may not be in the mean.

Example 7 Suppose that $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are independent random variables with distribution $N(0, \sigma^2)$. Set $M_0 = 1$, and

$$M_n = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j - \frac{n}{2}\sigma^2\right).$$

Then, $\{M_n\}$ is a nonnegative martingale such that $M_n \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} 0$, by the strong law of large numbers, but $E(M_n) = 1$ for all n.

Example 8 (Branching processes) Suppose that $\{\xi_i^n, n \geq 1, i \geq 0\}$ are nonnegative independent identically distributed random variables. Define a sequence $\{Z_n\}$ by $Z_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$Z_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \xi_1^{n+1} + \dots + \xi_{Z_n}^{n+1} & \text{if } Z_n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } Z_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

The process $\{Z_n\}$ is called a Galton-Watson process. The random variable Z_n is the number of people in the *n*th generation and each member of a generation gives birth independently to an identically distributed number of children. $p_k = P(\xi_i^n = k)$ is called the *offspring distribution*. Set $\mu = E(\xi_i^n)$. The process Z_n/μ^n is a martingale. In fact,

$$E(Z_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E(Z_{n+1}\mathbf{1}_{\{Z_n=k\}}|\mathcal{F}_n)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E((\xi_1^{n+1} + \dots + \xi_k^{n+1}) \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_n=k\}}|\mathcal{F}_n)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_n=k\}} E(\xi_1^{n+1} + \dots + \xi_k^{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_n=k\}} E(\xi_1^{n+1} + \dots + \xi_k^{n+1})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_n=k\}} k\mu = \mu Z_n.$$

This implies that $E(Z_n) = \mu^n$. On the other hand, Z_n/μ^n is a nonnegative martingale, so it converges almost surely to a limit. This implies that Z_n converges to zero if $\mu < 1$. Actually, if $\mu < 1$, $Z_n = 0$ for all n sufficiently large. This is intuitive: If each individual on the average gives birth to less than one child, the species dies out.

One can show that the limit of Z_n/μ^n is zero if $\mu = 1$ and ξ_i^n is not identically one. If $\mu > 1$ the limit of Z_n/μ^n has a change of being nonzero. In this case $\rho = P(Z_n = 0 \text{ for some } n) < 1$ is the unique solution of $\varphi(\rho) = \rho$, where $\varphi(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k s^k$ is the generating function of the spring distribution.

The following result established the convergence of the martingale in mean of order p in the case p > 1.

Theorem 17 If $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale such that $\sup_n E(|M_n|^p) < \infty$, for some p > 1, then

$$M_n \to M$$

almost surely and in mean of order p. Moreover, $M_n = E(M|\mathcal{F}_n)$ for all n.

Example 9 Consider the symmetric random walk $\{S_n, n \geq 0\}$. That is, $S_0 = 0$ and for $n \geq 1$, $S_n = \xi_1 + ... + \xi_n$, where $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are independent random variables such that $P(\xi_n = 1) = P(\xi_n = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\{S_n\}$ is a martingale. Set

$$T = \inf\{n \ge 0 : S_n \notin (a, b)\},\$$

where b < 0 < a. We are going to show that E(T) = |ab|.

In fact, we know that $\{S_{T \wedge n}\}$ is a martingale. So, $E(S_{T \wedge n}) = E(S_0) = 0$. This martingale converges almost surely and in mean of order one because it is uniformly bounded. It easy to check that $P(T < \infty) = 1$ (because the random walk is recurrent). In fact,

$$P(T = \infty) = P(a < S_n < b, \forall n) \le P(S_n = j, \text{ for some } j \text{ and for infinitely many } n) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$S_{T \wedge n} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}, L^1}{\longrightarrow} S_T \in \{b, a\}.$$

Therefore, $E(S_T) = 0 = aP(S_T = a) + bP(S_T = b)$. From these equation we obtain the absorption probabilities:

$$P(S_T = a) = \frac{-b}{a-b},$$

$$P(S_T = b) = \frac{a}{a-b}.$$

Now $\{S_n^2 - n\}$ is also a martingale, and by the same argument, this implies $E(S_T^2) = E(T)$, which leads to E(T) = -ab.

2.6 Continuous Time Martingales

Consider an nondecreasing family of σ -fields $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$. A real continuous time process $M = \{M_t, t \geq 0\}$ is called a martingale with respect to the σ -fields $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ if:

- (i) For each $t \geq 0$, M_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable (that is, M is adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$).
- (ii) For each $t \geq 0$, $E(|M_t|) < \infty$.

(iii) For each $s \leq t$, $E(M_t | \mathcal{F}_s) = M_s$.

Property (iii) can also be written as follows:

$$E(M_t - M_s | \mathcal{F}_s) = 0$$

Notice that if the time runs in a finite interval [0, T], then we have

$$M_t = E(M_T | \mathcal{F}_t),$$

and this implies that the terminal random variable M_T determines the martingale.

In a similar way we can introduce the notions of continuous time submartingale and supermartingale.

As in the discrete time the expectation of a martingale is constant:

$$E(M_t) = E(M_0).$$

Also, most of the properties of discrete time martingales hold in continuous time. For instance, we have the following version of Doob's maximal inequality:

Proposition 18 Let $\{M_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$ be a martingale with continuous trajectories. Then, for all $p \ge 1$ and all $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |M_t| > \lambda\right) \le \frac{1}{\lambda^p} E(|M_T|^p).$$

This inequality allows to estimate moments of $\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |M_t|$. For instance, we have

$$E\left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |M_t|^2\right) \le 4E(|M_T|^2).$$

Exercises

- **4.1** Let X and Y be two independent random variables such that P(X = 1) = P(Y = 1) = p, and P(X = 0) = P(Y = 0) = 1 p. Set $Z = \mathbf{1}_{\{X+Y=0\}}$. Compute E(X|Z) and E(Y|Z). Are these random variables still independent?
- **4.2** Let $\{Y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent random variable uniformly distributed in [-1,1]. Set $S_0=0$ and $S_n=Y_1+\cdots+Y_n$ if $n\geq 1$. Check whether the following sequences are martingales:

$$M_n^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{k-1}^2 Y_k, \ n \ge 1, \ M_0^{(1)} = 0$$

 $M_n^{(2)} = S_n^2 - \frac{n}{3}, \ M_0^{(2)} = 0.$

4.3 Consider a sequence of independent random variables $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ with laws $N(0,\sigma^2)$. Define

$$Y_n = \exp\left(a\sum_{k=1}^n X_k - n\sigma^2\right),\,$$

where a is a real parameter and $Y_0 = 1$. For which values of a the sequence Y_n is a martingale?

- **4.4** Let $Y_1, Y_2, ...$ be nonnegative independent and identically distributed random variables with $E(Y_n) = 1$. Show that $X_0 = 1$, $X_n = Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_n$ defines a martingale. Show that the almost sure limit of X_n is zero if $P(Y_n = 1) < 1$ (Apply the strong law of large numbers to $\log Y_n$).
- **4.5** Let S_n be the total assets of an insurance company at the end of the year n. In year n, premiums totaling c>0 are received and claims ξ_n are paid where ξ_n has the normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and $\mu < c$. The company is ruined if assets drop to 0 or less. Show that

$$P(\text{ruin}) \le \exp(-2(c-\mu)S_0/\sigma^2).$$

4.6 Let S_n be an asymmetric random walk with p > 1/2, and let $T = \inf\{n : S_n = 1\}$. Show that $S_n - (p-q)n$ is a martingale. Use this property to check that E(T) = 1/(2p-1). Using the fact that $(S_n - (p-q)n)^2 - \sigma^2 n$ is a martingale, where $\sigma^2 = 1 - (p-q)^2$, show that $\operatorname{Var}(T) = (1 - (p-q)^2)/(p-q)^3$.

3 Stochastic Calculus

3.1 Brownian motion

In 1827 Robert Brown observed the complex and erratic motion of grains of pollen suspended in a liquid. It was later discovered that such irregular motion comes from the extremely large number of collisions of the suspended pollen grains with the molecules of the liquid. In the 20's Norbert Wiener presented a mathematical model for this motion based on the theory of stochastic processes. The position of a particle at each time $t \geq 0$ is a three dimensional random vector B_t .

The mathematical definition of a Brownian motion is the following:

Definition 19 A stochastic process $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ is called a Brownian motion if it satisfies the following conditions:

- *i*) $B_0 = 0$
- ii) For all $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ the increments $B_{t_n} B_{t_{n-1}}, \ldots, B_{t_2} B_{t_1}$, are independent random variables.
- iii) If $0 \le s < t$, the increment $B_t B_s$ has the normal distribution N(0, t s)
- iv) The process $\{B_t\}$ has continuous trajectories.

Remarks:

- 1) Brownian motion is a Gaussian process. In fact, the probability distribution of a random vector $(B_{t_1}, \ldots, B_{t_n})$, for $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$, is normal, because this vector is a linear transformation of the vector $(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} B_{t_1}, \ldots, B_{t_n} B_{t_{n-1}})$ which has a joint normal distribution, because its components are independent and normal.
- 2) The mean and autocovariance functions of the Brownian motion are:

$$E(B_t) = 0$$

 $E(B_sB_t) = E(B_s(B_t - B_s + B_s))$
 $= E(B_s(B_t - B_s)) + E(B_s^2) = s = \min(s, t)$

if $s \leq t$. It is easy to show that a Gaussian process with zero mean and autocovariance function $\Gamma_X(s,t) = \min(s,t)$, satisfies the above conditions i), ii) and iii).

3) The autocovariance function $\Gamma_X(s,t) = \min(s,t)$ is nonnegative definite because it can be written as

$$\min(s,t) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{[0,s]}(r) \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(r) dr,$$

so

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i a_j \min(t_i, t_j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i a_j \int_0^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t_i]}(r) \mathbf{1}_{[0,t_j]}(r) dr$$
$$= \int_0^{\infty} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbf{1}_{[0,t_i]}(r) \right]^2 dr \ge 0.$$

Therefore, by Kolmogorov's theorem there exists a Gaussian process with zero mean and covariance function $\Gamma_X(s,t) = \min(s,t)$. On the other hand, Kolmogorov's continuity criterion allows to choose a version of this process with continuous trajectories. Indeed, the increment $B_t - B_s$ has the normal distribution N(0,t-s), and this implies that for any natural number k we have

$$E\left[(B_t - B_s)^{2k}\right] = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k k!} (t - s)^k.$$
(8)

So, choosing k = 2, it is enough because

$$E[(B_t - B_s)^4] = 3(t - s)^2.$$

4) In the definition of the Brownian motion we have assumed that the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is arbitrary. The mapping

$$\Omega \to C([0,\infty),\mathbb{R})$$

$$\omega \to B.(\omega)$$

induces a probability measure $P_B = P \circ B^{-1}$, called the *Wiener measure*, on the space of continuous functions $C = C([0,\infty),\mathbb{R})$ equipped with its Borel σ -field \mathcal{B}_C . Then we can take as canonical probability space for the Brownian motion the space (C,\mathcal{B}_C,P_B) . In this canonical space, the random variables are the evaluation maps: $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$.

3.1.1 Regularity of the trajectories

From the Kolmogorov's continuity criterium and using (8) we get that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a random variable $G_{\varepsilon,T}$ such that

$$|B_t - B_s| \le G_{\varepsilon,T} |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon}$$

for all $s, t \in [0, T]$. That is, the trajectories of the Brownian motion are Hölder continuous of order $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Intuitively, this means that

$$\Delta B_t = B_{t+\Delta t} - B_t \simeq (\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This approximation is exact in mean: $E\left[\left(\Delta B_{t}\right)^{2}\right] = \Delta t$.

3.1.2 Quadratic variation

Fix a time interval [0,t] and consider a subdivision π of this interval

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$$
.

The norm of the subdivision π is defined by $|\pi| = \max_k \Delta t_k$, where $\Delta t_k = t_k - t_{k-1}$. Set $\Delta B_k = B_{t_k} - B_{t_{k-1}}$. Then, if $t_j = \frac{jt}{n}$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\Delta B_k| \le n \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \longrightarrow \infty,$$

whereas

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta B_k)^2 \simeq n \frac{t}{n} = t.$$

These properties can be formalized as follows. First, we will show that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta B_k)^2$ converges in mean square to the length of the interval as the norm of the subdivision tends to zero:

$$E\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta B_k)^2 - t\right)^2\right] = E\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[(\Delta B_k)^2 - \Delta t_k\right]\right)^2\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} E\left(\left[(\Delta B_k)^2 - \Delta t_k\right]^2\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[3\left(\Delta t_k\right)^2 - 2\left(\Delta t_k\right)^2 + \left(\Delta t_k\right)^2\right]$$

$$= 2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\Delta t_k\right)^2 \le 2t|\pi| \xrightarrow{|\pi| \to 0} 0.$$

On the other hand, the total variation, defined by

$$V = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\Delta B_k|$$

is infinite with probability one. In fact, using the continuity of the trajectories of the Brownian motion, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta B_k)^2 \le \sup_{k} |\Delta B_k| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\Delta B_k| \right) \le V \sup_{k} |\Delta B_k| \xrightarrow{|\pi| \to 0} 0 \tag{9}$$

if $V < \infty$, which contradicts the fact that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta B_k)^2$ converges in mean square to t as $|\pi| \to 0$.

3.1.3 Self-similarity

For any a > 0 the process

$$\left\{a^{-1/2}B_{at}, t \ge 0\right\}$$

is a Brownian motion. In fact, this process verifies easily properties (i) to (iv).

3.1.4 Stochastic Processes Related to Brownian Motion

1.- Brownian bridge: Consider the process

$$X_t = B_t - tB_1$$

 $t \in [0,1]$. It is a centered normal process with autocovariance function

$$E(X_t X_s) = \min(s, t) - st,$$

which verifies $X_0 = 0$, $X_1 = 0$.

2.- Brownian motion with drift: Consider the process

$$X_t = \sigma B_t + \mu t,$$

 $t \geq 0$, where $\sigma > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. It is a Gaussian process with

$$E(X_t) = \mu t,$$

$$\Gamma_X(s,t) = \sigma^2 \min(s,t).$$

3.- Geometric Brownian motion: It is the stochastic process proposed by Black, Scholes and Merton as model for the curve of prices of financial assets. By definition this process is given by

$$X_t = e^{\sigma B_t + \mu t},$$

 $t \geq 0$, where $\sigma > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. That is, this process is the exponential of a Brownian motion with drift. This process is not Gaussian, and the probability distribution of X_t is lognormal.

3.1.5 Simulation of the Brownian Motion

Brownian motion can be regarded as the limit of a symmetric random walk. Indeed, fix a time interval [0,T]. Consider n independent are identically distributed random variables ξ_1,\ldots,ξ_n with zero mean and variance $\frac{T}{n}$. Define the partial sums

$$R_k = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k, \ k = 1, \dots, n.$$

By the Central Limit Theorem the sequence R_n converges, as n tends to infinity, to the normal distribution N(0,T).

Consider the continuous stochastic process $S_n(t)$ defined by linear interpolation from the values

$$S_n(\frac{kT}{n}) = R_k \ k = 0, \dots, n.$$

Then, a functional version of the Central Limit Theorem, known as *Donsker Invariance Principle*, says that the sequence of stochastic processes $S_n(t)$ converges in law to the Brownian motion on [0,T]. This means that for any continuous and bounded function $\varphi: C([0,T]) \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$E(\varphi(S_n)) \to E(\varphi(B)),$$

as n tends to infinity.

The trajectories of the Brownian motion can also be simulated by means of Fourier series with random coefficients. Suppose that $\{e_n, n \geq 0\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $L^2([0,T])$. Suppose that $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$ are independent random variables with law N(0,1). Then, the random series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n \int_0^t e_n(s) ds$$

converges uniformly on [0,T], for almost all ω , to a Brownian motion $\{B_t, t \in [0,T]\}$, that is,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N} Z_n \int_0^t e_n(s) ds - B_t \right| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} 0.$$

This convergence also holds in mean square. Notice that

$$\begin{split} E\left[\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N} Z_{n} \int_{0}^{t} e_{n}(r) dr\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N} Z_{n} \int_{0}^{s} e_{n}(r) dr\right)\right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left(\int_{0}^{t} e_{n}(r) dr\right) \left(\int_{0}^{s} e_{n}(r) dr\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, e_{n} \right\rangle_{L^{2}([0,T])} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,s]}, e_{n} \right\rangle_{L^{2}([0,T])} \overset{N \to \infty}{\to} \left\langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, \mathbf{1}_{[0,s]} \right\rangle_{L^{2}([0,T])} = s \wedge t. \end{split}$$

In particular, if we take the basis formed by trigonometric functions, $e_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\cos(nt/2)$, for $n \ge 1$, and $e_0(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$, on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$, we obtain the Paley-Wiener representation of Brownian motion:

$$B_t = Z_0 \frac{t}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n \frac{\sin(nt/2)}{n}, \ t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

In order to use this formula to get a simulation of Brownian motion, we have to choose some number M of trigonometric functions and a number N of discretization points:

$$Z_0 \frac{t_j}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{M} Z_n \frac{\sin(nt_j/2)}{n},$$

where $t_j = \frac{2\pi j}{N}, j = 0, 1, ..., N$.

3.2 Martingales Related with Brownian Motion

Consider a Brownian motion $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . For any time t, we define the σ -field \mathcal{F}_t generated by the random variables $\{B_s, s \leq t\}$ and the events in \mathcal{F} of probability zero. That is, \mathcal{F}_t is the smallest σ -field that contains the sets of the form

$$\{B_s \in A\} \cup N$$
,

where $0 \le s \le t$, A is a Borel subset of \mathbb{R} , and $N \in \mathcal{F}$ is such that P(N) = 0. Notice that $\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t$ if $s \le t$, that is, $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \ge 0\}$ is a non-decreasing family of σ -fields. We say that $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \ge 0\}$ is a filtration in the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

We say that a stochastic process $\{u_t, t \geq 0\}$ is adapted (to the filtration \mathcal{F}_t) if for all t the random variable u_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

The inclusion of the events of probability zero in each σ -field \mathcal{F}_t has the following important consequences:

a) Any version of an adapted process is adapted.

b) The family of σ -fields is right-continuous: For all $t \geq 0$

$$\cap_{s>t}\mathcal{F}_s=\mathcal{F}_t.$$

If B_t is a Brownian motion and \mathcal{F}_t is the filtration generated by B_t , then, the processes

$$B_t$$

$$B_t^2 - t$$

$$\exp(aB_t - \frac{a^2t}{2})$$

are martingales. In fact, B_t is a martingale because

$$E(B_t - B_s | \mathcal{F}_s) = E(B_t - B_s) = 0.$$

For $B_t^2 - t$, we can write, using the properties of the conditional expectation, for s < t

$$E(B_t^2|\mathcal{F}_s) = E((B_t - B_s + B_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s)$$

$$= E((B_t - B_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s) + 2E((B_t - B_s) | B_s | \mathcal{F}_s)$$

$$+ E(B_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_s)$$

$$= E(B_t - B_s)^2 + 2B_s E((B_t - B_s) | \mathcal{F}_s) + B_s^2$$

$$= t - s + B_s^2.$$

Finally, for $\exp(aB_t - \frac{a^2t}{2})$ we have

$$E(e^{aB_t - \frac{a^2t}{2}} | \mathcal{F}_s) = e^{aB_s} E(e^{a(B_t - B_s) - \frac{a^2t}{2}} | \mathcal{F}_s)$$

$$= e^{aB_s} E(e^{a(B_t - B_s) - \frac{a^2t}{2}})$$

$$= e^{aB_s} e^{\frac{a^2(t-s)}{2} - \frac{a^2t}{2}} = e^{aB_s - \frac{a^2s}{2}}.$$

As an application of the martingale property of this process we will compute the probability distribution of the arrival time of the Brownian motion to some fixed level.

Example 1 Let B_t be a Brownian motion and \mathcal{F}_t the filtration generated by B_t . Consider the stopping time

$$\tau_a = \inf\{t \ge 0 : B_t = a\},\,$$

where a > 0. The process $M_t = e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{\lambda^2 t}{2}}$ is a martingale such that

$$E(M_t) = E(M_0) = 1.$$

By the Optional Stopping Theorem we obtain

$$E(M_{\tau_a \wedge N}) = 1,$$

for all $N \geq 1$. Notice that

$$M_{\tau_a \wedge N} = \exp\left(\lambda B_{\tau_a \wedge N} - \frac{\lambda^2 (\tau_a \wedge N)}{2}\right) \le e^{a\lambda}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} M_{\tau_a \wedge N} = M_{\tau_a} \quad \text{if} \quad \tau_a < \infty$$
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} M_{\tau_a \wedge N} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \tau_a = \infty$$

and the dominated convergence theorem implies

$$E\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_a<\infty\}}M_{\tau_a}\right)=1,$$

that is,

$$E\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_a<\infty\}}\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2\tau_a}{2}\right)\right) = e^{-\lambda a}.$$

Letting $\lambda \downarrow 0$ we obtain

$$P(\tau_a < \infty) = 1,$$

and, consequently,

$$E\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2 \tau_a}{2}\right)\right) = e^{-\lambda a}.$$

With the change of variables $\frac{\lambda^2}{2} = \alpha$, we get

$$E\left(\exp\left(-\alpha\tau_a\right)\right) = e^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}a}.\tag{10}$$

From this expression we can compute the distribution function of the random variable τ_a :

$$P(\tau_a \le t) = \int_0^t \frac{ae^{-a^2/2s}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} ds.$$

On the other hand, the expectation of τ_a can be obtained by computing the derivative of (10) with respect to the variable a:

$$E(\tau_a \exp(-\alpha \tau_a)) = \frac{ae^{-\sqrt{2\alpha}a}}{\sqrt{2\alpha}},$$

and letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ we obtain $E(\tau_a) = +\infty$.

3.3 Stochastic Integrals

We want to define stochastic integrals of the form.

$$\int_0^T u_t dB_t \ .$$

One possibility is to interpret this integral as a path-wise $Riemann\ Stieltjes$ integral. That means, if we consider a sequence of partitions of an interval [0, T]:

$$\tau_n: 0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \dots < t_{k_n-1}^n < t_{k_n}^n = T$$

and intermediate points:

$$\sigma_n: t_i^n < s_i^n < t_{i+1}^n, i = 0, \dots, k_n - 1,$$

such that $\sup_i(t_i^n-t_{i-1}^n)\stackrel{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$, then, given two functions f and g on the interval [0,T], the Riemann Stieltjes integral $\int_0^T f dg$ is defined as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(s_{i-1}) \Delta g_i$$

provided this limit exists and it is independent of the sequences τ_n and σ_n , where $\Delta g_i = g(t_i)$ $g(t_{i-1}).$

The Riemann Stieltjes integral $\int_0^T f dg$ exists if f is continuous and g has bounded variation, that is,

$$\sup_{\tau} \sum_{i} |\Delta g_i| < \infty.$$

In particular, if g is continuously differentiable, $\int_0^T f dg = \int_0^T f(t)g'(t)dt$. We know that the trajectories of Brownian motion have infinite variation on any finite interval. So, we cannot use this result to define the path-wise Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_0^T u_t(\omega) dB_t(\omega)$ for a continuous process u.

Note, however, that if u has continuously differentiable trajectories, then the path-wise Riemann Stieltjes integral $\int_0^T u_t(\omega) dB_t(\omega)$ exists and it can be computed integrating by parts:

$$\int_0^T u_t dB_t = u_T B_T - \int_0^T u_t' B_t dt.$$

We are going to construct the integral $\int_0^T u_t dB_t$ by means of a global probabilistic approach. Denote by $L_{a,T}^2$ the space of stochastic processes

$$u = \{u_t, t \in [0, T]\}$$

such that:

a) u is adapted and measurable (the mapping $(s,\omega) \longrightarrow u_s(\omega)$ is measurable on the product space $[0,T] \times \Omega$ with respect to the product σ -field $\mathcal{B}_{[0,T]} \times \mathcal{F}$).

b)
$$E\left(\int_0^T u_t^2 dt\right) < \infty.$$

Under condition a) it can be proved that there is a version of u which is progressively measurable. This condition means that for all $t \in [0,T]$, the mapping $(s,\omega) \longrightarrow u_s(\omega)$ on $[0,t] \times \Omega$ is measurable with respect to the product σ -field $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \times \mathcal{F}_t$. This condition is slightly strongly than being adapted and measurable, and it is needed to guarantee that random variables of the form $\int_0^t u_s ds$ are \mathcal{F}_{t-1} measurable.

Condition b) means that the moment of second order of the process is integrable on the time interval [0, T]. In fact, by Fubini's theorem we deduce

$$E\left(\int_0^T u_t^2 dt\right) = \int_0^T E\left(u_t^2\right) dt.$$

Also, condition b) means that the process u as a function of the two variables (t, ω) belongs to the Hilbert space. $L^2([0,T]\times\Omega)$.

We will define the *stochastic integral* $\int_0^T u_t dB_t$ for processes u in $L_{a,T}^2$ as the limit in mean square of the integral of simple processes. By definition a process u in $L_{a,T}^2$ is a *simple process* if it is of the form

$$u_t = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j \mathbf{1}_{(t_{j-1}, t_j]}(t), \tag{11}$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ and ϕ_j are square integrable $\mathcal{F}_{t_{j-1}}$ -measurable random variables. Given a simple process u of the form (11) we define the stochastic integral of u with respect to the Brownian motion B as

$$\int_{0}^{T} u_{t} dB_{t} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{j} \left(B_{t_{j}} - B_{t_{j-1}} \right). \tag{12}$$

The stochastic integral defined on the space \mathcal{E} of simple processes possesses the following *isometry property*:

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^T u_t dB_t\right)^2\right] = E\left(\int_0^T u_t^2 dt\right)$$
(13)

Proof. Set $\Delta B_j = B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}}$. Then

$$E\left(\phi_{i}\phi_{j}\Delta B_{i}\Delta B_{j}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ E\left(\phi_{j}^{2}\right)\left(t_{j} - t_{j-1}\right) & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

because if i < j the random variables $\phi_i \phi_j \Delta B_i$ and ΔB_j are independent and if i = j the random variables ϕ_i^2 and $(\Delta B_i)^2$ are independent. So, we obtain

$$E\left(\int_{0}^{T} u_{t} dB_{t}\right)^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E\left(\phi_{i} \phi_{j} \Delta B_{i} \Delta B_{j}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\phi_{i}^{2}\right) \left(t_{i} - t_{i-1}\right)$$
$$= E\left(\int_{0}^{T} u_{t}^{2} dt\right).$$

The extension of the stochastic integral to processes in the class $L_{a,T}^2$ is based on the following approximation result:

Lemma 20 If u is a process in $L_{a,T}^2$ then, there exists a sequence of simple processes $u^{(n)}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E\left(\int_0^T \left| u_t - u_t^{(n)} \right|^2 dt \right) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Proof: The proof of this Lemma will be done in two steps:

1. Suppose first that the process u is continuous in mean square. In this case, we can choose the approximating sequence

$$u_t^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{t_{j-1}} \mathbf{1}_{(t_{j-1}, t_j]}(t),$$

where $t_j = \frac{jT}{n}$. The continuity in mean square of u implies that

$$E\left(\int_0^T \left|u_t - u_t^{(n)}\right|^2 dt\right) \le T \sup_{|t-s| \le T/n} E\left(|u_t - u_s|^2\right),$$

which converges to zero as n tends to infinity.

2. Suppose now that u is an arbitrary process in the class $L_{a,T}^2$. Then, we need to show that there exists a sequence $v^{(n)}$, of processes in $L_{a,T}^2$, continuous in mean square and such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E\left(\int_0^T \left| u_t - v_t^{(n)} \right|^2 dt\right) = 0. \tag{15}$$

In order to find this sequence we set

$$v_t^{(n)} = n \int_{t-\frac{1}{n}}^t u_s ds = n \left(\int_0^t u_s ds - \int_0^t u_{s-\frac{1}{n}} ds \right),$$

with the convention u(s) = 0 if s < 0. These processes are continuous in mean square (actually, they have continuous trajectories) and they belong to the class $L_{a,T}^2$. Furthermore, (15) holds because for each (t, ω) we have

$$\int_0^T \left| u(t,\omega) - v^{(n)}(t,\omega) \right|^2 dt \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

(this is a consequence of the fact that $v_t^{(n)}$ is defined from u_t by means of a convolution with the kernel $n1_{\left[-\frac{1}{n},0\right]}$) and we can apply the dominated convergence theorem on the product space $[0,T]\times\Omega$ because

$$\int_0^T \left| v^{(n)}(t,\omega) \right|^2 dt \le \int_0^T |u(t,\omega)|^2 dt.$$

Definition 21 The stochastic integral of a process u in the $L^2_{a,T}$ is defined as the following limit in mean square

$$\int_0^T u_t dB_t = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T u_t^{(n)} dB_t, \tag{16}$$

where $u^{(n)}$ is an approximating sequence of simple processes that satisfy (14).

Notice that the limit (16) exists because the sequence of random variables $\int_0^T u_t^{(n)} dB_t$ is Cauchy in the space $L^2(\Omega)$, due to the isometry property (13):

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^T u_t^{(n)} dB_t - \int_0^T u_t^{(m)} dB_t\right)^2\right] = E\left(\int_0^T \left(u_t^{(n)} - u_t^{(m)}\right)^2 dt\right)$$

$$\leq 2E\left(\int_0^T \left(u_t^{(n)} - u_t\right)^2 dt\right)$$

$$+2E\left(\int_0^T \left(u_t - u_t^{(m)}\right)^2 dt\right) \xrightarrow{n,m \to \infty} 0.$$

On the other hand, the limit (16) does not depend on the approximating sequence $u^{(n)}$. Properties of the stochastic integral:

1.- Isometry:

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^T u_t dB_t\right)^2\right] = E\left(\int_0^T u_t^2 dt\right).$$

2.- Mean zero:

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^T u_t dB_t\right)\right] = 0.$$

3.- Linearity:

$$\int_0^T (au_t + bv_t) dB_t = a \int_0^T u_t dB_t + b \int_0^T v_t dB_t.$$

4.- Local property: $\int_0^T u_t dB_t = 0$ almost surely, on the set $G = \left\{ \int_0^T u_t^2 dt = 0 \right\}$.

Local property holds because on the set G the approximating sequence

$$u_t^{(n,m,N)} = \sum_{j=1}^n m \left[\int_{\frac{(j-1)T}{n} - \frac{1}{m}}^{\frac{(j-1)T}{n}} u_s ds \right] \mathbf{1}_{\left(\frac{(j-1)T}{n}, \frac{jT}{n}\right]}(t)$$

vanishes.

Example 1

$$\int_{0}^{T} B_{t} dB_{t} = \frac{1}{2} B_{T}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} T.$$

The process B_t being continuous in mean square, we can choose as approximating sequence

$$u_t^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{t_{j-1}} \mathbf{1}_{(t_{j-1}, t_j]}(t),$$

where $t_j = \frac{jT}{n}$, and we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{T} B_{t} dB_{t} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{t_{j-1}} \left(B_{t_{j}} - B_{t_{j-1}} \right)
= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(B_{t_{j}}^{2} - B_{t_{j-1}}^{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(B_{t_{j}} - B_{t_{j-1}} \right)^{2}
= \frac{1}{2} B_{T}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} T.$$
(17)

If x_t is a continuously differentiable function such that $x_0 = 0$, we know that

$$\int_{0}^{T} x_{t} dx_{t} = \int_{0}^{T} x_{t} x_{t}' dt = \frac{1}{2} x_{T}^{2}.$$

Notice that in the case of Brownian motion an additional term appears: $-\frac{1}{2}T$.

Example 2 Consider a deterministic function g such that $\int_0^T g(s)^2 ds < \infty$. The stochastic integral $\int_0^T g_s dB_s$ is a normal random variable with law

$$N(0, \int_0^T g(s)^2 ds).$$

3.4 Indefinite Stochastic Integrals

Consider a stochastic process u in the space $L_{a,T}^2$. Then, for any $t \in [0,T]$ the process $u\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$ also belongs to $L_{a,T}^2$, and we can define its stochastic integral:

$$\int_0^t u_s dB_s := \int_0^T u_s \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s) dB_s.$$

In this way we have constructed a new stochastic process

$$\left\{ \int_0^t u_s dB_s, 0 \le t \le T \right\}$$

which is the indefinite integral of u with respect to B.

Properties of the indefinite integrals:

1. Additivity: For any $a \leq b \leq c$ we have

$$\int_a^b u_s dB_s + \int_b^c u_s dB_s = \int_a^c u_s dB_s.$$

2. Factorization: If a < b and A is an event of the σ -field \mathcal{F}_a then,

$$\int_a^b \mathbf{1}_A u_s dB_s = \mathbf{1}_A \int_a^b u_s dB_s.$$

Actually, this property holds replacing $\mathbf{1}_A$ by any bounded and \mathcal{F}_a -measurable random variable.

3. Martingale property: The indefinite stochastic integral $M_t = \int_0^t u_s dB_s$ of a process $u \in L^2_{a,T}$ is a martingale with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F}_t .

Proof. Consider a sequence $u^{(n)}$ of simple processes such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E\left(\int_0^T \left| u_t - u_t^{(n)} \right|^2 dt \right) = 0.$$

Set $M_n(t) = \int_0^t u_s^{(n)} dB_s$. If ϕ_j is the value of the simple stochastic process $u^{(n)}$ on each interval $(t_{j-1}, t_j], j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $s \leq t_k \leq t_{m-1} \leq t$ we have

$$E(M_{n}(t) - M_{n}(s)|\mathcal{F}_{s})$$

$$= E\left(\phi_{k}(B_{t_{k}} - B_{s}) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{m-1} \phi_{j} \Delta B_{j} + \phi_{m}(B_{t} - B_{t_{m-1}})|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right)$$

$$= E(\phi_{k}(B_{t_{k}} - B_{s})|\mathcal{F}_{s}) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{m-1} E\left(E\left(\phi_{j} \Delta B_{j}|\mathcal{F}_{t_{j-1}}\right)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right)$$

$$+ E\left(E\left(\phi_{m}(B_{t} - B_{t_{m-1}})|\mathcal{F}_{t_{m-1}}\right)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right)$$

$$= \phi_{k}E\left((B_{t_{k}} - B_{s})|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{m-1} E\left(\phi_{j}E\left(\Delta B_{j}|\mathcal{F}_{t_{j-1}}\right)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right)$$

$$+ E\left(\phi_{m}E\left((B_{t} - B_{t_{m-1}})|\mathcal{F}_{t_{m-1}}\right)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right)$$

$$= 0.$$

Finally, the result follows from the fact that the convergence in mean square $M_n(t) \to M_t$ implies the convergence in mean square of the conditional expectations.

4. Continuity: Suppose that u belongs to the space $L_{a,T}^2$. Then, the stochastic integral $M_t = \int_0^t u_s dB_s$ has a version with continuous trajectories.

Proof. With the same notation as above, the process M_n is a martingale with continuous trajectories. Then, Doob's maximal inequality applied to the continuous martingale $M_n - M_m$ with p = 2 yields

$$P\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|M_n(t)-M_m(t)|>\lambda\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2}E\left(|M_n(T)-M_m(T)|^2\right)$$
$$=\frac{1}{\lambda^2}E\left(\int_0^T \left|u_t^{(n)}-u_t^{(m)}\right|^2 dt\right) \stackrel{n,m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

We can choose an increasing sequence of natural numbers $n_k, k = 1, 2, ...$ such that

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |M_{n_{k+1}}(t) - M_{n_k}(t)| > 2^{-k}\right) \le 2^{-k}.$$

The events $A_k := \left\{ \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |M_{n_{k+1}}(t) - M_{n_k}(t)| > 2^{-k} \right\}$ verify

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty.$$

Hence, Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that $P(\limsup_k A_k) = 0$, or

$$P(\liminf_{k} A_k^c) = 1.$$

That means, there exists a set N of probability zero such that for all $\omega \notin N$ there exists $k_1(\omega)$ such that for all $k \geq k_1(\omega)$

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} |M_{n_{k+1}}(t, \omega) - M_{n_k}(t, \omega)| \le 2^{-k}.$$

As a consequence, if $\omega \notin N$, the sequence $M_{n_k}(t,\omega)$ is uniformly convergent on [0,T] to a continuous function $J_t(\omega)$. On the other hand, we know that for any $t \in [0,T]$, $M_{n_k}(t)$ converges in mean square to $\int_0^t u_s dB_s$. So, $J_t(\omega) = \int_0^t u_s dB_s$ almost surely, for all $t \in [0,T]$, and we have proved that the indefinite stochastic integral possesses a continuous version.

5. Maximal inequality for the indefinite integral: $M_t = \int_0^t u_s dB_s$ of a processes $u \in L^2_{a,T}$: For all $\lambda > 0$,

$$P\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|M_t|>\lambda\right)\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2}E\left(\int_0^T u_t^2dt\right).$$

6. Stochastic integration up to a stopping time: If u belongs to the space $L_{a,T}^2$ and τ is a stopping time bounded by T, then the process $u\mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}$ also belongs to $L_{a,T}^2$ and we have:

$$\int_{0}^{T} u_{t} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t) dB_{t} = \int_{0}^{\tau} u_{t} dB_{t}.$$
(18)

Proof. The proof will be done in two steps:

(a) Suppose first that the process u has the form $u_t = F1_{(a,b]}(t)$, where $0 \le a < b \le T$ and $F \in L^2(\Omega, F_a, P)$. The stopping times $\tau_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} t_n^i 1_{A_n^i}$, where $t_n^i = \frac{iT}{2^n}$, $A_n^i = \left\{\frac{(i-1)T}{2^n} \le \tau < \frac{iT}{2^n}\right\}$ form a nonincreasing sequence which converges to τ . For any n we have

$$\int_0^{\tau_n} u_t \ dB_t = F(B_{b \wedge \tau_n} - B_{a \wedge \tau_n}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{0}^{T} u_{t} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau_{n}]}(t) dB_{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{A_{n}^{i}} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t_{n}^{i}]}(t) u_{t} dB_{t}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}^{i}} \mathbf{1}_{(t_{n}^{i-1},t_{n}^{i}]}(t) u_{t} dB_{t},$$

where $B_n^i = A_n^i \cup A_n^{i+1} \cup \ldots \cup A_n^{2^n}$. The process $\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i} \mathbf{1}_{(t_n^{i-1},t_n^i)}(t)$ is simple because

$$B_n^i = \{\frac{(i-1)T}{2^n} \leq \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_{t_n^{i-1}}.$$
 As a consequence,

$$\int_{0}^{T} u_{t} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau_{n}]}(t) dB_{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}^{i}} \mathbf{1}_{(a,b] \cap (t_{n}^{i-1},t_{n}^{i}]}(t) F dB_{t}$$

$$= F \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \mathbf{1}_{B_{n}^{i}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{(a,b] \cap (t_{n}^{i-1},t_{n}^{i}]}(t) dB_{t}$$

$$= F \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \mathbf{1}_{A_{n}^{i}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{(a,b] \cap [0,t_{n}^{i}]}(t) dB_{t}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \mathbf{1}_{A_{n}^{i}} F(B_{b \wedge t_{n}^{i}} - B_{a \wedge t_{n}^{i}})$$

$$= F(B_{b \wedge \tau_{n}} - B_{a \wedge \tau_{n}}).$$

Taking the limit as n tends to infinity we deduce the equality in the case of a simple process.

(b) In the general case, it suffices to approximate the process u by simple processes. The convergence of the right-hand side of (18) follows from Doob's maximal inequality.

The martingale $M_t = \int_0^t u_s dB_s$ has a nonzero quadratic variation, like the Brownian motion:

Proposition 22 (Quadratic variation) Let u be a process in $L_{a,T}^2$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} u_s dB_s \right)^2 \stackrel{L^1(\Omega)}{\longrightarrow} \int_0^t u_s^2 ds$$

as n tends to infinity, where $t_j = \frac{jt}{n}$.

3.5 Extensions of the Stochastic Integral

Itô's stochastic integral $\int_0^T u_s dB_s$ can be defined for classes of processes larger than $L_{a,T}^2$.

A) First, we can replace the filtration \mathcal{F}_t by a largest one \mathcal{H}_t such that the Brownian motion B_t is a martingale with respect to \mathcal{H}_t . In fact, we only need the property

$$E(B_t - B_s | \mathcal{H}_s) = 0.$$

Notice that this martingale property also implies that $E((B_t - B_s)^2 | \mathcal{H}_s) = 0$, because

$$E((B_t - B_s)^2 | \mathcal{H}_s) = E(2 \int_s^t B_r dB_r + t - s | \mathcal{H}_s)$$

$$= 2 \lim_n E(\sum_{i=1}^n B_{t_i}(B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}) | \mathcal{H}_s) + t - s$$

$$= t - s.$$

Example 3 Let $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ be a d-dimensional Brownian motion. That is, the components $\{B_k(t), t \geq 0\}$, k = 1, ..., d are independent Brownian motions. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_t^{(d)}$ the filtration generated by B_t and the sets of probability zero. Then, each component $B_k(t)$ is a martingale with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t^{(d)}$, but $\mathcal{F}_t^{(d)}$ is not the filtration generated by $B_k(t)$. The above extension allows us to define stochastic integrals of the form

$$\int_0^T B_2(s)dB_1^1(s),$$
$$\int_0^T \sin(B_1^2(s) + B_1^2(s))dB_2(s).$$

B) The second extension consists in replacing property $E\left(\int_0^T u_t^2 dt\right) < \infty$ by the weaker assumption:

b')
$$P\left(\int_0^T u_t^2 dt < \infty\right) = 1.$$

We denote by $L_{a,T}$ the space of processes that verify properties a) and b'). Stochastic integral is extended to the space $L_{a,T}$ by means of a localization argument.

Suppose that u belongs to $L_{a,T}$. For each $n \geq 1$ we define the stopping time

$$\tau_n = \inf\left\{t \ge 0 : \int_0^t u_s^2 ds = n\right\},\tag{19}$$

where, by convention, $\tau_n = T$ if $\int_0^T u_s^2 ds < n$. In this way we obtain a nondecreasing sequence of stopping times such that $\tau_n \uparrow T$. Furthermore,

$$t < \tau_n \Longleftrightarrow \int_0^t u_s^2 ds < n.$$

Set

$$u_t^{(n)} = u_t \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau_n]}(t)$$

The process $u^{(n)} = \{u_t^{(n)}, 0 \le t \le T\}$ belongs to $L_{a,T}^2$ since $E\left(\int_0^T \left(u_s^{(n)}\right)^2 ds\right) \le n$. If $n \le m$, on the set $\{t \le \tau_n\}$ we have

$$\int_0^t u_s^{(n)} dB_s = \int_0^t u_s^{(m)} dB_s$$

because by (18) we can write

$$\int_0^t u_s^{(n)} dB_s = \int_0^t u_s^{(m)} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau_n]}(s) dB_s = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} u_s^{(m)} dB_s.$$

As a consequence, there exists an adapted and continuous process denoted by $\int_0^t u_s dB_s$ such that for any $n \ge 1$,

$$\int_0^t u_s^{(n)} dB_s = \int_0^t u_s dB_s$$

if $t \leq \tau_n$.

The stochastic integral of processes in the space $L_{a,T}$ is linear and has continuous trajectories. However, it may have infinite expectation and variance. Instead of the isometry property, there is a continuity property in probability as it follows from the next proposition:

Proposition 23 Suppose that $u \in L_{a,T}$. For all $K, \delta > 0$ we have :

$$P\left(\left|\int_0^T u_s dB_s\right| \geq K\right) \leq P\left(\int_0^T u_s^2 ds \geq \delta\right) + \frac{\delta}{K^2}.$$

Proof. Consider the stopping time defined by

$$\tau = \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : \int_0^t u_s^2 ds = \delta \right\},\,$$

with the convention that $\tau = T$ if $\int_0^T u_s^2 ds < \delta$. We have

$$P\left(\left|\int_{0}^{T} u_{s} dB_{s}\right| \geq K\right) \leq P\left(\int_{0}^{T} u_{s}^{2} ds \geq \delta\right) + P\left(\left|\int_{0}^{T} u_{s} dB_{s}\right| \geq K, \int_{0}^{T} u_{s}^{2} ds < \delta\right),$$

and on the other hand,

$$P\left(\left|\int_{0}^{T} u_{s} dB_{s}\right| \geq K, \int_{0}^{T} u_{s}^{2} ds < \delta\right) = P\left(\left|\int_{0}^{T} u_{s} dB_{s}\right| \geq K, \tau = T\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\left|\int_{0}^{\tau} u_{s} dB_{s}\right| \geq K\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{K^{2}} E\left(\left|\int_{0}^{\tau} u_{s} dB_{s}\right|^{2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{K^{2}} E\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} u_{s}^{2} ds\right) \leq \frac{\delta}{K^{2}}.$$

As a consequence of the above proposition, if $u^{(n)}$ is a sequence of processes in the space $L_{a,T}$ which converges to $u \in L_{a,T}$ in probability:

$$P\left(\left|\int_0^T \left(u_s^{(n)} - u_s\right)^2 ds\right| > \epsilon\right) \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\to} 0$$
, for all $\epsilon > 0$

then,

$$\int_0^T u_s^{(n)} dB_s \stackrel{P}{\to} \int_0^T u_s dB_s.$$

3.6 Itô's Formula

Itô's formula is the stochastic version of the chain rule of the ordinary differential calculus. Consider the following example

$$\int_0^t B_s dB_s = \frac{1}{2} B_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} t,$$

that can be written as

$$B_t^2 = \int_0^t 2B_s dB_s + t,$$

or in differential notation

$$d\left(B_t^2\right) = 2B_t dB_t + dt.$$

Formally, this follows from Taylor development of B_t^2 as a function of t, with the convention $(dB_t)^2 = dt$.

The stochastic process B_t^2 can be expressed as the sum of an indefinite stochastic integral $\int_0^t 2B_s dB_s$, plus a differentiable function. More generally, we will see that any process of the form $f(B_t)$, where f is twice continuously differentiable, can be expressed as the sum of an indefinite stochastic integral, plus a process with differentiable trajectories. This leads to the definition of $It\hat{o}$ processes.

Denote by $L_{a,T}^1$ the space of processes v which satisfy properties a) and

b")
$$P\left(\int_0^T |v_t| dt < \infty\right) = 1.$$

Definition 24 A continuous and adapted stochastic process $\{X_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$ is called an Itô process if it can be expressed in the form

$$X_{t} = X_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} u_{s} dB_{s} + \int_{0}^{t} v_{s} ds, \tag{20}$$

where u belongs to the space $L_{a,T}$ and v belongs to the space $L_{a,T}^1$.

In differential notation we will write

$$dX_t = u_t dB_t + v_t dt.$$

Theorem 25 (Itô's formula) Suppose that X is an Itô process of the form (20). Let f(t,x) be a function twice differentiable with respect to the variable x and once differentiable with respect to t, with continuous partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}$, and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ (we say that f is of class $C^{1,2}$). Then, the process $Y_t = f(t, X_t)$ is again an Itô process with the representation

$$Y_{t} = f(0, X_{0}) + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(s, X_{s})ds + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, X_{s})u_{s}dB_{s}$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, X_{s})v_{s}ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}(s, X_{s})u_{s}^{2}ds.$$

1.- In differential notation Itô's formula can be written as

$$df(t, X_t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, X_t)dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, X_t)dX_t + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s)(dX_t)^2,$$

where $(dX_t)^2$ is computed using the product rule

×	dB_t	dt
dB_t	dt	0
dt	0	0

2.- The process Y_t is an Itô process with the representation

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t \widetilde{u}_s dB_s + \int_0^t \widetilde{v}_s ds,$$

where

$$Y_{0} = f(0, X_{0}),$$

$$\widetilde{u}_{t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, X_{t})u_{t},$$

$$\widetilde{v}_{t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, X_{t}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, X_{t})v_{t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}(t, X_{t})u_{t}^{2}.$$

Notice that $\widetilde{u}_t \in L_{a,T}$ and $\widetilde{v}_t \in L_{a,T}^1$ due to the continuity of X.

3.- In the particular case $u_t = 1$, $v_t = 0$, $X_0 = 0$, the process X_t is the Brownian motion B_t , and Itô's formula has the following simple version

$$f(t, B_t) = f(0,0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, B_s) dB_s + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(s, B_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s, B_s) ds.$$

4.- In the particular case where f does not depend on the time we obtain the formula

$$f(X_t) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s)u_s dB_s + \int_0^t f'(X_s)v_s ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(X_s)u_s^2 ds.$$

Itô's formula follows from Taylor development up to the second order. We will explain the heuristic ideas that lead to Itô's formula using Taylor development. Suppose v=0. Fix t>0 and consider the times $t_j=\frac{jt}{n}$. Taylor's formula up to the second order gives

$$f(X_t) - f(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[f(X_{t_j}) - f(X_{t_{j-1}}) \right]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} f'(X_{t_{j-1}}) \Delta X_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f''(\overline{X}_j) (\Delta X_j)^2, \tag{21}$$

where $\Delta X_j = X_{t_j} - X_{t_{j-1}}$ and \overline{X}_j is an intermediate value between $X_{t_{j-1}}$ and X_{t_j} .

The first summand in the above expression converges in probability to $\int_0^t f'(X_s)u_s dB_s$, whereas the second summand converges in probability to $\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t f''(X_s)u_s^2 ds$.

Some examples of application of Itô's formula:

Example 4 If $f(x) = x^2$ and $X_t = B_t$, we obtain

$$B_t^2 = 2 \int_0^t B_s dB_s + t,$$

because f'(x) = 2x and f''(x) = 2.

Example 5 If $f(x) = x^3$ and $X_t = B_t$, we obtain

$$B_t^3 = 3 \int_0^t B_s^2 dB_s + 3 \int_0^t B_s ds,$$

because $f'(x) = 3x^2$ and f''(x) = 6x. More generally, if $n \ge 2$ is a natural number,

$$B_t^n = n \int_0^t B_s^{n-1} dB_s + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \int_0^t B_s^{n-2} ds.$$

Example 6 If $f(t,x)=e^{ax-\frac{a^2}{2}t}$, $X_t=B_t$, and $Y_t=e^{aB_t-\frac{a^2}{2}t}$, we obtain

$$Y_t = 1 + a \int_0^t Y_s dB_s$$

because

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 0. \tag{22}$$

This important example leads to the following remarks:

1.- If a function f(t, x) of class $C^{1,2}$ satisfies the equality (22), then, the stochastic process $f(t, B_t)$ will be an indefinite integral plus a constant term. Therefore, $f(t, B_t)$ will be a martingale provided f satisfies:

$$E\left[\int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, B_s)\right)^2 ds\right] < \infty$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

2.- The solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dY_t = aY_t dB_t$$

is not $Y_t = e^{aB_t}$, but $Y_t = e^{aB_t - \frac{a^2}{2}t}$.

Example 7 Suppose that f(t) is a continuously differentiable function on [0,T]. Itô's formula applied to the function f(t)x yields

$$f(t)B_t = \int_0^t f_s dB_s + \int_0^t B_s f_s' ds$$

and we obtain the integration by parts formula

$$\int_0^t f_s dB_s = f(t)B_t - \int_0^t B_s f_s' ds.$$

We are going to present a multidimensional version of Itô's formula. Suppose that $B_t = (B_t^1, B_t^2, \dots, B_t^m)$ is an m-dimensional Brownian motion. Consider an n-dimensional Itô process of the form

$$\begin{cases} X_t^1 = X_0^1 + \int_0^t u_s^{11} dB_s^1 + \dots + \int_0^t u_s^{1m} dB_s^m + \int_0^t v_s^1 ds \\ \vdots \\ X_t^n = X_0^n + \int_0^t u_s^{n1} dB_s^1 + \dots + \int_0^t u_s^{nm} dB_s^m + \int_0^t v_s^n ds \end{cases}$$

In differential notation we can write

$$dX_t^i = \sum_{l=1}^m u_t^{il} dB_t^l + v_t^i dt$$

or

$$dX_t = u_t dB_t + v_t dt.$$

where v_t is an *n*-dimensional process and u_t is a process with values in the set of $n \times m$ matrices and we assume that the components of u belong to $L_{a,T}$ and those of v belong to $L_{a,T}^1$.

Then, if $f:[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^p$ is a function of class $C^{1,2}$, the process $Y_t=f(t,X_t)$ is again an Itô process with the representation

$$dY_t^k = \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial t}(t, X_t)dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i}(t, X_t)dX_t^i$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(t, X_t)dX_t^i dX_t^j.$$

The product of differentials $dX_t^i dX_t^j$ is computed by means of the product rules:

$$dB_t^i dB_t^j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ dt & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

$$dB_t^i dt = 0$$

$$(dt)^2 = 0.$$

In this way we obtain

$$dX_t^i dX_t^j = \left(\sum_{k=1}^m u_t^{ik} u_t^{jk}\right) dt = (u_t u_t')_{ij} dt.$$

As a consequence we can deduce the following integration by parts formula: Suppose that X_t and Y_t are Itô processes. Then,

$$X_t Y_t = X_0 Y_0 + \int_0^t X_s dY_s + \int_0^t Y_s dX_s + \int_0^t dX_s dY_s.$$

3.7 Itô's Integral Representation

Consider a process u in the space $L_{a,T}^2$. We know that the indefinite stochastic integral

$$X_t = \int_0^t u_s dB_s$$

is a martingale with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F}_t . The aim of this subsection is to show that any square integrable martingale is of this form. We start with the integral representation of square integrable random variables.

Theorem 26 (Itô's integral representation) Consider a random variable F in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P)$. Then, there exists a unique process u in the space $L^2_{a,T}$ such that

$$F = E(F) + \int_0^T u_s dB_s.$$

Proof. Suppose first that F is of the form

$$F = \exp\left(\int_0^T h_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T h_s^2 ds\right),\tag{23}$$

where h is a deterministic function such that $\int_0^T h_s^2 ds < \infty$. Define

$$Y_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t h_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t h_s^2 ds\right).$$

By Itô's formula applied to the function $f(x) = e^x$ and the process $X_t = \int_0^t h_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t h_s^2 ds$, we obtain

$$dY_t = Y_t \left(h(t)dB_t - \frac{1}{2}h^2(t)dt \right) + \frac{1}{2}Y_t(h(t)dB_t)^2$$

= $Y_t h(t)dB_t$,

that is,

$$Y_t = 1 + \int_0^t Y_s h(s) dB_s.$$

Hence,

$$F = Y_T = 1 + \int_0^T Y_s h(s) dB_s$$

and we get the desired representation because E(F) = 1,

$$\begin{split} E\left(\int_0^T Y_s^2 h^2(s) ds\right) &= \int_0^T E\left(Y_s^2\right) h^2(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^T e^{\int_0^t h_s^2 ds} h^2(s) ds \\ &\leq \exp\left(\int_0^T h_s^2 ds\right) \int_0^T h_s^2 ds < \infty. \end{split}$$

By linearity, the representation holds for linear combinations of exponentials of the form (23). In the general case, any random variable F in $L^2(\Omega, F_T, P)$ can be approximated in mean square by a sequence F_n of linear combinations of exponentials of the form (23). Then, we have

$$F_n = E(F_n) + \int_0^T u_s^{(n)} dB_s.$$

By the isometry of the stochastic integral

$$E\left[(F_n - F_m)^2 \right] = E\left[\left(E(F_n - F_m) + \int_0^T \left(u_s^{(n)} - u_s^{(m)} \right) dB_s \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= (E(F_n - F_m))^2 + E\left[\left(\int_0^T \left(u_s^{(n)} - u_s^{(m)} \right) dB_s \right)^2 \right]$$

$$\geq E\left[\int_0^T \left(u_s^{(n)} - u_s^{(m)} \right)^2 ds \right].$$

The sequence F_n is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega, F_T, P)$. Hence,

$$E\left[\left(F_n - F_m\right)^2\right] \stackrel{n,m \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

and, therefore,

$$E\left[\int_0^T \left(u_s^{(n)} - u_s^{(m)}\right)^2 ds\right] \stackrel{n,m \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

This means that $u^{(n)}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2([0,T]\times\Omega)$. Consequently, it will converge to a process u in $L^2([0,T]\times\Omega)$. We can show that the process u, as an element of $L^2([0,T]\times\Omega)$ has a version which is adapted, because there exists a subsequence $u^{(n)}(t,\omega)$ which converges to $u(t,\omega)$ for almost all (t,ω) . So, $u\in L^2_{a,T}$. Applying again the isometry property, and taking into account that $E(F_n)$ converges to E(F), we obtain

$$F = \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(E(F_n) + \int_0^T u_s^{(n)} dB_s \right)$$
$$= E(F) + \int_0^T u_s dB_s.$$

Finally, uniqueness also follows from the isometry property: Suppose that $u^{(1)}$ and $u^{(2)}$ are processes in $L_{a,T}^2$ such that

$$F = E(F) + \int_0^T u_s^{(1)} dB_s = E(F) + \int_0^T u_s^{(2)} dB_s.$$

Then

$$0 = E\left[\left(\int_0^T \left(u_s^{(1)} - u_s^{(2)} \right) dB_s \right)^2 \right] = E\left[\int_0^T \left(u_s^{(1)} - u_s^{(2)} \right)^2 ds \right]$$

and, hence, $u_s^{(1)}(t,\omega) = u_s^{(2)}(t,\omega)$ for almost all (t,ω) .

Theorem 27 (Martingale representation theorem) Suppose that $\{M_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is a martingale with respect to the \mathcal{F}_t , such that $E(M_T^2) < \infty$. Then there exists a unique stochastic process u in the space $L^2_{a,T}$ such that

$$M_t = E(M_0) + \int_0^t u_s dB_s$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Applying Itô's representation theorem to the random variable $F = M_T$ we obtain a unique process $u \in L^2_T$ such that

$$M_T = E(M_T) + \int_0^T u_s dB_s = E(M_0) + \int_0^T u_s dB_s.$$

Suppose $0 \le t \le T$. We obtain

$$M_t = E[M_T|\mathcal{F}_t] = E(M_0) + E[\int_0^T u_s dB_s|\mathcal{F}_t]$$
$$= E(M_0) + \int_0^t u_s dB_s.$$

Example 8 We want to find the integral representation of $F = B_T^3$. By Itô's formula

$$B_T^3 = \int_0^T 3B_t^2 dB_t + 3 \int_0^T B_t dt,$$

and integrating by parts

$$\int_{0}^{T} B_{t}dt = TB_{T} - \int_{0}^{T} tdB_{t} = \int_{0}^{T} (T - t)dB_{t}.$$

So, we obtain the representation

$$B_T^3 = \int_0^T 3 \left[B_t^2 + (T - t) \right] dB_t.$$

3.8 Girsanov Theorem

Girsanov theorem says that a Brownian motion with drift $B_t + \lambda t$ can be seen as a Brownian motion without drift, with a change of probability. We first discuss changes of probability by means of densities.

Suppose that $L \geq 0$ is a nonnegative random variable on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that E(L) = 1. Then,

$$Q(A) = E\left(\mathbf{1}_A L\right)$$

defines a new probability. In fact, Q is a σ -additive measure such that

$$Q(\Omega) = E(L) = 1.$$

We say that L is the *density* of Q with respect to P and we write

$$\frac{dQ}{dP} = L.$$

The expectation of a random variable X in the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, Q) is computed by the formula

$$E_O(X) = E(XL).$$

The probability Q is absolutely continuous with respect to P, that means,

$$P(A) = 0 \Longrightarrow Q(A) = 0.$$

If L is strictly positive, then the probabilities P and Q are equivalent (that is, mutually absolutely continuous), that means,

$$P(A) = 0 \iff Q(A) = 0.$$

The next example is a simple version of Girsanov theorem.

Example 9 Let X be a random variable with distribution $N(m, \sigma^2)$. Consider the random variable

$$L = e^{-\frac{m}{\sigma^2}X + \frac{m^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

which satisfies E(L) = 1. Suppose that Q has density L with respect to P. On the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, Q) the variable X has the characteristic function:

$$\begin{split} E_Q(e^{itX}) &= E(e^{itX}L) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{mx}{\sigma^2} + \frac{m^2}{2\sigma^2} + itx} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + itx} dx = e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}}, \end{split}$$

so, X has distribution $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Let $\{B_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ be a Brownian motion. Fix a real number λ and consider the martingale

$$L_t = \exp\left(-\lambda B_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right).$$
 (24)

We know that the process $\{L_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is a positive martingale with expectation 1 which satisfies the linear stochastic differential equation

$$L_t = 1 - \int_0^t \lambda L_s dB_s.$$

The random variable L_T is a density in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P)$ which defines a probability Q given by

$$Q(A) = E\left(\mathbf{1}_A L_T\right),\,$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

The martingale property of the process L_t implies that, for any $t \in [0, T]$, in the space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$, the probability Q has density L_t with respect to P. In fact, if A belongs to the σ -field \mathcal{F}_t we have

$$Q(A) = E(\mathbf{1}_A L_T) = E(E(\mathbf{1}_A L_T | \mathcal{F}_t))$$
$$= E(\mathbf{1}_A E(L_T | \mathcal{F}_t))$$
$$= E(\mathbf{1}_A L_t).$$

Theorem 28 (Girsanov theorem) In the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, Q)$ the stochastic process

$$W_t = B_t + \lambda t,$$

is a Brownian motion.

In order to prove Girsanov theorem we need the following technical result:

Lemma 29 Suppose that X is a real random variable and \mathcal{G} is a σ -field such that

$$E\left(e^{iuX}|\mathcal{G}\right) = e^{-\frac{u^2\sigma^2}{2}}.$$

Then, the random variable X is independent of the σ -field \mathcal{G} and it has the normal distribution $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Proof. For any $A \in \mathcal{G}$ we have

$$E\left(\mathbf{1}_A e^{iuX}\right) = P(A)e^{-\frac{u^2\sigma^2}{2}}.$$

Thus, choosing $A = \Omega$ we obtain that the characteristic function of X is that of a normal distribution $N(0, \sigma^2)$. On the other hand, for any $A \in \mathcal{G}$, the characteristic function of X with respect to the conditional probability given A is again that of a normal distribution $N(0, \sigma^2)$:

$$E_A\left(e^{iuX}\right) = e^{-\frac{u^2\sigma^2}{2}} \ .$$

That is, the law of X given A is again a normal distribution $N(0, \sigma^2)$:

$$P_A(X \le x) = \Phi(x/\sigma)$$
,

where Φ is the distribution function of the law N(0,1). Thus,

$$P((X \le x) \cap A) = P(A)\Phi(x/\sigma) = P(A)P(X \le x),$$

and this implies the independence of X and \mathcal{G} .

Proof of Girsanov theorem. It is enough to show that in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, Q)$, for all $s < t \le T$ the increment $W_t - W_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s and has the normal distribution N(0, t - s).

Taking into account the previous lemma, these properties follow from the following relation, for all $s < t, A \in \mathcal{F}_s, u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$E_Q\left(\mathbf{1}_A e^{iu(W_t - W_s)}\right) = Q(A)e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}(t-s)}.$$
 (25)

In order to show (25) we write

$$\begin{split} E_Q \left(\mathbf{1}_A e^{iu(W_t - W_s)} \right) &= E \left(\mathbf{1}_A e^{iu(W_t - W_s)} L_t \right) \\ &= E \left(\mathbf{1}_A e^{iu(B_t - B_s) + iu\lambda(t - s) - \lambda(B_t - B_s) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(t - s)} L_s \right) \\ &= E(\mathbf{1}_A L_s) E \left(e^{(iu - \lambda)(B_t - B_s)} \right) e^{iu\lambda(t - s) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(t - s)} \\ &= Q(A) e^{\frac{(iu - \lambda)^2}{2}(t - s) + iu\lambda(t - s) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(t - s)} \\ &= Q(A) e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}(t - s)}. \end{split}$$

Girsanov theorem admits the following generalization:

Theorem 30 Let $\{\theta_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ be an adapted stochastic process such that it satisfies the following Novikov condition:

$$E\left(\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \theta_t^2 dt\right)\right) < \infty. \tag{26}$$

Then, the process

$$W_t = B_t + \int_0^t \theta_s ds$$

is a Brownian motion with respect to the probability Q defined by

$$Q(A) = E\left(\mathbf{1}_A L_T\right),\,$$

where

$$L_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \theta_s^2 ds\right).$$

Notice that again L_t satisfies the linear stochastic differential equation

$$L_t = 1 - \int_0^t \theta_s L_s dB_s.$$

For the process L_t to be a density we need $E(L_t) = 1$, and condition (26) ensures this property.

As an application of Girsanov theorem we will compute the probability distribution of the hitting time of a level a by a Brownian motion with drift.

Let $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ be a Brownian motion. Fix a real number λ , and define

$$L_t = \exp\left(-\lambda B_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right).$$

Let Q be the probability on each σ -field \mathcal{F}_t such that for all t > 0

$$\frac{dQ}{dP}|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = L_t.$$

By Girsanov theorem, for all T > 0, in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, Q)$ the process $B_t + \lambda t := \widetilde{B}_t$ is a Brownian motion in the time interval [0, T]. That is, in this space B_t is a Brownian motion with drift $-\lambda t$. Set

$$\tau_a = \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t = a\},\$$

where $a \neq 0$. For any $t \geq 0$ the event $\{\tau_a \leq t\}$ belongs to the σ -field $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_a \wedge t}$ because for any $s \geq 0$

$$\{\tau_a \leq t\} \cap \{\tau_a \wedge t \leq s\} = \{\tau_a \leq t\} \cap \{\tau_a \leq s\}$$
$$= \{\tau_a \leq t \wedge s\} \in \mathcal{F}_{s \wedge t} \subset \mathcal{F}_s.$$

Consequently, using the Optional Stopping Theorem we obtain

$$Q\{\tau_{a} \leq t\} = E\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{a} \leq t\}} L_{t}\right) = E\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{a} \leq t\}} E(L_{t} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{a} \wedge t})\right)$$

$$= E\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{a} \leq t\}} L_{\tau_{a} \wedge t}\right) = E\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{a} \leq t\}} L_{\tau_{a}}\right)$$

$$= E\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{a} \leq t\}} e^{-\lambda a - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{2} s}\right)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda a - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{2} s} f(s) ds,$$

where f is the density of the random variable τ_a . We know that

$$f(s) = \frac{|a|}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} e^{-\frac{a^2}{2s}}.$$

Hence, with respect to Q the random variable τ_a has the probability density

$$\frac{|a|}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}}e^{-\frac{(a+\lambda s)^2}{2s}}, \ s>0.$$

Letting, $t \uparrow \infty$ we obtain

$$Q\{\tau_a < \infty\} = e^{-\lambda a} E\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \tau_a}\right) = e^{-\lambda a - |\lambda a|}.$$

If $\lambda = 0$ (Brownian motion without drift), the probability to reach the level is one. If $-\lambda a > 0$ (the drift $-\lambda$ and the level a have the same sign) this probability is also one. If $-\lambda a < 0$ (the drift $-\lambda$ and the level a have opposite sign) this probability is $e^{-2\lambda a}$.

Exercises

3.1 Let B_t be a Brownian motion. Fix a time $t_0 \ge 0$. Show that the process

$$\left\{\widetilde{B}_t = B_{t_0+t} - B_{t_0}, \ t \ge 0\right\}$$

is a Brownian motion.

- **3.2** Let B_t be a two-dimensional Brownian motion. Given $\rho > 0$, compute: $P(|B_t| < \rho)$.
- **3.3** Let B_t be a n-dimensional Brownian motion. Consider an orthogonal $n \times n$ matrix U (that is, UU' = I). Show that the process

$$\widetilde{B}_t = UB_t$$

is a Brownian motion.

- **3.4** Compute the mean and autocovariance function of the geometric Brownian motion. Is it a Gaussian process?
- **3.5** Let B_t be a Brownian motion. Find the law of B_t conditioned by B_{t_1} , B_{t_2} , and (B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}) assuming $t_1 < t < t_2$.
- **3.6** Check if the following processes are martingales, where B_t is a Brownian motion:

$$X_{t} = B_{t}^{3} - 3tB_{t}$$

$$X_{t} = t^{2}B_{t} - 2\int_{0}^{t} sB_{s}ds$$

$$X_{t} = e^{t/2}\cos B_{t}$$

$$X_{t} = e^{t/2}\sin B_{t}$$

$$X_{t} = (B_{t} + t)\exp(-B_{t} - \frac{1}{2}t)$$

$$X_{t} = B_{1}(t)B_{2}(t).$$

In the last example, B_1 and B_2 are independent Brownian motions.

3.7 Find the stochastic integral representation on the time interval [0,T] of the following random variables:

$$F = B_T$$

$$F = B_T^2$$

$$F = e^{B_T}$$

$$F = \int_0^T B_t dt$$

$$F = B_T^3$$

$$F = \sin B_T$$

$$F = \int_0^T t B_t^2 dt$$

3.8 Let $p(t,x) = 1/\sqrt{1-t} \exp(-x^2/2(1-t))$, for $0 \le t < 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and p(1,x) = 0. Define $M_t = p(t, B_t)$, where $\{B_t, 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a Brownian motion.

61

- a) Show that for each $0 \le t < 1$, $M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(s, B_s) dB_s$.
- b) Set $H_t = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(t, B_t)$. Show that $\int_0^1 H_t^2 dt < \infty$ almost surely, but $E\left(\int_0^1 H_t^2 dt\right) = \infty$.

4 Stochastic Differential Equations

Consider a Brownian motion $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Suppose that $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a filtration such that B_t is \mathcal{F}_t -adapted and for any $0 \leq s < t$, the increment $B_t - B_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s .

We aim to solve stochastic differential equations of the form

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dB_t$$
(27)

with an initial condition X_0 , which is a random variable independent of the Brownian motion B_t . The coefficients b(t,x) and $\sigma(t,x)$ are called, respectively, drift and diffusion coefficient. If the diffusion coefficient vanishes, then we have (27) is the ordinary differential equation:

$$\frac{dX_t}{dt} = b(t, X_t).$$

For instance, in the linear case b(t,x) = b(t)x, the solution of this equation is

$$X_t = X_0 e^{\int_0^t b(s)ds}.$$

The stochastic differential equation (27) has the following heuristic interpretation. The increment $\Delta X_t = X_{t+\Delta t} - X_t$ can be approximatively decomposed into the sum of $b(t, X_t)\Delta t$ plus the term $\sigma(t, X_t)\Delta B_t$ which is interpreted as a random impulse. The approximate distribution of this increment will the normal distribution with mean $b(t, X_t)\Delta t$ and variance $\sigma(t, X_t)^2\Delta t$.

A formal meaning of Equation (27) is obtained by rewriting it in integral form, using stochastic integrals:

$$X_{t} = X_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} b(s, X_{s})ds + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s, X_{s})dB_{s}.$$
 (28)

That is, the solution will be an Itô process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$. The solutions of stochastic differential equations are called *diffusion processes*.

The main result on the existence and uniqueness of solutions is the following.

Theorem 31 Fix a time interval [0,T]. Suppose that the coefficients of Equation (27) satisfy the following Lipschitz and linear growth properties:

$$|b(t,x) - b(t,y)| \le D_1|x-y| \tag{29}$$

$$|\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)| \le D_2|x-y| \tag{30}$$

$$|b(t,x)| \le C_1(1+|x|)$$
 (31)

$$|\sigma(t,x)| < C_2(1+|x|), \tag{32}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in [0, T]$. Suppose that X_0 is a random variable independent of the Brownian motion $\{B_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$ and such that $E(X_0^2) < \infty$. Then, there exists a unique continuous and adapted stochastic process $\{X_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ such that

$$E\left(\int_0^T |X_s|^2 ds\right) < \infty,$$

which satisfies Equation (28).

Remarks:

- 1.- This result is also true in higher dimensions, when B_t is an m-dimensional Brownian motion, the process X_t is n-dimensional, and the coefficients are functions $b:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}$.
- **2.-** The linear growth condition (31,32) ensures that the solution does not explode in the time interval [0, T]. For example, the deterministic differential equation

$$\frac{dX_t}{dt} = X_t^2, \ X_0 = 1, 0 \le t \le 1,$$

has the unique solution

$$X_t = \frac{1}{1-t}, \ 0 \le t < 1,$$

which diverges at time t = 1.

3.- Lipschitz condition (29,30) ensures that the solution is unique. For example, the deterministic differential equation

$$\frac{dX_t}{dt} = 3X_t^{2/3}, \ X_0 = 0,$$

has infinitely many solutions because for each a > 0, the function

$$X_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \le a \\ (t-a)^3 & \text{if } t > a \end{cases}$$

is a solution. In this example, the coefficient $b(x) = 3x^{2/3}$ does not satisfy the Lipschitz condition because the derivative of b is not bounded.

4.- If the coefficients b(t,x) and $\sigma(t,x)$ are differentiable in the variable x, the Lipschitz condition means that the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial b}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x}$ are bounded by the constants D_1 and D_2 , respectively.

4.1 Explicit solutions of stochastic differential equations

Itô's formula allows us to find explicit solutions to some particular stochastic differential equations. Let us see some examples.

A) Linear equations. The geometric Brownian motion

$$X_t = X_0 e^{\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma B_t}$$

solves the linear stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dB_t.$$

More generally, the solution of the homogeneous linear stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(t)X_tdt + \sigma(t)X_tdB_t$$

where b(t) and $\sigma(t)$ are continuous functions, is

$$X_t = X_0 \exp\left[\int_0^t \left(b(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(s)\right) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dB_s\right].$$

Application: Consider the Black-Scholes model for the prices of a financial asset, with time-dependent coefficients $\mu(t)$ y $\sigma(t) > 0$:

$$dS_t = S_t(\mu(t)dt + \sigma(t)dB_t).$$

The solution to this equation is

$$S_t = S_0 \exp\left[\int_0^t \left(\mu(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(s)\right) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dB_s\right].$$

If the interest rate r(t) is also a continuous function of the time, there exists a risk-free probability under which the process

$$W_t = B_t + \int_0^t \frac{\mu(s) - r(s)}{\sigma(s)} ds$$

is a Brownian motion and the discounted prices $\widetilde{S}_t = S_t e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds}$ are martingales:

$$\widetilde{S}_t = S_0 \exp\left(\int_0^t \sigma(s)dW_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \sigma^2(s)ds\right).$$

In this way we can deduce a generalization of the Black-Scholes formula for the price of an European call option, where the parameters σ^2 and r are replaced by

$$\Sigma^2 \ = \ \frac{1}{T-t} \int_t^T \sigma^2(s) ds,$$

$$R = \frac{1}{T-t} \int_{t}^{T} r(s) ds.$$

B) Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = a(m - X_t) dt + \sigma dB_t$$

$$X_0 = x,$$

where $a, \sigma > 0$ and m is a real number. This is a nonhomogeneous linear equation and to solve it we will make use of the method of variation of constants. The solution of the homogeneous equation

$$dx_t = -ax_t dt$$
$$x_0 = x$$

is $x_t = xe^{-at}$. Then we make the change of variables $X_t = Y_te^{-at}$, that is, $Y_t = X_te^{at}$. The process Y_t satisfies

$$dY_t = aX_t e^{at} dt + e^{at} dX_t$$
$$= ame^{at} dt + \sigma e^{at} dB_t.$$

Thus,

$$Y_t = x + m(e^{at} - 1) + \sigma \int_0^t e^{as} dB_s,$$

which implies

$$X_t = m + (x - m)e^{-at} + \sigma e^{-at} \int_0^t e^{as} dB_s.$$

The stochastic process X_t is Gaussian. Its mean and covariance function are:

$$E(X_t) = m + (x - m)e^{-at},$$

$$Cov(X_t, X_s) = \sigma^2 e^{-a(t+s)} E\left[\left(\int_0^t e^{ar} dB_r\right) \left(\int_0^s e^{ar} dB_r\right)\right]$$

$$= \sigma^2 e^{-a(t+s)} \int_0^{t \wedge s} e^{2ar} dr$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2a} \left(e^{-a|t-s|} - e^{-a(t+s)}\right).$$

The law of X_t is the normal distribution

$$N(m + (x - m)e^{-at}, \frac{\sigma^2}{2a}(1 - e^{-2at})$$

and it converges, as t tends to infinity to the normal law

$$\nu = N(m, \frac{\sigma^2}{2a}).$$

This distribution is called invariant or *stationary*. Suppose that the initial condition X_0 has distribution ν , then, for each t > 0 the law of X_t will be also ν . In fact,

$$X_t = m + (X_0 - m)e^{-at} + \sigma e^{-at} \int_0^t e^{as} dB_s$$

and, therefore

$$E(X_t) = m + (E(X_0) - m)e^{-at} = m,$$

$$VarX_t = e^{-2at}VarX_0 + \sigma^2 e^{-2at}E\left[\left(\int_0^t e^{as}dB_s\right)^2\right] = \frac{\sigma^2}{2a}.$$

Examples of application of this model:

1. Vasicek model for rate interest r(t):

$$dr(t) = a(b - r(t))dt + \sigma dB_t, \tag{33}$$

where a, b are σ constants. Suppose we are working under the risk-free probability. Then the price of a bond with maturity T is given by

$$P(t,T) = E\left(e^{-\int_t^T r(s)ds}|\mathcal{F}_t\right) . \tag{34}$$

Formula (34) follows from the property P(T,T)=1 and the fact that the discounted price of the bond $e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds}P(t,T)$ is a martingale. Solving the stochastic differential equation (33) between the times t and s, $s \ge t$, we obtain

$$r(s) = r(t)e^{-a(s-t)} + b(1 - e^{-a(s-t)}) + \sigma e^{-as} \int_{t}^{s} e^{ar} dB_{r}.$$

From this expression we deduce that the law of $\int_t^T r(s)ds$ conditioned by \mathcal{F}_t is normal with mean

$$(r(t) - b) \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a} + b(T-t)$$
(35)

and variance

$$-\frac{\sigma^2}{2a^3} \left(1 - e^{-a(T-t)} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2} \left((T-t) - \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a} \right). \tag{36}$$

This leads to the following formula for the price of bonds:

$$P(t,T) = A(t,T)e^{-B(t,T)r(t)},$$
 (37)

where

$$\begin{array}{lcl} B(t,T) & = & \frac{1-e^{-a(T-t)}}{a}, \\ \\ A(t,T) & = & \exp\left[\frac{\left(B(t,T)-T+t\right)\left(a^2b-\sigma^2/2\right)}{a^2} - \frac{\sigma^2B(t,T)^2}{4a}\right]. \end{array}$$

2. Black-Scholes model with stochastic volatility. We assume that the volatility $\sigma(t) = f(Y_t)$ is a function of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, that is,

$$dS_t = S_t(\mu dt + f(Y_t)dB_t)$$

$$dY_t = a(m - Y_t)dt + \beta dW_t,$$

where B_t and W_t Brownian motions which may be correlated:

$$E(B_tW_s) = \rho(s \wedge t).$$

C) Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = f(t, X_t)dt + c(t)X_t dB_t, X_0 = x,$$
 (38)

where f(t, x) and c(t) are deterministic continuous functions, such that f satisfies the required Lipschitz and linear growth conditions in the variable x. This equation can be solved by the following procedure:

a) Set $X_t = F_t Y_t$, where

$$F_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t c(s)dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t c^2(s)ds\right),\,$$

is a solution to Equation (38) if f = 0 and x = 1. Then $Y_t = F_t^{-1} X_t$ satisfies

$$dY_t = F_t^{-1} f(t, F_t Y_t) dt, \ Y_0 = x. \tag{39}$$

b) Equation (39) is an ordinary differential equation with random coefficients, which can be solved by the usual methods.

For instance, suppose that f(t,x) = f(t)x. In that case, Equation (39) reads

$$dY_t = f(t)Y_t dt,$$

and

$$Y_t = x \exp\left(\int_0^t f(s)ds\right).$$

Hence,

$$X_{t} = x \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t} f(s)ds + \int_{0}^{t} c(s)dB_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} c^{2}(s)ds\right).$$

D) General linear stochastic differential equations. Consider the equation

$$dX_t = (a(t) + b(t)X_t) dt + (c(t) + d(t)X_t) dB_t,$$

with initial condition $X_0 = x$, where a, b, c and d are continuous functions. Using the method of variation of constants, we propose a solution of the form

$$X_t = U_t V_t \tag{40}$$

where

$$dU_t = b(t)U_t dt + d(t)U_t dB_t$$

and

$$dV_t = \alpha(t)dt + \beta(t)dB_t,$$

with $U_0 = 1$ and $V_0 = x$. We know that

$$U_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t b(s)ds + \int_0^t d(s)dB_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t d^2(s)ds\right).$$

On the other hand, differentiating (40) yields

$$a(t) = U_t \alpha(t) + \beta(t) d(t) U_t$$

$$c(t) = U_t \beta(t)$$

that is,

$$\beta(t) = c(t) U_t^{-1}$$

 $\alpha(t) = [a(t) - c(t)d(t)] U_t^{-1}.$

Finally,

$$X_t = U_t \left(x + \int_0^t \left[a(s) - c(s)d(s) \right] U_s^{-1} ds + \int_0^t c(s) \ U_s^{-1} dB_s \right)$$

The stochastic differential equation

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dB_s,$$

is written using the Itô's stochastic integral, and it can be transformed into a stochastic differential equation in the Stratonovich sense, using the formula that relates both types of integrals. In this way we obtain

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} (\sigma \sigma') (s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) \circ dB_s,$$

because the Itô's decomposition of the process $\sigma(s, X_s)$ is

$$\sigma(t, X_t) = \sigma(0, X_0) + \int_0^t \left(\sigma'b - \frac{1}{2}\sigma''\sigma^2\right)(s, X_s)ds + \int_0^t \left(\sigma\sigma'\right)(s, X_s)dB_s.$$

Yamada and Watanabe proved in 1971 that Lipschitz condition on the diffusion coefficient could be weakened in the following way. Suppose that the coefficients b and σ do not depend on time, the drift b is Lipschitz, and the diffusion coefficient σ satisfies the Hölder condition

$$|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \le D|x - y|^{\alpha},$$

where $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. In that case, there exists a unique solution.

For example, the equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = |X_t|^r dB_t \\ X_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution if $r \geq 1/2$.

Example 1 The Cox-Ingersoll-Ross model for interest rates:

$$dr(t) = a(b - r(t))dt + \sigma\sqrt{r(t)}dW_t.$$

4.2 Numerical approximations

Many stochastic differential equations cannot be solved explicitly. For this reason, it is convenient to develop numerical methods that provide approximated simulations of these equations.

Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dB_t, (41)$$

with initial condition $X_0 = x$.

Fix a time interval [0,T] and consider the partition

$$t_i = \frac{iT}{n}, \ i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

The length of each subinterval is $\delta_n = \frac{T}{n}$.

Euler's method consists is the following recursive scheme:

$$X^{(n)}(t_i) = X^{(n)}(t_{i-1}) + b(X^{(n)}(t_{i-1}))\delta_n + \sigma(X^{(n)}(t_{i-1}))\Delta B_i,$$

i = 1, ..., n, where $\Delta B_i = B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}$. The initial value is $X_0^{(n)} = x$. Inside the interval (t_{i-1}, t_i) the value of the process $X^{(n)}$ is obtained by linear interpolation. The process $X^{(n)}$ is a function of the Brownian motion and we can measure the error that we make if we replace X by $X^{(n)}$:

$$e_n = \sqrt{E\left[\left(X_T - X_T^{(n)}\right)^2\right]}.$$

It holds that e_n is of the order $\delta_n^{1/2}$, that is,

$$e_n \le c\delta_n^{1/2}$$

if $n \geq n_0$.

In order to simulate a trajectory of the solution using Euler's method, it suffices to simulate the values of n independent random variables ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n with distribution N(0, 1), and replace ΔB_i by $\sqrt{\delta_n} \xi_i$.

Euler's method can be improved by adding a correction term. This leads to *Milstein's method*. Let us explain how this correction is obtained.

The exact value of the increment of the solution between two consecutive points of the partition is

$$X(t_i) = X(t_{i-1}) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} b(X_s)ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sigma(X_s)dB_s.$$
(42)

Euler's method is based on the approximation of these exact values by

$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} b(X_s) ds \approx b(X(t_{i-1})) \delta_n,$$

$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sigma(X_s) dB_s \approx \sigma(X(t_{i-1})) \Delta B_i.$$

In Milstein's method we apply Itô's formula to the processes $b(X_s)$ and $\sigma(X_s)$ that appear in (42), in order to improve the approximation. In this way we obtain

$$X(t_{i}) - X(t_{i-1})$$

$$= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \left[b(X(t_{i-1})) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{s} \left(bb' + \frac{1}{2}b''\sigma^{2} \right) (X_{r})dr + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{s} \left(\sigma b' \right) (X_{r})dB_{r} \right] ds$$

$$+ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \left[\sigma(X(t_{i-1})) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{s} \left(b\sigma' + \frac{1}{2}\sigma''\sigma^{2} \right) (X_{r})dr + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{s} \left(\sigma\sigma' \right) (X_{r})dB_{r} \right] dB_{s}$$

$$= b(X(t_{i-1}))\delta_{n} + \sigma(X(t_{i-1}))\Delta B_{i} + R_{i}.$$

The dominant term is the residual R_i is the double stochastic integral

$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(\int_{t_{i-1}}^s \left(\sigma \sigma' \right) (X_r) dB_r \right) dB_s,$$

and one can show that the other terms are of lower order and can be neglected. This double stochastic integral can also be approximated by

$$(\sigma\sigma')(X(t_{i-1}))\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(\int_{t_{i-1}}^s dB_r\right) dB_s.$$

The rules of Itô stochastic calculus lead to

$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(\int_{t_{i-1}}^s dB_r \right) dB_s = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(B_s - B_{t_{i-1}} \right) dB_s
= \frac{1}{2} \left(B_{t_i}^2 - B_{t_{i-1}}^2 \right) - B_{t_{i-1}} \left(B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}} \right) - \delta_n
= \frac{1}{2} \left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \delta_n \right].$$

In conclusion, Milstein's method consists in the following recursive scheme:

$$X^{(n)}(t_i) = X^{(n)}(t_{i-1}) + b(X^{(n)}(t_{i-1}))\delta_n + \sigma(X^{(n)}(t_{i-1})) \Delta B_i + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma \sigma') (X^{(n)}(t_{i-1})) \left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \delta_n \right].$$

One can show that the error e_n is of order δ_n , that is,

$$e_n \le c\delta_n$$

if $n \geq n_0$.

4.3 Markov property of diffusion processes

Consider an *n*-dimensional diffusion process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ which satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dB_t, \tag{43}$$

where B is an m-dimensional Brownian motion and the coefficients b and σ are functions which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 31.

We will show that such a diffusion process satisfy the *Markov property*, which says that the future values of the process depend only on its present value, and not on the past values of the process (if the present value is known).

Definition 32 We say that an n-dimensional stochastic process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a Markov process if for every s < t we have

$$E(f(X_t)|X_r, r \le s) = E(f(X_t)|X_s), \tag{44}$$

for any bounded Borel function f on \mathbb{R}^n .

In particular, property (44) says that for every Borel set $C \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ we have

$$P(X_t \in C|X_r, r \le s) = P(X_t \in C|X_s).$$

The probability law of Markov processes is described by the so-called transition probabilities:

$$P(C, t, x, s) = P(X_t \in C | X_s = x),$$

where $0 \le s < t, C \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. That is, $P(\cdot, t, x, s)$ is the probability law of X_t conditioned by $X_s = x$. If this conditional distribution has a density, we will denote it by p(y, t, x, s).

Therefore, the fact that X_t is a Markov process with transition probabilities $P(\cdot, t, x, s)$, means that for all $0 \le s < t$, $C \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ we have

$$P(X_t \in C | X_r, r \le s) = P(C, t, X_s, s).$$

For example, the real-valued Brownian motion B_t is a Markov process with transition probabilities given by

$$p(y,t,x,s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}}e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2(t-s)}}.$$

In fact,

$$P(B_t \in C|\mathcal{F}_s) = P(B_t - B_s + B_s \in C|\mathcal{F}_s)$$

= $P(B_t - B_s + x \in C)|_{x=B_s}$,

because $B_t - B_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s . Hence, $P(\cdot, t, x, s)$ is the normal distribution N(x, t - s).

We will denote by $\{X_t^{s,x}, t \geq s\}$ the solution of the stochastic differential equation (43) on the time interval $[s, \infty)$ and with initial condition $X_s^{s,x} = x$. If s = 0, we will write $X_t^{0,x} = X_t^x$.

One can show that there exists a continuous version (in all the parameters s, t, x) of the process

$$\{X_t^{s,x}, 0 \le s \le t, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$
.

On the other hand, for every $0 \le s \le t$ we have the property:

$$X_t^x = X_t^{s, X_s^x} \tag{45}$$

In fact, X_t^x for $t \geq s$ satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$X_t^x = X_s^x + \int_s^t b(u, X_u^x) du + \int_s^t \sigma(u, X_u^x) dB_u.$$

On the other hand, $X_t^{s,y}$ satisfies

$$X_t^{s,y} = y + \int_s^t b(u, X_u^{s,y}) du + \int_s^t \sigma(u, X_u^{s,y}) dB_u$$

and substituting y by X_s^x we obtain that the processes X_t^x and X_t^{s,X_s^x} are solutions of the same equation on the time interval $[s,\infty)$ with initial condition X_s^x . The uniqueness of solutions allow us to conclude.

Theorem 33 (Markov property of diffusion processes) Let f be a bounded Borel function on \mathbb{R}^n . Then, for every $0 \le s < t$ we have

$$E\left[f(X_t)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = E\left[f(X_t^{s,x})\right]|_{x=X_s}.$$

Proof. Using (45) and the properties of conditional expectation we obtain

$$E\left[f(X_t)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = E\left[f(X_t^{s,X_s})|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = E\left[f(X_t^{s,x})\right]|_{x=X_s},$$

because the process $\{X_t^{s,x}, t \geq s, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s and the random variable X_s is \mathcal{F}_s -measurable.

This theorem says that diffusion processes possess the Markov property and their transition probabilities are given by

$$P(C, t, x, s) = P(X_t^{s, x} \in C).$$

Moreover, if a diffusion process is time homogeneous (that is, the coefficients do no depend on time), then the Markov property can be written as

$$E\left[f(X_t)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = E\left[f(X_{t-s}^x)\right]|_{x=X_s}.$$

Example 2 Let us compute the transition probabilities of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. To do this we have to solve the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = a\left(m - X_t\right)dt + \sigma dB_t$$

in the time interval $[s, \infty)$ with initial condition x. The solution is

$$X_t^{s,x} = m + (x - m)e^{-a(t-s)} + \sigma e^{-at} \int_s^t e^{ar} dB_r$$

and, therefore, $P(\cdot,t,x,s) = \mathcal{L}(X_t^{s,x})$ is a normal distribution with parameters

$$E(X_t^{s,x}) = m + (x - m)e^{-a(t-s)},$$

$$VarX_t^{s,x} = \sigma^2 e^{-2at} \int_s^t e^{2ar} dr = \frac{\sigma^2}{2a} (1 - e^{-2a(t-s)}).$$

4.4 Feynman-Kac Formula

Consider an n-dimensional diffusion process $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ which satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dB_t$$

where B is an m-dimensional Brownian motion. Suppose that the coefficients b and σ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 31 and $X_0 = x_0$ is constant.

We can associate to this diffusion process a second order differential operator, depending on time, that will be denoted by A_s . This operator is called the *generator* of the diffusion process and it is given by

$$A_s f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(s, x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\sigma \sigma^T \right)_{i,j} (s, x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

In this expression f is a function on $[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ of class $C^{1,2}$. The matrix $(\sigma\sigma^T)(s,x)$ is the symmetric and nonnegative definite matrix given by

$$\left(\sigma\sigma^{T}\right)_{i,j}(s,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{i,k}(s,x)\sigma_{j,k}(s,x).$$

The relation between the operator A_s and the diffusion process comes from Itô's formula: Let f(t,x) be a function of class $C^{1,2}$, then, $f(t,X_t)$ is an Itô process with differential

$$df(t, X_t) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, X_t) + A_t f(t, X_t)\right) dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(t, X_t) \sigma_{i,j}(t, X_t) dB_t^j.$$

$$(46)$$

As a consequence, if

$$E\left(\int_0^t \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(s, X_s) \sigma_{i,j}(s, X_s) \right|^2 ds \right) < \infty \tag{47}$$

for every t > 0 and every i, j, then the process

$$M_t = f(t, X_t) - \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + A_s f\right)(s, X_s) ds \tag{48}$$

is a martingale. A sufficient condition for (47) is that the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}$ have linear growth, that is,

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(s, x) \right| \le C(1 + |x|^N). \tag{49}$$

In particular, if f satisfies the equation $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + A_t f = 0$ and (49) holds, then $f(t, X_t)$ is a martingale.

The martingale property of this process leads to a probabilistic interpretation of the solution of a parabolic equation with fixed terminal value. Indeed, if the function f(t, x) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + A_t f = 0 \\ f(T, x) = g(x) \end{cases}$$

in $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$f(t,x) = E(g(X_T^{t,x}))$$
(50)

almost surely with respect to the law of X_t . In fact, the martingale property of the process $f(t, X_t)$ implies

$$f(t, X_t) = E(f(T, X_T)|X_t) = E(g(X_T)|X_t) = E(g(X_T^{t,x}))|_{x=X_t}.$$

Consider a continuous function q(x) bounded from below. Applying again Itô's formula one can show that, if f is of class $C^{1,2}$ and satisfies (49), then the process

$$M_t = e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s)ds} f(t, X_t) - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s q(X_r)dr} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + A_s f - qf\right)(s, X_s)ds$$

is a martingale. In fact,

$$dM_t = e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s)ds} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(t, X_t) \sigma_{i,j}(t, X_t) dB_t^j.$$

If the function f satisfies the equation $\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} + A_s f - q f = 0$ then,

$$e^{-\int_0^t q(X_s)ds} f(t, X_t) \tag{51}$$

will be a martingale.

Suppose that f(t,x) satisfies

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + A_t f - qf = 0 \\
f(T, x) = g(x)
\end{cases}$$

on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$f(t,x) = E\left(e^{-\int_t^T q(X_s^{t,x})ds} g(X_T^{t,x})\right).$$
(52)

In fact, the martingale property of the process (51) implies

$$f(t, X_t) = E\left(e^{-\int_t^T q(X_s)ds} f(T, X_T)|\mathcal{F}_t\right).$$

Finally, Markov property yields

$$E\left(e^{-\int_t^T q(X_s)ds} f(T, X_T)|\mathcal{F}_t\right) = E\left(e^{-\int_t^T q(X_s^{t,x})ds} g(X_T^{t,x})\right)|_{x=X_t}.$$

Formulas (50) and (52) are called the Feynman-Kac formulas.

Exercices

- 4.1 Show that the following processes satisfy the indicated stochastic differential equations:
 - (i) The process $X_t = \frac{B_t}{1+t}$ satisfies

$$dX_t = -\frac{1}{1+t}X_t dt + \frac{1}{1+t}dB_t,$$

$$X_0 = 0$$

(ii) The process $X_t = \sin B_t$, with $B_0 = a \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ satisfies

$$dX_t = -\frac{1}{2}X_t dt + \sqrt{1 - X_t^2} dB_t,$$

for
$$t < T = \inf\{s > 0 : B_s \notin \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right] .$$

(iii) The process $X_t = (x^{1/3} + \frac{1}{3}B_t)^3, x > 0$, satisfies

$$dX_t = \frac{1}{3}X_t^{1/3}dt + X_t^{2/3}dB_t.$$

4.2 Consider an *n*-dimensional Brownian motion B_t and constants α_i , i = 1, ..., n. Solve the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = rX_tdt + X_t \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k dB_k(t).$$

4.3 Solve the following stochastic differential equations:

$$dX_t = rdt + \alpha X_t dB_t, X_0 = x$$

$$dX_t = \frac{1}{X_t} dt + \alpha X_t dB_t, X_0 = x > 0$$

$$dX_t = X_t^{\gamma} dt + \alpha X_t dB_t, X_0 = x > 0.$$

For which values of the parameters α, γ the solution explodes?

4.4 The nonlinear stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = rX_t(K - X_t)dt + \beta X_t dB_t, \ X_0 = x > 0$$

is used to model the growth of population of size X_t in a random and crowded environment. The constant K > 0 is called the carrying capacity of the environment, the constant $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is a measure of the quality of the environment and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a measure of the size of the noise in the system. Show that the unique solution to this equation is given by

$$X_t = \frac{\exp\left(\left(rK - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2\right)t + \beta B_t\right)}{x^{-1} + r\int_0^t \exp\left(\left(rK - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2\right)s + \beta B_s\right)ds}.$$

- **4.5** Find the generator of the following diffusion processes:
 - a) $dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma dB_t$, (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process) μ and r are constants
 - **b)** $dX_t = rX_t dt + \alpha X_t dB_t$, (geometric Brownian motion) α and r are constants
 - c) $dX_t = rdt + \alpha X_t dB_t$, α and r are constants
 - **d)** $dY_t = \begin{bmatrix} dt \\ dX_t \end{bmatrix}$ where X_t is the process introduced in a)

$$\mathbf{e)} \begin{bmatrix} dX_1 \\ dX_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ e^{X_1} \end{bmatrix} dB_t$$

$$\mathbf{f}) \begin{bmatrix} dX_1 \\ dX_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} dt + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & X_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dB_1 \\ dB_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

h) $X(t) = (X_1, X_2, X_n)$, where

$$dX_k(t) = r_k X_k dt + X_k \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{kj} dB_j, \ 1 \le k \le n$$

4.6 Find diffusion process whose generator are:

a)
$$Af(x) = f'(x) + f''(x), f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$$

b)
$$Af(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + cx\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}, \ f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

c)
$$Af(x_1, x_2) = 2x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + \log(1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \frac{1}{2} (1 + x_1^2) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2}, f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

5 Application of Stochastic Calculus in Finance

The model suggested by *Black and Scholes* to describe the behavior of prices is a continuous-time model with one risky asset (a share with price S_t at time t) and a risk-less asset (with price S_t^0 at time t). We suppose that $S_t^0 = e^{rt}$ where t > 0 is the instantaneous interest rate and t > 0 is given by the geometric Brownian motion:

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\mu t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t + \sigma B_t},$$

where S_0 is the initial price, μ is the rate of growth of the price $(E(S_t) = S_0 e^{\mu t})$, and σ is called the *volatility*. We know that S_t satisfies the linear stochastic differential equation

$$dS_t = \sigma S_t dB_t + \mu S_t dt$$

or

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \sigma dB_t + \mu dt.$$

This model has the following properties:

- a) The trajectories $t \to S_t$ are continuous.
- **b)** For any s < t, the relative increment $\frac{S_t S_s}{S_s}$ is independent of the σ -field generated by $\{S_u, 0 \le u \le s\}$.
- c) The law of $\frac{S_t}{S_s}$ is lognormal with parameters $\left(\mu \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(t-s)$, $\sigma^2(t-s)$.

Fix a time interval [0,T]. A portfolio or trading strategy is a stochastic process

$$\phi = \{(\alpha_t, \beta_t), 0 < t < T\}$$

such that the components are measurable and adapted processes such that

$$\int_0^T |\alpha_t| dt < \infty,$$

$$\int_0^T (\beta_t)^2 dt < \infty.$$

The component α_t is que quantity of non-risky and the component β_t is que quantity of shares in the portfolio. The value of the portfolio at time t is then

$$V_t(\phi) = \alpha_t e^{rt} + \beta_t S_t.$$

We say that the portfolio ϕ is self-financing if its value is an Itô process with differential

$$dV_t(\phi) = r\alpha_t e^{rt} dt + \beta_t dS_t.$$

The discounted prices are defined by

$$\widetilde{S}_t = e^{-rt} S_t = S_0 \exp\left(\left(\mu - r\right)t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t + \sigma B_t\right).$$

Then, the discounted value of a portfolio will be

$$\widetilde{V}_t(\phi) = e^{-rt}V_t(\phi) = \alpha_t + \beta_t \widetilde{S}_t.$$

Notice that

$$d\widetilde{V}_{t}(\phi) = -re^{-rt}V_{t}(\phi)dt + e^{-rt}dV_{t}(\phi)$$
$$= -r\beta_{t}\widetilde{S}_{t}dt + e^{-rt}\beta_{t}dS_{t}$$
$$= \beta_{t}d\widetilde{S}_{t}.$$

By Girsanov theorem there exists a probability Q such that on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, Q)$ such that the process

$$W_t = B_t + \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}t$$

is a Brownian motion. Notice that in terms of the process W_t the Black and Scholes model is

$$S_t = S_0 \exp\left(rt - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t + \sigma W_t\right),\,$$

and the discounted prices form a martingale:

$$\widetilde{S}_t = e^{-rt} S_t = S_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}t + \sigma W_t\right).$$

This means that Q is a non-risky probability.

The discounted value of a self-financing portfolio ϕ will be

$$\widetilde{V}_t(\phi) = V_0(\phi) + \int_0^t \beta_u d\widetilde{S}_u,$$

and it is a martingale with respect to Q provided

$$\int_0^T E(\beta_u^2 \widetilde{S}_u^2) du < \infty. \tag{53}$$

Notice that a self-financing portfolio satisfying (53) cannot be an arbitrage (that is, $V_0(\phi) = 0$, $V_T(\phi) \ge 0$, and $P(V_T(\phi) > 0) > 0$), because using the martingale property we obtain

$$E_Q\left(\widetilde{V}_T(\theta)\right) = V_0(\theta) = 0,$$

so $V_T(\phi) = 0$, Q-almost surely, which contradicts the fact that $P(V_T(\phi) > 0) > 0$.

Consider a derivative which produces a payoff at maturity time T equal to an \mathcal{F}_T -measurable nonnegative random variable h. Suppose also that $E_Q(h^2) < \infty$.

• In the case of an European call option with exercise price equal to K, we have

$$h = (S_T - K)^+.$$

• In the case of an European put option with exercise price equal to K, we have

$$h = (K - S_T)^+.$$

A self-financing portfolio ϕ satisfying (53) replicates the derivative if $V_T(\theta) = h$. We say that a derivative is replicable if there exists such a portfolio.

The price of a replicable derivative with payoff h at time $t \leq T$ is given by

$$V_t(\phi) = E_Q(e^{-r(T-t)}h|\mathcal{F}_t),$$
(54)

if ϕ replicates h, which follows from the martingale property of $\widetilde{V}_t(\phi)$ with respect to Q:

$$E_Q(e^{-rT}h|\mathcal{F}_t) = E_Q(\widetilde{V}_T(\phi)|\mathcal{F}_t) = \widetilde{V}_t(\phi) = e^{-rt}V_t(\phi).$$

In particular,

$$V_0(\theta) = E_Q(e^{-rT}h).$$

In the Black and Scholes model, any derivative satisfying $E_Q(h^2) < \infty$ is replicable. That means, the Black and Scholes model is *complete*. This is a consequence of the integral representation theorem. In fact, consider the square integrable martingale

$$M_t = E_Q \left(e^{-rT} h | \mathcal{F}_t \right).$$

We know that there exists an adapted and measurable stochastic process K_t verifying $\int_0^T E_Q(K_s^2) ds < \infty$ such that

$$M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t K_s dW_s.$$

Define the self-financing portfolio $\phi_t = (\alpha_t, \beta_t)$ by

$$\beta_t = \frac{K_t}{\sigma \widetilde{S}_t},$$

$$\alpha_t = M_t - \beta_t \widetilde{S}_t.$$

The discounted value of this portfolio is

$$\widetilde{V}_t(\phi) = \alpha_t + \beta_t \widetilde{S}_t = M_t,$$

so, its final value will be

$$V_T(\phi) = e^{rT} \widetilde{V}_T(\phi) = e^{rT} M_T = h.$$

On the other hand, it is a self-financing portfolio because

$$dV_{t}(\phi) = re^{rt}\widetilde{V}_{t}(\phi)dt + e^{rt}d\widetilde{V}_{t}(\phi)$$

$$= re^{rt}M_{t}dt + e^{rt}dM_{t}$$

$$= re^{rt}M_{t}dt + e^{rt}K_{t}dW_{t}$$

$$= re^{rt}\alpha_{t}dt - re^{rt}\beta_{t}\widetilde{S}_{t}dt + \sigma e^{rt}\beta_{t}\widetilde{S}_{t}dW_{t}$$

$$= re^{rt}\alpha_{t}dt - re^{rt}\beta_{t}\widetilde{S}_{t}dt + e^{rt}\beta_{t}d\widetilde{S}_{t}$$

$$= re^{rt}\alpha_{t}dt + \beta_{t}dS_{t}.$$

Consider the particular case $h = g(S_T)$. The value of this derivative at time t will be

$$V_t = E_Q \left(e^{-r(T-t)} g(S_T) | \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

= $e^{-r(T-t)} E_Q \left(g(S_t e^{r(T-t)} e^{\sigma(W_T - W_t) - \sigma^2/2(T-t)}) | \mathcal{F}_t \right).$

Hence,

$$V_t = F(t, S_t), (55)$$

where

$$F(t,x) = e^{-r(T-t)} E_Q \left(g(xe^{r(T-t)}e^{\sigma(W_T - W_t) - \sigma^2/2(T-t)}) \right).$$
 (56)

Under general hypotheses on g (for instance, if g has linear growth, is continuous and piece-wise differentiable) which include the cases

$$g(x) = (x - K)^+,$$

$$g(x) = (K - x)^+,$$

the function F(t,x) is of class $C^{1,2}$. Then, applying Itô's formula to (55) we obtain

$$V_{t} = V_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(u, S_{u}) S_{u} dW_{u} + \int_{0}^{t} r \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(u, S_{u}) S_{u} du + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(u, S_{u}) du + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}(u, S_{u}) \sigma^{2} S_{u}^{2} du.$$

On the other hand, we know that V_t is an Itô process with the representation

$$V_t = V_0 + \int_0^t \sigma \beta_u S_u dW_u + \int_0^t r V_u du.$$

Comparing these expressions, and taking into account the uniqueness of the representation of an Itô process, we deduce the equations

$$\beta_t = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, S_t),$$

$$rF(t, S_t) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(t, S_t) + rS_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, S_t).$$

The support of the probability distribution of the random variable S_t is $[0, \infty)$. Therefore, the above equalities lead to the following partial differential equation for the function F(t, x)

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t,x) + rx\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(t,x) \ \sigma^2 \ x^2 = rF(t,x),$$

$$F(T,x) = g(x).$$
(57)

The replicating portfolio is given by

$$\beta_t = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, S_t),$$

$$\alpha_t = e^{-rt} (F(t, S_t) - \beta_t S_t).$$

Formula (56) can be written as

$$F(t,x) = e^{-r(T-t)} E_Q \left(g(xe^{r(T-t)}e^{\sigma(W_T-W_t)-\sigma^2/2(T-t)}) \right)$$
$$= e^{-r\theta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(xe^{r\theta-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta+\sigma\sqrt{\theta}y})e^{-y^2/2}dy,$$

where $\theta = T - t$. In the particular case of an European call option with exercise price K and maturity T, $g(x) = (x - K)^+$, and we get

$$F(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/2} \left(x e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta + \sigma\sqrt{\theta}y} - K e^{-r\theta} \right)^+ dy$$
$$= \left[x\Phi(d_+) - K e^{-r(T-t)}\Phi(d_-), \right]$$

where

$$d_{-} = \frac{\log \frac{x}{K} + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}},$$

$$d_{+} = \frac{\log \frac{x}{K} + \left(r + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}}$$

The price at time t of the option is

$$C_t = F(t, S_t),$$

and the replicating portfolio will be given by

$$\beta_t = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, S_t) = \Phi(d_+).$$

Consider Black-Scholes model, under the risk-free probability,

$$dS_t = r(t, S_t)S_t dt + \sigma(t, S_t)S_t dW_t$$

where the interest rate and the volatility depend on time and on the asset price. Consider a derivative with payoff $g(S_T)$ at the maturity time T. The value of the derivative at time t is given by the formula

$$V_t = E\left(e^{-\int_t^T r(s,S_s)ds}g(S_T)|\mathcal{F}_t\right).$$

Markov property implies

$$V_t = f(t, S_t),$$

where

$$f(t,x) = E\left(e^{-\int_t^T r(s,S_s^{t,s})ds}g(S_T^{t,x})\right).$$

Then, Feynman-Kac formula says that the function f(t,x) satisfies the following parabolic partial differential equation with terminal value:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + r(t, x)x\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t, x)x^2\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - r(t, x)f = 0,$$

$$f(T, x) = g(x)$$

Exercices

5.1 The price of a financial asset follows the Black-Scholes model:

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = 3dt + 2dB_t$$

with initial condition $S_0 = 100$. Suppose r = 1.

- a) Give an explicit expression for S_t in terms of t and B_t .
- **b)** Fix a maturity time T. Find a risk-less probability by means of Girsanov theorem.
- c) Compute the price at time zero of a derivative whose payoff at time T is S_T^2 .

5.2 The price of a financial asset follows the Black-Scholes model

$$dS_t = S_t(\mu dt + \sigma dB_t)$$

with initial condition S_0 . Consider an option with payoff

$$H = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T S_t dt$$

and maturity time T. Find the price of this option at time t_0 , where $0 < t_0 < T$ in terms of S_{t_0} and $\frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} S_t dt$.

References

- $1. \ \ F. \ C. \ \ Klebaner: \ \ Introduction \ to \ Stochastic \ \ Calculus \ with \ \ Applications.$
- 2. D. Lamberton and B. Lapeyre: Introduction to Stochastic Calculus Applied to Finance. Chapman and Hall, 1996.
- 3. T. Mikosch: Elementary Stochastic Calculus. World Scientific 2000.
- 4. B. Øksendal: Stochastic Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag 1998
- 5. S. E. Shreve: Stochastic Calculus for Finance II. Continuous-Time Models. Springer-Verlag 2004.