

Exercise 0.3.14: Prove $1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof by induction

Let $P(n)$ be the statement that

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2 \text{ is true } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

• $P(1)$ is true by plugging in $n=1$: $1^3 = 1 = \left(\frac{1 \cdot (1+1)}{2}\right)^2$

• Assume $P(n)$ is true, that is,

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2 \text{ holds}$$

Add $(n+1)^3$ to both sides we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 + (n+1)^3 &= \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2 + (n+1)^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} + \frac{4(n+1)^3}{4} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2}{4} \cdot (n^2 + 4n + 4) = \frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2}{4} = \left(\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $P(n+1)$ is true. \checkmark

• By principle of induction, $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \square$$

Exercise 1.1.4: Let S be an ordered set. Let $B \subset S$ be bounded (above and below). Let $A \subset B$ be a nonempty subset. Suppose all the infs and sups exist. Show that

$$\inf B \leq \inf A \leq \sup A \leq \sup B.$$

Since $A \subset B$, an upper bound of B is also an upper bound of A . Therefore, $\sup B$ is an upper bound of B and is also an upper bound of A .

By definition, $\sup A$ satisfies that for any upper bound a of A , $\sup A \leq a$. Therefore, $\sup A \leq \underbrace{\sup B}_{\text{upper bound of } A}$ (1)

Similarly, $\inf B \leq \inf A$. (2)

By definition, if $\inf A$ and $\sup A$ exists,

$$\inf A \leq x \leq \sup A \quad \forall x \in A. \quad (3)$$

From (1), (2), (3), we have $\inf B \leq \inf A \leq \sup A \leq \sup B$ \square

Exercise 1.1.5: Let S be an ordered set. Let $A \subset S$ and suppose b is an upper bound for A . Suppose $b \in A$. Show that $b = \sup A$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by definition, since $b \in A$ and $b > b - \varepsilon$, $b - \varepsilon$ is not an upper bound for A . Therefore,

b would be the least upper bound for A

as any $b - \varepsilon$ is not an upper bound for A .

$$b = \sup A.$$



Exercise 1.2.1: Prove that if $t > 0$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$), then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n^2} < t$.

$t > 0$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$), so $\sqrt{t} > 0$ ($\sqrt{t} \in \mathbb{R}$)

The Archimedean property claims that:

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x > 0$. Then, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $nx > y$

In this case, $\sqrt{t}, 1 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sqrt{t} > 0$.

Therefore, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n \cdot \sqrt{t} > 1$

Square both sides: $n^2 \cdot t > 1$

Divide both sides by n^2 : $t > \frac{1}{n^2}$, $\frac{1}{n^2} < t$

($n > 0$ so $n^2 > 0$)

□

Exercise 1.2.2: Prove that if $t \geq 0$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$), then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n-1 \leq t < n$.

The Archimedean property claims that:

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x > 0$. Then, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $nx > y$

Define $A = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } k > t\} \neq \emptyset$ by AP.

By well-ordering, $\exists n = \min A$ s.t. $n \leq k \forall k \in A$

Since $n = \min A \in A$, we also have $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in A$, $n > t$. (1)

If $n = 1$, $n-1 = 0$. Given that $t \geq 0$, substitute

and combine with (1), we have $n-1 \leq t < n$ ✓ (2)

If $n > 1$, $n-1 > 0$. $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so $n-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ too,

but $n-1 \notin A$ because $n = \min A$. Therefore, while $n-1$

satisfies that $n-1 \in \mathbb{N}$, it does not satisfy the

statement that $n-1 > t$, which means $n-1 \leq t$.

Combine with (1) we have $n-1 \leq t < n$. ✓ (3)

From (2) and (3), we conclude that if $t \geq 0$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$),

$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n-1 \leq t < n$

□

Exercise 1.2.7: Prove the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. That is, for two positive real numbers x, y , we have

$$\sqrt{xy} \leq \frac{x+y}{2}.$$

Furthermore, equality occurs if and only if $x = y$.

Since $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $x-y \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\text{Therefore, } (x-y)^2 = x^2 - 2xy + y^2 \geq 0 \quad x^2 + 2xy + y^2 \geq 4xy \quad (1)$$

$xy > 0$ because $x > 0$ and $y > 0$, so take the

square root of both sides of (1):

$$(x+y)^2 \geq 4xy \Rightarrow x+y \geq 2\sqrt{xy}, \quad \sqrt{xy} \leq \frac{x+y}{2}$$

Furthermore, if $x=y$, $\sqrt{xy} = \sqrt{x^2} = x$ because $x > 0$

$$\frac{x+y}{2} = \frac{2x}{2} = x, \quad x=x, \quad \text{so } \sqrt{xy} = \frac{x+y}{2}. \quad \text{On the other hand,}$$

$$\text{if } \sqrt{xy} = \frac{x+y}{2}, \quad \text{square both sides to get } xy = \frac{x^2 + 2xy + y^2}{2}$$

$$2xy = x^2 + 2xy + y^2 \Rightarrow x^2 + y^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x = y = 0$$

Therefore, we can conclude that $\sqrt{xy} = \frac{x+y}{2}$ if and

only if $x=y$, $(x, y > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R})$

□

Exercise 1.2.9: Let A and B be two nonempty bounded sets of real numbers. Let $C := \{a+b : a \in A, b \in B\}$. Show that C is a bounded set and that

$$\sup C = \sup A + \sup B \quad \text{and} \quad \inf C = \inf A + \inf B.$$

Since A and B are two nonempty bounded sets of real numbers,

$$\exists \sup A \geq a \quad \forall a \in A \quad \text{and} \quad \exists \sup B \geq b \quad \forall b \in B$$

$$\sup A + \sup B \geq a + b \quad \forall a \in A \quad \forall b \in B$$

Therefore, $\sup A + \sup B$ is an upper bound for $C := \{a+b : a \in A, b \in B\}$

$$\text{Similarly, } \exists \inf A \leq a \quad \forall a \in A, \quad \exists \inf B \leq b \quad \forall b \in B$$

$$\inf A + \inf B \leq a + b \quad \forall a \in A \quad \forall b \in B \Rightarrow \inf A + \inf B \text{ lower bound for } C$$

Therefore, C is bounded both below and above.

So $\sup C$ and $\inf C$ exists.

Furthermore, $\sup C \leq \sup A + \sup B$ because $\sup A + \sup B$ is an upper bound for C .

$$\text{If } \sup C < \sup A + \sup B, \quad \sup A + \sup B - \sup C > 0$$

From $\sup A$ and $\sup B$ we have

$$\forall \varepsilon_1 > 0, \exists a \in A \text{ s.t. } \sup A - \varepsilon_1 < a$$

$$\forall \varepsilon_2 > 0, \exists b \in B \text{ s.t. } \sup B - \varepsilon_2 < b$$

$$\text{Add them together, } \sup A + \sup B - (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) < a + b \quad (1)$$

Since ε_1 and ε_2 are any arbitrary positive numbers,

$$\text{Let } \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = \sup A + \sup B - \sup C > 0$$

$$\text{Plug in (1): } \sup A + \sup B - \sup A - \sup B + \sup C = \sup C < a + b$$

However, $\sup C \geq a + b \quad \forall a \in A \quad \forall b \in B$, contradiction.

Therefore, we eliminate the possibility that

$$\sup C < \sup A + \sup B$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \sup C = \sup A + \sup B$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \inf C \geq \inf A + \inf B$$

By contradiction, $\inf C > \inf A + \inf B$ is false.

$$\text{Therefore, } \inf C = \inf A + \inf B$$



Exercise 1.2.13: Prove the so-called Bernoulli's inequality*: If $1+x > 0$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$.

Proof by induction.

Let $P(n)$ be the statement that

$(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$ is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $1+x > 0$

• $P(1)$ is true by plugging in $n=1$: $(1+x)^1 \geq 1+1 \cdot x$ ✓

• Assume $P(n)$ is true, that is, $(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$ holds

Since $1+x > 0$, $(1+x)^n \cdot (1+x) \geq (1+nx)(1+x) = 1+nx+x+nx^2$ (1)

Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $nx^2 \geq 0$, so $1+nx+x+nx^2 \geq 1+nx+x = 1+(n+1)x$ (2)

Combining (1) and (2), $(1+x)^{n+1} \geq 1+(n+1)x$ ✓

and hence $P(n+1)$ is true.

• By principle of induction, $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$(1+x)^n \geq 1+nx$ is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $1+x > 0$

□

Exercise 1.3.5: Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions (D nonempty).

a) Suppose $f(x) \leq g(y)$ for all $x \in D$ and $y \in D$. Show that

$$\sup_{x \in D} f(x) \leq \inf_{x \in D} g(x).$$

b) Find a specific D , f , and g , such that $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in D$, but

$$\sup_{x \in D} f(x) > \inf_{x \in D} g(x).$$

a) $f(x) \leq g(y) \quad \forall x \in D \quad \forall y \in D$

So $\sup_{x \in D} f(x)$ is lower bound of $g(y)$

$$\sup_{x \in D} f(x) \leq g(y) \quad \text{Similarly,} \quad \sup_{x \in D} f(x) \leq \inf_{x \in D} g(y)$$

$$\text{Therefore,} \quad \sup_{x \in D} f(x) \leq \inf_{x \in D} g(x) \quad \square$$

b) $D = (-1, 1)$

$$f = -x^2$$

$$g = -x^2 + 1$$

$$\sup_{x \in D} f(x) = 0 > \inf_{x \in D} g(x) = -1$$

Exercise 1.3.9: Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and recall what $f+g$ and αf means from the previous exercise.

- a) Prove that if $f+g$ and g are bounded, then f is bounded.
- b) Find an example where f and g are both unbounded, but $f+g$ is bounded.
- c) Prove that if f is bounded but g is unbounded, then $f+g$ is unbounded.
- d) Find an example where f is unbounded but αf is bounded.

a) $f+g$ and g are bounded, so let $|f+g| \leq C$ ($C > 0$)

and $|g| \leq B$ ($B > 0$). By the triangle inequality,

$$|f| = |f+g-g| \leq |f+g| + |g| = |f+g| + |g| \leq C + B$$

Therefore, if $f+g$ and g are bounded, f is also bounded \square

b) $f = x$, $g = -x$, $f+g = x + (-x) = 0$

c) Prove by contradiction. Assume $f+g$ is bounded. From a), since $f+g$ and f are bounded, then g must be bounded.

But given that g is unbounded, contradiction.

Therefore, if f is bounded and g is unbounded,

$f+g$ is unbounded. \square

d) $f = x$, $\alpha = 0$