0.3.14 We will prove it by Induction. For n=1, the claim trivially holds. Assume the claim holds for n i.e. $(1^{3}+2^{3}+...+n^{3}=(\frac{N(n11)}{2})^{2}$ (I) We will Prove that it holds for not i.e. $|^{3}+2^{3}+...+(h+1)^{3}=\left(\frac{(h+1)(n+2)}{9}\right)^{2}$ Indeed by (I) we have. $1^{3} + 2^{3} + ... + n^{3} + (n+1)^{3} = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{9}\right)^{2} + (n+1)^{3} =$ $=\frac{n^{2}(n+1)^{2}}{U}+(n+1)^{3}=$ $n^{2}(n+1)^{2} + 4(n+1)(n+1)^{2}$

 $= \frac{(n_{f})^{2} (n_{f}^{2} + 4n_{f} + 4)}{4} = \frac{(n_{f})^{2} (n_{f}^{2})^{2}}{4}$ The claim follows by induction 1. 1.1.4 Let ACB. Since supA is upper bound and infA is lower bound we have infA = X < supA +xeA

50 infA < 54pA (1).

Now since ACB we have that X < SUPB XXEA, SO SUPB is an upper bound of A. So SupA = SupB (2) similarly we take infB = infA (3). combining (1),(2),(3) the claim follows.

1.1.5 Let b be an upper bound of A with be A. Then b has to be the least upper bound. Indeed if locks, then b is not an upper bound since be A. So b = sup A.

1.2.1. Use the Archimedian property
with I and VE? Then I hell sit.

1 < n I = D 1 < n 2 t => \frac{1}{n^2} < t.

1.2.2. Define the set

A={meIN s.t. t < m}.

Clearly A + \$\ph\$ since the natural numbers

(learly H+4) Since the natural number are unbounded (or Archineden croperty).

Now by well-ordering of M, the set A

has a minimum element. Let n=minA. Then neA; so t< N. If NDI, then N-8 & A so N-15t. If N=1 then N-1=0 so n-15t again. In any case n-15t< n. 1.2.7 we have (JX - Jy) > 0 (=) (=) X+9-2 Jx9 20 (=) Txg < X13 Equality occurs if.f. (1x-1y)=0 (=) (=) [x=19 =D [x=9]

1.2.9, WŁOG (without loss of generality) we show the claim for the 54P. Let CEC -D C= Tet6 for some aca and beb. so c= a+b < sepA + sup B. Since c is arbitrary we conclude that supA + supB is an apper bound of C. Nows given E>Os we will find CE C with supA+supB-E < C. By definition of sup, Jack with supA - $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ < α (1) and beB with supB - \(\frac{\xi}{2} \) (b (2). (1)+(2): S4PA+S4PB-E < at6. Defining c= a+b e C the coyclysion follows

1.2.13 We will show it by induction.

For n=1, the claim it is induction.

as an equality.

Assume it holds for n i.e. $(1+x)^n \ge 1+h \times (I)$.

we show it holds for hell i.e.

(i+x) hti? It (hti) X.

Indeed since 1+x>0

[1+x) = (1+x)(1+x) = (1+x)

> ((+x)(1+nx) =

 $= 1 + x + nx + nx^{2}$

 $\geq 1 + (n+1) \times$

1.3.5. A $f(x) \leq g(g)$ $\forall x, g \in D$. Let $g \in D$ Then $f(x) \leq g(g)$ $\forall x \in D$ so sup $f(x) \leq g(g)$. (1)

Since yfd was arbitary we take

Supfix)

inf g(y)

xed

yfd

b). Take $D = [D_3]$ and f(x) = X $g(x) = X + \frac{1}{2}.$ Then c|ex|g $f(x) \leq g(x) \forall x$ $g(x) = X + \frac{1}{2}.$ Then c|ex|g $f(x) \leq g(x) \forall x$ $g(x) = X + \frac{1}{2}.$ Then c|ex|g $f(x) \leq g(x) = \frac{1}{2}.$ $g(x) = x + \frac{1}{2}.$ Then c|ex|g $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}.$ $g(x) = x + \frac{1}{2}.$ g(x

1.3.9 We take for granted that

if f, g is bounded, they ftg

is bounded and af is bounded for aEIR

see Ex. 1.38. which was not assigned.

a) Let h=f+g. Then f=f+g-g=h-q 50 it is bounded since hand gare. f(x)=X, f(x)=-X, D=R.Clearly frg=0 -> bounded. c) Let & bounded = > 3M>0 s.t. |f(x)| < M Let Mo arbitrary. We will find KED with |f(x)+g(x)| > M'. we have $|f(x)| \le M = b - M \le - |f(x)|$. Now 9 is unbounded so 3X with 13(x) > M+M. Then by reverse triangle inequality. NEM 19(x)+g(x) > |3(x)|- |f(x)| > M1M-M=M'. d) Take Q=O.