

Feature learning, neural networks and backpropagation

Mengye Ren

NYU

Nov 21, 2023

Today's lecture

- Neural networks: huge empirical success but poor theoretical understanding
- Key idea: representation learning
- Optimization: backpropagation + SGD

Feature engineering

- Many problems are non-linear

Feature engineering

- Many problems are non-linear
- We can express certain non-linear models in a linear form:

$$f(x) = w^T \phi(x). \quad (1)$$

Feature engineering

- Many problems are non-linear
- We can express certain non-linear models in a linear form:

$$f(x) = w^T \phi(x). \quad (1)$$

- Note that this model is not linear in the inputs x — we represent the inputs differently, and the new representation is amenable to linear modeling

Feature engineering

- Many problems are non-linear
- We can express certain non-linear models in a linear form:

$$f(x) = w^T \phi(x). \quad (1)$$

- Note that this model is not linear in the inputs x — we represent the inputs differently, and the new representation is amenable to linear modeling
- For example, we can use a feature map that defines a kernel, e.g., polynomials in x

Decomposing the problem

- Example: predicting how popular a restaurant is

Raw features #dishes, price, wine option, zip code, #seats, size

Decomposing the problem

- Example: predicting how popular a restaurant is

Raw features #dishes, price, wine option, zip code, #seats, size

- Decomposing the problem into subproblems:

- $h_1([\#dishes, \text{price}, \text{wine option}]) = \text{food quality}$
- $h_2([\text{zip code}]) = \text{walkable}$
- $h_3([\#seats, \text{size}]) = \text{noisy}$

Decomposing the problem

- Example: predicting how popular a restaurant is

Raw features #dishes, price, wine option, zip code, #seats, size

- Decomposing the problem into subproblems:

- $h_1([\#dishes, \text{price}, \text{wine option}])$ = food quality
 - $h_2([\text{zip code}])$ = walkable
 - $h_3([\#seats, \text{size}])$ = noisy

- Each intermediate models solves one of the subproblems

Decomposing the problem


- Example: predicting how popular a restaurant is
Raw features #dishes, price, wine option, zip code, #seats, size
- Decomposing the problem into subproblems:
 - $h_1([\#dishes, \text{price}, \text{wine option}]) = \text{food quality}$
 - $h_2([\text{zip code}]) = \text{walkable}$
 - $h_3([\#\text{seats}, \text{size}]) = \text{noisy}$
- Each intermediate models solves one of the subproblems
- A final *linear* predictor uses the **intermediate features** computed by the h_i 's:

$$w_1 \cdot \text{food quality} + w_2 \cdot \text{walkable} + w_3 \cdot \text{noisy}$$

Perceptrons as logical gates

- Suppose that our input features indicate light at two points in space ($0 = \text{no light}$; $1 = \text{light}$)
- How can we build a perceptron that detects when there is light in both locations?

$$w_1 = 1, w_2 = 1, \theta = 2$$



i_1	i_2	$w_1 i_1 + w_2 i_2$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	2

Limitations of a perceptrons as logical gates



- Can we build a perceptron that fires when the two pixels have the same value ($i_1 = i_2$)?

Positive: $(1, 1)$ $(0, 0)$

$$w_1 + w_2 \geq \theta, \quad 0 \geq \theta$$

$$w_1 < \theta, \quad w_2 < \theta$$


Negative: $(1, 0)$ $(0, 1)$



If θ is negative, the sum of two numbers that are both less than θ cannot be greater than θ

Multilayer perceptron

- Fire when the two pixels have the same value ($i_1 = i_2$)




		Hidden layer input	Hidden layer output				
	i_1	i_2	h_1	h_2	h_1	h_2	O
x_1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
o_1	0	1	1	-1	0	0	0
o_2	1	0	1	-1	0	0	0
x_2	1	1	2	-2	1	0	1

(for x_1 and x_2 the correct output is 1;
for o_1 and o_2 the correct output is 0)


Multilayer perceptron

- Recode the input: the hidden layer representations are now linearly separable




	i_1	i_2	h_1	h_2	h_1	h_2	o
x_1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
o_1	0	1	1	-1	0	0	0
o_2	1	0	1	-1	0	0	0
x_2	1	1	2	-2	1	0	1

Decomposing the problem into predefined subproblems



Learned intermediate features



Neural networks

Key idea: learn the intermediate features.

Feature engineering Manually specify $\phi(x)$ based on domain knowledge and learn the weights:

$$f(x) = \mathbf{w}^T \phi(x). \quad (2)$$

Neural networks

Key idea: learn the intermediate features.

Feature engineering Manually specify $\phi(x)$ based on domain knowledge and learn the weights:

$$f(x) = \mathbf{w}^T \phi(x). \quad (2)$$



Feature learning Learn both the features (K hidden units) and the weights:

$$\mathbf{h}(x) = [\mathbf{h}_1(x), \dots, \mathbf{h}_K(x)], \quad (3)$$

$$f(x) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{h}(x) \quad (4)$$



Feature learning example

- A filter convolves over the image and looks for the highest pattern match.





Feature learning example

- A filter convolves over the image and looks for the highest pattern match.
- Traditionally, people use Gabor filters or other image feature extractors, e.g. SIFT, SURF, etc, and an SVM on top for image classification.



Feature learning example

- A filter convolves over the image and looks for the highest pattern match.
- Traditionally, people use Gabor filters or other image feature extractors, e.g. SIFT, SURF, etc, and an SVM on top for image classification.
- Neural networks take in images and can learn the filters that are the most useful for solving the tasks. Likely more efficient than hand engineered features.



Inspiration: The brain

- Our brain has about 100 billion (10^{11}) neurons, each of which communicates (is connected) to $\sim 10^4$ other neurons, with non-linear computations.





Figure: The basic computational unit of the brain: Neuron

Inspiration: The brain

- Neurons receive input signals and accumulate voltage. After some threshold they will fire spiking responses.



Activation function

- We can model a simpler computation by using “activation function”.

Activation function

- We can model a simpler computation by using “activation function”.
- It applies a non-linearity on the inputs and “fires” after some threshold.

Activation function

- We can model a simpler computation by using “activation function”.
- It applies a non-linearity on the inputs and “fires” after some threshold.

$$h_i(x) = \sigma(v_i^T x). \quad (5)$$

Activation function

- We can model a simpler computation by using “activation function”.
- It applies a non-linearity on the inputs and “fires” after some threshold.

$$h_i(x) = \sigma(v_i^T x). \quad (5)$$

- Some possible activation functions:

Activation function

- We can model a simpler computation by using “activation function”.
- It applies a non-linearity on the inputs and “fires” after some threshold.

$$h_i(x) = \sigma(v_i^T x). \quad (5)$$

- Some possible activation functions:
 - sign function (as in classic perceptron)? **Non-differentiable**.

Activation function

- We can model a simpler computation by using “activation function”.
- It applies a non-linearity on the inputs and “fires” after some threshold.

$$h_i(x) = \sigma(v_i^T x). \quad (5)$$

- Some possible activation functions:
 - sign function (as in classic perceptron)? **Non-differentiable**.
 - *Differentiable* approximations: sigmoid functions.
 - E.g., logistic function, hyperbolic tangent function.

Activation function

- We can model a simpler computation by using “activation function”.
- It applies a non-linearity on the inputs and “fires” after some threshold.

$$h_i(x) = \sigma(v_i^T x). \quad (5)$$


- Some possible activation functions:
 - sign function (as in classic perceptron)? **Non-differentiable**.
 - *Differentiable* approximations: sigmoid functions.
 - E.g., logistic function, hyperbolic tangent function.
- Two-layer neural network (one **hidden layer** and one **output layer**) with K hidden units:

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k h_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k \sigma(v_k^T x) \quad (6)$$

Activation Functions

- The **hyperbolic tangent** is a common activation function:

$$\sigma(x) = \tanh(x).$$




Activation Functions

- More recently, the **rectified linear (ReLU)** function has been very popular:


$$\sigma(x) = \max(0, x).$$

- Faster to calculate this function and its derivatives
- Often more effective in practice



Approximation Ability: $f(x) = x^2$


- 3 hidden units; tanh activation functions
- Blue dots are training points; dashed lines are hidden unit outputs; final output in red.



From Bishop's *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*, Fig 5.3

Approximation Ability: $f(x) = \sin(x)$


- 3 hidden units; logistic activation function
- Blue dots are training points; dashed lines are hidden unit outputs; final output in red.



From Bishop's *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*, Fig 5.3

Approximation Ability: $f(x) = |x|$

- 3 hidden units; logistic activation functions
- Blue dots are training points; dashed lines are hidden unit outputs; final output in red.



From Bishop's *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*, Fig 5.3

Universal approximation theorem

Theorem (Universal approximation theorem)

A neural network with one *possibly huge hidden layer* $\hat{F}(x)$ can approximate any continuous function $F(x)$ on a closed and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^d under mild assumptions on the activation function, i.e. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer N s.t.

$$\hat{F}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \sigma(v_i^T x + b_i) \quad (7)$$

satisfies $|\hat{F}(x) - F(x)| < \epsilon$.

Universal approximation theorem

- For the theorem to work, the number of hidden units needs to be exponential in d

Universal approximation theorem


- For the theorem to work, the number of hidden units needs to be exponential in d
- The theorem doesn't tell us how to find the parameters of this network

Universal approximation theorem

- For the theorem to work, the number of hidden units needs to be exponential in d
- The theorem doesn't tell us how to find the parameters of this network
- It doesn't explain why practical neural networks work, or tell us how to build them

Deep neural networks

- Wider: more hidden units (as in the approximation theorem).
- Deeper: more hidden layers.



Multilayer Perceptron (MLP): formal definition

- **Input space:** $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$ **Output space** $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^k$ (for k -class classification).
- Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an activation function (e.g. tanh or ReLU).
- Let's consider an MLP of L hidden layers, each having m hidden units.

Multilayer Perceptron (MLP): formal definition

- **Input space:** $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$ **Output space** $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^k$ (for k -class classification).
- Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an activation function (e.g. tanh or ReLU).
- Let's consider an MLP of L hidden layers, each having m hidden units.
- First hidden layer is given by

$$h^{(1)}(x) = \sigma\left(W^{(1)}x + b^{(1)}\right),$$

for parameters $W^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and where $\sigma(\cdot)$ is applied to each entry of its argument.

Multilayer Perceptron (MLP): formal definition

- Each subsequent hidden layer takes the *output* $o \in \mathbb{R}^m$ of previous layer and produces

$$h^{(j)}(o^{(j-1)}) = \sigma\left(W^{(j)}o^{(j-1)} + b^{(j)}\right), \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, L$$

where $W^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $b^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Multilayer Perceptron (MLP): formal definition

- Each subsequent hidden layer takes the *output* $o \in \mathbb{R}^m$ of previous layer and produces

$$h^{(j)}(o^{(j-1)}) = \sigma\left(W^{(j)}o^{(j-1)} + b^{(j)}\right), \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, L$$

where $W^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $b^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

- Last layer is an *affine* mapping (no activation function):

$$a(o^{(L)}) = W^{(L+1)}o^{(L)} + b^{(L+1)},$$

where $W^{(L+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$ and $b^{(L+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

Multilayer Perceptron (MLP): formal definition

- Each subsequent hidden layer takes the *output* $o \in \mathbb{R}^m$ of previous layer and produces

$$h^{(j)}(o^{(j-1)}) = \sigma\left(W^{(j)}o^{(j-1)} + b^{(j)}\right), \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, L$$

where $W^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $b^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

- Last layer is an *affine* mapping (no activation function):

$$a(o^{(L)}) = W^{(L+1)}o^{(L)} + b^{(L+1)},$$

where $W^{(L+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$ and $b^{(L+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

- The full neural network function is given by the *composition* of layers:

$$f(x) = \left(a \circ h^{(L)} \circ \dots \circ h^{(1)}\right)(x) \quad (8)$$

Multilayer Perceptron (MLP): formal definition

- Each subsequent hidden layer takes the *output* $o \in \mathbb{R}^m$ of previous layer and produces

$$h^{(j)}(o^{(j-1)}) = \sigma\left(W^{(j)}o^{(j-1)} + b^{(j)}\right), \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, L$$

where $W^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $b^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

- Last layer is an *affine* mapping (no activation function):

$$a(o^{(L)}) = W^{(L+1)}o^{(L)} + b^{(L+1)},$$

where $W^{(L+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$ and $b^{(L+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

- The full neural network function is given by the *composition* of layers:

$$f(x) = \left(a \circ h^{(L)} \circ \dots \circ h^{(1)}\right)(x) \tag{8}$$

- Typically, the last layer gives us a score. How do we perform classification?

What did we do in multinomial logistic regression?

- From each x , we compute a linear score function for each class:

$$x \mapsto (\langle w_1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle w_k, x \rangle) \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

- We need to map this \mathbb{R}^k vector into a probability vector θ .

What did we do in multinomial logistic regression?

- From each x , we compute a linear score function for each class:

$$x \mapsto (\langle w_1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle w_k, x \rangle) \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

- We need to map this \mathbb{R}^k vector into a probability vector θ .
- The **softmax function** maps scores $s = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ to a categorical distribution:

$$(s_1, \dots, s_k) \mapsto \theta = \text{Softmax}(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \left(\frac{\exp(s_1)}{\sum_{i=1}^k \exp(s_i)}, \dots, \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^k \exp(s_i)} \right)$$

Nonlinear Generalization of Multinomial Logistic Regression

- From each x , we compute a non-linear score function for each class:

$$x \mapsto (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

where f_i 's are the outputs of the last hidden layer of a neural network.

- Learning: Maximize the log-likelihood of training data

$$\arg \max_{f_1, \dots, f_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left[\text{Softmax}(f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))_{y_i} \right].$$

Interim discussion

- With the right representations, we can turn nonlinear problems into linear ones
- The goal of representation learning is to automatically discover useful features from raw data

Interim discussion

- With the right representations, we can turn nonlinear problems into linear ones
- The goal of representation learning is to automatically discover useful features from raw data
- Building blocks:

Input layer no learnable parameters

Hidden layer(s) affine + *nonlinear* activation function

Output layer affine (+ softmax)

Interim discussion

- With the right representations, we can turn nonlinear problems into linear ones
- The goal of representation learning is to automatically discover useful features from raw data
- Building blocks:
 - Input layer** no learnable parameters
 - Hidden layer(s)** affine + *nonlinear* activation function
 - Output layer** affine (+ softmax)
- A single, potentially huge hidden layer is sufficient to approximate any function
- In practice, it is often helpful to have multiple hidden layers

Fitting the parameters of an MLP

- **Input space:** $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$
- **Output space:** $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$
- **Hypothesis space:** MLPs with a single 3-node hidden layer:

$$f(x) = w_0 + w_1 h_1(x) + w_2 h_2(x) + w_3 h_3(x),$$

where

$$h_i(x) = \sigma(v_i x + b_i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

for some fixed activation function $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- What are the parameters we need to fit?

Fitting the parameters of an MLP

- **Input space:** $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$
- **Output space:** $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$
- **Hypothesis space:** MLPs with a single 3-node hidden layer:

$$f(x) = w_0 + w_1 h_1(x) + w_2 h_2(x) + w_3 h_3(x),$$

where

$$h_i(x) = \sigma(v_i x + b_i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

for some fixed activation function $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- What are the parameters we need to fit?

$$b_1, b_2, b_3, v_1, v_2, v_3, w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Finding the best hypothesis

- As usual, we choose our prediction function using empirical risk minimization.

Finding the best hypothesis

- As usual, we choose our prediction function using empirical risk minimization.
- Our hypothesis space is parameterized by

$$\theta = (b_1, b_2, b_3, v_1, v_2, v_3, w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}^{10}$$

Finding the best hypothesis

- As usual, we choose our prediction function using empirical risk minimization.
- Our hypothesis space is parameterized by

$$\theta = (b_1, b_2, b_3, v_1, v_2, v_3, w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}^{10}$$

- For a training set $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$, our goal is to find

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{10}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i; \theta) - y_i)^2.$$

How do we learn these parameters?

- For a training set $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$, our goal is to find

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{10}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i; \theta) - y_i)^2.$$

- We can use gradient descent
- Is f differentiable w.r.t. θ ? $f(x) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 w_i \tanh(v_i x + b_i)$.

How do we learn these parameters?

- For a training set $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$, our goal is to find

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{10}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i; \theta) - y_i)^2.$$

- We can use gradient descent
- Is f differentiable w.r.t. θ ? $f(x) = \mathbf{w}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{w}_i \tanh(\mathbf{v}_i x + \mathbf{b}_i)$.
- Is the loss convex in θ ?

How do we learn these parameters?

- For a training set $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$, our goal is to find

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{10}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i; \theta) - y_i)^2.$$

- We can use gradient descent
- Is f differentiable w.r.t. θ ? $f(x) = \mathbf{w}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{w}_i \tanh(\mathbf{v}_i x + \mathbf{b}_i)$.
- Is the loss convex in θ ?
 - \tanh is not convex
 - Regardless of nonlinearity, the composition of convex functions is not necessarily convex
- We might converge to a local minimum.

Gradient descent for (large) neural networks

- Mathematically, it's just *partial derivatives*, which you can compute by hand using the *chain rule*
 - In practice, this could be **time-consuming** and **error-prone**

Gradient descent for (large) neural networks


- Mathematically, it's just *partial derivatives*, which you can compute by hand using the *chain rule*
 - In practice, this could be **time-consuming** and **error-prone**
- Back-propagation computes gradients for neural networks (and other models) in a systematic and efficient way

Gradient descent for (large) neural networks

- Mathematically, it's just *partial derivatives*, which you can compute by hand using the *chain rule*
 - In practice, this could be **time-consuming** and **error-prone**
- Back-propagation computes gradients for neural networks (and other models) in a systematic and efficient way
- We can visualize the process using *computation graphs*, which expose the structure of the computation (**modularity** and **dependency**)

Functions as nodes in a graph


- We represent each component of the network as a *node* that takes in a set of *inputs* and produces a set of *outputs*.
- Example: $g : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.
 - Typical computation graph:




Functions as nodes in a graph

- We represent each component of the network as a *node* that takes in a set of *inputs* and produces a set of *outputs*.
- Example: $g : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

- Typical computation graph:




- Broken down by component:



Partial derivatives of an affine function


- Define the affine function $g(x) = Mx + c$, for $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.



Partial derivatives of an affine function


- Define the affine function $g(x) = Mx + c$, for $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Let $b = g(a) = Ma + c$. What is b_i ?



Partial derivatives of an affine function

- Define the affine function $g(x) = Mx + c$, for $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.




- Let $b = g(a) = Ma + c$. What is b_i ?
- b_i depends on the i th row of M :

$$b_i = \sum_{k=1}^p M_{ik} a_k + c_i.$$

Partial derivatives of an affine function

- Define the affine function $g(x) = Mx + c$, for $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.



- Let $b = g(a) = Ma + c$. What is b_i ?

- b_i depends on the i th row of M :


$$b_i = \sum_{k=1}^p M_{ik} a_k + c_i.$$

- If $a_j \leftarrow a_j + \delta$, what is b_i ?

$$b_i \leftarrow b_i + M_{ij} \delta.$$

Partial derivatives of an affine function

- Define the affine function $g(x) = Mx + c$, for $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.



- Let $b = g(a) = Ma + c$. What is b_i ?

- b_i depends on the i th row of M :

$$b_i = \sum_{k=1}^p M_{ik} a_k + c_i.$$


- If $a_j \leftarrow a_j + \delta$, what is b_i ?

$$b_i \leftarrow b_i + M_{ij} \delta.$$

The partial derivative/gradient measures *sensitivity*: If we perturb an input a little bit, how much does the output change?

Partial derivatives in general

- Consider a function $g : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.




- Partial derivative $\frac{\partial b_i}{\partial a_j}$ is the rate of change of b_i as we change a_j
- If we change a_j slightly to $a_j + \delta$,
- Then (for small δ), b_i changes to approximately

$$b_i + \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial a_j} \delta.$$


Composing multiple functions

- We have $g : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$
- $b = g(a)$, $c = f(b)$.
- How does a small change in a_j affect c_i ?



Composing multiple functions


- We have $g : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$
- $b = g(a)$, $c = f(b)$.



- How does a small change in a_j affect c_i ?
- Visualizing the **chain rule**:
 - We **sum** changes induced on all paths from a_j to c_i .
 - The change contributed by each path is the **product** of changes on each edge along the path.

Composing multiple functions

- We have $g : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$
- $b = g(a)$, $c = f(b)$.



- How does a small change in a_j affect c_i ?
- Visualizing the **chain rule**:
 - We **sum** changes induced on all paths from a_j to c_i .
 - The change contributed by each path is the **product** of changes on each edge along the path.

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial a_j} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial b_k} \frac{\partial b_k}{\partial a_j}.$$

Example: Linear least squares

- Hypothesis space $\{f(x) = w^T x + b \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^d, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
- Data set $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$.
- Define

$$\ell_i(w, b) = [(w^T x_i + b) - y_i]^2.$$

Example: Linear least squares

- Hypothesis space $\{f(x) = w^T x + b \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^d, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
- Data set $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$.
- Define

$$\ell_i(w, b) = [(w^T x_i + b) - y_i]^2.$$

- In SGD, in each round we choose a random training instance $i \in 1, \dots, n$ and take a gradient step

$$\begin{aligned} w_j &\leftarrow w_j - \eta \frac{\partial \ell_i(w, b)}{\partial w_j}, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d \\ b &\leftarrow b - \eta \frac{\partial \ell_i(w, b)}{\partial b}, \end{aligned}$$

for some step size $\eta > 0$.

- How do we calculate these partial derivatives on a computation graph?

Computation graph and intermediate variables

- For a training point (x, y) , the loss is

$$\ell(w, b) = [(w^T x + b) - y]^2.$$

Computation graph and intermediate variables

- For a training point (x, y) , the loss is

$$\ell(w, b) = [(w^T x + b) - y]^2.$$

- Let's break this down into intermediate computations:

$$(\text{prediction}) \hat{y} = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_j + b$$

Computation graph and intermediate variables

- For a training point (x, y) , the loss is

$$\ell(w, b) = [(w^T x + b) - y]^2.$$

- Let's break this down into intermediate computations:

$$(\text{prediction}) \hat{y} = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_j + b$$

$$(\text{residual}) r = y - \hat{y}$$

Computation graph and intermediate variables

- For a training point (x, y) , the loss is

$$\ell(w, b) = [(w^T x + b) - y]^2.$$

- Let's break this down into intermediate computations:

$$(\text{prediction}) \hat{y} = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_j + b$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{residual}) r &= y - \hat{y} \\ (\text{loss}) \ell &= r^2 \end{aligned}$$

Computation graph and intermediate variables

- For a training point (x, y) , the loss is


$$\ell(w, b) = [(w^T x + b) - y]^2.$$

- Let's break this down into intermediate computations:

$$(\text{prediction}) \hat{y} = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_j + b$$


$$(\text{residual}) r = y - \hat{y}$$

$$(\text{loss}) \ell = r^2$$




Partial derivatives on computation graph

- We'll work our way from the output ℓ back to the parameters w and b , reusing previous computations as much as possible:




Partial derivatives on computation graph

- We'll work our way from the output ℓ back to the parameters w and b , reusing previous computations as much as possible:




Partial derivatives on computation graph

- We'll work our way from the output ℓ back to the parameters w and b , reusing previous computations as much as possible:



Partial derivatives on computation graph


- We'll work our way from the output ℓ back to the parameters w and b , reusing previous computations as much as possible:



$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial r} &= 2r \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} &= \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial \hat{y}} = (2r)(-1) = -2r \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial b} &= \end{aligned}$$

Partial derivatives on computation graph


- We'll work our way from the output ℓ back to the parameters w and b , reusing previous computations as much as possible:



$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial r} &= 2r \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} &= \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial \hat{y}} = (2r)(-1) = -2r \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial b} &= \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial b} = (-2r)(1) = -2r \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial w_j} &= \end{aligned}$$

Partial derivatives on computation graph

- We'll work our way from the output ℓ back to the parameters w and b , reusing previous computations as much as possible:



$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial r} &= 2r \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} &= \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial \hat{y}} = (2r)(-1) = -2r \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial b} &= \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial b} = (-2r)(1) = -2r \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial w_j} &= \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_j} = (-2r)x_j = -2rx_j\end{aligned}$$

Example: Ridge Regression

- For training point (x, y) , the ℓ_2 -regularized objective function is

$$J(w, b) = [(w^T x + b) - y]^2 + \lambda w^T w.$$

- Let's break this down into some intermediate computations:

$$\text{(prediction)} \hat{y} = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_j + b$$

$$\text{(residual)} r = y - \hat{y}$$

$$\text{(loss)} \ell = r^2$$

Example: Ridge Regression

- For training point (x, y) , the ℓ_2 -regularized objective function is

$$J(w, b) = [(w^T x + b) - y]^2 + \lambda w^T w.$$

- Let's break this down into some intermediate computations:

$$\text{(prediction)} \hat{y} = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_j + b$$

$$\text{(residual)} r = y - \hat{y}$$

$$\text{(loss)} \ell = r^2$$

$$\text{(regularization)} R = \lambda w^T w$$

$$\text{(objective)} J = \ell + R$$

Example: Ridge Regression

- For training point (x, y) , the ℓ_2 -regularized objective function is

$$J(w, b) = [(w^T x + b) - y]^2 + \lambda w^T w.$$

- Let's break this down into some intermediate computations:


$$\text{(prediction)} \hat{y} = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_j + b$$

$$\text{(residual)} r = y - \hat{y}$$

$$\text{(loss)} \ell = r^2$$


$$\text{(regularization)} R = \lambda w^T w$$

$$\text{(objective)} J = \ell + R$$



Partial Derivatives on Computation Graph


- We'll work our way from graph output ℓ back to the parameters w and b :



$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial J}{\partial \ell} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial R} = 1 \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial \ell} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial \hat{y}} = (1)(2r)(-1) = -2r \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial b} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial b} = (-2r)(1) = -2r \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_j} &= \text{Exercise}\end{aligned}$$

Backpropagation: Overview

- Learning: run gradient descent to find the parameters that minimize our objective J .
- Backpropagation: we compute the gradient w.r.t. each (trainable) parameter $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_i}$.




Forward pass Compute intermediate function values, i.e. output of each node

Backward pass Compute the partial derivative of J w.r.t. all intermediate variables and the model parameters

How do we minimize computation?

Backpropagation: Overview

- Learning: run gradient descent to find the parameters that minimize our objective J .
- Backpropagation: we compute the gradient w.r.t. each (trainable) parameter $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_i}$.



Forward pass Compute intermediate function values, i.e. output of each node


Backward pass Compute the partial derivative of J w.r.t. all intermediate variables and the model parameters

How do we minimize computation?

- Path sharing: each node *caches intermediate results*: we don't need to compute them over and over again
- An example of dynamic programming


Forward pass

- Order nodes by **topological sort** (every node appears before its children)
- For each node, compute the output given the input (output of its parents).
- Forward at intermediate node f_i and f_j :




Backward pass

- Order nodes in **reverse topological order** (every node appears after its children)
- For each node, compute the partial derivative of its output w.r.t. its input, multiplied by the partial derivative of its children (chain rule)
- Backward pass at intermediate node f_i :



Multiple children

- First sum partial derivatives from all children, then multiply.




Multiple children

- First sum partial derivatives from all children, then multiply.

- Backprop for node f :

- Input:** $\frac{\partial J}{\partial b^{(1)}}, \dots, \frac{\partial J}{\partial b^{(N)}}$
(Partials w.r.t. inputs to all children)

- Output:**



$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial b} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial J}{\partial b^{(k)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial a}$$

Why backward?

- We can write the chain rule in different orders of computation.

$$y = y(c(b(a))) \tag{9}$$

(12)

Why backward?

- We can write the chain rule in different orders of computation.

$$y = y(c(b(a))) \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial a} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial y}{\partial c}}_{D_4 \times D_3} \underbrace{\frac{\partial c}{\partial b}}_{D_3 \times D_2} \underbrace{\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}}_{D_2 \times D_1} \tag{10}$$

(12)

Why backward?

- We can write the chain rule in different orders of computation.

$$y = y(c(b(a))) \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial a} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial y}{\partial c}}_{D_4 \times D_3} \underbrace{\frac{\partial c}{\partial b}}_{D_3 \times D_2} \underbrace{\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}}_{D_2 \times D_1} \quad (10)$$

Backward: $\frac{\partial y}{\partial a} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial y}{\partial c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial b}}_{D_4 \times D_3 \cdot D_3 \times D_2 \rightarrow D_4 \times D_2} \underbrace{\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}}_{D_2 \times D_1} \quad (11)$

(12)

Why backward?

- We can write the chain rule in different orders of computation.

$$y = y(c(b(a))) \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial a} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial y}{\partial c}}_{D_4 \times D_3} \underbrace{\frac{\partial c}{\partial b}}_{D_3 \times D_2} \underbrace{\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}}_{D_2 \times D_1} \quad (10)$$

Backward: $\frac{\partial y}{\partial a} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial y}{\partial c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial b}}_{D_4 \times D_3 \cdot D_3 \times D_2 \rightarrow D_4 \times D_2} \underbrace{\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}}_{D_2 \times D_1} \quad (11)$

Forward: $\frac{\partial y}{\partial a} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial y}{\partial c}}_{D_4 \times D_3} \underbrace{\frac{\partial c}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial a}}_{D_3 \times D_2 \cdot D_2 \times D_1 \rightarrow D_3 \times D_1} \quad (12)$

Trade-offs

- The **reverse** order: The **last** dimension (D_4) is preserved throughout propagation.

Trade-offs

- The **reverse** order: The **last** dimension (D_4) is preserved throughout propagation.
- The **forward** order: The **first** dimension (D_1) is preserved throughout propagation.

Trade-offs

- The **reverse** order: The **last** dimension (D_4) is preserved throughout propagation.
- The **forward** order: The **first** dimension (D_1) is preserved throughout propagation.
- Reverse mode automatic differentiation (backprop) is faster since we have a scalar output and a vector input, and it works well on most neural networks.


Trade-offs

- The **reverse** order: The **last** dimension (D_4) is preserved throughout propagation.
- The **forward** order: The **first** dimension (D_1) is preserved throughout propagation.
- Reverse mode automatic differentiation (backprop) is faster since we have a scalar output and a vector input, and it works well on most neural networks.
- Forward mode automatic differentiation could be faster if we have a scalar input and a vector output (less memory).


Trade-offs

- The **reverse** order: The **last** dimension (D_4) is preserved throughout propagation.
- The **forward** order: The **first** dimension (D_1) is preserved throughout propagation.
- Reverse mode automatic differentiation (backprop) is faster since we have a scalar output and a vector input, and it works well on most neural networks.
- Forward mode automatic differentiation could be faster if we have a scalar input and a vector output (less memory).
- Optimal ordering = matrix chain ordering problem. Dynamic programming solution.

Non-convex optimization



Computed by Wolfram|Alpha




Computed by Wolfram|Alpha

- Left: convex loss function. Right: non-convex loss function.

Non-convex optimization: challenges


- What if we converge to a bad local minimum?
 - Rerun with a different initialization



Reference: Chris De Sa's slides (CS6787 Lecture 7).


Non-convex optimization: challenges

- What if we converge to a bad local minimum?
 - Rerun with a different initialization
- Hit a saddle point
 - Doesn't often happen with SGD
 - Second partial derivative test




Non-convex optimization: challenges

- What if we converge to a bad local minimum?
 - Rerun with a different initialization
- Hit a saddle point
 - Doesn't often happen with SGD
 - Second partial derivative test
- Flat region: low gradient magnitude
 - Possible solution: use ReLU instead of sigmoid



Non-convex optimization: challenges

- What if we converge to a bad local minimum?
 - Rerun with a different initialization
- Hit a saddle point
 - Doesn't often happen with SGD
 - Second partial derivative test
- Flat region: low gradient magnitude
 - Possible solution: use ReLU instead of sigmoid
- High curvature: large gradient magnitude
 - Possible solutions: Gradient clipping, adaptive step sizes



Learning rate

- One of the most important hyperparameter.
- Start with a higher learning rate then decay towards zero.

Learning rate

- One of the most important hyperparameter.
- Start with a higher learning rate then decay towards zero.
- Classic theory: convergence guarantee for stochastic gradient descent. Otherwise the update step has a noise term dominated by the noise of data sample.
- Other explanation: Loss surface, avoidance of local minima, avoidance of memorization of noisy samples

Learning rate

- One of the most important hyperparameter.
- Start with a higher learning rate then decay towards zero.
- Classic theory: convergence guarantee for stochastic gradient descent. Otherwise the update step has a noise term dominated by the noise of data sample.
- Other explanation: Loss surface, avoidance of local minima, avoidance of memorization of noisy samples
- Learning rate decay (staircase 10x, cosine, etc.), speeds up convergence

Biological Plausibility

- Backprop is used to train the overwhelming majority of neural nets today.

Biological Plausibility

- Backprop is used to train the overwhelming majority of neural nets today.
- Despite its practical success, backprop is believed to be neurally implausible.

Biological Plausibility


- Backprop is used to train the overwhelming majority of neural nets today.
- Despite its practical success, backprop is believed to be neurally implausible.
- No evidence for biological signals analogous to error derivatives.

Biological Plausibility

- Backprop is used to train the overwhelming majority of neural nets today.
- Despite its practical success, backprop is believed to be neurally implausible.
- No evidence for biological signals analogous to error derivatives.
- Two main problems with implementing in an asynchronous analog hardware like our brain.


Biological Plausibility

1) Weight Symmetry & Network Symmetry




Biological Plausibility

1) Weight Symmetry & Network Symmetry



2) Global Synchronization



Review

- Backpropagation is an algorithm for computing the gradient (partial derivatives + chain rule) efficiently.

Review

- Backpropagation is an algorithm for computing the gradient (partial derivatives + chain rule) efficiently.
- It is used in gradient descent optimization for neural networks.

- Backpropagation is an algorithm for computing the gradient (partial derivatives + chain rule) efficiently.
- It is used in gradient descent optimization for neural networks.
- Key idea: function composition and the chain rule


- Backpropagation is an algorithm for computing the gradient (partial derivatives + chain rule) efficiently.
- It is used in gradient descent optimization for neural networks.
- Key idea: function composition and the chain rule
- In practice, we can use existing software packages, e.g. PyTorch (backpropagation, neural network building blocks, optimization algorithms etc.)

Applying Neural Networks on Images

- Neural networks are widely used on images today.
- Images are challenging to deal with because of its large dimensions.

Applying Neural Networks on Images

- Neural networks are widely used on images today.
- Images are challenging to deal with because of its large dimensions.
- Stored the intensity value pixel by pixel.
- A 28×28 image of digit 4:




Fully connected vs. locally connected

- So far we apply a layer where all output neurons are connected to all input neurons.
- In matrix form, $z = Wx$.
- This is also called a fully connected layer or a dense layer or a linear layer.



Fully connected vs. locally connected

- So far we apply a layer where all output neurons are connected to all input neurons.
- In matrix form, $z = Wx$.
- This is also called a fully connected layer or a dense layer or a linear layer.
- For 200×200 image and 1000 hidden units, the matrix of a single layer will have 40M parameters!




Fully connected vs. locally connected

- An alternative strategy is to use local connection.
- For neuron i , only connects to its neighborhood (e.g. $[i+k, i-k]$)
- For images, we index neurons with three dimensions i , j , and c .
- i = vertical index, j = horizontal index, c = channel index.




Local connection patterns

- The typical image input layer has 3 channels R G B for color or 1 channel for grayscale.
- The hidden layers may have C channels, at each spatial location (i, j) .




Local connection patterns

- The typical image input layer has 3 channels R G B for color or 1 channel for grayscale.
- The hidden layers may have C channels, at each spatial location (i, j) .
- Now each hidden neuron $z_{i,j,c}$ receives inputs from $x_{i \pm k, j \pm k, \cdot}$.
- k is the “kernel” size - do not confuse with the other kernel we learned.
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i,j,i'-i,j'-j,c',c}$$



Local connection patterns

- The typical image input layer has 3 channels R G B for color or 1 channel for grayscale.
- The hidden layers may have C channels, at each spatial location (i, j) .
- Now each hidden neuron $z_{i,j,c}$ receives inputs from $x_{i \pm k, j \pm k, \cdot}$.
- k is the “kernel” size - do not confuse with the other kernel we learned.
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i,j,i'-j,j'-j,c',c}$$
- The spatial awareness (receptive field) of the neighborhood grows bigger as we go deeper.



Weight sharing


- Still a lot of weights: If we have 100 channels in the second layer, then
 $200 \times 200 \times 3 \times 100 = 12M$

Weight sharing

- Still a lot of weights: If we have 100 channels in the second layer, then $200 \times 200 \times 3 \times 100 = 12M$
- Local information is the same regardless of the position of an element.


Weight sharing

- Still a lot of weights: If we have 100 channels in the second layer, then $200 \times 200 \times 3 \times 100 = 12M$
- Local information is the same regardless of the position of an element.
- Solution: We can tie the weights at different locations.




2D convolution

- Using the same weight connections for each activation spatial location works like the “filtering operation” or “convolution”
- The neighborhood window is the filter window.
- The weight connection is called “convolution filter”
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i-i', j-j', c'}$$




2D convolution

- Using the same weight connections for each activation spatial location works like the “filtering operation” or “convolution”
- The neighborhood window is the filter window.
- The weight connection is called “convolution filter”
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i-i', j-j', c'}$$




2D convolution

- Using the same weight connections for each activation spatial location works like the “filtering operation” or “convolution”
- The neighborhood window is the filter window.
- The weight connection is called “convolution filter”
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i-i', j-j', c'}$$




2D convolution

- Using the same weight connections for each activation spatial location works like the “filtering operation” or “convolution”
- The neighborhood window is the filter window.
- The weight connection is called “convolution filter”
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i-i', j-j', c'}$$




2D convolution

- Using the same weight connections for each activation spatial location works like the “filtering operation” or “convolution”
- The neighborhood window is the filter window.
- The weight connection is called “convolution filter”
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i-i', j-j', c'}$$




2D convolution

- Using the same weight connections for each activation spatial location works like the “filtering operation” or “convolution”
- The neighborhood window is the filter window.
- The weight connection is called “convolution filter”
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i-i', j-j', c', c}$$




2D convolution

- Using the same weight connections for each activation spatial location works like the “filtering operation” or “convolution”
- The neighborhood window is the filter window.
- The weight connection is called “convolution filter”
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i-i', j-j', c', c}$$




2D convolution

- Using the same weight connections for each activation spatial location works like the “filtering operation” or “convolution”
- The neighborhood window is the filter window.
- The weight connection is called “convolution filter”
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i-i', j-j', c'}$$




2D convolution

- Using the same weight connections for each activation spatial location works like the “filtering operation” or “convolution”
- The neighborhood window is the filter window.
- The weight connection is called “convolution filter”
- $$z_{i,j,c} = \sum_{i' \in [i \pm k], j' \in [j \pm k], c'} x_{i'j'c'} w_{i-i', j-j', c', c}$$





Pooling

- Need to summarize global information more efficiently.
- Pooling reduces image / activation dimensions.
- Max-pooling or average-pooling





Pooling

- Need to summarize global information more efficiently.
- Pooling reduces image / activation dimensions.
- Max-pooling or average-pooling
- You can also perform a “strided” convolution by jumping multiple steps.





Pooling

- Need to summarize global information more efficiently.
- Pooling reduces image / activation dimensions.
- Max-pooling or average-pooling
- You can also perform a “strided” convolution by jumping multiple steps.





Pooling

- Need to summarize global information more efficiently.
- Pooling reduces image / activation dimensions.
- Max-pooling or average-pooling
- You can also perform a “strided” convolution by jumping multiple steps.




Pooling

- Need to summarize global information more efficiently.
- Pooling reduces image / activation dimensions.
- Max-pooling or average-pooling
- You can also perform a “strided” convolution by jumping multiple steps.



Assembling together: LeNet



- Used by USPS to read post code in the 90s.

Historical development

- LeNet has worked and being put to practice in the 1990s.

Historical development

- LeNet has worked and been put to practice in the 1990s.
- Neural networks for images start to dominate in the last 10 years (starting 2012) for understanding general high resolution natural images.

Historical development

- LeNet has worked and being put to practice in the 1990s.
- Neural networks for images start to dominate in the last 10 years (starting 2012) for understanding general high resolution natural images.
- During the years:
 - Neural networks were difficult to work
 - People focused on feature engineering
 - Then apply SVM or random forest (e.g. AdaBoost face detector)
 - What has changed?

Optimization challenges

- Larger images require deeper networks (more stages of processing at different resolutions)
- Optimizing deeper layers of networks is not trivial.
- Loss often stalls or blows up.
- Why?

Optimization challenges

- Larger images require deeper networks (more stages of processing at different resolutions)
- Optimizing deeper layers of networks is not trivial.
- Loss often stalls or blows up.
- Why?
 - Backpropagation: multiplying the Jacobian $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ by each layer.
 - If the maximum singular value of each layer of Jacobian is less than 1: then the gradient will converge to 0 with more layers.
 - If the greater than 1: then the gradient will explode with more layers.
 - The bottom (input) layer may get 0 or infinite gradients.

Weight initialization

- Even with a few layers (>3), optimization is still hard.
- If weight initialization is bad (too small or too big), then optimization is hard to kick off.
- Consider the distribution of whole dataset in the activation space.
 - Intuition: upon initialization, the variance of the activations should stay the same across every layer.

Kaiming Initialization

- Suppose each neuron and weight connection are sampling from a random distribution.

Kaiming Initialization

- Suppose each neuron and weight connection are sampling from a random distribution.
- At l -th layer, $\text{Var}[z_l] = n_l \text{Var}[w_l x_l]$ (n_l = num. input neurons to l -th layer)

Kaiming Initialization

- Suppose each neuron and weight connection are sampling from a random distribution.
- At l -th layer, $\text{Var}[z_l] = n_l \text{Var}[w_l x_l]$ (n_l = num. input neurons to l -th layer)
- If we suppose that ReLU is used as the activation, and w_l is symmetric and zero-mean,
 $x_{l+1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}[z_l]$.

¹He et al. Delving Deep into Rectifiers: Surpassing Human-Level Performance on ImageNet. ICCV, 2015.

Kaiming Initialization

- Suppose each neuron and weight connection are sampling from a random distribution.
- At l -th layer, $\text{Var}[z_l] = n_l \text{Var}[w_l x_l]$ (n_l = num. input neurons to l -th layer)
- If we suppose that ReLU is used as the activation, and w_l is symmetric and zero-mean,
 $x_{l+1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}[z_l]$.
- Putting altogether, $x_{l+1} = \frac{1}{2} n_l \text{Var}[w_l] \text{Var}[x_l]$.

¹He et al. Delving Deep into Rectifiers: Surpassing Human-Level Performance on ImageNet. ICCV, 2015.


Kaiming Initialization

- Suppose each neuron and weight connection are sampling from a random distribution.
- At l -th layer, $\text{Var}[z_l] = n_l \text{Var}[w_l x_l]$ (n_l = num. input neurons to l -th layer)
- If we suppose that ReLU is used as the activation, and w_l is symmetric and zero-mean, $x_{l+1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}[z_l]$.
- Putting altogether, $x_{l+1} = \frac{1}{2} n_l \text{Var}[w_l] \text{Var}[x_l]$.
- To make the variance constant, we need $\frac{1}{2} n_l \text{Var}[w_l] = 1$, $\text{Std}[w_l] = \sqrt{2/n_l}$ ¹.

¹He et al. Delving Deep into Rectifiers: Surpassing Human-Level Performance on ImageNet. ICCV, 2015.

Activation functions

- ReLU was proposed in 2009-2010²³, and was successfully used in AlexNet in 2012⁴.
- Address the vanishing gradient issue in activations, comparing to sigmoid or tanh.



²Jarrett et al. What is the Best Multi-Stage Architecture for Object Recognition? ICCV, 2009.

³Nair & Hinton/ Rectified Linear Units Improve Restricted Boltzmann Machines. ICML, 2010.


⁴Krizhevsky et al. ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. NIPS, 2012.

SGD Learning Rate

- In stochastic training, the learning rate also influences the **fluctuations** due to the stochasticity of the gradients.
- Typical strategy:
 - Use a large learning rate early in training so you can get close to the optimum
 - Gradually decay the learning rate to reduce the fluctuations

Learning Rate Decay

- We also need to be aware about the impact of learning rate due to the stochasticity.




RMSprop and Adam

- Recall: SGD takes large steps in directions of high curvature and small steps in directions of low curvature.
- **RMSprop** is a variant of SGD which rescales each coordinate of the gradient to have norm 1 on average. It does this by keeping an exponential moving average s_j of the squared gradients.
- The following update is applied to each coordinate j independently:

$$s_j \leftarrow (1 - \gamma)s_j + \gamma[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_j}]^2$$
$$\theta_j \leftarrow \theta_j - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{s_j + \epsilon}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_j}$$

Adam optimizer

- Adam = RMSprop + momentum = Adaptive Momentum estimation
- Smoother estimate of the average gradient and gradient norm.
- m_t : exponential moving average of gradient.
- v_t : exponential moving average of gradient squared.
- \hat{m}_t, \hat{v}_t : Bias correction.
- $\theta_t \leftarrow \theta_{t-1} - \alpha \hat{m}_t / (\sqrt{\hat{v}_t} + \epsilon)$
- The “default” optimizer for modern networks.




Normalization

- Weight initialization is tricky, and there is no guarantee that the distribution of activations will stay the same over the learning process.
- What if the weights keep grow bigger and activation may explode?
- We can “normalize” the activations.
- The idea is to control the activation within a normal range: zero-mean, uni-variance.


Batch Normalization (BN)

- Training image distribution -> activation distribution
- In CNNs, neurons across different spatial locations are also samples of the same feature channel.
- Batch norm: Normalize across N H W dimensions, leaving C channels.
- $\tilde{x} = \gamma \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} + \beta$
- Test time: using the mean and variance from the entire training set.




BN Alternatives

- Need a considerable batch size to estimate mean and variance correctly.
- Training is different from testing.
- Alternatives consider the C channel dimension instead of N batch dimension.




Going Deeper

- The progress of normalization allowed us to train even deeper networks.
- The networks are no longer too sensitive with initialization.
- But the best networks were still around 20 layers and deeper results in worse performance.



Residual Networks (ResNet)


- Recall in gradient boosting, we are iteratively adding a function to the model to expand the capacity.
- Residual connection: Skip connection to prevent gradient vanishing.⁵



⁵He et al. Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. CVPR 2016.

ResNet Success


- Now able to train over 100 layers.
- One of the most important network design choices in the past decade.
- Prevalent in almost all network architectures, including Transformers.
- Loss landscape view: Skip connections makes loss smoother -> easier to optimize ⁶.



⁶Li et al. Visualizing the Loss Landscape of Neural Nets. NIPS 2018.

Dropout⁷


- Want to reduce overfitting in neural networks.
- Stochastically turning off neurons in propagation.



⁷Srivastava et al. A Simple Way to Prevent Neural Networks from Overfitting. JMLR, 2014.

Dropout⁷


- Want to reduce overfitting in neural networks.
- Stochastically turning off neurons in propagation.
- Training to preserve redundancy.
- Test time: multiplying activations with probability. Model ensembling effect.



⁷Srivastava et al. A Simple Way to Prevent Neural Networks from Overfitting. JMLR, 2014.

GELU⁸


- Gaussian Error Linear Unit - A smoother activation function.
- Motivated by Dropout.



⁸Hendrycks & Gimpel. Gaussian Error Linear Unit (GELU). CoRR abs/1606.08415, 2016.


GELU⁸

- Gaussian Error Linear Unit - A smoother activation function.
- Motivated by Dropout.
- $f(x) = \mathbb{E}[x \cdot m]$.



⁸Hendrycks & Gimpel. Gaussian Error Linear Unit (GELU). CoRR abs/1606.08415, 2016.

- Gaussian Error Linear Unit - A smoother activation function.
- Motivated by Dropout.
- $f(x) = \mathbb{E}[x \cdot m]$.
- $m \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\Phi(x))$.
- $\Phi(x) = P(X \leq x)$.
- $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.



⁸Hendrycks & Gimpel. Gaussian Error Linear Unit (GELU). CoRR abs/1606.08415, 2016.

Data augmentation

- Leverage the invariances of images
- Create more data points for free




Image credit⁹

⁹Chen et al. A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations. ICML 2020.

Data augmentation

- Leverage the invariances of images
- Create more data points for free
 - Random cropping




Image credit⁹

⁹Chen et al. A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations. ICML 2020.

Data augmentation

- Leverage the invariances of images
- Create more data points for free
 - Random cropping
 - Left+right flipping




Image credit⁹

⁹Chen et al. A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations. ICML 2020.

Data augmentation

- Leverage the invariances of images
- Create more data points for free
 - Random cropping
 - Left+right flipping
 - Random color jittering




Image credit⁹

⁹Chen et al. A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations. ICML 2020.

Data augmentation

- Leverage the invariances of images
- Create more data points for free
 - Random cropping
 - Left+right flipping
 - Random color jittering
 - Random blurring




Image credit⁹

⁹Chen et al. A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations. ICML 2020.

Data augmentation

- Leverage the invariances of images
- Create more data points for free
 - Random cropping
 - Left+right flipping
 - Random color jittering
 - Random blurring
 - Affine warping
 - Etc.





Image credit⁹

⁹Chen et al. A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations. ICML 2020.


What about natural language

- Neural networks are great for dealing with naturalistic and unstructured signals.




What about natural language

- Neural networks are great for dealing with naturalistic and unstructured signals.
- Past lectures: Feature functions in structured models, but still primitive.




What about natural language

- Neural networks are great for dealing with naturalistic and unstructured signals.
- Past lectures: Feature functions in structured models, but still primitive.
- Design neural networks to accommodate sequential signals such as language.



Word embeddings


- Neural networks are best dealing with real valued vectors.



¹⁰<https://aelang.github.io/word-embeddings.html>

Word embeddings


- Neural networks are best dealing with real valued vectors.
- Need to convert words (discrete) into vectors (continuous).



¹⁰<https://aelang.github.io/word-embeddings.html>

Word embeddings

- Neural networks are best dealing with real valued vectors.
- Need to convert words (discrete) into vectors (continuous).
- A large matrix of $V \times D$. V = vocab size, D = network embedding size.



¹⁰<https://aelang.github.io/word-embeddings.html>