

Numerical Analysis: Midterm

(30 marks, only the 3 best questions count)

Urbain Vaes

October 20, 2024

Question 1 (Floating point arithmetic, 10 marks). True or false?

1. Let $(\bullet)_2$ denote binary representation. It holds that $(0.1011)_2 + (0.0101)_2 = 1$.
2. Let $(\bullet)_3$ denote base 3 representation. It holds that $(1000)_3 \times (0.002)_3 = 2$.
3. A natural number with binary representation $(b_4b_3b_2b_1b_0)_2$ is even if and only if $b_0 = 0$.
4. In Julia, `Float64(.4) == Float32(.4)` evaluates to `true`.
5. Machine addition $\hat{+}$ is a commutative operation. More precisely, given any two double-precision floating point numbers $x \in \mathbf{F}_{64}$ and $y \in \mathbf{F}_{64}$, it holds that $x \hat{+} y = y \hat{+} x$.
6. Let \mathbf{F}_{32} and \mathbf{F}_{64} denote respectively the sets of single and double precision floating point numbers. It holds that $\mathbf{F}_{32} \subset \mathbf{F}_{64}$.
7. In Julia, `eps(Float16)` returns the smallest strictly positive number that can be represented exactly in the `Float16` format.
8. Let \mathbf{F}_{64} denote the set of double precision floating point numbers. For any $x \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $x \in \mathbf{F}_{64}$, it holds that $x + 1 \in \mathbf{F}_{64}$.
9. Let $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}$ be two numbers that are exactly representable in the `Float64` format. Then $x \hat{+} y = x + y$: machine addition is exact in this case.
10. It holds that $(0.\overline{2200})_3 = (0.9)_{10}$.

Question 2 (Interpolation and approximation, **10 marks**). Throughout this exercise, we use the notation $x_i^n = i/n$ and assume that $u: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a smooth function. The notation $\mathbf{P}(n)$ denotes the set of polynomials of degree less than or equal to n . We proved in class that, for all $n \geq 0$, there exists a unique polynomial $p_n \in \mathbf{P}(n)$ such that

$$\forall i \in \{0, \dots, n\}, \quad p_n(x_i^n) = u(x_i^n). \quad (1)$$

Are the following assertions true or false?

1. If u is not the zero function, then the degree of p_n is exactly n .
2. If $u(x) = 2x + 1$, then $p_n = u$ for all $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.
3. Fix $u(x) = 1 + \sin(57\pi x)$. Then $p_3(x) = 1$.
4. Fix $u(x) = (2x - 1)^3$. Then $p_2(x) = 2x - 1$.
5. For all u that is smooth, it holds that

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x) - p_n(x)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

6. Fix $u(x) = \cos(2x)$. Then

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x) - p_n(x)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

7. Fix $u(x) = \sin(x)$. Then

$$\max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |u(x) - p_n(x)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

8. Suppose that $p(x) \in \mathbf{P}(n)$ and let $q(x) = p(x+1) - p(x)$. Then $q \in \mathbf{P}(n-1)$.
9. Let $(f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) = (1, 1, 2, \dots)$ denote the Fibonacci sequence. There exists a polynomial p such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad f_n = p(n).$$

10. For any matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{20 \times 10}$, the linear system

$$A^T A \alpha = A^T \alpha$$

admits a unique solution.

1. There exists a unique polynomial $p \in \mathbf{P}(n+1)$ such that

$$\forall i \in \{0, \dots, n\}, \quad p(x_i) = u(x_i). \quad (2)$$

2. Assume that $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$ is such that (2) is satisfied. Then there is a constant $K \in \mathbf{R}$ independent of x such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad u(x) - p(x) = K(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_n).$$

3. Assume that $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$ is such that (2) is satisfied. Then p is of degree exactly n .
4. If x_0, \dots, x_n are the roots of the Chebyshev polynomial of degree n , then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_n)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2^n}.$$

5. The function $S: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by

$$S(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (i + i^2 + i^3 + i^4)$$

is a polynomial of degree 5. (More precisely, there exists a polynomial of degree 5, say q , such that $S(n) = q(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.)

6. Assume that $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$ is such that (2) is satisfied. It holds that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |u(x) - p(x)| \leq \pi^2/n.$$

7. For $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $u_i = u(x_i)$, and let $m \leq n$ be a given natural number. We wish to fit the data $(x_0, u_0), \dots, (x_n, u_n)$ with a function $\hat{u}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of the form

$$\hat{u}(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_m x^m.$$

Specifically, we wish to find coefficients $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_m)^T$ such that the error

$$J(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^n |u_i - \hat{u}(x_i)|^2$$

is minimized. Throughout this exercise, we use the notations

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_0 & \dots & x_0^m \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & \dots & x_n^m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} := \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}$$

- (3 marks) Show that $J(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ may be rewritten as

$$J(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{b})^T(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{b}).$$

- (2 marks) Prove that if $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_* \in \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ is a minimizer of J , then

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_* = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}. \quad (3)$$

- (1 mark) Find a solution to (3) in terms of u_0, \dots, u_n and n when $m = 0$. Explain.

Solution.

- Notice that

$$\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_0 + \dots + \alpha_m x_0^m \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_n + \dots + \alpha_m x_n^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}(x_0) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{u}(x_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n |\hat{u}(x_i) - u_i|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n |(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{b})_i|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{b})^T (\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{b})$$

- A necessary condition is that $\nabla J(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_*) = 0$. We calculate that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \delta_{ij} = b_i.$$

Similarly, for any matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, it holds that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n m_{jk} x_j x_k \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n m_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (x_j x_k).$$

Applying the formula for the derivative of a product, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n m_{jk} \delta_{ij} x_k + m_{jk} x_j \delta_{ik} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n m_{ik} x_k + \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ji} x_j = (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{x})_i. \end{aligned}$$

Employing these formulae, we calculate that (representing the gradient with a

column vector)

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \left(\boldsymbol{b}^T \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right) = \boldsymbol{b}, \quad \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right) = 2 \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

It is then simple to conclude.

- In this case $\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} = n + 1$ and α_* is a scalar. The solution is given by

$$\alpha_* = \frac{u_0 + \cdots + u_n}{n + 1},$$

which is the average of the values u_0, \dots, u_{n+1} .

△

Question 3 (Numerical integration, 10 marks). The Gauss–Legendre quadrature formula with n nodes is an approximate integration formula of the form

$$I(u) := \int_{-1}^1 u(x) \, dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^n w_i u(x_i) =: \hat{I}_n(u), \quad (4)$$

which is exact when u is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to $2n - 1$. (Note that the nodes are here numbered starting from 1.)

1. (5 marks) Find the nodes and weights of the Gauss–Legendre rule with $n = 3$ nodes.

Solution. A necessary and sufficient condition in order for (4) to be satisfied for any polynomial $p \in \mathbf{P}(5)$ is that

$$\int_{-1}^1 x^d \, dx = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^d, \quad \text{for all } d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}.$$

This leads to the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} 2 = w_1 + w_2 + w_3, \\ 0 = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + w_3 x_3, \\ \frac{2}{3} = w_1 x_1^2 + w_2 x_2^2 + w_3 x_3^2, \\ 0 = w_1 x_1^3 + w_2 x_2^3 + w_3 x_3^3, \\ \frac{2}{5} = w_1 x_1^4 + w_2 x_2^4 + w_3 x_3^4, \\ 0 = w_1 x_1^5 + w_2 x_2^5 + w_3 x_3^5. \end{cases}$$

Given the symmetry of the problem, it is reasonable to look for a solution of the form

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, w_1, w_2, w_3) = (-x, 0, x, w_1, w_2, w_1),$$

where only 3 unknown parameters remain. For such a set of parameters, the second, fourth and sixth equations are satisfied, and the other three equations give

$$\begin{cases} 2 = 2w_1 + w_2, \\ \frac{2}{3} = 2w_1 x^2, \\ \frac{2}{5} = 2w_1 x^4. \end{cases}$$

Dividing the third equation by the second, we obtain $x^2 = 3/5$ and so $x = \pm\sqrt{3/5}$ (both values lead to the same integration rule in the end). It is then simple to deduce

that $w_1 = \frac{5}{9}$ and $w_2 = \frac{8}{9}$. We have thus derived the formula

$$\int_{-1}^1 u(x) \approx \frac{5}{9}u\left(-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\right) + \frac{8}{9}u(0) + \frac{5}{9}u\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\right).$$

△

2. (2 marks) Let $\{L_0, L_1, \dots\}$ denote orthogonal polynomials for the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{-1}^1 f(x)g(x) \, dx$$

which, in addition, satisfy the following two conditions:

- For all $i \in \mathbf{N}$, the polynomial L_i is of degree i .
- The leading coefficient of L_i , which multiplies x^i , is equal to 1.

Calculate L_0 , L_1 , L_2 and L_3 . What is the connection between L_3 and the rule found in the first item?

Solution. Clearly $L_0 = 1$. Then $L_1 = x + a_1$ and the requirement that $\langle L_1, L_0 \rangle = 0$ implies that $a_1 = 0$. We then use the ansatz $L_2 = x^2 + b_2x + a_2$ for L_2 . The requirement that $\langle L_2, L_1 \rangle$ leads to $b_2 = 0$, and then

$$\langle L_2, L_0 \rangle = \frac{2}{3} + 2a_2,$$

and so $L_2(x) = x^2 - \frac{1}{3}$. Finally, for L_3 , we use the ansatz $L_3 = x^3 + c_3x^2 + b_3x + a_3$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\langle L_3, 1 \rangle &= \frac{2}{3}c_3 + 2a_3, \\ \langle L_3, x \rangle &= \frac{2}{5} + \frac{2}{3}b_3, \\ \langle L_3, x^2 \rangle &= \frac{2}{5}c_3 + \frac{2}{3}a_3.\end{aligned}$$

The second equation gives $b_3 = -\frac{3}{5}$, and the other two equations lead to $c_3 = a_3 = 0$. We conclude that $L_3(x) = x^3 - \frac{3}{5}x$. The roots of L_3 are given by $\left\{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}, 0, \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\right\}$, and they coincide with the nodes of the Gauss–Legendre quadrature with 3 nodes. △

3. Assume that x_1, \dots, x_n and w_1, \dots, w_n are such that (4) is satisfied for all $u \in \mathbf{P}(2n-1)$.

- **(2 marks)** Show that the weights are given by

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad w_i = \int_{-1}^1 \ell_i(x) \, dx,$$

where ℓ_i is the Lagrange polynomial

$$\ell_i(x) = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j}.$$

- (1 marks) Show that the weights are all positive: $w_i > 0$ for all i .

Solution. Since (4) holds true for all $u \in \mathbf{P}(2n-1)$, it holds true in particular for the function $u = \ell_i \in \mathbf{P}(2n-1)$, which implies that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \ell_i(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^n w_j \ell_i(x_j) = w_i.$$

Similarly, since (4) holds true also for $u \in \ell_i^2 \in \mathbf{P}(2n-1)$, we deduce that

$$\int_{-1}^1 (\ell_i(x))^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^n w_j (\ell_i(x_j))^2 = w_i.$$

Since the left-hand side is positive, we deduce that $w_i > 0$. \triangle

4. (Bonus +2) Prove the following error estimate: if u is a smooth function, then

$$|I(u) - \hat{I}_n(u)| \leq \frac{C_{2n}}{(2n)!} \int_{-1}^1 (L_n(x))^2 dx, \quad C_{2n} := \sup_{\xi \in [-1,1]} |u^{(2n)}(\xi)|.$$

Hint: You may find it useful to proceed as follows:

- First show that

$$I(u) - \hat{I}_n(u) = \int_{-1}^1 u(x) - p(x) dx, \tag{5}$$

for any polynomial $p \in \mathbf{P}(2n-1)$ such that

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad p(x_i) = u(x_i). \tag{6}$$

- Notice that equation (5) is true in particular when p is the Hermite interpolation of u at the nodes x_1, \dots, x_n . Finally, conclude by using the formula for the interpolation error proved in class: if p is the Hermite interpolant of u at the nodes x_1, \dots, x_n , then

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad u(x) - p(x) = \frac{u^{(2n)}(\xi(x))}{(2n)!} (x - x_1)^2 \dots (x - x_n)^2.$$

Solution. Assume that $p \in \mathbf{P}(2n-1)$ is such that (6) is satisfied. Then by (4) we deduce that

$$\int_{-1}^1 p(x) \, dx = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i p(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i u(x_i) = \widehat{I}_n(u).$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$I(u) - \widehat{I}_n(u) = \int_{-1}^1 u(x) \, dx - \int_{-1}^1 p(x) \, dx = \int_{-1}^1 u(x) - p(x) \, dx.$$

This equation holds true in particular with p being the Hermite interpolation of u at the nodes x_1, \dots, x_n . Then, using the formula for the interpolation error, we obtain

$$u(x) - u(x) = \frac{u^{(2n)}(\xi(x))}{(2n)!} (x - x_1)^2 \dots (x - x_n)^2 = \frac{u^{(2n)}(\xi(x))}{(2n)!} (L_n(x))^2.$$

Indeed, as shown in class, L_n is a polynomial of degree n with single roots at x_1, \dots, x_n . Now we conclude by noting that

$$|I(u) - \widehat{I}_n(u)| = \left| \int_{-1}^1 u(x) - p(x) \, dx \right| \leq \int_{-1}^1 |u(x) - p(x)| \, dx \leq \int_{-1}^1 \frac{C_{2n}}{(2n)!} (L_n(x))^2 \, dx,$$

which concludes the exercise. \triangle