

# A Refined Example

Consider the following program:

c

Copy Edit

```
int x, y;  
if (cond) {  
    x = 1;  
} else {  
    x = 2;  
}  
y = x - x;
```

## Step 1: Define the Environments

- On the **true branch**, we have an environment  $d_1 = \{x = 1\}$ .
- On the **false branch**, we have an environment  $d_2 = \{x = 2\}$ .

## Step 2: Apply the Transfer Function Separately

The transfer function  $f$  corresponds to the assignment  $y = x - x$ .

- For  $d_1$ :**

With  $x = 1$ , we compute:

$$y = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

So,  $f(d_1) = \{y = 0\}$ .

- For  $d_2$ :**

With  $x = 2$ , we compute:

$$y = 2 - 2 = 0.$$

So,  $f(d_2) = \{y = 0\}$ .

Now, taking the join (or meet, depending on the lattice convention) of the results:

$$f(d_1) \sqcup f(d_2) = \{y = 0\}.$$

### Step 3: Join the Environments First, Then Apply the Transfer Function

First, join the environments  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ :

$$d_1 \sqcup d_2 = \{x = 1 \sqcup 2\} = \{x = \top\},$$

since 1 and 2 are different, and the join in constant propagation yields  $\top$  (unknown).

Now, apply the transfer function  $f$  to the joined environment:

- With  $x = \top$ , evaluating  $y = x - x$  yields:

$$y = \top - \top = \top,$$

because the subtraction of unknowns is unknown.

So,

$$f(d_1 \sqcup d_2) = \{y = \top\}.$$

### Step 4: Compare the Two Results

- Right-hand side (applying  $f$  first then joining):

$$f(d_1) \sqcup f(d_2) = \{y = 0\}.$$

- Left-hand side (joining first then applying  $f$ ):

$$f(d_1 \sqcup d_2) = \{y = \top\}.$$

Since  $0 \neq \top$ , we see that:

$$f(d_1 \sqcup d_2) \neq f(d_1) \sqcup f(d_2).$$

## What This Means

The fact that the two orders of operations yield different results is the hallmark of **non-distributivity**. The reason constant propagation is non-distributive is that **information can be lost when merging environments** before applying a transfer function. In our refined example, joining the two different constants for  $x$  results in an unknown value, which then leads to an unknown result for  $y$ . However, if you apply the transfer function before joining, you obtain a precise value (0 in both cases) and then join these precise results.

Thus, although the simple  $y = x + 1$  example did not exhibit a difference (both orders ended up with  $y = \top$ ), the  $y = x - x$  example clearly shows that constant propagation does not distribute over the join operation in the IFDS framework.

Does this help clarify why constant propagation is considered non-distributive?