# Inside the RNN: update equations

An RNN layer, at time step t


- ullet Takes input element  ${f x}_{(t)}$
- ullet Updates latent state  ${f h}_{(t)}$
- ullet Optionally outputs  $\mathbf{y}_{(t)}$

according to the equations

$$egin{array}{lll} \mathbf{h}_{(t)} &=& \phi(\mathbf{W}_{xh}\mathbf{x}_{(t)} + \mathbf{W}_{hh}\mathbf{h}_{(t-1)} + \mathbf{b}_h) \ \mathbf{y}_{(t)} &=& \mathbf{W}_{hy}\mathbf{h}_{(t)} + \mathbf{b}_y \end{array}$$

where

- $\phi$  is an activation function (usually anh)
- **W** are the weights of the RNN layer
  - lacksquare partitioned into  $\mathbf{W}_{xh}, \mathbf{W}_{hh}, \mathbf{W}_{hy}$
  - lacksquare  $\mathbf{W}_{xh}$ : weights that update  $\mathbf{h}_{(t)}$  based on  $\mathbf{x}_{(t)}$
  - $lackbox{ } lackbox{ } lac$
  - lacksquare  $\mathbf{W}_{hy}$ : weights that update  $\mathbf{y}_{(t)}$  based on  $\mathbf{h}_{(t)}$



#### **Notes**

- $\bullet~$  The RNN literature uses  $\phi$  rather than  $a_{(l)}$  to denote an activation function
- This is the update equation for a single example  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$
- In practice, we can simultaneously update for multiple examples
  - $\ \ \, \blacksquare$  The m' < m examples in a minibatch, as examples are independent
- ullet So if we are counting weights/parameters: it is m' times bigger

Let's try to understand these equations

$$\mathbf{h}_{(t)} = \phi(\mathbf{W}_{xh}\mathbf{x}_{(t)} + \mathbf{W}_{hh}\mathbf{h}_{(t-1)} + \mathbf{b}_h)$$

 $\mathbf{h}_{(t)}$  is the latent state after time step t

- It is a *vector* of length  $||\mathbf{h}||$
- We drop the time subscript as the dimension on each step is the same

 $\mathbf{W}_{xh}\mathbf{x}_{(t)}$  must therefore also be a vector of length  $||\mathbf{h}||$ 

- $||\mathbf{W}_{xh}||$  is a matrix of shape  $(||\mathbf{h}|| \times ||\mathbf{x}||)$
- $\mathbf{h}_j$ , the  $j^{th}$  element of latent state  $\mathbf{h}$  is the dot product of row j of  $\mathbf{W}_{xh}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$
- ullet So  $\mathbf{W}_{xh}^{(j)}$  describes how input  $\mathbf{x}_{(t)}$  influences new state  $h_{(t),j}$

That is: there are separate weights for each j that describe the interaction of  ${f h}$  and  ${f x}$ 

Similarly,  $\mathbf{W}_{hh}\mathbf{h}_{(t-1)}$  must be a vector of length  $||\mathbf{h}||$ 

- $||\mathbf{W}_{hh}||$  is a matrix of shape  $(||\mathbf{h}|| \times ||\mathbf{h}||)$  So  $\mathbf{W}_{hh}^{(j)}$  describes how prior state  $\mathbf{h}_{(t-1)}$  influences new state  $\mathbf{h}_{(t),j}$

 $\mathbf{b}_h$ , the bias/threshold must also be a vector of length  $||\mathbf{h}||$ 

- ullet It adjusts the threshold of activation function  $\phi$
- ullet As per our practice: we will usually fold  ${f b}$  into the weight matrices  ${f W}_{xh}, {f W}_{hh}$

Finally, activation  $\phi$  maps a vector of length  $||\mathbf{h}||$  to another vector of length  $||\mathbf{h}||$ 

• The updated state

So updated latent state  $\mathbf{h}_{(t)}$  is influenced

- By the input  $\mathbf{x}_{(t)}$
- ullet The prior latent state  ${f h}_{(t-1)}$

The second equation

$$\mathbf{y}_{(t)} = \mathbf{W}_{hy}\mathbf{h}_{(t)} + \mathbf{b}_y$$

is just a "translation" of the latent state  $\mathbf{h}_{(t)}$ 

- ullet To  $\mathbf{y}_{(t)}$ , the  $t^{th}$  element of the output sequence
- $||\mathbf{W}_{hy}||$  is a matrix of shape  $(||\mathbf{y}|| \times ||\mathbf{h}||)$ 
  - $||\mathbf{y}||$  is the length of each output element and is problem dependent
  - For example: a OHE

It is common to equate  $\mathbf{y}_{(t)} = \mathbf{h}_{(t)}$ 

- No separate "output"
- Just the latent state
- Particularly when using stacked RNN layers
  - lacksquare  $\mathbf{y}_{(t)}$  becomes the input to the next layer

#### **Equation in pseudo-matrix form**

You will often see a short-hand form of the equation.

Look at  $\mathbf{h}_{(t)}$  as a function of two inputs  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}_{(t-1)}$ .

We can stack the two inputs into a single matrix.

Stack the two matrices  $\mathbf{W}_{xh}, \mathbf{W}_{hh}$  into a single weight matrix

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_{(t)} &= \mathbf{W}\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{b} \ & ext{with} \ \mathbf{W} &= \left[ egin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{xh} & \mathbf{W}_{hh} 
ight] \ \mathbf{I} &= \left[ egin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{(t)} \ \mathbf{h}_{(t-1)} 
ight] \end{aligned}$$

## Stacked RNN layers revisited

With the benefit of the RNN update equations, we can clarify how stack RNN layers works.

Let superscript [l] denote a stacked layer of RNN.

So the RNN update equation for the bottom layer 1 becomes

$$egin{array}{lll} \mathbf{h}_{(t)}^{[1]} &=& \phi(\mathbf{W}_{xh}\mathbf{x}_{(t)} + \mathbf{W}_{hh}\mathbf{h}_{(t-1)}^{[1]} + \mathbf{b}_h) \end{array}$$

The RNN update equation for layer  $\left[l\right]$  becomes

$$egin{array}{ll} \mathbf{h}_{(t)}^{[l]} &=& \phi(\mathbf{W}_{xh}\mathbf{h}_{(t)}^{[l-1]} + \mathbf{W}_{hh}\mathbf{h}_{(t-1)}^{[l]} + \mathbf{b}_h) \end{array}$$

That is: the input to layer [l] is  $\mathbf{h}_{(t)}^{[l-1]}$  rather than  $\mathbf{x}_{(t)}$ 

### Loss function

As usual, the objective of training is to find the weights  ${f W}$  that minimize a loss function

$$\mathcal{L} = L(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{W})$$

which is the average of per example losses  $\mathcal{L}^{(\mathbf{i})}$ 

$$\mathcal{L} = rac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{L^{(i)}}$$

#### When the output is a sequence


- It's important to recognize that the *target* is a sequence too!
- So the per example loss has an added temporal dimension
- Loss per example per time step
- Comparing the predicted  $t^{th}$  output  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{(t)}^{(\mathbf{i})}$  to the  $t^{th}$  target  $\mathbf{y}_{(t)}^{(\mathbf{i})}$

In the case that the API outputs sequences

• 
$$\mathcal{L}^{(\mathbf{i})} = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathcal{L}^{(\mathbf{i})}_{(t)}$$

In the case that the API outputs a single value

$$ullet \ \mathcal{L}^{(\mathbf{i})} = \mathcal{L}_{(T)}$$



```
In [2]: print("Done")
```

Done