

Classification: choosing the threshold

Recall our methodology for Classification:

- Compute a "score" that our example is in each of the target classes
- Construct a probability distribution (over the target classes) from the scores
 - convert the per class score into a probability via the sigmoid/softmax function
- Compare the probability to a threshold

$$\hat{p} = \sigma(\Theta^T \mathbf{x})$$

where σ , the *logistic function*, is:

Convert $\hat{p}^{(i)}$ into Classification prediction $\hat{y}^{(i)}$

$$\hat{y}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \hat{p}^{(i)} < 0.5 & \text{Negative} \\ 1 & \text{if } \hat{p}^{(i)} \geq 0.5 & \text{Positive} \end{cases}$$

But does the threshold *need* to be 0.5 ?

We will motivate other choices for the threshold.

Let's examine our predictions at a fine granularity via the following table

- the row labels correspond to the predicted class
- the column labels correspond to the target (actual) class

	P	N
P	TP	FP
N	FN	TN

The correct predictions

- True Positives (TP) are test examples predicted as Positive that were in fact Positive
- True Negatives (TN) are test examples predicted as Negative that were in fact Negative

The incorrect predictions

- False Positives (FP) are test examples predicted as Positive that were in fact Negative
- False Negatives (FN) are test examples predicted as Negative that were in fact Positive

Unconditional Accuracy can thus be written as

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TP} + \text{TN}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP} + \text{TN} + \text{FN}}$$

We can also define some conditional Accuracy measures

Recall

- Conditioned on Positive test examples

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}$$

- The fraction of Positive examples that were correctly classified
- Also goes by the names: True Positive Rate (TPR), Sensitivity

We can affect the prediction of Positive/Negative by varying the choice of Threshold.

We can increase the number of Positive predictions by lowering the threshold

- this will increase TP
 - degenerate case: *always* predict Positive !
 - increase Recall by increasing numerator
- but also increase FP
 - which *does not* appear in denominator

Why would we want to increase Recall (at the potential cost of decreased unconditional Accuracy) ?

It depends on your task.

Consider a diagnostic test for an extremely dangerous, infectious disease

- It might very important to have high Recall (catch truly infected patients)
- Even at the expense of incorrectly labelling some healthy patients as infected

Specificity

- conditioned on Negative examples

$$\text{Specificity} = \frac{\text{TN}}{\text{TN} + \text{FP}}$$

- The fraction of Negative examples that were correctly classified
- Also goes by the name: True Negative Rate (TNR)

By *raising* the threshold, we can increase the number of Negative prediction.

Why would we want to increase Specificity (potentially decreasing unconditional Accuracy) ?

- by increasing the False Negatives (FN)

Consider a diagnostic test for a mild, non-infectious disease

- A Positive prediction might entail an expensive/painful remedy, which we want to avoid
- Even at the expense of incorrectly labelling some non-healthy patients as healthy

So the choice of threshold affects all measures, not just Unconditional Accuracy.

Choosing the threshold involves a tradeoff.

In [4]: `print("Done")`

Done