

	0 - 5 points	5 - 10 points	10 - 15 points	15 - 20 points	20 - 25 points	Score
Technical Analysis	Rmarkdown and/or R code is poorly written, not in the right format. Analysis used incorrect tests or methods, or analysis drawing the wrong conclusions.	Rmarkdown file runs appropriately but comments are unclear or misleading, EDA was limited to only a few techniques	Rmarkdown file runs appropriately, but some of the techniques and tests used are inappropriate.	Rmarkdown code runs and is commented as needed, EDA shows purpose of thought with multiple techniques being utilized	Rmarkdown is clearly written and commented. EDA is thoroughly completed with clear purpose and extra effort is seen to fully understand the data prior to moving into more complex analysis.	
Presentation	Presentation poorly prepared. Showed very little teamwork. Methods and tests are incorrectly chosen.	Presentation addresses some portion of the research questions. Slides contain relevant information but in an inconsistent or disjointed manner.	Slides contain information related to project. Students struggle with demonstrating understanding of research questions and EDA process data.	Presentation clearly discusses the process used to develop question and EDA provides a good overview of the data.	Presentation of data, questions, and answers illustrate insight into thoughtful research process. Presentation provides a clear relatable story through the data. Slides enhance understanding of discussion topic. Students demonstrate a high level understanding of EDA and research processes.	Individual
	0 - 10 points	10 - 20 points	20 - 30 points	30 - 40 points	40 - 50 points	Score
Summary Paper	Organization is confusing or unfocused and not relevant to the data being used	Relevant information is presented but inconsistently applied to the data and question	Some relevant information is presented, with some level of application. The analysis and organization is not too convincing.	Highly relevant information is presented and a in depth overview of the process being used to develop the question is discussed	Highly relevant research is presented in collaboration with clear indications of how this influenced question development along with detailed reflections on how the outcomes of EDA effected the eventual development of the team's proposed question	
	minus 30 points	minus 20 points	minus 10 points	minus 5 points	0 point	Score
Submission of Required Docs	missing component, submitted within 14 days after due date	missing component, submitted within 10 days after due date	missing component, submitted within 1 week after due date	Missing components, submitted within 2 days	Submitted on time all components included	
Total :						0

Group	TEAM NAME		
Last name	First name	presentation score	comments
A	A		
B	B		
C	C		
D	D		
E	E		