

## CS505 HW 2 Submission template

Collaboration statement: I collaborated with ...

Link to Code: ... (put your code (Jupyter notebook) in google drive and put the link here)

### Problem 1

1.a. (3 points) List the parameters here:

We need to learn the mean and standard deviation of each  $X_i$ , under each class, plus the two priors for the classes

1.b. (2 points) The total number of parameters needed in terms of  $n$  is:

$$4n + 2$$

Two pairs of mean and std for each  $X$  for two different classes, plus the two priors

2. a. (1 point) Write down NB formulation of  $P(Y|X)$  using Summer and Rowdy:

$$P_{NB}(Y|X_1, X_2) = P(X_1, X_2, Y) / (P(X_1, X_2, Y) + P(X_1, X_2, \sim Y))$$

(1 point) How do you decide using  $P(Y|X)$  if a review is positive or negative?

If this probability is  $>.5$ , decide class  $Y$ . If not, decide class  $\sim Y$ .

2. b. (8 points) Fill up this table below with the probabilities (add the rows yourself to fill up all the combinations), both joint and Naive Bayes' probability

X1 (Summer)	X2 (Rowdy)	Y	$P(X_1, X_2, Y)$	$P_{NB}(Y X_1, X_2)$	NB Decision
T	T	T	.162	.59	T
T	T	F	.112	.41	T
T	F	T	.378	.89	T
T	F	F	.048	.11	T
F	T	T	.018	.1	F
F	T	F	.168	.9	F
F	F	T	.042	.37	F
F	F	F	.072	.63	F

(2 points) From the table above, the probability of observations where the label is different than predicted (i.e., the expected error rate of the NB classifier) equates to: ...

$$.112 + .048 + .018 + .042 = .22$$

2. c. (2 points) What is  $P(\text{Sentiment}=1, \text{Summer}=1, \text{Rowdy}=1)$ : (show how you derive the probability) ...

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{Sentiment} = 1, \text{Summer} = 1, \text{Rowdy} = 1) &= \\ P(\text{Summer} = 1 \mid \text{Sentiment} = 1) * P(\text{Rowdy} = 1 \mid \text{Sentiment} = 1) * P(\text{Sentiment} = 1) &= \\ .9 * .3 * .6 &= .162 \end{aligned}$$

2. d. (1 point) Are any of the NB assumptions violated? Yes

(1 point) Why? Winter and Summer are not independent.

(1 point) What is  $P(\text{Sentiment}=0, \text{Summer}=0, \text{Rowdy}=1, \text{Winter}=1)$ : (show how you derive the probability): ...

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{Sentiment} = 0, \text{Summer} = 0, \text{Rowdy} = 1, \text{Winter} = 1) &= \\ P(\text{Summer} = 0 \mid \text{Sentiment} = 0) * P(\text{Rowdy} = 1 \mid \text{Sentiment} = 0) * 1 * P(\text{Sentiment} = 0) &= \\ .6 * .7 * 1 * .4 &= .168 \end{aligned}$$

2. e. (6 points) Fill up this table below with the probabilities (add the rows yourself to fill up all the combinations), both joint and Naive Bayes' probability

X1 (Summer)	X2 (Rowdy)	X3 (Winter)	Y	$P(X1, X2, X3, Y)$	$P_{NB}(Y, X1, X2, X3)$	$P_{NB}(Y \mid X1, X2, X3)$	NB Decision
T	T	T	T	0	.146	.76	T
T	T	T	F	0	.045	.24	T
T	T	F	T	.162	.016	.19	F
T	T	F	F	.112	.067	.81	F
T	F	T	T	0	.34	.95	T
T	F	T	F	0	.019	.05	T
T	F	F	T	.378	.038	.57	T

T	F	F	F	.048	.029	.43	T
F	T	T	T	.018	.016	.19	F
F	T	T	F	.168	.067	.81	F
F	T	F	T	0	.0018	.02	F
F	T	F	F	0	.1	.98	F
F	F	T	T	.042	.034	.54	T
F	F	T	F	.072	.029	.46	T
F	F	F	T	0	.004	.09	F
F	F	F	F	0	.043	.91	F

(2 points) From the table above, the probability of observations where the label is different than predicted (i.e., the expected error rate of the NB classifier) equates to:

$$0 + .162 + 0 + .048 + .018 + 0 + .072 = .3$$

2. f. (3 points) Does the performance of your NB classifier improve with this addition of the new feature “Winter”? Explain your answer: No, the expected error increased. This is likely due to the fact that the new inputs violate the NB assumptions.

3. a. (2 points) What will happen when your NB classifier predicts the probability of a test instance with mention of cleanliness? Explain why this is undesirable: Since the model will learn that the probability of seeing cleanliness is zero, the NB formulation on the test instance will have a numerator and denominator of zero, which ruins the NB’s prediction.

3. b. (5 points) Will logistic regression have a similar problem? Explain concretely why/why not? ...

With logistic regression, the size of each input to both train and test has to be the same. (If the model learns weights for 3 features, it can only make predictions on three features) Therefore, if cleanliness was not encountered in the training set, any occurrences of it will be removed from the testing set before making predictions, so logistic regression would not have the same problem.

## Problem 2.

1. (5 points) Accuracy on the test set is: 73%

2. (2 points) Accuracy on the test set is: 74%

(1 point) Does using binary counts as features improve the accuracy? Yes

3. (4 points) Accuracy on the test set is: 77%

(1 point) Which classifier performs better on this test set? Logistic Regression

4. (2 points) Accuracy on the test set is: .76%

5. (1 point) What combination of feature extraction (binary/non-binary) and statistical model (NB/LR) is good for this dataset? Non-binary, LR

(1 point) Is evaluating on a test set a good way to do a model selection? Why/Why not?  
No, you could end up overfitting to the test set

6. a. (8 points) Fill up the table below with your average accuracies across 10-folds:

Max features	Binary (True/False)	Average Accuracy
1000	T	74%
1000	F	73.8%
2000	T	75.1%
2000	F	74.9%
3000	T	75.4%
3000	F	75.2%
4000	T	75.5%
4000	F	75.3%

b. (2 points) Accuracy on the test set (when training on the whole train data with the best combination of max\_features and binary/non-binary count based off cross-validation) is:

78%

7. c. (6 points) Accuracy on the test set is: 65%

(1 point) Does dense feature representation computed this way improve the accuracy of your classifier? No

8. a. (3 points) What is the model you choose and why is it appropriate for text features (briefly compare to logistic regression): ...

b. (3 points) Describe at least one method to address class imbalance in one of the methods you have considered so far: ...

9. (Bonus: 6 points) Fill up the table below with your average accuracies across 10-folds:

Max features	Binary (True/False)	Average Accuracy
1000	T	74.6%
1000	F	74.5%
2000	T	76%
2000	F	75.8%
3000	T	76.4%
3000	F	76.3%
4000	T	76.7%
4000	F	76.6%

(Bonus: 2 points) Accuracy on the test set (when training on the whole train data with the best combination of max\_features and binary/non-binary count based off cross-validation) is: 79%

(Bonus: 2 points) Does having a huge amount of training data allow a simple classifier such as NB classifier with bag-of-words features to perform even better on the test set? Yes, there was a slight increase in accuracy when using the larger training set. 79% is the best accuracy that was achieved by any model so far.

### Problem 3.

1. a. (1 point) What do you think each of the 1000 features you created represent? I.e., what do they correspond to? ...

1. b. (3 points) Examine the coefficients that correspond to the word “good” and “bad”. How do they compare to each other and in which way do they contribute to the prediction? ...

2. a. (2 points) Explain gradient tree boosting here: ...

2. b. The model that I choose is (catboost/xgboost/lightgbm): ...

(2 points) Accuracy on train is: ...

(2 points) Accuracy on test is: ...

2. c. (3 points) Explain SHAP values here: ...

2. d. (1 point) Put your plot below:

(1 point) describe what axis/colors/legend/and dots mean: ...

(2 points) Give examples to words that lead to positive and negative sentiment predictions: ...

2. e. (3 points) Using `shap.plots.text`, examine one interesting example, print the actual tweet and discuss what the plot tells you and significant words that led to the score:

What does the bold number indicate?