Peer Review 1

Natalia Zuniga-Garcia

February 16, 2018

1 Description

I went trough the documentation available in the student's GitHub page by February 16, 2018.

- Student evaluated: Mauricio Garcia Tec (`https://github.com/mauriciogtec/StatsModellingII`)

- Assignment reviewed: Section 1 (`https://mauriciogtec.github.io/StatsModellingII/index.html`)

2 General Comments

- I really like the way you present your results by using a html document. I make it easier to go through the documentation from your GitHub page. Also, it looks more professional.

- You presented the solution of the exercises providing a wide description of the procedure, which makes easier to understand the process you followed.

- Your solutions are very straightforward and easy to follow.

- You provided extended comments from the final solution of some exercises that allowed me to better understand the concept we were evaluating.

3 Specific Comments

- **Exercise 2.14:** I appreciate the approach you provided for generating multivariate normal variables, which you also explained it on the board during class. I consider that the way you explained it made me understand the concept better.

- **Exercise 2.15:** In this exercise I used other procedure that was more difficult. I think the way you solved it is clearer than mine.

4 Summary

Dear Mauricio,

I tried to provide you good feedback. I tried check in detail your documentation so you can improve. In the process I learned a lot, I learned from your solution and by reviewing it. For instance, I found that you used a simpler way to probe some of the exercises. I hope this help you in your next assignments, it did help me!