

**Chapter 2: Optimal Control of Discrete Time System****2.1 Solution of the General Discrete-Time Optimization problem**

Let the plant be

$$x_{k+1} = f^k(x_k, u_k)$$

with initial condition  $x_0$ . The superscript on the function  $f$  indicates that, in general, the system and thus its model can have time-varying dynamics. Let the state  $x_k$ , be a vector of size  $n$  and the control input  $u_k$  be a vector of size  $m$ .

Let an associated scalar performance index

$$J_i = \phi(N, x_N) + \sum_{k=i}^{N-1} L^k(x_k, u_k)$$

where  $[i, N]$  is the time interval, on a discrete time scale with a fixed sample step,  $\phi(N, x_N)$  is a function of the final time  $N$  and the state at the final time, and  $L^k(x_k, u_k)$  is a general time-varying function of the state and control input.

The optimal control problem is to find the control  $u^*$  on the interval  $[i, N]$  that drives the system along a trajectory  $x_k^*$  such that the value of the performance index is minimized (optimized).

**Example 2:1-1****A. Minimum-time Problems**

Suppose we want to find the control  $u_k$  to drive the system from the given initial state  $x_0$  to a desired final state  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  in minimum time, then we could select the performance index

$$J = N = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} 1$$

and specify the boundary condition

$$x_N = x$$

In this case, one can consider either  $\phi = N$  and  $L = 0$ . Or equivalently  $\phi = 0$  and  $L = 1$ .

### B. Minimum-fuel Problems

To find the scalar control  $u_k$  to drive the system from  $x_0$  to a desired final state  $x$  at a fixed time  $N$  using minimum fuel, we could use

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |u_k|$$

Since the fuel burned is proportional to the magnitude of final state and all intermediate state, we define a new cost

$$J = \frac{1}{2} s x_N^T x_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (q x_k^T x_k + r u_k^T u_k)$$

where  $q$ ,  $r$ , and  $s$  are scalar weighting factors.

For more generality, we could select weighting matrices  $Q$ ,  $R$ , and  $S$  instead of scalars.

$$J = \frac{1}{2} x_N^T S x_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x_k^T Q x_k + u_k^T R u_k)$$

### Problem Solution:

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and append the constraints to the performance index

$$J' = \phi(N, x_N) + \sum_{k=i}^{N-1} [L^k(x_k, u_k) + \lambda_{k+1}^T (f^k(x_k, u_k) - x_{k+1})]$$

Note that we have associated with  $f^k$  the multiplier  $\lambda_{k+1}$  not  $\lambda$ .

Define the Hamiltonian function as

$$H^k(x_k, u_k) = L^k(x_k, u_k) + \lambda_{k+1}^T f^k(x_k, u_k)$$

We can write

$$J' = \phi(N, x_N) - \lambda_N^T x_N + H^i(x_i, u_i) + \sum_{k=i+1}^{N-1} [H^k(x_k, u_k) - \lambda_k^T x_k]$$

where some minor manipulations with indices have been performed.

Now, we want to examine the increment  $J'$  due to increment in all the variables  $x_k$ ,  $\lambda_k$  and  $u_k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} dJ' &= (\phi_{x_N} - \lambda_N)^T dx_N + (H_{x_i}^i)^T dx_i + (H_{u_i}^i)^T du_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=i+1}^{N-1} [(H_{x_k}^k - \lambda_k)^T dx_k + (H_{u_k}^k)^T du_k] \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=i+1}^N (H_{\lambda_k}^{k-1} - x_k)^T d\lambda_k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_{x_k}^k \triangleq \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial x_k}$$

Necessary conditions for a constrained minimum are thus given by

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial \lambda_{k+1}}, \quad k = i, \dots, N-1, \quad (1)$$

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial x_k}, \quad k = i+1, \dots, N-1, \quad (2)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial u_k}, \quad k = i, \dots, N-1, \quad (3)$$

which arise from the terms inside the summations and the coefficient of  $du_i$  and

$$\left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} - \lambda_N \right)^T dx_N = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial H^i}{\partial x_i} \right)^T dx_i = 0, \quad (5)$$

The stationary condition allows the optimal control  $u_k$  to be expressed in terms of the costate  $\lambda_k$ .

If the initial state  $x_i$  is fixed, then  $dx_i = 0$ . Then (5) holds regardless of the value of  $H_{x_i}^i$ .

In the case of free initial state,  $dx_i$  is not zero, then from (5)

$$\frac{\partial H^i}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

In our applications, the system starts at a known initial state  $x_i$ . Thus, the case (5) holds and there are no constraints on the value of  $H_{x_i}^i$ .

In the case of a fixed final state, we use the desired value of  $x_N$  as the terminal condition. Since  $x_N$  is not free to be varied,  $dx_N = 0$  and (4) can always be satisfied.

In the case of free-final-state situation,  $dx_N$  is not zero. Then (4) gives us:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} - \lambda_N = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_N = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N}$$

In a summary, the discrete Nonlinear Optimal Controller can be found based on the following equations:

**System Model:**

$$x_{k+1} = f^k(x_k, u_k), \quad k > i$$

**Performance Index:**

$$J_i = \phi(N, x_N) + \sum_{k=i}^{N-1} L^k(x_k, u_k)$$

**Hamiltonian:**

$$H^k = L^k + \lambda_{k+1}^T f^k(x_k, u_k)$$

**Optimal controller**
**State equation:**

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial \lambda_{k+1}} = f^k(x_k, u_k)$$

**Costate equation:**

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial x_k} = \left( \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial x_k} \right)^T \lambda_{k+1} + \frac{\partial L^k}{\partial x_k}$$

**Stationary condition:**

$$0 = \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial u_k} = \left( \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial u_k} \right)^T \lambda_{k+1} + \frac{\partial L^k}{\partial u_k}$$

**Boundary conditions:**

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial L^i}{\partial x_i} + \left( \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x_i} \right)^T \lambda_{i+1} \right)^T dx_i &= 0 \\ \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} - \lambda_N \right)^T dx_N &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

### Example

Consider a simple linear dynamical system:

$$x_{k+1} = ax_k + bu_k$$

where  $a, b$  are scalar constant values.

Given an initial condition  $x_0$  and the interval  $[0, N]$ , we need to find a control to minimize the control energy

$$J_0 = \frac{r}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u_k^2$$

for some scalar weighting factor  $r$ .

### Case A: Fixed final state

$$x_N = r_N$$

To find the optimal control sequence  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{N-1}$  that drives  $x$  from the given initial point  $x_0$  to the desired final state  $x_N = r_N$  while minimizing  $J_0$ .

Step 1: Formulate the Hamiltonian

$$H^k = L^k + \lambda_{k+1}^T f^k = \frac{r}{2} u_k^2 + \lambda_{k+1} (ax_k + bu_k)$$

And the optimal conditions are

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial \lambda_{k+1}} = ax_k + bu_k, \quad (1)$$

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial x_k} = a\lambda_{k+1}, \quad (2)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial H^k}{\partial u_k} = ru_k + b\lambda_{k+1}, \quad (3)$$

Solving the stationary condition (3) yields

$$u_k = -\frac{b}{r}\lambda_{k+1}, \quad (4)$$

So, if we find the optimal  $\lambda_k$ , then we obtain the optimal control  $u_k$ . To find the optimal  $\lambda_k$ , we look at equation (2)

$$\lambda_k = a\lambda_{k+1} \Rightarrow \lambda_k = a^{N-k}\lambda_N, \quad (5)$$

If we know  $\lambda_N$ , we solve the problem. However,  $\lambda_N$  is still unknown. To find it, we look at eq (1).

$$x_{k+1} = ax_k + bu_k$$

From (4)

$$x_{k+1} = ax_k - \frac{b^2}{r}\lambda_{k+1}$$

From (5)

$$x_{k+1} = ax_k - \frac{b^2}{r}a^{N-k-1}\lambda_N$$

Define  $\gamma \triangleq \frac{b^2}{r}$ , we get

$$x_{k+1} = ax_k - \gamma a^{N-k-1}\lambda_N$$

With initial state  $x_0$

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= a^k x_0 - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{k-i-1} (\gamma \lambda_N a^{N-i-1}) \\ &= a^k x_0 - \gamma \lambda_N a^{N+k-2} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{-2i} \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula for the sum of a geometric series

$$\begin{aligned}x_k &= a^k x_0 - \gamma \lambda_N a^{N+k-2} \frac{1-a^{-2k}}{1-a^{-2}} \\&= a^k x_0 - \gamma \lambda_N a^{N-k} \frac{1-a^{2k}}{1-a^2}\end{aligned}$$

To find  $\lambda_N$ , since the final state is fixed ( $x_N = r_N$ ) and

$$\begin{aligned}x_N &= a^N x_0 - \gamma \lambda_N a^{N-N} \frac{1-a^{2N}}{1-a^2} \\&= a^N x_0 - \frac{(1-a^{2N})\gamma}{1-a^2} \lambda_N\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lambda_N = -\frac{1}{\Lambda} (r_N - a^N x_0)$$

Where

$$\Lambda \triangleq \frac{\gamma(1-a^{2N})}{1-a^2}$$

Since  $u_k = -\frac{b}{r} \lambda_{k+1}$  and  $\lambda_{k+1} = a^{N-k-1} \lambda_N$

$$u_k^* = \frac{b}{r\Lambda} (r_N - a^N x_0) a^{N-k-1} = \frac{(1-a^2)}{b(1-a^{2N})} (r_N - a^N x_0) a^{N-k-1}$$

Note:  $u_k^*$  does not depend on  $r$ .

To find out  $x_k^*$  and  $J_0^*$  with the optimal control  $u^*$ , we put  $u^*$  into the dynamical equation

$$x_{k+1}^* = a x_k^* + \frac{1-a^2}{1-a^{2N}} (r_N - a^N x_0) a^{N-k-1}$$

The solution of this dynamical system with forcing function given by the second term is

$$x_k^* = a^k x_0 + \frac{1-a^2}{1-a^{2N}} (r_N - a^N x_0) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{k-i-1} a^{N-i-1}$$

Rewrite it as

$$x_k^* = \frac{(1 - a^{2(N-k)})a^k x_0 + (1 - a^{2k})a^{N-k} r_N}{1 - a^{2N}}$$

where  $k = 0$ ,  $x_0^* = x_0$  and when  $k = N$ ,  $x_N^* = x_N$ .

The optimal performance index is

$$\begin{aligned} J_0^* &= \frac{r}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u_k^{*2} \\ &= \frac{r}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{1 - a^2}{b(1 - a^{2N})} (r_N - a^N x_0) a^{N-k-1} \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{r}{2} \frac{(1 - a^2)^2}{b^2 (1 - a^{2N})^2} (r_N - a^N x_0)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a^{2(N-k-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\Lambda} (r_N - a^N x_0)^2 \end{aligned}$$

The optimal performance index is only related to the final state and the initial state.

### Case B: Free Final State

We desire the system state variable  $x_N \rightarrow r_N$  at times  $N$  but not exactly  $x_N = r_N$ . Therefore, we make the difference  $x_N - r_N$  small by including it in the performance index

$$J_0 = \frac{1}{2} (x_N - r_N)^2 + \frac{r}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u_k^2$$

Now the optimal control will attempt to make  $|x_N - r_N|$  small while also using low control energy. In this case, the function  $\phi$

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2} (x_N - r_N)^2$$

But  $f_k$  and  $L_k$  are not changed. The Hamiltonian is still the same as we discussed in Case A. The only change is the boundary condition. Since  $dx_N \neq 0$ , we must have

$$\lambda_N = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_N} = x_N - r_N$$

Since

$$\lambda_k = a^{N-k} \lambda_N \Rightarrow \lambda_k = a^{N-k} (x_N - r_N)$$

And

$$u_k = -\frac{b}{r} \lambda_{k+1} \Rightarrow u_k = -\frac{b}{r} a^{N-k-1} (x_N - r_N)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} x_N &= a^N x_0 - \Lambda \lambda_N \\ &= a^N x_0 - \Lambda (x_N - r_N) \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $x_N$  gives

$$x_N = \frac{\Lambda r_N + a^N x_0}{1 + \Lambda}$$

With  $x_N$ , we get the costate

$$\lambda_k = a^{N-k} (x_N - r_N) = -\frac{r_N - a^N x_0}{1 + \Lambda} a^{N-k}$$

And the optimal control

$$\begin{aligned} u_k^* &= -\frac{b}{r} \lambda_{k+1} \\ &= \frac{b}{r(1 + \Lambda)} (r_N - a^N x_0) a^{N-k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Note: the optimal control for free final state does depend on  $r$ ,

As  $r \rightarrow 0$ , we are concerned less and less about the control energy since  $u_k^2$  is weighted less and less heavily in  $J_0$ .

When we look at the final state

$$x_N = \frac{r_N + \frac{a^N}{\Lambda} x_0}{1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda}}$$

$$\text{As } r \rightarrow 0, \Lambda = \frac{b^2}{r} \frac{(1-a^{2N})}{(1-a^2)} \rightarrow \infty, x_N \rightarrow \frac{r_N + 0}{1+0} = r_N$$

## MECE6388 Optimal Control Theory, 2025 Fall

Dr. Zheng Chen

In summary, if we are not concerned about energy, the final state will reach its desired value. There is a trade-off between the energy consumed and final state desired. The value of  $r$  effects the tradeoff.

To find the optimal value of the performance index, use optimal control  $u_k^*$  in (2) and simplify to obtain

$$J_0^* = \frac{\Lambda}{2(1 + \Lambda)^2} (r_N - a^N x_0)^2$$

As  $r \rightarrow 0, \Lambda \rightarrow \infty, J_0^* \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\Lambda} (r_N - a^N x_0)^2$ , which is the fixed final state cost.