

TU/e, 2MBA70

# Solutions to problems for Measure and Probability Theory



*Pim van der Hoorn and Oliver Tse*  
Version 0.1 August 29 2024

---

## Chapter 2: Measurable spaces (sigma-algebras and measures)

### Problem 2.6

First note that if  $\mu(A \cap B) = \infty$  then by property 2 we have that also  $\mu(A)$ ,  $\mu(B)$  and  $\mu(A \cup B) = \infty$  and hence the result holds trivially. So assume now that  $\mu(A \cap B) < \infty$ . Since

$$A \cup B = (A \setminus (A \cap B)) \cup (B \setminus (A \cap B)) \cup (A \cap B),$$

it follows from property 1 that

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A \setminus (A \cap B)) + \mu(A \cap B) + \mu(B \setminus (A \cap B)).$$

Adding  $\mu(A \cap B) < \infty$  to both side we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) &= \mu(A \setminus (A \cap B)) + \mu(A \cap B) + \mu(B \setminus (A \cap B)) + \mu(A \cap B) \\ &= \mu(A) + \mu(B), \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from applying property 3 twice.

### Problem 2.7

The idea is to construct a family of disjoint sets  $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with the following properties:

1.  $E_i \subset A_i$ , and
2.  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$ .

If such a sequence exists then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i\right) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i\right) && \text{by 2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) && \text{because } E_i \text{ are disjoint and } \mu \text{ is } \sigma\text{-additive} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) && \text{by 1 and monotone property of } \mu. \end{aligned}$$

So we are left to construct the required family of sets  $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The following set will do:

$$E_1 = A_1 \quad E_i = A_i \setminus \bigcup_{k < i} A_k \text{ for all } i > 1.$$

Note that by definition the set  $E_i$  are pair-wise disjoint and property 1 holds. Finally, property 2 holds since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$  holds for all  $k \geq 1$ .

**Problem 2.9** (23 points) Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the open sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

1. (2 points) Note that the interval  $(a, b)$  is open for any  $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}'_1 \subset \mathcal{O}$  and thus by Lemma 2.1.5 we have that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}'_1) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

---

2. (2 points) The inclusion  $\supset$  is trivial. So assume that  $x \in O$ . Then by definition there exist an  $r > 0$  such that the ball  $B_x(r) \subset O$ . But  $B_x(r) = (x - r, x + r) \in \mathcal{A}_1$  so  $x \in \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{A}, I \subset O} I$ .

3. (3 points) Take  $O \in \mathcal{O}$ . If we can show that  $O \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$  then  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma(\mathcal{O}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ . The result then follows from 1.

From 2 it follows that  $O$  is a union over a subset collection of interval  $(a, b)$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, the collection  $\{(a, b) : a < b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is also countable and hence  $O = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{A}, I \subset O} I \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ , from which it follows that  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .

4. (1 point) This follows immediately from 1 and 3 since these imply that  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_1) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}'_1) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

5. (3 points) The inclusion  $\subset$  is trivial, since  $(a, b] \subset (a, b + 1/j)$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . For the other inclusion we argue by contradiction. Suppose that  $x \in \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (a, b + 1/j)$  but  $x \notin (a, b]$ . Then  $x > b$  and hence there exists a  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(b - x) > 1/j$ . But this implies that  $x \notin (a, b + 1/j)$  which is a contradiction. So we conclude that  $(a, b] \supset \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (a, b + 1/j)$ .

6. (3 points) This time the inclusion  $\supset$  is trivial since  $(a, b - 1/j] \subset (a, b)$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . For the other inclusion suppose that  $x \in (a, b)$ . Then there exists a  $r > 0$  such that the interval  $(x - r, x + r) \subset (a, b)$ . In particular, this implies that  $b - (x + r) > 0$ . Now take any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $j > 1/(b - (x + r))$ . Then  $b - x > r + 1/j$  which implies that  $(x - r, x + r) \subset (x - r, b - 1/j]$  and hence  $x \in \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (a, b - 1/j]$ .

7. (4 points) It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}'_2$ . By 5 it follows that any interval  $(a, b]$  can be obtained as a countable intersection of intervals of the form  $(a, b + 1/j)$ . By 4  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma(\mathcal{A}'_1)$  which by Lemma 2.1.2 contains  $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (a, b + 1/j) = (a, b]$ . So we conclude that any interval  $(a, b] \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  from which it now follows that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}_2) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}'_2) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}'_1) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

For the other inclusion we consider a set  $(a, b)$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then by 6 we have that  $(a, b) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (a, b - 1/j]$  where the later is a countable union of sets  $(c, d]$  with  $c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$  which must be in  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_2)$  by definition of a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Hence, any interval  $(a, b) \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_2)$  and we thus conclude, using 3, that

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_1) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}_2) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}'_2) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}'_1) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}},$$

which implies the result.

8. (2 points) Step 1 is to show that any interval  $[a, b)$  can be obtained as a countable intersection of intervals  $(a - 1/j, b)$ . From this we can conclude that any set  $[a, b)$  must be in  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  proving inclusions  $\subset$ .

For the other inclusions we have to show that any interval  $(a, b)$  can be obtained as a countable union of intervals  $[a + 1/j, b)$ , which implies that  $(a, b)$  must be in the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $[a, b)$ .

- 
9. (3 points) The main tool is to show that each of the intervals  $(-\infty, a]$ ,  $(-\infty, a)$ ,  $(a, \infty)$  and  $[a, \infty)$  can be obtained by taking any allowed set operation for  $\sigma$ -algebras, i.e. countable unions/intersections and finite complements. This will help use prove the  $\subset$  inclusions.

Then we show that any set of the form  $(a, b)$ ,  $[a, b)$  or  $(a, b]$  can also be obtained through countable unions/intersections and finite complements of intervals of the forms  $(-\infty, a]$ ,  $(-\infty, a)$ ,  $(a, \infty)$  and  $[a, \infty)$ . These will then yield the  $\supset$  inclusions and finish the proof.

### Chapter 3: Measurable functions and stochastic objects

**Problem 3.2** “ $\subset$ ” By definition, the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$  is defined as the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the collection

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ A \times B \subset \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 : A \in \mathcal{F}_1, B \in \mathcal{F}_2 \right\}.$$

Since  $A \times B = (A \times \Omega_2) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B)$ , we have that

$$A \times B = \pi_1^{-1}(A) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(B) \in \sigma(\pi_1, \pi_2).$$

“ $\supset$ ” Let  $C \in \{\pi_i^{-1}(A) : i = 1, 2, A \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$ . Then there exist sets  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$  or  $B \in \mathcal{F}_2$  such that  $C = \pi_1^{-1}(A) = A \times \Omega_2$  or  $C = \pi_2^{-1}(B) = \Omega_1 \times B$ . Either way, since  $\Omega_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\Omega_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ , we have that  $C \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Problem 3.3** It is clear that  $f_{\#}\mu(\emptyset) = \mu(f^{-1}(\emptyset)) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Suppose a sequence of mutually disjoint sets  $B_i \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , is given. Then,

$$f_{\#}\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \mu\left(f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right)\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(B_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{\#}\mu(B_i).$$

#### Problem 3.5

- (a) Some meaningful explanation would suffice.
- (b) By Proposition 2.1.8 and Problem 2.9, we know that  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is generated by intervals of the form  $(-\infty, a]$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ . As a consequence,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is also generated by intervals of the form  $(a, +\infty)$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.1.4, it suffices to show that the set

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) + g(\omega) \in (a, +\infty)\}$$

is measurable for every  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For brevity, we write  $\{f + g > a\}$ . The trick is to express this set as a countable union of sets of which we already know are measurable.

In fact, we will show that

$$\{f + g > a\} = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{Q}} \left( \{f > t\} \cap \{g > a - t\} \right).$$

---



---

We first show the inclusion ‘ $\subset$ ’. If  $\omega \in \Omega$  is such that

$$f(\omega) + g(\omega) > a,$$

then

$$f(\omega) > a - g(\omega),$$

so there exists some  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$f(\omega) > t > a - g(\omega),$$

and thus  $f(\omega) > t$  and  $g(\omega) > a - t$ . So in that case

$$\omega \in \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{Q}} \left( \{f > t\} \cap \{g > a - t\} \right).$$

Now we will show the inclusion ‘ $\supset$ ’. Let  $\omega \in \Omega$  be such that  $f(\omega) > t$  and  $g(\omega) > a - t$ . Then, by adding the inequalities, we know that  $f(\omega) + g(\omega) > a$ .

(c) The constant function  $f(\omega) = a$  is measurable since

$$f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(B \cap \{a\}) \cup f^{-1}(B \setminus \{a\}) = \Omega \cup \emptyset = \Omega \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

(d) Similar to the proof of Point (2) of Proposition 3.2.12.

(e) Let  $g(\omega) \neq 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then, since  $g$  is measurable, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \{1/g > a\} &= \{g < 1/a, g > 0\} \cup \{g > 1/a, g < 0\} \\ &= \left( \{g < 1/a\} \cap \{g > 0\} \right) \cup \left( \{g > 1/a\} \cap \{g < 0\} \right) \in \mathcal{F}, \end{aligned}$$

thus implying that  $1/g$  is measurable.

(f) Point (e) and Point (4) of Proposition 3.2.12 yields Point (5) of Proposition 3.2.12.

**Problem 3.6** From (3.6), we have for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left\{ \sup_{n \geq 1} f_n > a \right\} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{f_n > a\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $f_n$  is measurable for all  $n \geq 1$ , i.e.,  $\{f_n > a\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

## Chapter 4: The Lebesgue Integral

## Chapter 5: Convergence of integrals and functions