## Problem 8.6

(a) Define the sets

$$B_j := \bigcup_{i \ge j} A_i, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly the sequence  $(B_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing and  $\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}\subset B_j \text{ for every } j\in\mathbb{N}$ . By assumption, and the  $\sigma$ -subadditivity of  $\mathbb{P}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(B_1) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) < +\infty.$$

Moreover, the summability also gives

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(B_j) \le \limsup_{j \to \infty} \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) = 0.$$

Hence, by the continuity from above of  $\mu$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j\right) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(B_j) = 0,$$

i.e.,  $\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}$  is a null set. In other words,  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every  $\omega$  is in only finitely many  $A_n$ .

(b) We will prove that

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega \setminus \{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}) = 0,$$

from which the result follows since  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$ .

First note that

$$\Omega \setminus \{A_n \text{ i.o.}\} = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} \left(\bigcup_{n \ge k} A_n\right)^c = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} \bigcap_{n \ge k} A_n^c.$$

Next, since  $A_n$  are independent, so are  $A_n^c$ . Thus, for any  $k \ge 1$  we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n\geq k} A_n^c\right) = \prod_{n\geq k} \mathbb{P}(A_n^c) = \prod_{n\geq k} (1 - \mathbb{P}(A_m))$$
$$\leq \prod_{n\geq k} e^{-\mathbb{P}(A_n)} = e^{-\sum_{n\geq k} \mathbb{P}(A_n)} = 0.$$

Here we used that for any  $0 \le x \le 1$  it holds that  $1 - x \le e^{-x}$ .

Finally, using  $\sigma$ -subadditivity we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega \setminus \{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k \ge 1} \bigcap_{n \ge k} A_n^c\right) \le \sum_{k \ge 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n \ge k} A_n^c\right) = 0.$$

## Problem 8.8

One direction is easy. Assume that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent according to Definition 7.1.4. Now take any  $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$  and note that  $A_1 := X_1^{-1}((-\infty,a]) \in \sigma(X_1)$  and  $A_2 := X_2^{-1}((-\infty,b]) \in \sigma(X_2)$ . Then by the definition of independence we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \le a, X_2 \le b) = \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) = \mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A_2) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \le a)\mathbb{P}(X_2 \le b).$$

So let us focus now on the other direction. Assume that for all  $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \le a, X_2 \le b) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \le a)\mathbb{P}(X_2 \le b).$$

We now have to show that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent according to Definition 7.1.4. First note that since the family  $(-\infty,a]\times(-\infty,b]$  generate the 2-dimensional Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra we have, using Theorem 2.2.17, that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \in B_1, X_2 \in B_2) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \in B_1)\mathbb{P}(X_2 \in B_2)$$

for all  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Now fix a set  $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , set  $A_2 := X_2^{-1}(B_2) \in \sigma(X_2)$ , and define the following two measures on the space  $(\Omega, \sigma(X_1))$ 

$$\mu_1(A) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap A_2)$$
 and  $\mu_2(A) = \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(A_2)$ .

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the set  $A_1 := X_1^{-1}((-\infty, a]) \in \sigma(X_1)$ . Then, by our assumption we have that

$$\mu_1(A_1) = \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \le a, X_2 \in B_2) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \le a) \mathbb{P}(X_2 \in B_2) = \mathbb{P}(A_1) \mathbb{P}(A_2) = \mu_2(A_1).$$

In other words, the measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  coincide on the set  $\{X_1^{-1}((-\infty, a]) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Since the set  $(-\infty, a]$  generate  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  it follows that

$$\sigma(X_1) = \sigma(\{X_1^{-1}((-\infty, a]) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}).$$

In addition, this set satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2.17 and hence we conclude that  $\mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A)$  for all  $A \in \sigma(X_1)$ .

We can repeat this argument for the two measures on  $(\Omega, \sigma(X_2))$ 

$$\nu_1(A) = \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A)$$
 and  $\nu_2(A) = \mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A)$ ,

where  $A_1 \in \{X_1^{-1}((-\infty, a]) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is fixed.

From this we conclude that for any  $A_1 \in \sigma(X_1)$  and  $A_2 \in \sigma(X_2)$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) = \mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A_2)$$

and hence  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent.