Problem 4.3

- (a) The fact that the sets are disjoint is immediate from the definition. Measurability follows from Lemma 3.11
- (b) Let us fix a $\omega \in \Omega$. Then if $f(\omega) = +\infty$ we get that $f_n(\omega) = 2^n$ holds for all $n \ge 1$ and hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\omega) = +\infty = f(\omega)$. So assume that $f(\omega) < +\infty$. Then there exists an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(\omega) < M$. Hence, for all $n \ge M$ we have that

$$||f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)|| = f(\omega) - f_n(\omega) \le 2^{-n},$$

which implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(\omega) = f(\omega)$.

- (c) Fix $n \ge 1$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Clearly, if $f(\omega) = +\infty$ then $f_n(\omega) = 2^n < +\infty = f(\omega)$.
- (d) Fix $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $f(\omega) < +\infty$ and $\omega \in A_k^n$ for some $0 \le k < N_n = n2^n$. Note that $k2^{-n} \le f(\omega) < (k+1)2^{-n}$ holds and this interval can be split into two intervals as follows:

$$[k2^{-n},(k+1)2^{-n}) = [(2k)2^{-(n+1)},(2k+1)2^{-(n+1)}) \cup [(2k+1)2^{-(n+1)},(2k+2)2^{-(n+1)}).$$

Hence, we conclude that either $\omega \in A_{2k}^{n+1}$ or $\omega \in A_{2k+1}^{n+1}$. In both case we get that

$$f_n(\omega) = k2^{-n} = 2kn^{-(n+1)} \le f_{n+1}(\omega).$$

(e) Now let us consider the case where $\omega \in A_k^n$ with $k=n2^n$, so that $n \leq f(\omega) < +\infty$. Then, if $f(\omega) \geq n+1$ it follows that $f_n(\omega) = n < n+1 = f_{n+1}(\omega)$. If, on the other hand, $n \leq f(\omega) < n+1$ there exists an $2n \, 2^n \leq \ell \leq (2n+2) \, 2^n$ such that $\omega \in A_\ell^{n+1}$, which then implies that

$$f_n(\omega) = n = (2n2^n) 2^{-(n+1)} \le f_{n+1}(\omega).$$

Problem 4.5

(a) First suppose $f=\sum_{i=1}^N a_i\mathbbm{1}_{A_i}$ is a simple function. Then $f\mathbbm{1}_B=\sum_{i=1}^N a_i\mathbbm{1}_{A_i\cap B}$ is also a simple function and thus

$$\int_{B} f \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f \mathbb{1}_{B} \, d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} \mu(A_{i} \cap B) \le \mu(B) \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} \mu(A_{i}) = 0.$$

Now let f be a non-negative function and $g \leq f$ be a simple function. Then $g1_B \leq f1_B$ and thus by Definition 4.7

$$\int_{B} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\Omega} f \mathbb{1}_{B} \, \mathrm{d}\mu \ge \int_{\Omega} g \mathbb{1}_{B} \, \mathrm{d}\mu = 0,$$

which implies the result.

(b) Suppose $f \leq g$ are non-negative functions and observe that if h is a simple function such that $h \leq f$ then also $h \leq g$. Therefore we get

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \sup_{h \le f} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} h \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right\} \le \sup_{h \le g} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} h \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right\} = \int_{\Omega} g \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

(c) Suppose that h is a simple function. Then αh is also simple and it immediately follows that $\int_{\Omega} (\alpha h) \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \alpha \int_{\Omega} h \, \mathrm{d}\mu$. Now let f be non-negative. Then $h \leq f \iff \alpha h \leq \alpha f$ and $h \leq \alpha f \iff \alpha^{-1} h \leq f$. Thus by Definition 4.7 we have

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu = \alpha \sup_{h \le f} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} h \, d\mu \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{h \le f} \alpha \left\{ \int_{\Omega} h \, d\mu \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{h \le f} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (\alpha h) \, d\mu \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{\alpha^{-1} h \le f} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} h \, d\mu \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{h \le \alpha f} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (\alpha h) \, d\mu \right\} = \int_{\Omega} (\alpha f) \, d\mu.$$