

Older vs Younger Generations

Key Words

Responsible

- Stubborn
- Remorseless (no guilt)
- Exploitative
- Protect carefully crafted facade
- Derisive (mocking)
- Repudiate (refuse to accept)
- Callous (cruel)
- Obdurate (stubborn)
- Stagnant (stay the same)
- Self-serving outlook (selfish)
- Condescending (talk down)
- Belittles (makes feel inferior)
- Ostentatious (showy)
- Conceited (vain)
- Entrenched in their views (stuck)
- Shirks (avoids) responsibility

Irresponsible

- Collective social conscience
- Liberated
- Disillusioned (seen the reality)
- Unrestrained
- Intertwined with responsibility
- Moral duty
- Moral compass (moral direction)
- Proxy (take on someone's role)
- Foil to their stagnant parents
- Criticise generational blindness
- Metamorphosis (change)
- Dismantles their obliviousness
- Deviate (move away from)
- Progressive (go forward)
- Newfound power rooted in morality
- Malleable (able to change)

Priestley's intentions

- Priestley illustrates that the malleable (easy to change) and open-minded nature of the
 younger generation will catalyse (kick start) social reform and lead to a more
 progressive society. Thus, their willingness to embrace change and challenge established
 norms leads to positive change and advancement.
- Priestley equates (links) the older generation with stagnation. He demonstrates through
 the cyclical narrative that the ignorance and irresponsibility of the older generation
 perpetuate a cycle of "anguish." Thus, the only route to break free from this cyclic torment
 is by abolishing these out-dated and oppressive generational perspectives.
- In his political diatribe (criticising politics at the time), Priestley vehemently (strongly)
 denounces (criticises) the obdurate (stubborn) nature of the older generation while starkly
 contrasting it with the open-mindedness of the younger. He presents the audience with a
 clear choice between these two perspectives, urging them to consider which path will lead
 to social reform and which will result in regression.



Mr Birling

"There'll be a public scandal" (act 3)

- Euphemistic language: Rather than admitting to the grave crime he has contributed to, Mr. Birling employs euphemistic (substituting it for a phrase less harsh) language to brand it as a mere 'scandal.' This exacerbates his stubbornness as a representative of the older generation, as he adamantly refuses to acknowledge the truth and feels no remorse for his exploitation of Eva.
- Alternatively, It also implies his hesitancy to confront the crime, perhaps driven by the fear that doing so would dismantle the carefully crafted facade he maintains for public perception.
- Stagnant character: Mr. Birling persists in his self-serving outlook, thus is presented as a stagnant (doesn't change) character as he ignores his moral and civic obligations as a member of society.
- His lack of character development is disheartening, yet unsurprising for the audience, as
 Priestley establishes early on that capitalist characters from the older generation
 prioritise social status over compassion, remorse and social reform.

"The famous younger generation who know it all. And they can't even take a joke - " (Act 3)

- Irony: It's ironic that Mr. Birling derisively (mockingly) labels the younger generation as 'know-it-alls,' given that he himself is the only character who asserts to "know it all," referencing events like the "Titanic" and dismissing concerns as "silly little war scares." Priestley deliberately set the play in 1912 to fragmentize (break) the "know it all" ignorance of the older generation.
- To some degree, by labelling them as the "<u>younger generation</u>," Priestley aligns Sheila and Eric with his **contemporary audience**, aiming for them to feel provoked by Mr. Birling's ignorant sarcasm. Priestley's intention is to urge his audience to **repudiate** (refuse to accept) the capitalist perspectives held by their parents.
- Speech is cut off: Following Mr. Birling's remorseless and callous dismissal of the suicide as a mere 'joke,' he is abruptly interrupted by the telephone, echoing the earlier interruption by the Inspector in Act 1.
- Perhaps Priestley opted for the form of a play to convey his allegorical diatribe
 (criticism while teaching a message) enabling him to visually depict Mr. Birling's beliefs
 as emblematic of the capitalist older generation—ones that should be physically and
 symbolically removed from society.



- Responsibility: He persists in holding onto his entrenched worldview as a product of the older generation, displaying obdurateness (stubbornness) and resistance to change. He is a stagnant character as he maintains a dehumanising and irresponsible perspective, refusing to adapt or grow.
- Social class: Mr Birling's obdurate (stubborn) nature as an older **generation member** is clear in regards to his unchanging prejudices and discrimination against the **lower** classes.
- Capitalism vs socialism: Mr. Birling persists in his self-serving capitalist outlook, thus is presented as a stagnant (doesn't change) character as he is entrenched in the traditional values of his generation.
- Guilt: Mr. Birling's callous indifference for Eva's suffering and his lack of guilt highlights the older generation's deficiency in compassion and empathy, a theme emphasised by Priestley as a contributing factor to the occurrence of two world wars.



Mrs Birling

"Sheila, what an expression! Really the things you girls pick up these days" (Act 1)

- Exclamative sentence: She is presented as a marionette (puppet) of her class as
 she is complicit in upholding its conventions, the exclamative sentence emphasises
 her frantically trying to iron out any social faux pas (socially awkward or improper)
 so they operate seamlessly within the expectations of their class, she is reluctant
 to deviate from these in any way.
- As a member of the older generation, and being of the most superior social standing of her family, she is almost held in aspic within the hard-wired conventions of a capitalist and classist society, thus anything that threatens these conventions threaten her.
- Condescending noun "girls": She patronises Sheila through the condescending noun "girl", reinforcing the infantile preservation we see Sheila endure in Act 1. This could be done as Sheila comes to symbolise emancipation (freedom), Mrs Birling wants to keep her docile and submissive as she fears any changes to convention that may put her class position under threat.
- Mrs Birling belittles Sheila in order to dismiss her behaviour and portray it as
 foolish or uncouth, she reinforces the separation between the older generation who
 are robust to these newer ways of thinking and the younger ones that seem
 vulnerable to 'pick[ing]' them up as if they were a disease

[Enters briskly and self-confidently, quite out of key] (Act 2)

- Her entry into act two: Her entry into act two is conducted entirely under the
 arrogance of her social superiority. Her ostentatious (showy) display of class renders
 her character discordant (not fitting) within the narrative of grief, remorse, and
 suicide.
- This further exacerbates her emotional detachment, as she maintains the same [cold] demeanour observed in Act 1 which is the antithesis to the 'hysterical' and remorseful presentation of her daughter.
- Adverb 'briskly': The use of the adverb "briskly" indicates that Mrs. Birling is unwilling to
 pause and contemplate the adverse effects of her family's unscrupulousness
 (immorality) and exploitation.
- Her demeanour is conceited (vain) and calculated, typical of someone of her social standing who might typically navigate such situations effortlessly with rehearsed social grace. However, she fails to realise that she will not remain unscathed (without suffering) for her and her family's irresponsibility this time.



- Responsibility: Mrs Birling's boastful lack of responsibility is a foil to both her children

 both Sheila and Eric develop a collective social conscience. She persists in holding
 onto his entrenched worldview as a product of the older.
- Social class: Mrs. Birling, entrenched in her upper-class status and **generation**, arrogantly refuses aid to lower classes, even in philanthropy.
- Capitalism vs socialism: Her firm denial of accountability comes effortlessly as she has
 practised this for years as a member of the older generation. This reflects the
 acceptance and even promotion of selfishness and, consequently, irresponsibility within
 capitalist ideologies.
- Guilt: She is presented as a marionette (puppet) of her class and **generation** as she is complicit in upholding its conventions. Thus, she is more preoccupied at maintaining her pristinely created public facade than feeling emotions of remorse and guilt.
- Gender: Mrs Birling wants to keep Sheila docile and submissive as she fears any changes to convention that may put her class position under threat and change the society that she has experienced in the older generation.



Sheila

"[Rather wildly, with laugh] No, he's giving us the rope- so that we'll hang ourselves." (Act 2)

- Stage direction [wildly, with laugh]: The stage direction "[wildly]" depicts Sheila's disillusionment (seen the reality) with capitalist ideals and middle-class etiquette. She liberates herself from these constraints, embracing her unrestrained nature without apology.
- Coupled with her [laugh], this suggests she finds capitalism absurd, laughing at its
 societal significance whilst laughing at how persistently delusional her parents are they
 want shirk (avoid) their responsibilities and moral wrongdoings.
- Symbolism of 'rope': "rope" has fibres that are all intertwined, this symbolising how
 Sheila has recognised that everybody in society is intertwined with their
 responsibilities. Each individual is all woven into the fabric of our communities and
 society and not independent pieces, but interconnected threads creating a larger
 united body.
- Thus, Sheila understands, through the Inspector's symbolic gesture of the "<u>rope,</u>" that she and her family bear a moral duty to protect the "<u>millions and millions</u>" that suffer.

"What he made me feel. Fire and blood and anguish" (Act 3)

- The Inspector's proxy (taken on someone's role when they leave): Following the Inspector's final speech, Sheila serves as his proxy, exemplified by her precise emulation, including the deliberate repetition of the polysyndeton on "and."
- This illuminates Sheila's desperate attempt to emphasise the relentless consequences
 of lacking social conscience to her obdurate (stubborn) parents of the older
 generation and her fiancé indoctrinated with capitalist beliefs.
- Personal pronoun: Sheila is now a moral compass to her family, showcasing her
 evolution towards empathy as her use of the personal pronoun "me" shows she now
 experiences genuine remorse.
- However, her awareness extends beyond personal remorse, she has developed an
 understanding of the systemic consequences of capitalist ideologies on society as
 a whole.



- Responsibility: Sheila scathingly criticises her parents' generational blindness to the
 consequences of their actions. Witnessing the extreme harm they've caused, she
 demands greater responsibility and accountability.
- Social class: Sheila becomes a foil to her stagnant parents of the older generation. Act one's docile Sheila, cocooned (wrapped) in privilege, undergoes a metamorphosis (change) under the Inspector's scrutiny whereas her parents remain entrenched in their dehumanising beliefs about social class.
- Capitalism vs socialism: Socialism emancipates Sheila from the shackles of capitalism's inherited oppressive ideals, allowing her to grasp the systemic consequences of capitalist ideologies on society at large. She comprehends how the older generations strive to preserve this dehumanising system.
- Guilt: Sheila's guilt and compassion catalyses her into becoming the Inspector's proxy
 to which she acts as a moral compass to her parents by to delivering the teachings of
 socialism



Eric

"Why shouldn't they try for higher wages? We try for the highest possible prices" (Act 1)

- Rhetorical question: Eric is questioning the ethics behind his avarice (greed) father's exploitative attitude towards business and relationship with lower social classes. This is a pivotal turning point for his character, as the audience begins to see him deviate from the [half shy] presentation into the [assertive] one foreshadowed at the beginning.
- The emergence of socialist ideals within Eric's consciousness is **dismantling his prior** obliviousness to the suffering inflicted upon others by capitalist ideologies.
- Alliteration: The alliterative phrase "possible prices" illuminates Eric's emphasis on the
 outdated and unjust social systems that have been created and upheld by older
 generation members like his parents.
- He exposes and questions the systemic bias that favour the wealth accumulation of upper-class capitalists, leveraging a laissez-faire (minimal intervention) governmental stance. He has realised this enables figures like his father to exploit and silence workingclass individuals for personal monetary gain.

"But don't forget i'm ashamed of you as well - yes both of you" (act 3)

- Connotations of "ashamed": The term "ashamed" conveys a sense of embarrassment, revealing Eric's deep disillusionment and embarrassment has stemmed from his realisation of capitalists' inherent exploitation of individuals and prioritisation of self-interest.
- As a representative of the younger generation, Eric faces ridicule for his progressive outlook. However, he adopts a condescending demeanour towards his parents, showcasing his fearlessness in challenging their regressive beliefs and highlighting his commitment to catalysing change.
- **Hyphen:** The use of the hyphen in "<u>— yes both of you</u>" simplifies the sentence, akin to the Inspector's concise speech, Eric is simplifying to his **obdurate** (stubborn) parents of the older generation the importance of **collective social responsibility.**
- Eric's adoption of short sentences, mirroring the Inspector's style, may also signify his
 growing power post-liberation from capitalism's constraints. In Act 1, the Inspector's
 brevity (concise use of words) denotes authority, a trait echoed by Eric as he speaks
 concisely to showcase his newfound power rooted in moral conviction.



- Responsibility: As a spokesperson for the younger generation, Eric encounters
 ridicule for his progressive stance. Nevertheless, he assumes a condescending
 demeanour towards his parents, demonstrating his courage in confronting their
 outdated beliefs and underlining his dedication to instigating change while embracing
 responsibility.
- Social class: Eric is questioning the ethics behind his avarice (greed) father's exploitative attitude towards business and relationship with lower social classes
- Capitalism vs socialism: The emergence of socialist ideals within Eric's
 consciousness is dismantling his prior obliviousness to the suffering inflicted upon others
 by capitalist ideologies he's realised this exploitative system is actively sustained by the
 older generation.
- Guilt: Eric's immense guilt and shame allows him to be malleable (easily shaped) by the Inspector's teachings. He thus tried to teach his obdurate (stubborn) parents of the older generation the importance of collective social responsibility.



Gerald

[The easy, well- bred young-man about town] (Act 1 stage direction)

- Animalistic image on 'bred': For Priestley's contemporary audience, the stage
 direction "bred" evokes imagery of animal breeding, conjuring a metaphorical image
 of Gerald as a product of meticulous cultivation, much like a carefully bred animal.
- This suggests that Gerald has been groomed from birth to embody the archetype of the aristocratic and charming "man about town." Thus, he is a victim to capitalist ideologies ingrained within him, as he is unable to escape the persona crafted for him before he even had agency (a choice) over his own identity.
- Adjective on 'easy': The adjective on 'easy' implies that Gerald easily assimilated (blended) into the higher echelon of society as he is a paragon (perfect example) of social superiority. This ease and comfort in his current circumstances foreshadow his resistance to change, contrasting with the more malleable (able to change) qualities of other members of the younger generation.
- This reluctance to embrace socialist principles may stem from a fear of jeopardising his social standing and being ostracised (isolated) by the elite.

"Everything's all right now, Sheila [holds up the ring] what about this ring?" (Act 3)

- Cyclical narrative: Gerald conducts the play to follow a cyclical structure, focusing back on his and Sheila's engagement. This is reflective of his unwillingness to change and his overarching desire to absolve himself from guilt and responsibility.
- Despite belonging to the younger generation, he shirks (avoid/neglects) accountability, disappointing the audience with his character arc. Unlike Sheila and Eric, who are impressionable and adaptable, Gerald's obstinate (stubborn) nature remains unchanged.
- Ring as a metonym: The use of the noun "<u>ring"</u>" as a metonym (when a noun or an attribute of something is used in place of its actual name another example could be referring to the British monarchy as 'the Crown') for marriage displays Gerald's materialistic view of marriage, devoid of any emotion or love.
- He reverts to this symbol of traditional power and possession in order to reassert himself; he finds comfort in the ingrained societal beliefs which make life <u>'easy'</u> for him.



- Responsibility: Despite belonging to the younger generation, he shirks
 (avoid/neglects) accountability, disappointing the audience with his character arc.
 Unlike Sheila and Eric, who are impressionable and adaptable, Gerald's obstinate
 (stubborn) nature remains unchanged and irresponsible.
- Social class: Gerald easily assimilated (blended) into the higher echelon of society as he is a paragon (perfect example) of social superiority. His ease and comfort in his current circumstances foreshadow his resistance to change, contrasting with the more malleable (able to change) qualities of other members of the younger generation
- Capitalism vs socialism: Gerald can be seen as a product of meticulous cultivation, much like a carefully bred animal, to be a paragon (perfect-example) of an aristocratic capitalist. Despite being a member of the younger generation, he remains inextricably (closely linked) linked to this persona.
- Guilt: Gerald shows a hint of remorse, yet he falls back into the familiar social faux pas
 of the older generations, prioritising the preservation of a flawless facade over
 acknowledging and confronting his guilt.