### KEY WORDS

Same as act 1 and act 2-She remains a stagnant character throughout

# Mrs Birling Act 3



### LACK OF CONSCIENCE

- Much like Mr Birling and Gerald, Mrs Birling relishes in the idea that the inspector may be a fraud. They perceive it as a means through which to absolve themselves of any responsibility as they foolishly believe it would negate their wrongdoings from being truthful also.
- She is boastful at the suggestion that the inspector wasn't real, speaking
   [triumphantly]. These stage directions connote to the idea that Mrs Birling feels she has won a battle- she blatantly disregards the loss of innocent life and is only enthused that her pristine reputation won't be compromised.
- On the surface, the metaphorical battle
  may be between her and the inspector
  who she deems <u>'a trifle impertinent'</u> in his
  behaviour, she perceived him as a threat
  and quickly became defensive against
  him setting up the dynamic of a battle.
- Alternatively, it could be a more abstract, microcosmic representation of the battle between the socialist forces trying to change the capitalist society and the classist older generation.

#### REJECTION OF RESPONSIBILITY

- Mrs Birling is overtly proud in her rejection of any responsibility for Eva Smith's death, and she feels that it is necessary to publicly assert this as a truth. She boasts "He certainly didn't make me confess".
- The verb <u>"confess"</u> has connotations of crime, she
  asserts that she has not been unlawful in her actions
  to implicitly suggest she is not responsible.
- The imperative verb <u>"make"</u> hints at another reason as
  to why she so actively rejects responsibility- it is a way
  of keeping afloat in the power struggle between
  her and the inspector.
- Mrs Birling's lexis here insinuates that admitting responsibility would be conceding to the inspector, which threatens her social role thus she is reluctant to do so.
- She also attempts to propel events forward after the inspector leaves to dismiss the truth, claiming <u>"in the morning they'll be as amused as we are"</u>. The verb <u>"amused"</u> implies that she is in no way complicit in an immoral event, as it juxtaposes what she should be feeling which is guilt or remorse.
- Perhaps she is overcompensating, rejecting responsibility by suggesting she is feeling the antithesis of guilt.



#### IS SHE MOST TO BLAME?

- As Mrs Birling's charity organisation was Eva Smith's last resort- it is possible we would hold her responsible.
- However, the discretion must be made between her and the other perpetrators of Eva's demise, she was not complicit in driving her to despair she merely failed to help.
- Rather than portraying her as malicious, it seems that Priestley uses Mrs Birling as a tool to comment on the distorted perspectives and beliefs of the upper classes.
- It seems Mrs Birling is nothing more than a
   marionette of her own class, thus she is
   absolved somewhat of responsibility as she has
   internalised the class system of her time to such
   an extent, she has rid herself of any autonomy.
- A more niche interpretation could extend from the arguably logical conclusions she made about Eva Smith when she sought help from the charity, Mrs Birling is truthful in saying "the girl had begun by telling us a pack of lies", which could be considered a valid reason to deny her help. This highlights the flaws that were present with the welfare system in 1912 and the lack of a welfare state.

## A COMMENTARY ON THE WELFARE STATE

- Mrs Birling's organisation forms an important link to AO3 (context) and the creation of the welfare state in 1945. It is a mouthpiece for Priestley to express why it is necessary as charity organisations are rife with too much prejudice to be able to provide care for all those who need it.
- Her reaction to learning the truth portrays how she would've behaved differently if she had been aware of the circumstances pleading to Eric in a "distressed" manner, "No- Eric- please- I didn't know- I didn't understand-".
- This is the closest to remorseful we see Mrs Birling throughout the text, the staccato and fragmented speech depicts her inability to communicate regarding these unfamiliar, disreputable matters.
- Alternatively, the disrupted speech (employed by the commas) could emulate how she is trying to pause to be calculative in her response as she is preoccupied with the maintenance of her pristine reputation.
- The verb <u>"understand"</u> is pivotal to depict how subjective welfare organisations are set to fail, and instead should be <u>implemented</u> by an objective government body.





# UNFAIR DISTRIBUTION OF POWER

- Mrs Birling's power within the play seems entirely undeserving, yet she
  exercises it consistently, not only in her immediate family through scolding
  them but also in the treatment of Eva Smith, she <u>"used her influence"</u> to
  refuse Eva Smith's resistance.
- <u>"I was the only one who didn't give in to him"</u> portrays her distorted
  perception of pride, she boasts about a lack of moral sensitivity which
  seems corrupt and callous. Priestley critiques how it is the insensitive
  echelons of society that conduct the most power.
- In terms of viewing, it is a morality play, this links Mrs Birling to the cardinal sin (7 deadly sin) of Pride, which is extended throughout the play. Her continued pride in the final act, despite the circumstances, confirms her static character development. She fails to navigate the world outside of her egotism and pride.

#### TOPIC SENTENCES

Mrs Birling provides Priestley with a tool to produce a commentary on the welfare state, and highlight why it was necessary, it seems that charity organisations were destined to fail as they could not help everyone in need.

Mrs Birling's lack of a conscience is evident in her celebratory reaction to the suggestion that the inspector may not have been real, as a woman secure within her class, she is threatened by any agents of change and is in direct opposition against them.

The pitfalls of classist society are arguably epitomised through the unequal distribution of power, it seems the upper classes unrightfully have a greater influence which they are prone to abusing.