### The Farmer's Bride



### Charlotte Mew

### **OVERALL SUMMARY**

"The Farmer's Bride" uses dark imagery combined with a first-person narrator to tell the tale of a Farmer's bride who, fearful of her husband, attempts to run away but to no avail (no luck). It paints a sinister picture of female subjugation (oppression) and shows how throughout time women have been objectified to the point where they become objects to acquire rather than actual people.

### STANZA SUMMARY

- The farmer, the speaker of the poem, introduces that he chose a wife over the summer, whom he married but seems disinterested in. The wife became progressively more afraid of him, to the point where she tries to escape and runs away.
- He and the rest of the village go searching for his escaped wife, chasing her until she is captured and returned home.
- After the wife's re-capture, she is extremely uneasy around men but happy to be alone with nature.
- Things worsen and his wife becomes more and more unhappy and will not bear him sons.
- The farmer's wife sleeps in the attic, afraid of her husband and the farmer does not question why.

### CONTEXT

- The poem was published in 1916 Charlotte Mew had a
  particular interest in the subjugation of women and
  the way their mental health declines after marrying a
  man after seeing a lot of her family experience a similar
  thing.
- Mew had siblings who suffered severely from mental illness and were institutionalised and from a young age she had a deep-rooted fear of marriage and its relation to mental illness.
- Her work is heavily influenced by her family related trauma.

# Key Themes & Analysis



### SUBJUGATION OF WOMEN

- The farmer sees his wife as another responsibility / duty, or an object he must acquire and objectifies her as such. He recounts how he <u>"chose a maid"</u>, using the verb <u>"chose"</u> to show the immense power he holds in comparison to the extremely minimal power his wife has. Furthermore <u>"maid"</u> de-personalises her, taking away the qualities which make a her an individual person worthy of being given agency (choice)
- He describes her as <u>"too young"</u> and having a <u>"wide brown stare"</u> and being <u>"soft"</u>. Mew uses infantilising imagery which makes him seem predatorial and thus she exemplifies how young women were often forced into marriages with older more mature men.
- He recounts that <u>"her eyes beseech when one of us come within reach"</u>, using a rhyming couplet to make his language sound almost playful; he has no empathy for her. He makes the reader despise him as his tone is cruel
- Furthermore, the **sibilance** he uses makes the line sound **ominous**, **suspenseful**, as though he is whispering like one would a scary story which adds to the **haunting** nature of the poem.
- He likens her to a <u>"leveret"</u> and describes the <u>"grey sky"</u>, comparing her to a hare again therefore extending the simile and using a pathetic fallacy to guide the poem towards it's grim end and mirror the depression the wife feels through the weather.
- In the final description of her: <u>"her hair!"</u>, Mew uses a possessive pronoun which exacerbates her objectification, reducing her to her singular features and thus ridding her of any humanity.
- Overall, Mew uses a plethora of effective language techniques to demonstrate the melancholy the farmer's bride feels in her situation and demonstrates the way women were subjugated and stripped of their free will by men.



### ZOOMORPHISM

- The Farmer uses **animalistic imagery** to describe his wife.
- When she runs away, he describes her as <u>"flying like a hare"</u>. Using a <u>simile</u> to compare her to a small yet quick animal, evokes imagery of predators and prey and is also a lexis that which he is familiar with given his profession. It is as though he cannot <u>comprehend</u> her being a human with emotions and sees her as <u>equivalent</u> to an animal
- He relates how she does all the housework, after her re-capture, "like a mouse", again using a simile to compare her to a fragile creature.
- The semantic field of nature should make the poem serene, but his malicious tone instead makes
  the poem eerie and suspenseful. The beauty of what he is comparing her to (nature) juxtaposes
  against the way he callously objectifies her.
- He calls her <u>"shy as a leveret"</u>. The use of extended animal similes combined with the fact that he is a farmer, makes it sound as though he is speaking about her in terms, he is familiar and comfortable with. It makes it so, as readers, we are forced to only view the wife through his eyes in his terms, with his comparisons of her to various small meek animals. This is incredibly objectifying.
- It also shows that he views her as akin to his **agricultural burdens** to do with the harvest; he sees her on the same level as he sees his work .



### **ISOLATION**

- The speaker of the poem is **burdened** by responsibility. He says, <u>"more's to do at harvest time</u> <u>than bide and woo"</u>. The use of rhyming couplets might sound elegant in another context but here, it makes the speaker sound tired, as though the metre of the poem, combined with the long vowel sounds are pulling him reluctantly through his harvest obligations.
- Describing his wife's escape, he recounts how she ran <u>"over a seven-acre field"</u>. He adds to the poems semantic field of nature and isolation, making the land around him seem lonely and vast.
- At one moment in the poem the <u>"short days shorten"</u>, creating an overarching sense of melancholy through a pathetic fallacy, increasing the sense of isolation seen in the poem.
- The farmer's isolation is **self-inflicted** at the start of the poem but when his wife <u>"sleeps up in the attic there alone"</u>, the power balance shifts and the farmer now has **isolation enforced on him**.
- The language becomes more disjointed towards the end of the poem, representing his gradual loss of control and Mew uses repetition and disjointed syntax to demonstrate this.
- The speaker's erratic actions and un-empathetic disposition are shown to be a result of his
  immense seclusion, which is self-inflicted at the beginning of the poem. But by the end the power
  dynamic has shifted as his wife secludes herself and the speaker descends into madness.





### DRAMATIC MONOLOGUE

- The poem is written in the form of a dramatic monologue, which means we have **unfiltered**access into the speakers thoughts due to the first-person narration.
- This means we get a very biased view of the farmers situation with his wife, only hearing his
  thoughts on the matter and never hers. This is especially effective if we consider that even the
  poem objectifies the wife, as the speaker does, viewing her through a male gaze and never
  hearing her thoughts, thus de-humanising her.

### **RHYME**

- There is not a **definitive** (discernible) rhyme scheme but there are occasional rhyming couplets which drives the metre in certain lines.
- The lack of rhyme scheme gives the impression that the speaker is un-educated, which makes sense given he is a farmer.
- Alt- it could suggest that he is losing power of his wife. He once entirely controlled her, able to
  isolate her himself. Yet as the wife now chooses to <u>"sleep up in the attic"</u> she is perhaps
  regaining control. Thus, the lack of definitive rhyme emulates his lack of definitive power.





### **ENJAMBEMENT**

- Mew uses enjambement to further the melancholic and depressing atmosphere of the poem. It makes it seem like that rim atmosphere is **everlasting**, like there is no escape, mirroring how his wife feels.
- The ceaselessness of the lines is poignant in regard to the wife's seclusion and fear (enjambment after <u>"afraid"</u>, <u>"she wasn't there"</u> and <u>"she sleeps up in the attic"</u>).
- Thus, Mew uses this to show the relentless objectification of the wife has led her to become a shell of her former self- she no longer possesses an identity due to the ceaselessness of her oppression.

### **ANAPHORA**

- The repetition in the final lines: <u>"her hair, her hair!"</u> gives the sense that the speaker has begun his mental decline. He has less control over his language, which mirrors his mentally compromised
- It puts **emphasis** on the line, marking it as the final thing we take away from the poem, that being the speakers **descent into insanity**.

## Comparisons



Winter Swans	THEME	FORM	STRUCTURE	CONTEXT
Similar	Love  The poem's both deal with the concept of love and while the two speakers are immensely different in their attitude to women, they both have some level of love for their partners.  Conflict  Both poems show a rift (conflict) between the respective couples which has created tension.	Rhyme  Both poems lack a rhyme scheme that is regular which creates a sense of conflict and chaos  Monologue  Both poems are monologues as they both use a first-person speaker.	Enjambement  Both poems make use of enjambement to make the conflict in their poems seem drawn out and long lasting.	Relationships  Both poems seem concerned the relationships between me and women and explore conflict within those relationships.
Different	In Winter Swans the speaker shows far more compassion for their partner, they demonstrate genuine concern about the rift which is a harsh contrast to the farmers unfeeling disposition (nature).  Contentment  The Farmer ends the poem in a state of delirium whereas the speaker in Winter Swans appears more content and healed at the end of the poem.	While both poems have uneven stanzas Mew's poem has longer stanzas, giving the effect that the speaker is rambling incoherently, whereas Sheers sounds far more composed.	Final line  Sheers' poem ends with full stop making the ending seem final and definite, whereas Mew's poem finishes with an exclamative sentence, making his speaker sound delirious.	Generational difference  The poets existed in fundamentally very different eras which affects the way they write about people and their relationships.  Sheer's takes a more modern approach on love whereas Mew was conditioned by the norms of her era and is thus more traditional and bound to these social norms



Singh Song	THEME	FORM	STRUCTURE	CONTEXT
Similar	Conflict of duties	Rhyme	Enjambement	Class
	Both speakers speak of the battle they have between their obligation towards their duties Singh in his shop and the farmer for the harvest but having to balance having a wife as well.	The poems both lack a discernible rhyme scheme which makes them sound disjointed  Monologue  Both poems use the first-person narrative making them sound like a monologue	Both poets use enjambement but to different effects	Both poets grew up in households haunted by poverty and explore working class people in their poems.
Different	United Singh fondly tells of his wife and, in the end, chooses her over his material job, the farmer cares not for his wife's wellbeing and fundamentally misunderstands her and her needs  Respect for women Singh allows his wife so much freedom to the point where she is looking at other men online, but the Farmer controls every aspect of his wife's life and allows her little to no freedom because he does not respect women.	Reprise  Singh song has a reprise of certain lines making it seem more cohesive and upbeat whereas the Farmer's bride almost runs like a narrative	Enjambement  The enjambement in Singh Song makes the speaker sound spontaneous and improvised whereas it makes the farmer seem isolated and delirious.	Culture vs gender  Where Nagra focuses on his culture and racism, Mew chooses to focus rather on gender inequality.