

# Functional and Logic Programming

## Lecture 9 — Programming with IO

Pedro Vasconcelos  
DCC/FCUP

2025

# Motivation

- ▶ We have so far only defined **pure functions**: computations that transform an input into an output
- ▶ Referentially transparent: given the same input, we always get back the same output
- ▶ However, this is not enough to express computations that interact with the outside world:
  - ▶ read from stdin or files;
  - ▶ write to stdout or files;
  - ▶ open network connections;
  - ▶ ...

# Side effects

- ▶ Most languages solve this problem by allowing **side effects** during evaluation

```
// this is C
char c = getchar();
putchar(c);
putchar('\n');
```

- ▶ Evaluating `getchar` will read the next character as a side effect
- ▶ Evaluating `putchar` will write a character as a side effect
- ▶ Calling `getchar()` twice may give different characters
- ▶ The order of the evaluation of these functions is important

# The problem with side effects

- ▶ Side effects do not work with lazy evaluation:

```
-- this pseudo-Haskell
-- getChar :: () -> Char
getTwo    :: [Char]
getTwo = [getChar (), getChar ()]
```

- ▶ What are the side effects?

```
getTwo          -- reads the 1st and 2nd chars
getTwo !! 0     -- reads the 1st character
getTwo !! 1     -- also reads the 1st character
length getTwo   -- reads no characters
```

- ▶ Side effects are not useful when we are not sure which ones will occur!

# The Haskell solution

- ▶ Introduce a special type for **IO actions**
- ▶ This allows distinguishing

```
'a'  :: Char      -- a character
getChar :: IO Char -- an IO action that
                    -- will yield a character
```

- ▶ `IO a` is the type of actions that may perform IO and yield a value of type `a`
- ▶ `putChar` is a function that takes a character and gives an IO action:

```
putChar :: Char → IO () -- output a character
```

- ▶ `putChar 'A'` is the action that outputs a single 'A' to stdout

# Combining IO actions

- ▶ We can combine actions in sequence using a special do-notation:

```
do putChar 'A'
   putChar '\n'
```

- ▶ The above expression is an action that outputs 2 characters in sequence and has type `IO ()`
- ▶ You could also use braces and semicolons instead of indentation:

```
do { putChar 'A'; putChar '\n' } :: IO ()
```

# Naming actions

- ▶ IO actions are values; we can give them names just like any other value:

```
action :: IO ()  
action = do putChar 'A'  
            putChar 'B'  
            putChar 'C'
```

- ▶ Evaluating an IO action in GHCi will perform it:

```
ghci> action  
ABCghci>
```

# Getting values from actions

- ▶ Inside a `do`-block, we can use `<-` to get the value returned by an action:

```
echo :: IO ()
echo do c ← getChar
        putChar c
        putChar c
```

- ▶ `echo` reads a character from `stdin` and outputs twice to `stdout`
- ▶ You really need `do` and `<-` to compose actions; the following gives an error:

```
putChar getChar -- couldn't match 'Char'
                -- with actual type 'IO Char'
```



# The return action

- ▶ There is special action that does not perform any IO but simply returns a value
- ▶ This is useful when you want to return a value that is a combination of previous values

```
getTwo :: IO [Char]
getTwo = do c1 ← getChar
            c2 ← getChar
            return [c1,c2]
```

- ▶ The type of return is

```
return :: a → IO a
```

- ▶ Unlike C/Java/etc, **return only makes sense as the final action** in a do-block (it does *not* perform an “early exit”)

# Programming with IO

Basic IO actions defined in the Prelude:

```
putChar :: Char → IO ()
putStr  :: String → IO ()      -- print a string
putStrLn :: String → IO ()    -- print a string
print   :: Show a ⇒ a → IO () -- print a value
getChar :: IO Char
getLine :: IO String          -- get a line
getContents :: IO String     -- get the standard input
```

We can combine these using do-blocks to write IO programs.

# Programming with IO (cont.)

```
main :: IO ()
main = do
    putStr "What is your name? "
    name ← getLine
    putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name ++ "!!")
```

- ▶ The `main` action in module `Main` is the entry point for a Haskell program
- ▶ We can compile this with `GHC` and get a binary executable

```
$ ghc Main.hs -o main
[1 of 1] Compiling Main
[2 of 2] Linking main
$ ./main
What is your name? Pedro
Hello, Pedro!
```

# Reflection

Doesn't this just re-invent imperative programming. . . ?

Two major advantages:

- ▶ It is generally a good idea to decouple the “business logic” from the “IO handling” but in Haskell we are **explicit about this separation** in the types
- ▶ Because IO actions are first class, we can **define our own control structures**
- ▶ The do-notation can also for things other than IO (we will see its use for writing parsers in a future lecture)

# Imperative shell, functional core

```
-- imperative shell
main :: IO ()
main = do
    txt ← getContents
    putStr (count txt)
    -- alternative: interact count
    -- interact :: (String → String) → IO ()

-- functional core
count :: String → String
count txt
    = let nlines = length (lines txt)
        nwords = length (words txt)
      in "lines: " ++ show nlines ++ "\n" ++
        "words: " ++ show nwords ++ "\n"
```

# A larger example

- ▶ Let us write a program to pretty-print JSON data<sup>1</sup>
- ▶ A slightly simplified version of the example described the *Haskell Unfolder #46*: [https://www.youtube.com/live/5W0ZUY\\_l1dU?si=DVi0kcdaHGNkFJwf](https://www.youtube.com/live/5W0ZUY_l1dU?si=DVi0kcdaHGNkFJwf)

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.json.org/>

## Sample JSON input

```
{"student": {"name": "Alex Johnson", "age": 14,
"grade": 9, "subjects": ["Math", "Science",
"History"], "isEnrolled": true, "contact":
{"email": "alex.johnson@example.com", "phone":
"555-1234"}}}
```

## Sample pretty-printed output

```
{
  "student": {
    "name": "Alex Johnson"
    , "age": 14
    , "grade": 9
    , "subjects": [
      "Math"
      , "Science"
      , "History"
    ]
    , "isEnrolled": true
    , "contact": {
      "email": "alex.johnson@example.com"
      , "phone": "555-1234"
    }
  }
}
```



# Implementation

- ▶ The functional core is a function `String -> String`
- ▶ Process each character at a time
- ▶ Keep track of the current indentation level
- ▶ Introduce a newline at brackets (`[]`), braces (`{}`) and commas (`,`)
- ▶ Increase indentation when we see an open bracket or brace
- ▶ Decrease indentation when we see a close bracket or brace

## Implementation (cont.)

```
main :: IO ()
main = interact prettyPrint

prettyPrint :: String → String
prettyPrint txt = prettyAt 0 txt
  where
    prettyAt :: Int → [Char] → [Char]
    prettyAt _ [] = []
    prettyAt i (c:cs)
      | elem c "{[" = [c] ++ newline (i + 1)
                      ++ prettyAt (i + 1) cs
      | elem c "}]]" = newline (i - 1) ++ [c]
                      ++ prettyAt (i - 1) cs
      | c == ','      = newline i ++ [c]
                      ++ prettyAt i cs
      | otherwise     = c : prettyAt i cs
```

## Implementation (cont.)

```
newline :: Int → String  
newline i = "\n" ++ replicate (i * indent) ' '  
  
indent :: Int  
indent = 4
```

# User-defined control structures

- ▶ IO actions are first class values
- ▶ We can pass them around to functions freely
- ▶ **Evaluation** is separate from **performing** the action
- ▶ This allows defining our own control structures

## User-defined control structures (cont.)

```
-- run a list of actions
seqn :: [IO ()] → IO ()
seqn [] = return ()
seqn (act:rest) = do act
                    seqn rest

main :: IO ()
main = seqn [print i | i←[1..10]]
-- prints 1, 2, ..., 10
```

**NB:** `sequence_` is a more general function from the Prelude that does the same thing as `seqn`.