See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/7671809

Asymmetric Mannich Reactions of β-Keto Esters with Acyl Imines Catalyzed by Cinchona Alkaloids

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · AUGUST 2005					
Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/ja0537373 · Source: PubMed					
CITATIONS	READS				
192	87				

4 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Sha Lou

Bristol-Myers Squibb

25 PUBLICATIONS 930 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Scott E Schaus

Boston University

96 PUBLICATIONS 4,125 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Published on Web 07/26/2005

Asymmetric Mannich Reactions of β -Keto Esters with Acyl Imines Catalyzed by Cinchona Alkaloids

Sha Lou, Brandon M. Taoka, Amal Ting, and Scott E. Schaus*

Department of Chemistry and Center for Chemical Methodology and Library Development, Life Science and Engineering Building, Boston University, 24 Cummington Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02215

Received June 7, 2005; E-mail: seschaus@bu.edu

Highly functionalized amine-containing building blocks in enantioenriched form are valuable starting materials for asymmetric synthesis. The asymmetric direct Mannich reaction is an attractive approach toward the construction of these building blocks. In particular, the direct addition of β -keto esters to imines affords multifunctional secondary amines. We proposed a chiral base-mediated direct addition of β -keto esters to acyl imines. The cinchona alkaloids are effective organic chiral bases capable of promoting a range of nucleophilic reactions in an asymmetric manner, including alcoholysis of anhydrides, cyanation of carbonyl-containing compounds, aza-Henry reactions, conjugate additions to ynones, chalcones, in introolefins, and vinyl sulfones. Herein, we report the enantioselective addition of β -keto esters to acyl aryl imines catalyzed by the cinchona alkaloids cinchonine and cinchonidine.

$$R_1$$
 + R_3 N $Catalyst$ R_1 OR_3 R_1 OR_2 R_1 OR_2 R_1 OR_2 OR_2 OR_2 OR_2 OR_2 OR_3 OR_4 OR_5 $OR_$

We initially evaluated the use of cinchona alkaloids as the catalyst in the asymmetric Mannich reaction of allyl acetoacetate 3a with acyl imines (Table 1). The reaction of 3a with tert-butyl benzylidene carbamate 4a catalyzed by cinchonine 1 in CH₂Cl₂ at −35 °C afforded the corresponding β -amino ester **5a** in 85% isolated yield of a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers (entry 1, Table 1). For purposes of analysis, the mixture of diastereomers was subjected to decarboxylation using Pd(II) and methyl acetoacetate to yield the corresponding ketone in 87 and 80% ee. In comparison, the quininecatalyzed reaction of 3a with 4a afforded the product in 1:1 dr and 60% ee. Higher enantioselectivities were obtained in the reactions of 3a with ethyl benzylidine carbamate 4b and 3a with methyl benzylidine carbamate 4c (86 and 92% ee, respectively, entries 3 and 4) although in low diastereomeric ratios. The reaction of 3a with 4c using cinchonidine as the catalyst afforded the product in similar diastereomeric ratio and enantiomeric excess but with the opposite sense of enantioselectivity (entry 5). Other cinchona alkaloids, such as quinine and quinidine, were not as effective at promoting the reaction enantioselectively (entries 6 and 7).

The cinchonine- and cinchonidine-promoted asymmetric Mannich reaction of β -keto esters with acyl imines was also found to be equally effective with methyl acetoacetate **3b** as the nucleophile. However, the reaction was highly diastereoselective; the addition of **3b** to **4c** afforded the product **5d** in 20:1 dr and in 94% ee (entry 8). The enantiomeric excess of product derived from **3b** was determined by selective conversion to the *Z*-enamine with benzylamine

Table 1. Asymmetric Mannich Reactions of β -Keto Esters^a

entry	catalyst	ester	imine	yield (%) ^b	dr^c	% ee ^d
1	1	3a	4a	5a (85)	3:1	80
2	quinine	3a	4a	5a (86)	1:1	60
3	ĺ	3a	4b	5b (91)	2:1	86
4	1	3a	4c	5c (99)	3:1	92
5	2	3a	4c	5c (96)	2:1	90
6	quinine	3a	4c	5c (90)	1:1	60
7	quinidine	3a	4c	5c (95)	1:1	65
8	ĺ	3b	4c	5d (99)	$20:1^{e}$	94
9	2	3b	4c	5d (95)	20:1	90
10	quinine	3b	4c	5d (97)	4:1	60
11	quinidine	3b	4c	5d (98)	5:1	65
12	ĺ	3b	4d	5e (91)	2:1	90

^a Reactions were carried out using 0.5 mmol ester 3, 0.5 mmol imine 4, and 0.05 mmol catalyst in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 M) at −35 °C for 16 h under Ar, followed by flash chromatography on silica gel. ^b Isolated yield. ^c Determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^d Enantiomeric excess of diastereomeric mixture determined by chiral HPLC analysis; see Supporting Information. ^e The major isomer is (1*R*,2*S*).

using HC(OCH₃)₃ and cat. Yb(OTf)₃. The asymmetric Mannich reaction was similarly diastereo- and enantioselective using cinchonine as the catalyst (entry 9), and quinine and quinidine were not as effective at promoting the reaction (entries 10 and 11). Last, the reaction of **3b** with allyl benzylidene carbamate **4d** was not diastereoselective, but afforded the product in 90% ee.

The reaction conditions that proved optimal for β -keto ester 3a, benzylidene carbamate 4c, and cinchonine as the catalyst (Table 1, entry 4) were found to be general for a variety of aryl methyl carbamate imines (Table 2).14 While most aryl imines readily formed the Mannich products with 3a in good isolated yields (81-99%) and good enantioselectivities (80-96% ee, Table 2), the products were isolated as a mixture of diastereomers. In contrast, the direct Mannich products from the reaction of methyl acetoacetate 3b with aryl imines were isolated in 10-20:1 diastereomeric ratios (entries 1-3 and 8, Table 3) and good enantioselectivities (81-94% ee). Neither the diastereomeric ratio nor the percent enantiomeric excess eroded when the isolated Mannich products were exposed to the reaction conditions, indicating that the observed results reflect the imine addition selectivity. However, not all electrophiles afforded the corresponding Mannich products with 3b in diastereomeric ratios greater than 1:1, but in these cases, the mixture of diastereomers was obtained in ≥90% ee (Table 2, entries 4-7).

The asymmetric Mannich reaction provided ready access to highly functionalized building blocks, the synthetic utility of which

Table 2. Asymmetric Mannich Reactions of β -Keto Ester **3a**^a

entry	Ar	% yield ^b	drc	yield (%) ^d	% ee ^e
1	Ph	99	3:1	7a (80)	92
2	$4-Cl-C_6H_4$	93	1:1	7b (80)	83
3	$4-F-C_6H_4$	98	1:1	7c (97)	93
4	$3-F-C_6H_4$	98	1:1	7d (84)	91
5	$3-CH_3-C_6H_4$	96	1:1	7e (81)	96
6	$3-CF_3-C_6H_4$	99	1:1	7f (83)	90
7	$3,4-(OCH_2O)C_6H_3$	95	1:1	7g (81)	80
8	$2-C_4H_3O$	81	1:1	7h (96)	93
9	$2-C_4H_3S$	84	1:1	7i (82)	92
10	2-naphthyl	96	5:1	7j (83)	95

^a Mannich reactions were carried out using 0.5 mmol ester 3, 0.5 mmol imine 6, and 0.05 mmol cinchonine 1 in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 M) at -35 °C for 16 h under Ar, followed by flash chromatography on silica gel. ^b Isolated yield of Mannich reaction product. ^c Determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^d Isolated yield of 7. ^e Enantiomeric excess of 7 determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

Table 3. Asymmetric Mannich Reactions of β -Keto Ester **3b**^a

entry	Ar	% yield ^b	drc	yield (%) ^d	% ee ^e
1	Ph	99	20:1 ^f	8a (95)	94
2	$4-Cl-C_6H_4$	81	10:1	8b (83)	81
3	$4-F-C_6H_4$	87	10:1	8c (82)	91
4	$3-F-C_6H_4$	99	1:1	8d (82)	92
5	$3-CH_3-C_6H_4$	88	1:1	8e (96)	90
6	$2-C_4H_3O$	83	1:1	8f (84)	90
7	$2-C_4H_3S$	86	1:1	8g (88)	93
8	2-naphthyl	95	20:1	8h (96)	94

^a Mannich reactions were carried out using 0.5 mmol ester 3, 0.5 mmol imine 6, and 0.05 mmol cinchonine 1 in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 M) at -35 °C for 16 h under Ar, followed by flash chromatography on silica gel. b Isolated yield of Mannich reaction product. ^c Determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^d Isolated yield of **8**. ^e Enantiomeric excess of **8** determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^f The major isomer is (1*R*,2*S*).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Enantioenriched Dihydropyrimidone

merited exploration. We first considered 5e as starting material for the asymmetric synthesis of dihydropyrimidones (Scheme 1).¹⁵ Although dihydropyrimidones are useful biological and pharmacological research tools, few procedures exist for their construction in enantioenriched form. 15b,16

Treatment of **5e** with catalytic Pd(PPh₃)₄ and dimethyl barbituric acid as the allyl scavenger in the presence of benzyl isocyanate afforded the corresponding unsymmetrical urea in 85% isolated yield. Ring closure to the pyrimidone was promoted by AcOH in EtOH under microwave conditions to afford the 5-benzyl pyrimidone 8 in 95% yield and 90% ee.

The diastereomerically enriched Mannich product 5d was easily converted to the corresponding β -amino alcohol using a reduction/ deprotection sequence (Scheme 2). Reduction of **5d** using Zn(BH₄)₂ at -78 °C afforded the amino alcohol in 95% yield and >20:1 dr. The methyl carbamate was deprotected via a two-step process using

Scheme 2. Synthesis of β -Amino Alcohols

TMSI in CH₃CN followed by treatment with MeOH to yield amino alcohol 10 (86% over three steps).

In summary, we have developed a diastereo- and enantioselective direct Mannich reaction of β -keto esters to acyl aryl imines catalyzed by cinchonine and cinchonidine. We have used the products from the reaction in the synthesis of enantioenriched dihydropyrimidones and β -amino alcohols. Ongoing investigations include the expansion of the current methodology and synthetic utility of the products.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank Mettler Toledo (Columbus, OH) for assistance with instrumentation, and Synthematix, Inc. (Durham, NC) for assistance with chemical reaction planning software. This research was supported by an award from Amgen, Inc., an NSF CAREER grant (CHE-0349206), and the NIGMS CMLD initiative (P50 GM067041).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, characterization data, and chiral chromatographic analysis. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Kleinmann, E. F. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Flemming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 2, Chapter 4.1. (b) Enantioselective Synthesis of β -Amino Acids; Juaristi, E., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1997. (c) Magriotis, P. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 113, 4507. (d) Liu, M.; Sibi, M. P. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 7991. (e) Sewald, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2003**, 42, 5794. (f) Ma, J.-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4290.
- (a) List, B.; Pojarliev, P.; Biller, W. T.; Martin, H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 827. (b) Córdova, A.; Notz, W.; Zhong, G.; Betancort, J. M.; Barbas, C. F., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1842. (c) Zhuang, W. Sabby, S.; Jørgensen, K. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 4476. (d) Marigo, M.; Kjaersgaard, A.; Juhl, K.; Gathergood, N.; Jørgensen, K. A. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 2359. (e) Trost, B. M.; Terrell, L. R. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 2003, 125, 338. (f) Bernardi, L.; Gothelf, A. S.; Hazell, R. G.; Jórgensen, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 2583. (g) Hayashi, Y.; Tsuboi, W.; Ashimine, I.; Urushima, T.; Shoji, M.; Sakai, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3677. (h) Uraguchi, D.; Terada, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5356. (i) Matsunaga, S.; Yoshida, T.; Morimoto, H.; Kumagai, N.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8777.
- Reviews: (a) Kobayashi, S.; Ishitani, H. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1069. (b) Denmark, S.; Nicaise, J.-C. In Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis; Jacobsen, E. N., Pfaltz, A., Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1999; Vol. 2, p 954. (c) Benaglia, M.; Cinquini, M.; Cozzi, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 4, 563. (d) Córdova, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 102.
 (4) Hamashima, Y.; Sasamoto, N.; Hotta, D.; Somei, H.; Umebayashi, N.;
- Sodeoka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1525
- Poulsen, T. B.; Alemparte, C.; Saaby, S.; Bella, M.; Jørgensen, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2896.
- Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 44, 2890.

 (6) Reviews: (a) Chen, Y.; McDaid, P.; Deng, L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2965. (b) France, S.; Guerin, D. J.; Miller, S. J.; Lectka, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2985. (c) Tian, S.-K.; Chen, Y. G.; Hang, J. F.; Tang, L.; McDaid, P.; Deng, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 621.

 (7) (a) Hang, J.; Tian, S.-K.; Tang, L.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12696. (b) Hang, J.; Li, H.; Deng, L. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3321. (c) Tang, L.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2870.
- (a) Tian, S.-K.; Hong, R.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9900.
 (b) Tian, S.-K.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6195.
 (9) Knudsen, K. R.; Jørgensen, K. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 1362.
 (10) Bella, M.; Jørgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5672.
 (11) Vakulya, B.; Varga, S.; Csámpai, A.; Soós, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1967.
- (12) Li, H.; Wang, Y.; Tang, L.; Deng, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 9906. (13) Li, H.; Song, J.; Liu, M.; Deng, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 8948.
- (14) Aliphatic acyl imines of this type are not readily afforded according to the literature procedure: Vidal, J.; Damestoy, S.; Guy, L.; Hannachi, J.-C.; Aubry, A.; Collet, A. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **1997**, *3*, 1691.
- (15) Prepared from the Biginelli reaction: (a) Biginelli, P. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1893**, 23, 360. Reviews: (b) Kappe, C. O.; Skadler, A. *Org. React.* **2004**, (c) V. (c) C. (c) T. (c) 63, 1. (c) Kappe, C. O. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 6937
- (16) Reviews: (a) Kappe, C. O. QSAR Comb. Sci. 2003, 22, 630. (b) Kappe, C. O. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 35, 1043.

JA0537373