

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/244438414>

Generation of Oxo–Carbide Clusters from Direct Scission of a Coordinated Carbonyl Ligand: Molecular Structures of $\text{Cp}_2\text{W}_2\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{13}$ and $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})\text{Cp}^*\text{WRu}_3(\mu_5\text{-C})(\text{CO})_{11}$

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · APRIL 1996

Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/ja9536476

CITATIONS

26

READS

26

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Chi-Jung Su

Chung Shan Medical University

27 PUBLICATIONS 246 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Yun Chi

National Tsing Hua University

340 PUBLICATIONS 10,579 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Generation of Oxo–Carbido Clusters from Direct Scission of a Coordinated Carbonyl Ligand: Molecular Structures of $\text{Cp}_2\text{W}_2\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{13}$ and $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})\text{Cp}^*\text{WRu}_3(\mu_5\text{-C})(\text{CO})_{11}$

Chi-Jung Su,[†] Pei-Chiun Su,[†] Yun Chi,^{*,†} Shie-Ming Peng,^{*,‡} and Gene-Hsiang Lee[‡]

Department of Chemistry, National Tsing Hua University
Hsinchu 30043, Taiwan, Republic of China

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University
Taipei 10764, Taiwan, Republic of China

Received October 31, 1995

The chemistry of carbido cluster compounds has developed in recent years to constitute a distinct research domain.¹ For the carbido clusters comprised of metals in low oxidation states, it has been established that the interstitial carbide atoms can be derived from the scission of the coordinated isonitrile² and acetylide ligands,³ and the proton-induced reduction of CO,⁴ or from the disproportionation of CO ligands.⁵ The last studies have provided valuable insight into the activation of CO on metal surfaces.⁶ However, there are only a few examples of generating carbido or related complexes in which the carbon and oxygen originating from CO are distinctly separated and remained in the coordination sphere. These examples include the nice work of Wolczanski on CO deoxygenation by tantalum siloxide complexes,⁷ Chisholm on the reductive cleavage of CO using tungsten alkoxide clusters,⁸ and the synthesis of carbido cluster $\text{Ru}_6\text{C}(\text{CO})_{15}(\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{16}\text{-}\mu_2\text{-O})$ by Johnson and Braga⁹ in which the departing oxo ligand is trapped by the [2,2]-paracyclophane ligand. In this paper, we report the synthesis and characterization of heterometallic carbonyl clusters $\text{Cp}_2\text{W}_2\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{13}$ and $\text{LW}(\text{O})\text{L}'\text{WRu}_3(\mu_5\text{-C})(\text{CO})_{11}$, L, L' = Cp, Cp*. Formation of the latter oxo–carbido clusters represents a unique example of direct scission of the C–O bond.

Treatment of the heterometallic cluster $\text{CpWRu}_3(\text{CO})_{12}\text{H}$ with an excess of $\text{CpW}(\text{CO})_3\text{H}$ in refluxing heptane followed by chromatography and recrystallization from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$




Figure 1. Molecular structure of **1** and the atomic numbering scheme. Selected bond lengths (Å): W(1)–W(2) = 2.941(1), W(1)–Ru(1) = 2.885(1), W(1)–Ru(2) = 2.880(1), W(1)–Ru(3) = 2.875(1), W(2)–Ru(1) = 2.913(1), W(2)–Ru(2) = 2.843(1), W(2)–Ru(3) = 2.905(1), Ru(1)–Ru(2) = 2.765(2), and Ru(2)–Ru(3) = 2.785(2).

afforded the dark brown pentanuclear cluster $\text{Cp}_2\text{W}_2\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{13}$ (**1**) in 29% yield.¹⁰ The initial spectroscopic analysis confirmed that complex **1** is identical to the partially characterized cluster complex synthesized from the photochemical reaction of $[\text{CpW}(\text{CO})_3]_2$ with $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_9\text{BH}_5$.¹¹

Single crystal X-ray diffraction study of **1** revealed that the asymmetric unit contains two crystallographically distinct, but structurally similar molecules. A perspective view of one such molecule is indicated in Figure 1, which exhibits a distorted trigonal bipyramidal metal framework with both $\text{CpW}(\text{CO})_2$ fragments occupying the equatorial sites. The unique W(1)–W(2) bond (2.941(1) Å) is considerably longer than the W–Ru distances (2.843(1)–2.913(1) Å) and the Ru–Ru distances (2.765(2) and 2.785(2) Å); the latter are the two shortest metal–metal bonds of the whole molecule. Similar variations of bond distances are observed in the analogous cluster $\text{Cp}_2\text{Mo}_2\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{12}(\mu\text{-H})_2$,¹² implying that the interligand repulsions around the W centers are much greater than those of the Ru atoms, which lead to the uneven expansion of the framework in the close proximity of W atoms.

In a remarkable further development we discovered that complex **1** slowly eliminated one CO in refluxing toluene solution (30 min) to afford an oxo–carbido complex $\text{CpW}(\text{O})\text{CpWRu}_3(\mu_5\text{-C})(\text{CO})_{11}$ (**2**) in 22% yield, based on the consumption of **1**. Two additional derivatives $\text{LW}(\text{O})\text{L}'\text{WRu}_3(\mu_5\text{-C})(\text{CO})_{11}$ (**3**, L = L' = Cp*, 26%; **4**, L = Cp and L' = Cp*, 37%) except for **5** (L = Cp* and L' = Cp) were obtained from the condensation of $\text{LWRu}_3(\text{CO})_{12}\text{H}$ and $\text{L}'\text{W}(\text{CO})_3\text{H}$ (L = L' = Cp*; L ≠ L' = Cp, Cp*) through the consecutive elimination of one H₂ and three CO ligands.⁹ The X-ray diffraction study on **3** disclosed that it adopts a wingtip-bridged butterfly arrangement, which is formally derived from the trigonal bipyramid in **1** by scission of two equatorial metal–metal bonds. Now, the carbido atom is linked to all transition metal atoms, and the oxo ligand is coordinated to the Cp*W bridge and pointing toward the Cp*W(CO)₂ fragment. The count of 76 valence electrons, consistent with that of the carbido clusters adopting the similar geometry,¹³ is achieved by considering the oxo ligand as a four-electron donor. Since this donor ability

[†] National Tsing Hua University.

[‡] National Taiwan University.

(1) (a) Bradley, J. S. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1982**, 22, 1. (b) Shriver, D. F.; Kaesz, H. D.; Adams, R. D., Eds. *The Chemistry of Metal Cluster Complexes*; VCH: New York, 1990. (c) Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Nelson, W. J. H.; Nicholls, J. N.; Vargas, M. D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, 249, 255. (d) Jensen, M. P.; Henderson, W.; Johnston, D. H.; Sabat, M.; Shriver, D. F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, 394, 121. (e) Karet, G. B.; Espe, R. L.; Stern, C. L.; Shriver, D. F. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, 31, 2658. (f) Bailey, P. J.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J. *Inorg. Chem. Acta* **1994**, 227, 197.

(2) Adams, R. D.; Mathur, P.; Segmüller, B. E. *Organometallics* **1983**, 2, 1258.

(3) (a) Chiang, S.-J.; Chi, Y.; Su, P.-C.; Peng, S.-M.; Lee, G.-H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, 116, 11181. (b) Chi, Y.; Su, P.-C.; Peng, S.-M.; Lee, G.-H. *Organometallics* **1995**, 14, 5483.

(4) (a) Whitmire, K. H.; Shriver, D. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, 103, 6754. (b) Kolis, J. W.; Holt, E. M.; Drezdson, M.; Whitmire, K. H.; Shriver, D. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, 104, 6134. (c) Horwitz, C. P.; Shriver, D. F. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1984**, 23, 219.

(5) (a) Anson, C. E.; Bailey, P. J.; Conole, G.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; McPartlin, M.; Powell, H. R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 442. (b) Bailey, P. J.; Duer, M. J.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Conole, C.; McPartlin, M.; Powell, H. R.; Anson, C. E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, 383, 441.

(6) (a) Herrmann, W. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, 21, 117. (b) Colaianni, M. L.; Chen, J. G.; Weinberg, W. H.; Yates, J. T., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114, 3735. (c) Gates, B. C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, 32, 228.

(7) (a) Neithamer, D. R.; LaPointe, R. E.; Wheeler, R. A.; Richeson, D. S.; Van Duyne, G. D.; Wolczanski, P. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, 111, 9056. (b) Miller, R. L.; Wolczanski, P. T.; Rheingold, A. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, 115, 10422.

(8) Chisholm, M. H.; Hammond, C. E.; Johnston, V. J.; Streib, W. E.; Huffman, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114, 7056.

(9) Dyson, P. J.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Martin, C. M.; Braga, D.; Grepioni, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, 771.

(10) Complete experimental details and characterization data for all new complexes isolated during this work are provided as supporting information.

(11) Housecroft, C. E.; Matthews, D. M.; Rheingold, A. L.; Song, X. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1992**, 2855.

(12) Hsu, L.-Y.; Hsu, W.-L.; Jan, D.-Y.; Shore, S. G. *Organometallics* **1986**, 5, 1041.





Figure 2. Molecular structure of **3** and the atomic numbering scheme. Selected bond lengths (Å): W(1)–Ru(1) = 2.846(1), W(1)–Ru(2) = 3.018(1), W(1)–Ru(3) = 3.031(1), Ru(1)–Ru(2) = 2.849(1), Ru(1)–Ru(3) = 2.810(1), W(2)–Ru(2) = 2.863(1), W(2)–Ru(3) = 2.942(1), W(1)–C(1) = 2.135(7), Ru(1)–C(1) = 2.200(7), Ru(2)–C(1) = 1.988(7), Ru(3)–C(1) = 2.016(7), W(2)–C(1) = 2.062(7), W(2)–O(1) = 1.697(5), and O(1)···C(1) = 2.960(8).

invokes W=O multiple bonding interaction, a very short distance is observed, W(2)–O(1) = 1.697(5) Å.

The origin of the oxo and carbido ligands in **2–4** was explored in attempts to clarify the mechanism. First, we observed no formation of CO₂ from all reactions between LWRu₃(CO)₁₂H and L'W(CO)₃H by passing the exhaust into a saturated Ca(OH)₂ solution. This negative result suggests that the carbide is not produced from the CO disproportionation.¹⁴ Secondly, the oxo ligand is produced from the direct scission of CO ligand, but not from the oxygen-containing impurities in the system.¹⁵ In the latter experiment, the oxo–carbido clusters were examined by ¹⁷O NMR spectroscopy on samples prepared from the ¹⁷O enriched WRu₃ clusters and the normal tungsten hydride complexes. For **3**, the ¹⁷O NMR spectrum consists of a broad W=O signal at δ 832.9 and three CO signals at δ 388.1, 377.3, and 355.7 in a 1:6:2:3 ratio. These ¹⁷O NMR chemical shifts are in good agreement with those of the W-bound oxo ligands¹⁶ and the terminal CO ligands¹⁷ reported in the literature.

Based on the above experimental evidence, one possible

Scheme 1



reaction path is proposed to account for the formation of the oxo–carbido clusters (Scheme 1). The facile conversion from **1** to **2** and the failure to obtain the mixed derivative **5** from the reactions between LWRu₃(CO)₁₂H and L'W(CO)₃H (L ≠ L' = Cp, Cp*) indicate that the trigonal bipyramidal clusters similar to that of **1** are the primary product, as the W atoms are located at the indistinguishable equatorial sites. After the generation of the trigonal bipyramidal cluster, it would further transfer to an intermediate (A) with a μ_4 - η^2 -CO or a μ_5 - η^2 -CO ligand through scission of the longest W–W bond to provide the unsaturation and to relieve the interligand repulsion. The detection of μ_4 - η^2 -CO in the closely related WRu₃, WRu₄, and W₂Ru₄ systems¹⁸ and the isolation of ruthenium cluster compounds with the μ_6 - η^2 -CO ligand¹⁹ provide the precedents for such postulation. The final conversion to the oxo–carbido clusters is accomplished by the cleavage of the η^2 -CO ligand and the reorganization of cluster framework. The formation of the W=O multiple bonding is undoubtedly crucial, providing an additional driving force for the C–O cleavage process described.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Science Council of the Republic of China for financial support (Grant No. NSC 85-2113-M007-008).

Supporting Information Available: Text describing the experimental details and the spectroscopic data for **1–4**; full details of crystal structure analyses including tables of bond distances, atomic coordinates, and anisotropic thermal parameters for **1** and **3** (16 pages). This material is contained in many libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, can be ordered from the ACS, and can be downloaded from the Internet; see any current masthead page for ordering information and Internet access instructions.

JA9536476

(13) (a) Bradley, J. S.; Hill, E. W.; Ansell, G. B.; Madrick, M. A. *Organometallics*, **1982**, *1*, 1634. (b) Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Raithby, P. R.; Rosales, M. J.; Welch, D. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1986**, 453. (c) Whitmire, K. H. *J. Coord. Chem.* **1988**, *17*, 95. (d) Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Raithby, P. R.; Saharan, V. P.; Wong, W. T. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1991**, 365. (e) Adatia, T.; Curtis, H.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; McPartlin, M.; Morris, J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1994**, 1109.

(14) (a) Hayward, C.-M. T.; Shapley, J. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, *21*, 3816. (b) Roth, S. A.; Shapley, J. R. *J. Coord. Chem.* **1994**, *32*, 163.

(15) (a) Chi, Y.; Hwang, L.-S.; Lee, G.-H.; Peng, S.-M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 1456. (b) Churchill, M. R.; Bueno, C.; Park, J. T.; Shapley, J. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 1017. (c) Chi, Y.; Cheng, P.-S.; Wu, H.-L.; Hwang, D.-K.; Su, P.-C.; Peng, S.-M.; Lee, G.-H. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 1839.

(16) (a) Rau, M. S.; Kretz, C. M.; Geoffroy, G. L.; Rheingold, A. L.; Haggerty, B. S. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 1624. (b) Lai, N.-S.; Tu, W.-C.; Chi, Y.; Peng, S.-M.; Lee, G.-H. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 4652.

(17) (a) Schauer, C. K.; Voss, E. J.; Sabat, M.; Shriver, D. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 7662. (b) Schauer, C. K.; Shriver, D. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 255.

(18) (a) Chi, Y.; Wu, F.-J.; Liu, B.-J.; Wang, C.-C.; Wang, S.-L. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 873. (b) Chi, Y.; Su, C.-J.; Farrugia, L. J.; Peng, S.-H.; Lee, G.-H. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 4167. (c) Wang, J.-C.; Lin, R.-C.; Chi, Y.; Peng, S.-H.; Lee, G.-H. *Organometallics* **1993**, *12*, 4061. (d) Su, C.-J.; Chi, Y.; Peng, S.-M.; Lee, G.-H. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 4286.

(19) (a) Martin, C. M.; Blake, A. J.; Dyson, P. J.; Ingham, S. L.; Johnson, B. F. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, 555. (b) Martin, C. M.; Dyson, P. J.; Ingham, S. L.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Blake, A. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1995**, 2741.