

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/239222680>

# Unexpected Formation of the Isopropylamine Complex $[2,3,4,5\text{-Ph}_4(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{CO})](\text{CO})_2\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{NCHMe}_2)$ in the Attempted Synthesis of an Isopropyl Alcohol Complex

ARTICLE in ORGANOMETALLICS · APRIL 2002

Impact Factor: 4.13 · DOI: 10.1021/om020097t

---

CITATIONS

20

---

READS

13

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Charles P Casey

University of Wisconsin–Madison

348 PUBLICATIONS 11,000 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Jan-E Bäckvall

Stockholm University

485 PUBLICATIONS 13,573 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

# Unexpected Formation of the Isopropylamine Complex $[2,3,4,5\text{-Ph}_4(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{CO})](\text{CO})_2\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{NCHMe}_2)$ in the Attempted Synthesis of an Isopropyl Alcohol Complex

Charles P. Casey\* and Galina A. Bikzhanova

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Jan-E. Bäckvall\* and Lars Johansson

Department of Organic Chemistry, Arrhenius Laboratory, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

Jaiwook Park\* and Yu Hwan Kim

Department of Chemistry, Center for Integrated Molecular System, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), San 31 Hyoja Dong, Pohang 790-784, Republic of Korea


Received February 8, 2002

The reaction of  $[2,3,4,5\text{-Ph}_4(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{CO})]\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_3$  (**5a**) with  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  in aqueous acetone followed by low-temperature treatment with  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  was reported to give the material **A**, which was formulated as the isopropyl alcohol complex  $[2,3,4,5\text{-Ph}_4(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{CO})](\text{CO})_2\text{Ru}(\text{HOCHMe}_2)$  (**3a**). Reinvestigation of this reaction indicates that **A** is instead the isopropylamine complex  $[2,3,4,5\text{-Ph}_4(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{CO})](\text{CO})_2\text{Ru}(\text{H}_2\text{NCHMe}_2)$  (**4a**). The formation of **4a** is proposed to occur by formation of the imine of acetone followed by reduction of the imine by the (hydroxycyclopentadienyl)ruthenium hydride  $[2,3,4,5\text{-Ph}_4(\eta^5\text{-C}_4\text{COH})]\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2\text{H}$  (**2a**) formed in the reaction of **5a** with  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  in aqueous acetone and subsequent acidification with aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ .

## Introduction

Shvo's diruthenium hydride complex  $\{[2,3,4,5\text{-Ph}_4(\eta^5\text{-C}_4\text{CO})]_2\text{H}\}\text{Ru}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-H})$  (**1a**) is an efficient catalyst for the hydrogenation of aldehydes and ketones<sup>1</sup> and is also very useful in the transfer hydrogenation of ketones using alcohols as the reducing agent.<sup>2</sup> In the reduction of ketones to alcohols, the mononuclear  $[2,3,4,5\text{-Ph}_4(\eta^5\text{-C}_4\text{COH})]\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2\text{H}$  (**2a**) has been proposed to be the active reducing agent and has been shown to reduce aldehydes and ketones (Scheme 1).<sup>1b,c</sup>

Since the reduction of ketones could initially produce an alcohol complex, the role of alcohol complexes in these reduction systems needs to be considered, even though they have never been directly observed in the reaction mixtures. On the basis, in part, of the observation of related amine complexes, Shvo proposed that reduction of ketones by **2a** initially produced alcohol complexes (Scheme 2).<sup>3</sup> Bäckvall<sup>2</sup> and Shvo<sup>1</sup> have both proposed mechanisms for ketone reduction by **2a** that



(1) (a) Shvo, Y.; Czarkie, D.; Rahamim, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 7400. (b) Menashe, N.; Shvo, Y. *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 3885. (c) Menashe, N.; Salant, E.; Shvo, Y. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1996**, *514*, 97.

(2) (a) Almeida, M. L. S.; Beller, M.; Wang, G.-Z.; Bäckvall, J. E. *Chem. Eur. J.* **1996**, *2*, 1533. (b) Larsson, A. L. E.; Persson, B. A.; Bäckvall, J. E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 1211. (c) Persson, B. A.; Larsson, A. L. E.; Le Ray, M.; Bäckvall, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 1645. (d) Persson, B. A.; Huerta, F. F.; Bäckvall, J. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 5237. (e) Huerta, F. F.; Laxmi, Y. R. S.; Bäckvall, J. E. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 1037. (f) Laxmi, Y. R. S.; Bäckvall, J. E. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 611.


(3) Abed, M.; Goldberg, I.; Stein, Z.; Shvo, Y. *Organometallics* **1988**, *7*, 2054.

involve oxygen coordination to Ru followed by hydride migration to the ketone carbonyl carbon that results in the direct formation of an alcohol complex. For the microscopic reverse, Bäckvall proposes  $\beta$ -hydride elimination from the alcohol complex.

Since  $\beta$ -hydride elimination normally requires a vacant coordination site at the metal and since the resulting ketone complex is formally a 20e system,



Scheme 6



separation of about 5 Hz. In an attempt to remove water that might have been responsible for the high integration and the observation of two peaks, the NMR solvents and ruthenium complexes were rigorously dried; however, the spectra were unchanged.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra were taken at both 300 and 500 MHz to determine whether the two peaks at  $\delta$  2.44 were due to two chemical shifts or to coupling. The peak separation remained at about 5 Hz, indicative of coupling, not a chemical shift difference. 2D COSY  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of **A** demonstrated that the 2H resonance at  $\delta$  2.44 was coupled to the 1H "septet" at  $\delta$  2.87. When the "septet" resonance for the  $\text{CHMe}_2$  group at  $\delta$  2.87 was blown up, the resonance was found to be a nonet (nine lines). The intensities of the seven inner lines of the  $\delta$  2.87 resonance were much closer to those expected for a nonet (inner seven lines 1:3.5:7:8.75:7:3.5:1) than for a true septet (1:6:15:20:15:6:1).<sup>8</sup> Moreover, when the  $\delta$  2.44 signal in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum was selectively irradiated, the coupling pattern and peak intensities of the signal at  $\delta$  2.87 were indeed changed to the feature expected for a true septet.

**Problems with Exchange Reactions of A.** Before the additional NMR spectroscopy data detailed above were obtained and on operating with the presumption (in scientific method terminology, "working hypothesis") that **A** and **A\*** were alcohol complexes, both the Wisconsin and Stockholm groups began studies of the reactivity of **A** and **A\***. These studies were at variance with the expected properties of alcohol complexes and led to questioning of the structures of **A** and **A\*** and to a more careful examination of  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy data as described above.

Alcohol complexes would be expected to rapidly exchange their OH proton with  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ . However, when  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  was added to a solution of either **A** or the tolyl analogue **A\***, the 2H resonance at  $\delta$  2.44 assigned to an "OH" group was not washed out until the solutions were heated at 80 °C (Scheme 6). This slow exchange is unexpected for an OH group.

Alcohol complexes would be expected to undergo exchange of the alcohol ligand. (Park mentioned the "Unexpected Stability of the Alcohol Complex" in the title of his paper.) The Wisconsin group also found **A\*** to be very unreactive toward substitution. In an attempt

to observe "exchange" with isopropyl alcohol, **A\*** was heated at 80 °C in the presence of  $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CDOD}$ , but no changes in spectra were observed. Similarly, no reaction of **A\*** with benzyl alcohol was seen up to 80 °C. In the mechanism for ketone reduction proposed by Casey (Scheme 4), the formation of an alcohol complex after the rate-determining step was considered as a possibility only if it reacted rapidly with excess ruthenium hydride **2b** to give the diruthenium hydride **1b**. However, no reaction of **A\*** with hydride complex **2b** was seen below 80 °C, and no formation of diruthenium bridging hydride **1b** was observed.  $\text{PPh}_3$  reacted with **A\*** slowly at 80 °C to form the known phosphine complex  $[\text{2,5-Ph}_2\text{-3,4-Tol}_2(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{CO})](\text{CO})_2\text{RuPPh}_3$  (**7b**) (Scheme 6).

In Bäckvall's mechanism for alcohol oxidation (Scheme 3), an isopropyl alcohol complex would be expected to undergo rapid and reversible conversion to acetone and a (dienone) $\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2$  intermediate. However, when the Park material **A** was heated in acetone- $d_6$  at 70 °C in a sealed NMR tube, no incorporation of coordinated deuterated isopropyl alcohol or evolution of nondeuterated acetone was observed.

**Reinterpretation of A and A\* as Isopropylamine Complexes.** The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra and the much lower than expected reactivity of **A** and **A\*** are inconsistent with the formulation of **A** as the isopropyl alcohol complex **3a**. We are now convinced that the compounds are in fact isopropylamine complexes **4a,b**. The nonet at  $\delta$  2.87 for the  $\text{CHMe}_2$  resonance of **A** is now explained by equal coupling to two  $\text{NH}_2$  hydrogens and six methyl hydrogens; the chemical shift is in better agreement with a hydrogen  $\alpha$  to nitrogen rather than to oxygen. The 2H integration of the  $\delta$  2.44 resonance is now attributed to the  $\text{NH}_2$  group. The spectral properties of **A** and **A\*** are similar to those of Shvo's diethylamine complex  $[\text{2,3,4,5-Ph}_4(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{CO})]\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2(\text{NH}_2\text{Et}_2)$  (**8**)<sup>3,9</sup> and Casey's benzyl(methyl)amine complex  $[\text{2,5-Ph}_2\text{-3,4-Tol}_2(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{CO})]\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2\text{NH}(\text{CH}_3)(\text{CH}_2\text{Ph})$  (**9**).<sup>10</sup> In the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of **8** in  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ , the chemical shift of the  $\text{CH}_2\text{N}$  resonance is  $\delta$  2.35 and the couplings of the NH hydrogen to the diastereotopic  $\text{CH}_2$  hydrogens are 5.0 and 5.8 Hz. In the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of benzyl(methyl)amine complex **9** in  $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , the chemical shift of the NH resonance is  $\delta$  1.66, the couplings of the NH hydrogen to the diastereotopic benzyl  $\text{CH}_2$  hydrogens are 11.3 and 2.4 Hz, and that to the methyl hydrogens is 5.8 Hz. Shvo reported that the NH resonance of **8** persisted in  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  but was washed out upon addition of DCl.

**Independent Synthesis of Isopropylamine Complexes.** The structural assignment of **A** and **A\*** as isopropylamine complexes **4a** and **4b** was confirmed by independent synthesis. Compound **4a** was prepared in 73% yield from **5a** and isopropylamine analogously to Shvo's synthesis of the diethylamine complex  $[\text{2,3,4,5-Ph}_4(\eta^4\text{-C}_4\text{CO})]\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2(\text{NH}_2\text{Et}_2)$  (**8**)<sup>3</sup> (Scheme 7). Reaction of isopropylamine with the dienone diruthenium com-


(9) Shvo, Y.; Abed, M.; Blum, Y.; Laine, R. M. *Isr. J. Chem.* **1986**, *27*, 267.

(8) Park's  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of **A** also showed integration for 2H for the two peaks at  $\delta$  2.44 and approximately 1:3.5:7:8.75:7:3.5:1 intensity for the seven inner lines of the  $\delta$  2.87 resonance. These discrepancies were initially attributed to the presence of water as an impurity.

(10) Selected resonances from  $^1\text{H}$  NMR of **9** ( $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 250 MHz):  $\delta$  1.66 (br m, NH), 2.40 (d,  $^3J = 5.8$  Hz,  $\text{NCH}_3$ ), 3.58 (ABX,  $^3J_{\text{AB}} = 13.1$  Hz,  $^3J_{\text{AX}} = 11.3$  Hz,  $\text{NCH}_2$ ), 3.77 (ABX,  $^3J_{\text{AB}} = 13.1$  Hz,  $^3J_{\text{BX}} = 2.4$  Hz,  $\text{NCH}_2$ ).<sup>6</sup>



Scheme 7



plex **6b** gave a high yield of the isopropylamine complex **4b**. The properties of **4a,b** were identical with those of **A** and **A\***.

**Reinterpretation of X-ray, Mass Spectrometry, and Elemental Analysis Data of A.** The X-ray structure data for **A** reported by Park included a 50:50 disorder for the OH hydrogen, with half-occupancy of a site intramolecularly hydrogen bonded to the dienone oxygen and half-occupancy of a site intermolecularly hydrogen bonded. The alcohol formulation **3a** and amine complex **4a** have the same total number of electrons. When the structure of **A** was modeled with the two hydrogen-bonded sites fully occupied (an additional half-electron each) and nitrogen in place of oxygen (one electron less), the values of  $R1 = 0.0298$ ,  $wR2 = 0.0837$ , and goodness of fit on  $F^2$  of 1.123 improved slightly to  $R1 = 0.0286$ ,  $wR2 = 0.0813$ , and goodness of fit on  $F^2$  of 1.054.<sup>11</sup> The bond distances to the heteroatom did not change significantly:  $\text{Ru}-\text{O} = 2.189(2) \text{ \AA}$  changed to  $\text{Ru}-\text{N} = 2.1882(18) \text{ \AA}$ , and  $\text{O}-\text{C} = 1.497(4) \text{ \AA}$  changed to  $\text{N}-\text{C} = 1.497(3) \text{ \AA}$  (Figure 1).

The molecular weight of alcohol formulation **3a** is 1 greater than that of the amine complex **4a**. Park's low-resolution mass spectrum of **A** was obtained using the FAB method with 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol as the matrix material. The most intense peak in the isotopic cluster in the molecular ion region was found at  $m/z$  602.16 and was assigned to the  $\text{M}^+$  ion of **3a**. The  $m/z$  602 peak is now assigned to the  $(\text{M} + \text{H})^+$  peak for the protonated amine complex;<sup>12</sup> FAB spectra typically give  $(\text{M} + \text{H})^+$  peaks when basic sites are available. The Stockholm group performed a MALDI-TOF MS on **A** with 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid as matrix, which gave the  $(\text{M} + \text{H})^+$  peak at  $m/z$  602.1 (calcd for  $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{30}\text{NO}_3^{102}\text{Ru}$ ,  $m/z$  602.13) accompanied by an isotope pattern in good agreement with a simulated spectrum based on isotope distribution. For the tolyl derivative **4b**, the high-resolution electrospray mass spectrum obtained using a  $\text{CHCl}_3/\text{MeOH}$  solution (measured  $m/z$  630.1568) allowed a clear distinction between  $(\text{M} + \text{H})^+$  of the amine complex **4b** (calcd for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{34}\text{NO}_3^{102}\text{Ru}$ ,  $m/z$  630.1592) and  $\text{M}^+$  of alcohol complex **3b** (calcd for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_4^{102}\text{Ru}$ ,  $m/z$  630.1354).

While the ritual burning of compounds to obtain elemental analyses is often cited as a method for





Figure 1. X-ray structure of isopropylamine complex **4a**.

Scheme 8



establishing composition and purity, the C,H analyses expected for alcohol complex **3a** (C, 67.87; H, 4.69) and for amine complex **4a** (C, 67.98; H, 4.87) are not experimentally distinguishable. Only O or N analysis would have distinguished **3a** from **4a**, and O analyses are rarely performed and N analysis is normally done only for compounds thought to contain nitrogen. Nitrogen analyses of **A**<sup>13</sup> and **A\*** have now been performed and are consistent with their formulation as isopropylamine complexes **4a** and **4b**.

**Probable Mode of Formation of Isopropylamine Complex.** It is proposed that amine complexes **4a** and **4b** are formed by reaction of the imine of acetone with the (hydroxycyclopentadienyl)ruthenium hydrides **2a,b** (Scheme 8). In all of the syntheses, the reaction mixtures contained acetone and  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ , which in the presence of  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  would be in equilibrium with the corresponding imine or iminium compound. Earlier, Casey reported that imines are exceedingly reactive

(11) The revised crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC 176947).

(12) Isotope pattern for  $(\text{M} + \text{H})^+$  of **4a**:  $m/z$  (calcd, obsd) 605 (19, 22), 604 (54, 59), 603 (34, 47), 602 (100, 100), 601 (58, 62), 600 (45, 68).

(13) The Park group has obtained the following C,H,N analysis for **A** that is consistent with its formulation as the amine complex **4a**. Anal. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{29}\text{NO}_3\text{Ru}$ : C, 67.99; H, 4.87; N, 2.33. Found: C, 67.97; H, 4.74; N, 2.40.

toward (hydroxycyclopentadienyl)ruthenium hydride **2b** and produce amine complexes.<sup>6</sup> We suggest that the (hydroxycyclopentadienyl)ruthenium hydrides **2a,b** are formed by protonation of an anionic hydride intermediate formed in reactions of **2** with base, of **5** with base, and of **6** with base followed by isopropyl alcohol. Previously, Shvo reported that protonation of similar reaction mixtures at room temperature leads to the formation of the diruthenium hydride complex **1a** as the major product.<sup>1</sup> In separate experiments that involved the concentration of the reaction mixture before acidification or the extension of reaction time, Park showed that the anionic diruthenium hydride complex  $[2,3,4,5\text{-Ph}_4(\eta^5\text{-C}_4\text{CO})]_2\text{Ru}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-H})^-$  was formed quantitatively and that the acidification of the acetone solution of the anionic diruthenium hydride complex with aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  led to the formation of diruthenium hydride complex **1a** exclusively.

**Conclusion.** Be wary when all data does not fit a preconceived formulation! The importance of alcohol complexes remains, and all three groups will continue to search for routes to such intermediates to test their role in the mechanism of reduction.

### Experimental Section

**[2,3,4,5-Ph<sub>4</sub>( $\eta^4$ -C<sub>4</sub>CO)](CO)<sub>2</sub>Ru(H<sub>2</sub>NCHMe<sub>2</sub>) (**4a**). Procedure A.** A saturated aqueous solution of  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  (15 mL) was added to a solution of **5a** (205 mg, 0.36 mmol) in acetone (15 mL) at ambient temperature. After it was stirred for 1 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and acidified by addition of a saturated aqueous solution of  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  (25 mL). After concentration under vacuum, the residue was extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  and chromatographed on silica gel (6:1  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ /ethyl acetate) to give **4a** as a pale yellow powder (95 mg, 44%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 300 MHz):  $\delta$  0.98 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 6.3 Hz, 6H, isopropyl CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.44 (br d, <sup>3</sup>J ≈ 5 Hz, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 2.87 (nonet, <sup>3</sup>J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 7.04–7.20 (m, 16H, phenyl), 7.56 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.2 Hz, 4H, phenyl). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz):  $\delta$  24.7 (isopropyl CH<sub>3</sub>), 51.6 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 83.4 (2C of Cp), 103.7 (2C of Cp), 126.5 (2C, aromatic), 127.8 (4C, aromatic), 127.8 (2C, aromatic), 127.9 (4C, aromatic), 130.2 (4C, aromatic), 131.8 (2C, aromatic), 132.3 (4C, aromatic), 132.8 (2C, aromatic), 163.0 (1C of Cp), 201.1 (CO). IR (KBr): 2006 (s), 1949 (s)  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . MS (MALDI-TOF, matrix: 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid):  $m/z$  (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{30}\text{NO}_3^{102}\text{Ru}$  602.13, found 602.1. Isotope pattern for (M + H)<sup>+</sup> of **4a**:  $m/z$  (calcd, obsd) 605 (19, 13), 604 (54, 58), 603 (35, 48), 602 (100, 100), 601 (59, 86), 600 (45, 65), 599 (34, 62). Anal. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{29}\text{NO}_3\text{Ru}$ : C, 67.99; H, 4.87; N, 2.33. Found: C, 68.17; H, 4.87; N, 2.18.

**Procedure B.** Isopropylamine (63  $\mu\text{L}$ , 0.73 mmol) was added to a solution of **5a** (209 mg, 0.37 mmol) in toluene (15

mL) and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, after which a workup procedure similar to that described in procedure A gave **4a** in 73% yield.

**[2,5-Ph<sub>2</sub>-3,4-Tol<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^4$ -C<sub>4</sub>CO)](CO)<sub>2</sub>Ru(H<sub>2</sub>NCHMe<sub>2</sub>) (**4b**). Procedure A.** A saturated aqueous solution of  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  (25 mL) was added to a solution of **6** (630 mg, 0.55 mmol) in acetone (25 mL) at room temperature. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min, isopropyl alcohol (25 mL) was added and stirring was continued for another 30 min. The reaction mixture was acidified by addition of a saturated aqueous  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  solution (50 mL) at room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  and chromatographed on silica gel with 6:1  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ /ethyl acetate to give **4b**. Recrystallization of **4b** from 3:1 hexane/ $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  at –10 °C gave air-stable pale yellow crystals of **4b** (220 mg, 32%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz):  $\delta$  0.97 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 6.5 Hz, 6H, isopropyl CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.22 (s, 6H, tolyl CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.41 (br d, <sup>3</sup>J ≈ 5 Hz, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 2.86 (nonet, <sup>3</sup>J = 6.5 Hz, 1H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 6.89 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.5 Hz, 4H, tolyl), 7.04 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, tolyl), 7.09–7.17 (m, 6H, phenyl), 7.56 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 7.5 Hz, 4H, phenyl). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz):  $\delta$  21.1 (tolyl CH<sub>3</sub>), 24.5 (isopropyl CH<sub>3</sub>), 51.3 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 83.3 (2C of Cp), 103.2 (2C of Cp), 126.2 (2 C, aromatic), 127.6 (4C, aromatic), 128.3 (4C, aromatic), 128.5 (2C, aromatic), 130.1 (4C, aromatic), 131.9 (4C, aromatic), 132.8 (2C, aromatic), 137.2 (2C, aromatic), 162.8 (1C of Cp), 201.0 (CO). IR ( $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ): 2012 (s), 1934 (s)  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . MS (electrospray ionization,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ , MeOH):  $m/z$  (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{34}\text{NO}_3^{102}\text{Ru}$  630.1592; calcd for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_4^{102}\text{Ru}$  630.1354, found 630.1568. Isotope pattern for (M + H)<sup>+</sup> of **4b**:  $m/z$  (calcd, obsd) 633 (12, 14), 632 (54, 38), 631 (37, 28), 630 (100, 100), 629 (58, 45), 628 (45, 43), 627 (34, 28). Anal. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{33}\text{NO}_3\text{Ru}$ : C, 68.77; H, 5.29; N, 2.23. Found: C, 68.79; H, 5.30; N, 1.92.

**Procedure B.** Isopropylamine (5  $\mu\text{L}$ , 0.06 mmol) was added via syringe to a  $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$  suspension of **6b** (33 mg, 0.03 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 30 min, until all the material dissolved. Solvent was removed under vacuum to afford **4b** (30 mg, 80%).

**Acknowledgment.** The Wisconsin group gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, and from the NSF (Grant No. CHE-9629688) and NIH (Grant No. I S10 RR04981-01) for the purchase of NMR spectrometers and from the NSF for the purchase of a Micromass LCT mass spectrometer (Grant No. CHE-9974839). The Stockholm group gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Swedish Research Council and the Norwegian Research Council (NFR) for a stipend to L.J. The POSTECH group acknowledges financial support from KOSEF through the Center of Integrated Molecular System.

OM020097T