

Correction to “New Experimental Data and Reference Models for the Viscosity and Density of Squalane”

Kurt A. G. Schmidt,^{*,†} Doug Pagnutti,[‡] Meghan D. Curran,[§] Anil Singh,^{||} J. P. Martin Trusler,[⊥] Geoffrey C. Maitland,[⊥] and Mark McBride-Wright[⊥]

[†]Schlumberger, Abingdon Technology Center, Lambourn Court, Wyndyke Furlong, Abingdon, OX14 1UJ, United Kingdom

[‡]Schlumberger, DBR Technology Center, 9450-17 Ave, Edmonton, AB T6N 1M9, Canada

[§]Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Alberta, 9107-116 Street, Edmonton, AB T6G 2 V4, Canada

^{||}Schlumberger, Schlumberger Rosharon Campus, 14910 Airline Road, Rosharon, Texas 77583, United States

[⊥]Department of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

J. Chem. Eng. Data **2015**, 60 (1), 137–150. DOI:10.1021/je5008789

In Table 8 of the original paper, the parameters for the density model were labeled incorrectly. The partial table below correctly relates the parameters with their numerical values. This correction will allow readers to use the proposed density model correctly.

Table 8. Results of the Regression Analysis

Density	
Parameter	Value
$a_0/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$	9.789×10^{02}
$a_1/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$	-5.355×10^{-01}
$a_2/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{K}^{-2}$	-1.571×10^{-04}
C	2.000×10^{-01}
b_0/MPa	3.822×10^{02}
$b_1/\text{MPa}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$	-1.162×10^{00}
$b_2/\text{MPa}\cdot\text{K}^{-2}$	9.305×10^{-04}

It should be mentioned that all the results and findings reported in the original paper are valid. The authors would like to apologize for any inconvenience this may have caused.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: kschmidt@slb.com.