

# Correction to In Situ Determination of Colloidal Gold Concentrations with UV–Vis Spectroscopy: Limitations and Perspectives

Thomas Hendel, Maria Wuithsick, Frieder Kettemann, Alexander Birnbaum, Klaus Rademann,\* and Jörg Polte\*

*Anal. Chem.* **2014**, 86 (22), 11115–11124. DOI:10.1021/ac502053s

The authors note that the units of all values of determined extinction coefficients of Au(0) at a wavelength of 400 nm ( $\epsilon_{400}$ ) were given as  $\text{L mol}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$  but should be replaced with  $\text{L mmol}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ . This affects  $\epsilon_{400}$  values on page 11121 (last paragraph), in the caption of Table 3 on page 11122, in the

**Table 3. Ranges of the Estimated Extinction Coefficients for As-Prepared GNPs and GNPs with Steric Stabilization**

sample/mean radii	$\epsilon_{400} [\text{L mmol}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}]$		
	as prepared	PVP stabilized	Plu F-127 stabilized
GNP <sub>BH4</sub> /1.5–2.5 nm	2.14–2.23	2.43–2.65	2.34–2.46
GNP <sub>cit</sub> /5–10 nm	2.29–2.38	2.38–2.43	2.36–2.41
GNP <sub>cit</sub> /10–20 nm	2.38–2.57	2.45–2.51	2.44–2.52
GNP <sub>cit</sub> /20–28 nm	2.63–2.68	2.68–2.71	2.70–2.74

conclusions section on page 11122, and in the caption of Table S2 in the Supporting Information.

A corrected version of Table 3 and Table S2 can be found in this Addition and Correction.

However, none of the main findings or conclusions are changed. We deeply apologize for any confusion created by this mistake.

Table S2. Complete Data Set for Functionalization of GNPs with Steric Stabilizers (Resulting Au(0) Concentration 0.125 mM) Including Extinction Coefficient at 400 nm ( $\epsilon_{400}$ ), Corresponding Abs<sub>400</sub>, Its Deviation from Pure GNPs (% Dev.) and Mean Radius ( $r$  in nm) from SAXS Analysis<sup>a</sup>

		GNP <sub>BH4</sub>				GNP <sub>cit</sub>					
		sample r4 2.15 (0.2686) /1.5	sample r5 2.14 (0.2673) /1.7	sample r6 2.18 (0.2728) /2.5	sample r7 2.29 (0.286)/6.5	sample r9 2.33 (0.2914) /8.7	sample r11 2.38 (0.2977) /11.3	sample r13 2.43 (0.3033) /14.6	sample r16 2.63 (0.3285) /21.2	sample r17 2.66 (0.333) /23.6	sample r18 2.68 (0.3352) /27.8
PVP 10 kDa	pure, semidil.	-	-	-	2.39 (0.2984) 4.3%/6.5	2.41 (0.3015) 3.5%/9.1	2.46 (0.3078) 3.4%/11.3	-	-	-	-
	25 mg/L	-	-	-	2.4 (0.3004) 5%/6.5	2.42 (0.3025) 3.8%/8.8	2.49 (0.3108) 4.4%/11.3	-	-	-	-
	50 mg/L	-	-	-	2.42 (0.3023) 5.8%/6.5	2.43 (0.3034) 4.1%/8.8	2.47 (0.309) 3.8%/11.3	-	-	-	-
	125 mg/L	-	-	-	2.38 (0.2972) 3.9%/6.5	2.41 (0.3015) 3.4%/8.9	2.45 (0.3058) 2.8%/11.8	-	-	-	-
PVP 40 kDa	25 mg/L	-	-	-	2.39 (0.2989) 4.5%/6.5	2.42 (0.302) 3.6%/9.1	2.48 (0.3098) 4.1%/12.0	2.52 (0.3144) 3.6%/14.9	2.68 (0.3346) 1.9%/22.7	2.73 (0.341) 2.4%/25.7	2.71 (0.3382) 0.9%/28.7
	50 mg/L	2.62 (0.3277) 22%/1.6	2.53 (0.3165) 18.4%/1.7	2.43 (0.3039) 11.4%/2.6	2.4 (0.2994) 4.7%/6.5	2.42 (0.3028) 3.9%/8.8	2.47 (0.3092) 3.9%/11.9	-	-	-	-
	125 mg/L	-	-	-	2.38 (0.2974) 4%/6.5	2.41 (0.3008) 3.2%/8.8	2.45 (0.3063) 2.9%/11.3	-	-	-	-
PVP 58 kDa	25 mg/L	-	-	-	2.39 (0.2984) 4.3%/6.5	2.41 (0.3011) 3.3%/9.0	2.46 (0.307) 3.1%/11.3	-	-	-	-
	50 mg/L	-	-	-	2.4 (0.3005) 5.1%/6.5	2.42 (0.3031) 4%/8.8	2.48 (0.3094) 3.9%/11.5	-	-	-	-
	125 mg/L	-	-	-	2.36 (0.2956) 3.4%/6.5	2.4 (0.2995) 2.8%/8.7	2.45 (0.3058) 2.7%/11.4	-	-	-	-
Plu F-127	25 mg/L	-	-	-	2.38 (0.297) 3.8%/6.5	2.4 (0.2999) 2.9%/8.8	2.44 (0.3056) 2.7%/11.3	2.5 (0.3121) 3.7%/15.0	2.74 (0.3431) 2.6%/22.8	2.76 (0.3446) 2.7%/26.9	2.75 (0.3435) 2.0%/29.2
	50 mg/L	2.39 (0.2992) 11.4%/1.6	2.34 (0.2931) 9.7%/1.7	2.34 (0.2926) 7.3%/2.5	2.4 (0.3004) 5%/6.5	2.41 (0.3018) 3.6%/8.8	2.47 (0.3086) 3.7%/11.3	-	-	-	-
	125 mg/L	-	-	-							

<sup>a</sup>A data set is structured as  $\epsilon_{400}$  [L mmol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>] (Abs<sub>400</sub>) in upper line and % Dev./ $r$  [nm] in lower line.