

Sesquiterpenes from *Neurolaena lobata* and Their Antiproliferative and Anti-inflammatory Activities

Ildikó Lajter,[†] Andrea Vasas,[†] Zoltán Béni,[‡] Peter Forgo,[†] Markus Binder,[§] Valery Bochkov,[§] István Zupkó,[⊥] Georg Krupitza,^{||} Richard Frisch,[#] Brigitte Kopp,[▽] and Judit Hohmann^{*,†}

[†]Department of Pharmacognosy, University of Szeged, Eotvos Str. 6, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary

[‡]Spectroscopic Research, Gedeon Richter Plc., H-1103 Budapest, Hungary

[§]Department of Vascular Biology and Thrombosis Research, Center of Physiology and Pharmacology, Medical University of Vienna, A-1090 Vienna, Austria


[⊥]Department of Pharmacodynamics and Biopharmacy, University of Szeged, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary

^{||}Clinical Institute of Pathology, Medical University of Vienna, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

[#]Institute for Ethnobiology, GT-170 San José/Petén, Guatemala

[▽]Department of Pharmacognosy, University of Vienna, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

S Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: Five new sesquiterpenes, neurolobatin A (1), neurolobatin B (2), 5 β -hydroxy-8 β -isovaleroyloxy-9 α -hydroxycalculatolide (3), 3-*epi*-desacetylisovalerylhelianthine (4), and 3 β -acetoxy-8 β -isovaleroyloxyreynosin (5), were isolated from the aerial parts of *Neurolaena lobata*. The structures were established by means of a combined spectroscopic data analysis, including ESIMS, APCI-MS, and 1D- and 2D-NMR techniques. Neurolobatin A (1) and B (2) are unusual isomeric *sec*-germacranolide sesquiterpenes with a bicyclic acetal moiety, compounds 3 and 4 are unsaturated epoxy-germacranolide esters, and compound 5 is the first eudesmanolide isolated from the genus *Neurolaena*. The isolated compounds (1–5) were shown to have noteworthy antiproliferative activities against human tumor cell lines (A2780, A431, HeLa, and MCF7). The anti-inflammatory effects of 1–5, evaluated in vitro using LPS- and TNF- α -induced IL-8 expression inhibitory assays, revealed that all these compounds strongly down-regulated the LPS-induced production of IL-8 protein, with neurolobatin B (2) and 3-*epi*-desacetylisovalerylhelianthine (4) being the most effective.

Neurolaena lobata (L.) R. Br. ex Cass. (Asteraceae) is a herbaceous plant distributed widely in Central America and northwestern parts of South America. In Caribbean traditional medicine, the leaves of this plant have been used for the treatment of different types of cancer, ulcers, inflammatory skin disorders, diabetes, and pain of various origins. In some regions, *N. lobata* is also used to treat or prevent a variety of parasitic ailments, such as malaria, fungus, ringworm, and amebic and intestinal parasites.^{1–3}

Previous investigations of the crude leaf extract proved its antiulcerogenic,⁴ antinociceptive,⁵ antiparasitic,^{6,7} and antiviral activities.⁸ Moreover, of particular relevance to the present study, a *N. lobata* leaf extract was found to exert anti-inflammatory activity in a carrageenan-induced mouse paw

edema test.⁹ It was reported recently that the 80% EtOH extract of *N. lobata* leaves reduces LPS-stimulated TNF- α production in THP-1 monocytes, and isolated sesquiterpene lactones were identified as active principles of the extract.¹⁰ The cytotoxic activities of an aqueous extract and sesquiterpene lactones of *N. lobata* have been examined in vitro against GLC₄ human small cell lung carcinoma and COLO 320 human colorectal cancer cells.¹¹ Antineoplastic properties of the dichloromethane extract have also been investigated in HL-60

Special Issue: Special Issue in Honor of Otto Stichler


Received: October 7, 2013

Published: January 29, 2014

cells and in anaplastic large cell lymphoma cell lines of human or mouse origin. The extract reproducibly demonstrated antiproliferative and proapoptotic effects. Furthermore, it was shown that the extract down-regulated the expression of oncogenes, induced tumor suppressors, inhibited cell proliferation, and triggered the apoptosis of malignant cells.¹²

Phytochemical studies on *N. lobata* have led to the isolation of sesquiterpene lactones of the germacranolide (neurolenins A–F and lobatin A) and furanoheliangolide (lobatins B and C, 8 β -isovalerianoxy-9 α -hydroxycalculatolide and 8 β -isovalerianoxy-9 α -acetoxycalculatolide) types.^{13–15} *N. lobata* has also been investigated for pyrrolizidine alkaloids, and tussilagine, isotussilagine, and the methyl ester of their possible biosynthetic precursor, 2-pyrrolidineacetic acid, have been detected in the MeOH leaf extract.¹⁶ Moreover, 12 6-hydroxy- and 6-methoxy flavonoids have been described from this plant.¹⁷

The present study was designed to isolate new promising bioactive metabolites from *N. lobata*. Reported herein are the isolation and structure elucidation of five new sesquiterpene lactones, 1–5, including two unusual seco derivatives with a bicyclic acetal moiety (1 and 2), from the CH₂Cl₂ extract of the dried herb. The isolated compounds were evaluated for their antiproliferative activities against four human cancer cell lines and for their effects on TNF- α - and LPS-induced IL-8 expression in vascular endothelial cells.



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Five sesquiterpenes (1–5) were isolated from the CH₂Cl₂-soluble phase of the MeOH extract prepared from the aerial parts of *N. lobata* by a combination of different chromatographic methods, including column chromatography (CC), vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC), rotation planar chromatography (RPC), and preparative TLC. The structure elucidation was carried out by extensive spectroscopic analysis, using one- and two-dimensional (1D- and 2D-) NMR (¹H–¹H COSY, HSQC, HMBC, and NOESY) spectroscopic and HRESIMS experiments.

Compounds 1 and 2 were isolated as amorphous solids with [α]_D²⁷ –89 (c 0.2, CHCl₃) and [α]_D²⁷ –32 (c 0.2, CHCl₃), respectively. HRESIMS and detailed 1D- and 2D-NMR spectroscopic studies led to the determination of two isomeric seco-sesquiterpene lactones (1 and 2) with a bicyclic acetal moiety on the basis of the following arguments.

HRESIMS suggested that the two compounds are stereoisomers with the molecular composition, C₂₀H₂₆O₈, according to the quasimolecular ion peaks at *m/z* 417.1525 (1) and 417.1530 (2) [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₆O₈Na, 417.1525). On APCIMS, the quasimolecular ion was detected at *m/z* 395 [M + H]⁺, with the sequential loss of H₂O and a saturated C₅ acid (*m/z* 377 and 293). Analysis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra confirmed that the two compounds are stereoisomers, exhibiting closely comparable spectroscopic features. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 1 and 2 revealed the presence of an isovaleroyl group in the molecule [1, δ_{H} 2.16 m, 2.01 m, 0.92 and 0.93 d, δ_{C} 172.5, 42.9, 25.6, and 2 \times 22.3; 2, δ_{H} 2.20 m, 2.04 m, 0.93 and 0.92 d, δ_{C} 172.2, 43.0, 25.6, and 2 \times 22.3]. Informative signals for 1/2 at δ_{H} 4.91/4.71 (H-6), 3.45/3.23 (H-7), 5.38/5.47 (H-13a), and 6.26/6.25 (H-13b) and δ_{C} 73.7/74.1 (C-6), 46.3/46.2 (C-7), 134.1/134.7 (C-11), 169.2 (C-12), and 121.3 (C-13) additionally indicated the presence of a *trans*-fused α -methylene- γ -lactone ring with H-6 in the β position.^{14,18} With this partial structure as starting point, consecutive analysis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and 2D homo- (¹H–¹H COSY) and heteronuclear correlation data (HSQC and HMBC) resulted in complete ¹H and ¹³C NMR assignments (Table 1). The determined chemical shifts could be interpreted only with the constitutions depicted in structural formulas 1 and 2. In the HMBC spectra, no correlations were detected between H-9 and C-10 or between H-15 and C-9, indicating fission of the C-9–C-10 bond in both compounds. On the other hand, an HMBC correlation was observed between H-9 and C-4, suggesting that the bond fission was followed by ring closure between C-4 and C-9. In view of the molecular composition determined, the chemical shift of C-9 (98.8 in 1 and 102.0 in 2) revealed the presence of a bicyclic acetal moiety in both compounds. The HMBC cross-peak of the carbonyl carbon signal (isovaleroyl CO) with the H-8 signal demonstrated the presence of the ester group at C-8.

To determine the stereochemistry of 1 and 2, the coupling constants and nuclear Overhauser effects detected in a NOESY experiment (Table 1) were analyzed. The relative configuration of the lactone ring and C-8 were similar to those determined in sesquiterpenes isolated from *N. lobata* earlier.^{14,18} Thus, H-6 was in a β orientation, while H-7 and H-8 were in α positions in compounds 1 and 2. The coupling constants (4.1 and 1.9 Hz in 1 and 2, respectively) observed between H-8 and H-9 suggested that these protons are in equatorial positions in both compounds. The most significant difference in the NOE correlations was observed for H-6. In the case of 1, a strong NOE correlation was detected between H-6 and the two H-2 protons, while a strong correlation between H-6 and the H-15 methyl protons was detected instead of this in 2. These data indicated a *seco*-germacranolide structure of 1 and 2 for the two stereoisomers, named neurolobatin A (1) and neurolobatin B (2). The oxygen in the eight-membered ring in 1 and in the seven-membered ring in 2 are in the β position. It was assumed that the two isomers have a common origin: the compounds may be formed from germacranolide-type sesquiterpenes after ring-opening between C-9 and C-10, followed by formation of the bicyclic acetal structure.

Table 1. NMR Spectroscopic Data [CDCl_3 , 800 MHz (^1H), 200 MHz (^{13}C), δ (ppm)] for Neurolobatins A (1) and B (2)

position	neurolobatin A (1)				neurolobatin B (2)			
	δ_{H} (J in Hz)	δ_{C}	HMBC ^a	NOESY	δ_{H} (J in Hz)	δ_{C}	HMBC ^a	NOESY
1		194.8				194.7		
2a	2.77 dd (16.4, 3.2)	34.5	C-1, C-3	H-3, H-5a, H-15, H-6	2.81 dd (16.9, 2.5)	35.9	C-1, C-3	H-3, H-9
2b	3.27 dd (16.4, 9.9)		C-1, C-3	H-3, H-5a, H-6	3.22 dd (16.9, 10.1)		C-1, C-3	H-3, H-9
3	4.07 dd (9.7, 2.7)	79.0	C-1, C-4, C-5, C-15	H-2a, H-2b, H-9, H-14, H-15	4.14 dd (10.1, 2.6)	80.7	C-1, C-2, C-4, C-5, C-15	H-2a, H-2b, H-9, H-14, H-15
4		81.9				79.0		
5a	2.40 dd (14, 4.1)	40.7	C-6, C-7	H-2a, H-2b, H-6, H-15	2.45 dd (13.8, 5.1)	38.5	C-3, C-4, C-6, C-7, C-15	H-6
5b	1.84 dd (14.0, 11.8)		C-3, C-6, C-7, C-15	H-6, H-15	2.01 dd (13.8, 11.8)		C-6, C-7	
6	4.91 ddd (11.8, 9.4, 3.9)	73.7	C-7	H-2a, H-2b, H-5b	4.71 td (10.5, 5.1)	74.1	C-7	H-5a, H-9, H-15
7	3.45 dddd (9.0, 4.1, 3.7, 3.1)	46.3	C-5, C-6, C-12	H-5a, H-8	3.23 dq (9.9, 3.1)	46.2	C-5, C-6, C-12	H-13a
8	5.40 t (4.1)	68.4	C-6, C-1'	H-9	5.43 t (2.5)	67.1	C-6, C-1'	
9	5.62 d (4.1)	98.9	C-4, C-7	H-3, H-8, H-15	5.35 d (1.9)	102.0	C-4, C-7, C-8	H-2a, H-2b, H-3, H-6
10		196.4				196.4		
11		134.1				134.7		
12		169.2				169.2		
13a	5.38 d (3.1)	121.3	C-7, C-11		5.47 d (3.4)	121.3	C-7, C-11	H-7
13b	6.26 d (3.7)		C-7, C-11, C-12		6.25 d (3.4)		C-7, C-11, C-12	
14	2.37 s	23.5	C-10	H-3	2.40 s	23.5	C-10	H-3
15	1.40 s	23.0	C-3, C-4, C-5	H-2a, H-3, H-5a, H-5b, H-9	1.48 s	26.0	C-3, C-4, C-5	H-3, H-6
1'		172.5				172.2		
2'	2.16 m	42.9	C-3', C-4', C-5'		2.20 m	43.0	C-3', C-4', C-5'	
3'	2.01 m	25.6	C-2', C-4', C-5'		2.04 m	25.6	C-2', C-4', C-5'	
4'	0.92 d (6.6)	22.3	C-2', C-3'		0.93 d (6.7)	22.3	C-2', C-3'	
5'	0.93 d (6.6)	22.3	C-2', C-3'		0.92 d (6.7)	22.3	C-2', C-3'	

^aHMBC correlations are from proton(s) stated to the indicated carbon.

Compound 3 was isolated as an amorphous solid with $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{27} +53$ (c 0.2, CHCl_3). Its HRESIMS displayed a quasimolecular ion peak at m/z 395.1701 $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$, indicating the molecular formula, $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{26}\text{O}_8$. Characteristic fragment ions at m/z 311, 293, 275, and 257 indicated the sequential loss of H_2O and a saturated C_5 acid. In accordance with this, the ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra of 3 confirmed the presence of an isovaleroyl group (Table 2). Additionally, the 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra exhibited resonances for a carbonyl group (δ_{C} 210.2), an α -methylene- γ -lactone ring (δ_{H} 4.41 dd, 3.74 dt, 6.36 and 5.84 d, δ_{C} 74.3, 43.9, 139.1, 168.6, and 125.1), a quaternary carbon (δ_{C} 91.2), a trisubstituted olefin (δ_{H} 5.67 d, δ_{C} 104.9 and 191.9), four methines (δ_{H} 3.39 dq, 4.49 t, 5.03 and 4.09 d, δ_{C} 38.0, 73.7, 77.3, and 72.7), and one secondary (δ_{H} 1.33 d, δ_{C} 9.0) and one tertiary methyl group (δ_{H} 1.48 s, δ_{C} 18.4) (Table 2). The chemical shifts and coupling constants of 3 were closely related to those of the 1-keto-furanoheliangolide derivative 8 β -isovaleroyloxy-9 α -hydroxycalculatolide,¹⁴ with the only difference being the absence of the signals of a methylene and the appearance of the signal of a methine group (δ_{H} 4.49 t, δ_{C} 73.7). This methine was assigned as C-5 with regard to the HMBC correlations between C-5 and H-6, C-5 and H-7, and C-5 and H-15 (Figure 1). The relative configuration of compound 3 was studied by means of a NOESY experiment. This was deduced by starting from the β orientation of H-6 and α orientation of H-7, indicated by the coupling constant $J_{6,7} = 4.9$ Hz and found in all sesquiterpenes isolated previously from *N. lobata*. Cross-peaks between H-6 and H-15 proved the β

orientation of the 15-methyl group, while NOE effects observed between H-7 and H-5, H-5 and H-4, H-7 and H-13b, and H-13b and H-8 dictated the α orientation of these protons. All of the above evidence supported the structure of this compound as 5 β -hydroxy-8 β -isovaleroyloxy-9 α -hydroxycalculatolide (3).

Compound 4 was obtained as a colorless gum. From the molecular ion peak at m/z 365.1961 $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$, (calcd for 365.1959) in the positive-ion HRESIMS, its molecular formula was determined to be $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_6$. The ^1H NMR spectrum showed the presence of signals due to an isovaleroyl side chain (Table 2). Further, the JMOD spectrum suggested that the skeleton consists of 15 carbons, including two methyls, three methylenes, six methines, and four quaternary carbons. From the HSQC spectrum, the chemical shifts of the protonated carbons were assigned, and the proton-proton connectivities were then studied. The ^1H - ^1H COSY spectrum was used to define two structural fragments with correlated protons: $-\text{CHR}-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}-$ (A) (δ_{H} 2.57, 2.36, 1.68, and 4.96) (C-1–C-3) and $=\text{CH}-\text{CHR}-\text{CHR}-\text{CHR}-\text{CH}_2-$ (B) (δ_{H} 5.28, 5.53, 2.88, 5.21, 2.71, and 1.29) (C-5–C-9). These structural parts and tertiary methyls and quaternary carbons were connected by inspection of the long-range C–H correlations observed in the HMBC spectrum. The two- and three-bond correlations between the quaternary carbon C-4 and H-6, H-15, and H₂-2 and between the quaternary C-10 and H-8, H₂-2, H-14, and H₂-9 signals revealed that structural fragment A together with C-10, C-4, and the 14- and 15-methyl groups forms a germacrane skeleton. The lactone ring connected to the macrocycle in

Table 2. NMR Spectroscopic Data [CDCl_3 , 500 MHz (^1H), 125 MHz (^{13}C), δ (ppm)] for Compounds 3–5

position	3^a		4		5^b	
	δ_{H} (J in Hz)	δ_{C}	δ_{H} (J in Hz)	δ_{C}	δ_{H} (J in Hz)	δ_{C}
1		210.2	2.57 dd (9.6, 5.0)	59.7	3.61 dd (11.5, 4.5)	76.4
2	5.67 d (0.7)	104.9	2.36 dt (14.8, 4.9) 1.68 ddd (14.8, 11.9, 1.6)	34.5	2.20 m 1.63 m	36.8
3		191.9	4.96 dd (11.9, 5.0)	66.8	5.18 dd (11.5, 5.5)	70.5
4	3.39 dq (6.8, 6.8)	38.0		141.9		139.9
5	4.49 t (8.0, 6.9)	73.7	5.28 d (11.1)	124.6	2.22 m	50.9
6	4.41 dd (8.1, 4.9)	74.3	5.53 dd (11.1, 2.2)	72.9	4.56 t (11.0)	74.6
7	3.74 dt (1.6, 4.9)	43.9	2.88 s	48.7	2.83 dd (11.0, 2.3)	52.2
8	5.03 d (4.9)	77.3	5.21 t (2.4)	75.5	5.75 dd (2.3, 4.0)	65.8
9	4.09 d (4.9)	72.7	2.71 dd (15.2, 2.4) 1.29 dd (15.2, 2.4)	43.8	2.32 dd (15.5, 1.5) 1.58 dd (15.5, 3.0)	40.6
10		91.2		57.1		42.9
11		139.1		137.1		134.5
12		168.6		169.1		169.9
13a	6.36 d (3.1)	125.1	6.40 d (2.0)	125.5	6.17 d (3.0)	120.1
13b	5.84 d (2.8)		5.79 d (2.0)		5.47 d (3.0)	
14	1.48 s	18.4	1.52 s	19.0	0.99 s	13.9
15	1.33 d (6.9)	9.0	1.88 s	17.3	5.20 s 5.09 s	108.6
1'		171.7		172.0		172.5
2'	2.11 m (2H)	42.8	2.18 m (2H)	43.7	2.18 d (7.5) (2H)	43.8
3'	1.98 sept (6.8)	25.2	2.04 m	25.8	2.06 m	25.9
4'	0.91 d (6.7)	22.3	0.90 d (6.6)	22.5	0.94 d (6.7)	22.7
5'	0.90 d (6.7)	22.3	0.91 d (6.6)	22.5	0.94 d (6.7)	22.7

^aOH groups: δ 4.23 brs, 3.31 brs. ^b1-OH group: δ 2.04 brs, 3-O-acetate group: δ_{H} 2.14 s, δ_{C} 170.2, 21.4).




Figure 1. Key COSY (—) and HMBC correlation of compound 3.

positions C-6, C-7 was evident from the HMBC cross-peaks between C-12 and H₂-13, C-12 and H-6, C-11 and H₂-13, and C-11 and H-7. The position of the ester group was proved by the long-range correlation between the ester carbonyl group (δ_{C} 172.0) and H-8 (δ_{H} 5.21 t). The remaining epoxy and hydroxy groups, which were elucidated from the molecular composition, were placed at C-10–C-1 and C-3, respectively, with regard to the ^{13}C NMR chemical shifts ($\delta_{\text{C-10}}$ 57.1, $\delta_{\text{C-1}}$ 59.7, and $\delta_{\text{C-3}}$ 66.8) and literature data for similar epoxy germacranolides.¹⁹ The relative configuration of the chiral centers was studied by NOESY measurements (Figure 2). Diagnostic NOE correlations were detected between H-6 and H-3 and H-6 and H-14, demonstrating the β orientation of




Figure 2. Key NOESY correlation of compound 4.

these protons. Furthermore, NOESY cross-peaks were observed between H-1 and H-2a, H-2b and H-3, H-14 and H-9a, and H-7 and H-8, indicating the α -oriented H-1, H-2a, H-7, H-8, and H-9b and the β orientation of H-9a and H-2b. All of the above evidence was used to propose the structure of this compound as depicted in structural formula 4. Compound 4 is the 3-epimer of desacetylisovalerylhelianthene, reported from *Calea megacephala* (Asteraceae) earlier. Accordingly, significant differences can be observed in their ^1H NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants of H-3.¹⁹

Compound 5 was isolated as a colorless oil with $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{27} +5$ (c 0.1, CHCl_3). It was shown by HRESIMS to have the molecular formula $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{30}\text{O}_7$ m/z 407.2065 $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ (calcd for 407.2064). The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra of 5 revealed the presence of one acetate (δ_{H} 2.14 s; δ_{C} 170.2 and 21.4) and one isovaleryl group in the molecule (δ_{H} 2.18 d, 2.06 m, and 2×0.94 d; δ_{C} 172.5, 43.8, 25.9, and 2×22.7). Additionally, the ^{13}C and JMOD spectra suggested a sesquiterpene skeleton consisting of one methyl, four methylenes, six methines, and four quaternary carbons (Table 2). From the HSQC spectrum, the chemical shifts of the protonated carbons were assigned, and the ^1H – ^1H COSY spectrum was then evaluated, which indicated two structural elements: $-\text{CHR}-\text{CH}_2-\text{CHR}-$ (A) (δ_{H} 3.61, 2.20, 1.63, and 5.18) (C-1–C-3) and $-\text{CHR}-\text{CHR}-\text{CHR}-\text{CHR}-\text{CH}_2-$ (B) (δ_{H} 2.22, 4.56, 2.83, 5.75, 2.32, and 1.58) (C-5–C-9). Their connectivities were determined from the HMBC spectrum observed between the quaternary carbons and protons of the structural fragments A and B (C-4 and H-3, H-5, H-6, and H-2b; C-10 and H-1, H-6, H-8, H-9b, and H-15; C-11 and H-13a, H-6, and H-7; C-12 and H-13a, and 13b). The positions of the ester groups were also established via the HMBC experiment on the basis of the $^3J_{\text{CH}}$ couplings of the

compd	calculated IC ₅₀ (μM) ± SEM			
	HeLa	A431	A2780	MCF7
neurolobatin A (1)	>10	>10	9.8 ± 1.0	>10
neurolobatin B (2)	>10	6.8 ± 0.56	5.4 ± 0.67	>10
5β-hydroxy-8β-isovaleroyloxy-9α-hydroxy-calyculatolide (3)	>10	>10	>10	>10
3- <i>epi</i> -desacetylisovalerylheliangine (4)	>10	7.2 ± 0.99	4.7 ± 0.19	7.2 ± 0.57
3β-acetoxy-8β-isovaleroyloxyreynosin (5)	>10	5.3 ± 0.47	7.2 ± 0.42	>10
cisplatin	5.7 ± 0.84	8.8 ± 0.97	0.86 ± 0.12	8.0 ± 1.1

chromatography (RPC) on silica gel 60 GF₂₅₄ with a Chromatotron instrument (model 8924, Harrison Research).

Plant Material. *Neurolaena lobata* (L.) R. Br. ex Cass. was collected 0.5 km NNW of San José in the area of the Chakmamantok-rock formation (16°59'16" N, 89°53'45" W), near the northwestern shore of Lago Petén Itzá, Departamento Petén, Guatemala, and within the botanical garden of the Institute for Ethnobiology, San José, Guatemala (Unger et al., 2013¹²). Voucher specimens (leg. R. Diaz, det. R. O. Frisch 03. 02. 2011/a,b, Chakmamantok) were archived at the herbarium of the Institute for Ethnobiology, San Jose, Guatemala. A voucher specimen (no. 813) has also been deposited at the Herbarium of the Department of Pharmacognosy, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary. The fresh plant material (the aerial plant parts, leaves, caulis, and florescence) of *N. lobata* was air-dried in Guatemala, sent to Austria, and stored deep-frozen until subsequent extraction.

Extraction and Isolation. Dried and ground aerial parts of the plant (3.00 kg), which was stored at -70 °C before processing, was crushed in a blender and then percolated with MeOH (50 L) at room temperature. The crude extract was concentrated in vacuo and subjected to solvent-solvent partitioning with 5 × 1 L petroleum ether (A), then with 5 × 1 L of CH₂Cl₂ (B), and finally with 5 × 1 L of EtOAc (C). The CH₂Cl₂ phase (95.4 g) was chromatographed on a polyamide column (287 g) with mixtures of MeOH and H₂O (1:4, 2:3, 3:2, and 4:1, 3 L of each) as eluents. Fractions with similar compositions were combined according to TLC monitoring, affording fractions BI–BVII. Fraction BII (33.6 g), obtained with MeOH–H₂O (1:4), was subjected to silica gel VLC, using a gradient system of cyclohexane–EtOAc–EtOH (from 30:10:0 to 30:30:6). The CC fractions were combined after TLC monitoring into nine fractions (BII/1–BII/9). Fraction BII/7 was separated by VLC with a CH₂Cl₂–acetone gradient system (from 99:1 to 4:1 and finally MeOH). The subfraction eluted with CH₂Cl₂–acetone 19:1 was subjected to preparative TLC, using a system of cyclohexane–EtOAc–EtOH (60:40:1), to give compounds 1 (3.2 mg) and 2 (2.8 mg). Moreover, the subfraction obtained with CH₂Cl₂–acetone 80:20 was separated by RPC with a gradient system of cyclohexane–EtOAc–EtOH (from 60:20:0.5 to 60:60:1) and then further purified by preparative TLC and RP-TLC on silica gel with the mobile phase cyclohexane–EtOAc–EtOH (30:30:1) and MeOH–H₂O (7:3), affording the isolation of compound 4 (1.8 mg). The subfraction eluted with MeOH was further fractionated by VLC, using a mobile phase of cyclohexane–EtOAc–EtOH (from 30:10 to 30:30:1) and finally subjected to preparative RP-TLC and NP-TLC, using MeOH–H₂O 7:3 and CH₂Cl₂–MeOH (18:1), to yield compound 5 (3.5 mg). Finally, a subsequent subfraction obtained with MeOH was purified by preparative RP-TLC on silica gel with MeOH–H₂O (7:3) and then by preparative TLC, using cyclohexane–EtOAc–EtOH (30:30:1) as developing system on silica gel, resulting in the isolation of compound 3 (7.8 mg).

Neurolobatin A (1). Colorless gum; [α]_D²⁷ -89 (c 0.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS *m/z* 449.1784 [M + MeOH + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₁H₃₀O₉Na, 449.1788); 417.1525 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₆O₈Na, 417.1525); APCIMS *m/z* 395 [M + H]⁺, 377 [M + H - H₂O]⁺, 293 [M + H - 102]⁺.

Neurolobatin B (2). Colorless gum; [α]_D²⁷ -32 (c 0.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS *m/z* 449.1788 [M + MeOH + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₁H₃₀O₉Na, 449.1788); 417.1530 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₆O₈Na, 417.1525); APCIMS *m/z* 395 [M + H]⁺, 377 [M + H - H₂O]⁺, 293 [M + H - 102]⁺.

5 β -Hydroxy-8 β -isovaleroyloxy-9 α -hydroxycalculatolide (3). Amorphous solid; [α]_D²⁷ +53 (c 0.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 2; HRESIMS *m/z* 395.1701 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₇O₈, 395.1700); ESIMS-MS *m/z* 395.1703 [M + H]⁺; 377 [M + H - H₂O]⁺, 359 [M + H - 2 × H₂O]⁺, 311 [M + H - C₅H₈O]⁺, 293 [M + H - C₅H₈O - H₂O]⁺, 275 [M + H - C₅H₈O - 2 × H₂O]⁺, 257 [M + H - C₅H₈O - 3 × H₂O]⁺, 231 [C₁₄H₁₅O₃]⁺, 213 [C₁₄H₁₃O₂]⁺, 203 [C₁₃H₁₅O₂]⁺, 185 [C₁₃H₁₃O]⁺.

3-Epi-desacetylisovaleroylhelianthine (4). Amorphous solid; [α]_D²⁷ -33 (c 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 2; HRESIMS *m/z* 365.1961 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₉O₆, 365.1959); ESIMS-MS *m/z* 365.2035 [M + H]⁺; 347 [M + H - H₂O]⁺, 295 [C₁₅H₁₉O₆]⁺, 277 [C₁₅H₁₇O₅]⁺, 263 [C₁₅H₁₉O₄]⁺, 261 [C₁₅H₁₇O₄]⁺, 245 [C₁₅H₁₇O₃]⁺, 227 [C₁₅H₁₅O₂]⁺, 219 [C₁₃H₁₅O₃]⁺, 217 [C₁₄H₁₇O₂]⁺, 201 [C₁₃H₁₃O₂]⁺, 199 [C₁₄H₁₅O]⁺, 179 [C₁₀H₁₁O₃]⁺, 163 [C₁₀H₁₁O₂]⁺.

3 β -Acetoxy-8 β -isovaleroyloxyreynosin (5). Colorless gum; [α]_D²⁷ +5 (c 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data, see Table 2; HRESIMS *m/z* 407.2065 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₂H₃₁O₇, 407.2064). ESIMS-MS *m/z* 407.2135 [M + H]⁺; 329 [M + H - H₂O - CH₃COOH]⁺, 305 [M + H - C₅H₁₀O₂]⁺, 245 [M + H - C₅H₁₀O₂ - CH₃COOH]⁺, 227 [M + H - C₅H₁₀O₂ - CH₃COOH - H₂O]⁺, 217 [C₁₄H₁₇O₂]⁺, 209 [M + H - C₅H₁₀O₂ - CH₃COOH - H₂O - H₂O]⁺, 199 [C₁₄H₁₅Og, 17]; 181 [C₁₄H₁₃]⁺, 171 [C₁₃H₁₅]⁺.

Antiproliferative Assay. Antiproliferative effects of the isolated compounds were determined in vitro using four human cell lines (A2780, A431, HeLa, and MCF7, isolated from ovarian cancer, skin epidermoid carcinoma, cervical, and breast cancers, respectively) by means of a MTT ([3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide]) assay, as described previously.²¹ Briefly, a limited number of human cancer cells (5000/well) were seeded onto a 96-well microplate and became attached to the bottom of the well overnight. On the second day of the procedure, the original medium was removed and 200 μ L of new medium containing the test substances was added. After an incubation period of 72 h, the living cells were assayed by the addition of 20 μ L of 5 mg/mL MTT solution. After a 4 h incubation, the medium was removed and the precipitated formazan was dissolved in 100 μ L of DMSO during a 60 min period of shaking. Finally, the reduced MTT was assayed at 545 nm, using a microplate reader. Untreated cells were taken as the negative control, and cisplatin (Ebewe Pharma GmbH, Unterach, Austria) was used as a reference active compound. All cell lines were purchased from the European Collection of Cell Cultures (Salisbury, UK). Stock solutions (10 mM) of the tested compounds were prepared with DMSO. The highest DMSO concentration (0.3%) of the medium did not have any substantial effect on the cell proliferation.¹⁵ All in vitro experiments were carried out on two 96-well dishes with at least five parallel wells. Sigmoidal dose-response curves were fitted to the measured points, and the IC₅₀ values were calculated by means of GraphPad Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software; San Diego, CA, USA).

Anti-inflammatory Assay. Immortalized human umbilical vein endothelial cells were grown in medium 199 containing 20% FBS, 1% PSF (penicillin, streptomycin, fungizone; Lonza), 1% glutamine, and 0.4% ECGS/H (Endothelial Cell Growth Supplement/Heparin; PromoCell). Compounds 1–5 were tested in sextuplicates. The medium was aspirated and 100 μ L of test compounds (1.5× final concentration in medium containing 5% FBS) were added. After 15 min, 50 μ L of TNF- α or LPS (3× final concentration) were added to provide final concentrations of 30 μ M *N. lobata* compounds, 30 ng/mL of TNF- α , or 100 ng/mL of LPS. After 6 h, cell culture media were collected and analyzed for IL-8 protein using DuoSet ELISA Development kit (R&D Systems) and the TMB 2-Component Microwell Peroxidase Substrate Kit (VWR International). BAY (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was used as positive control. Statistical significance of differences was calculated using ANOVA test.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

NMR spectra of compounds 1–5. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: hohmann@pharm.u-szeged.hu. Phone: +36 62 546453. Fax: +36 62 545704.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support of the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA K109846) is gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported by the European Union and the State of Hungary, cofinanced by the European Social Fund in the framework of TÁMOP 4.2.4.A/2-11-1-2012-0001 “National Excellence Program” and TÁMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0035. The financial support of the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) grant NFN S10713-B13 is gratefully acknowledged by Valery Bochkov.

DEDICATION

Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Otto Sticher, of ETH-Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, for his pioneering work in pharmacognosy and phytochemistry.

REFERENCES

- (1) Giron, L. M.; Freire, V.; Alonz, A.; Caceres, A. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **1991**, *34*, 173–187.
- (2) Hartwell, J. L. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1968**, *31*, 71–170.
- (3) Amiguet, V. T.; Arnason, J. T.; Maquin, P.; Cal, V.; Vindas, P. S.; Poveda, L. *Econ. Bot.* **2005**, *59*, 29–42.
- (4) Gracioso, J. S.; Hiruma-Lima, C. A.; Souza Brito, A. R. M. *Phytomedicine* **2000**, *7*, 283–289.
- (5) Gracioso, J. S.; Paulo, M. Q.; Hiruma-Lima, C. A.; Souza Brito, A. R. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* **1998**, *50*, 1425–1429.
- (6) Fujimaki, Y.; Kamachi, T.; Yanagi, T.; Caceres, A.; Maki, J.; Aoki, Y. *J. Helminthol.* **2005**, *79*, 23–28.
- (7) Chinchilla, M.; Valerio, I.; Sanchez, R.; Mora, V.; Bagnarello, V.; Martinez, L.; Gonzalez, A.; Vanegas, J. C.; Apestegui, A. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* **2012**, *60*, 881–891.
- (8) Bedoya, L. M.; Alvarez, A.; Bermejo, M.; Gonzalez, N.; Beltran, M.; Sanchez-Palomino, S.; Cruz, S. M.; Gaitan, I.; del Olmo, E.; Escarcena, R.; Garcia, P. A.; Caceres, A.; Feliciano, A. S.; Alcamí, J. *Phytomedicine* **2008**, *15*, 520–524.
- (9) de Las Heras, B.; Slowing, K.; Benedi, J.; Carretero, E.; Ortega, T.; Toledo, C.; Bermejo, P.; Iglesias, I.; Abad, M. J.; Gomez-Serranillos, P.; Liso, P. A.; Villar, A.; Chiriboga, X. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **1998**, *61*, 161–166.
- (10) Walshe-Roussel, B.; Choueiri, C.; Saleem, A.; Asim, M.; Caal, F.; Cal, V.; Rojas, M. O.; Pesek, T.; Durst, T.; Arnason, J. T. *Phytochemistry* **2013**, *92*, 122–127.
- (11) Francois, G.; Passreiter, C. M.; Woerdenbag, H. J.; van Looveren, M. *Planta Med.* **1996**, *62*, 126–129.
- (12) Unger, C.; Popescu, R.; Giessrigl, B.; Laimer, D.; Heider, S.; Seelinger, M.; Diaz, R.; Wallnofer, B.; Egger, G.; Hassler, M.; Knofler, M.; Saleh, L.; Sahin, E.; Grusch, M.; Fritzer-Szekeres, M.; Dolznig, H.; Frisch, R.; Kenner, L.; Kopp, B.; Krupitza, G. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2013**, *42*, 338–348.
- (13) Manchand, P. S.; Blount, J. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 4352–4354.
- (14) Passreiter, C. M.; Wendisch, D.; Gondol, D. *Phytochemistry* **1995**, *39*, 133–137.
- (15) Passreiter, C. M. *Phytochem. Anal.* **1998**, *9*, 67–70.
- (16) Passreiter, C. M. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **1998**, *26*, 839–843.
- (17) Kerr, K. M.; Mabry, T. J.; Yoser, S. *Phytochemistry* **1981**, *20*, 791–794.
- (18) Passreiter, C. M.; Sandoval-Ramirez, J.; Wright, C. W. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1999**, *62*, 1093–1095.
- (19) Ober, A. G.; Urbatsch, L. E.; Fischer, N. H. *Phytochemistry* **1987**, *26*, 1204–1206.
- (20) Wu, Q. X.; Shi, Y. P.; Jia, Z. *J. Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2006**, *23*, 699–734.
- (21) Mossmann, T. *J. Immunol. Methods* **1983**, *65*, 55–63.