

The Binomial k -Clique

Nithya Sai Narayana¹ and Sharad Sane²

¹N.E.S. Ratnam College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Bhandup,
Mumbai-400078, India

²Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay Powai,
Mumbai-400076, India

Received September 16, 2011; revised June 17, 2012

Published online 1 October 2012 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).
DOI 10.1002/jcd.21333

Abstract: A finite collection \mathcal{C} of k -sets, where $k \geq 2$, is called a k -clique if every two k -sets (called lines) in \mathcal{C} have a nonempty intersection and a k -clique is called a maximal k -clique if $|\mathcal{C}| < \infty$ and \mathcal{C} is maximal with respect to this property. That is, every two lines in \mathcal{C} have a nonempty intersection and there does not exist A such that $|A| \leq k$, $A \notin \mathcal{C}$ and $A \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. An elementary example of a maximal k -clique is furnished by the family of all the k -subsets of a $(2k - 1)$ -set. This k -clique will be called the binomial k -clique. This paper is intended to give some combinatorial characterizations of the binomial k -clique as a maximal k -clique. The techniques developed are then used to provide a large number of examples of mutually nonisomorphic maximal k -cliques for a fixed value of k . © 2012 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. *J. Combin. Designs* 21: 36–45, 2013

Keywords: clique; maximal k -clique; binomial clique; projective plane; hypergraph; uniform hypergraph

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let k be a natural number, $k \geq 2$. A k -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{C} is a finite family of k -sets (called its lines or lines, whose number is finite). \mathcal{C} is called a k -clique if every pair of k -sets in \mathcal{C} have a nonempty intersection. A k -clique is called a maximal k -clique (or a maximal clique) if there does not exist a set A of size $\leq k$ such that $A \notin \mathcal{C}$ and $A \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. A nonempty set M of size k is said to be a spanning set or a covering set of a k -clique \mathcal{C} if $X \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and for a maximal k -clique \mathcal{C} every covering set is a line in \mathcal{C} and conversely every line in \mathcal{C} is a covering set.

The maximal k -clique problem has attracted much attention in the last 30 years. A large number of constructions of maximal k -cliques are known in the literature (see the references) where the main focus was on finding maximal k -cliques (for a given k) with the smallest number of lines. In some sense, the problem we discuss here is of the

opposite kind. We wish to study maximal k -cliques that have a large number of lines. Such maximal k -cliques have been considered in Drake [4], Tuza [9, 10] and Erdős-Lovász [6]). In particular, the object, which is of central focus in this paper, is a combinatorial characterization of the following well-known construction.

Definition 1.1. *Let $k \geq 2$ and S be a set of order $2k - 1$. Let \mathbf{C} consist of all the k -subsets of S . This k -uniform hypergraph is called **the binomial k -clique**.*

It is easily seen that \mathbf{C} is a k -clique as two disjoint sets in \mathbf{C} would require $|S| \geq 2k$. Further \mathbf{C} is a maximal k -clique because if $|E| \leq k$ and $E \notin \mathbf{C}$ then E is not a k -subset of S and hence $|E \cap S| \leq k - 1$ so that \exists some $X \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $X \cap E = (X \cap S) \cap E = X \cap (S \cap E) = \emptyset$. Note that for the binomial k -clique \mathbf{C} , we have $|\mathbf{C}| = \binom{2k-1}{k}$. Let v denote the number of points in a maximal k -clique \mathbf{C} and let b denote the number of lines. By two-way counting of the set $\{(x, X) : x \in X \in \mathbf{C}\}$, we get $kb \leq v(b - 2k + 2)$. Among all the maximal k -cliques, \mathbf{C} , the binomial k -clique seems to have the largest ratio $\frac{b}{v}$. In fact, for binomial k -clique we have

$$\frac{b}{v} = \binom{2k-1}{k-1} \frac{1}{2k-1} = (k+1)C_k,$$

where C_k represents the Catalan Number. We also note that a construction due to Drake [4] starts with a maximal k -clique, and recursively constructs maximal $(k+1)$ -clique with the number of lines greater than the one given by the binomial k -clique.

This paper is intended to give a combinatorial characterization of the binomial clique. This is done in Sections 2 and 3. We then go on to construct a large number of maximal k -cliques that have the same number lines as those of the binomial k -clique but have very large number of points. This theme was initiated in a seminal paper of Erdős and Lovász [6]. In particular, we show that for a fixed k , we can construct a large number of nonisomorphic maximal k -cliques with the number of such nonisomorphic maximal k -cliques lower bounded by an exponential function in k (and hence goes to infinity with k). The maximal k -clique theory has a close association with extremal hypergraphs. Let \mathbf{C} be a finite family of k -element sets and $\nu(\mathbf{G})$ denote the maximum number of pairwise disjoint sets in \mathbf{C} . If $\nu(\mathbf{C}) = 1$, then any two lines in \mathbf{C} have a non-empty intersection. The collection of k -sets \mathbf{C} is said to be ν -critical if replacing any member of \mathbf{C} by a proper nonempty subset, the number of pairwise disjoint subsets becomes larger. When \mathbf{C} is 1-critical, each line in \mathbf{C} intersects with each other line and replacing a line by one of its proper subset, there exists at least one line in \mathbf{C} disjoint with it. It is clear that all maximal k -cliques are 1-critical uniform k -hypergraphs but a 1-critical k -uniform hypergraph need not be a maximal k -clique. The following result is due to Tuza [10].

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathbf{C} be a maximal k -clique. Then $|\mathbf{C}| \leq (1 - e^{-1} + \epsilon_k)k^k$ where $0 < \epsilon_k < e^{-1}$ and tends to 0 for large k .*

It now makes sense to define $M(k) (< \infty)$ to be the largest size $|\mathbf{C}|$ of a maximal k -clique \mathbf{C} .

2. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE BINOMIAL CLIQUE

The set-up is as follows. \mathbf{C} denotes a (maximal) k -clique. The members of \mathbf{C} are denoted by upper case letters A, B, X, Y , etc. The point set of \mathbf{C} will be denoted by V and the members of V are denoted by lower case letters a, x, y , etc. The term *flag* is used to denote a point-line pair (x, X) where x is contained in X . By $\dot{\cup}$, we mean disjoint union. Given a k -clique \mathbf{C} , we say that a set M is a *blocking set* of \mathbf{C} if $M \cap X \neq \emptyset \forall X \in \mathbf{C}$. Notice that if a k -clique has blocking set of size $< k$ then, we can add some more points not in the k -clique to make it a blocking set of size k . Also observe that \mathbf{C} is a maximal k -clique iff there is no set M of k points blocking \mathbf{C} such that $M \notin \mathbf{C}$. We also note that a blocking set has been called a covering or a spanning set in Section 1.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathbf{C} be a maximal k -clique.*

- (a) *Let $a \in A$ where A is a line of \mathbf{C} . Then there exists a line B such that $A \cap B = \{a\}$.*
- (b) *Let $A \cap B = \{a\}$, where $A, B \in \mathbf{C}$, then B is the unique line intersecting A at a if and only if $A - \{a\} \cup \{b\} \in \mathbf{C}$ for all $b \in B, b \neq a$.*

Proof. Suppose such a B does not exist. Then $A - \{a\}$ is a blocking set of \mathbf{C} , a contradiction as \mathbf{C} is a maximal k -clique, proving (a). Suppose B is the unique line such that $A \cap B = \{a\}$. Then the only line that is not blocked by $A - \{a\}$ is B . This forces that $A - \{a\} \cup \{b\} \in \mathbf{C}$ for all $b \in B$. Conversely suppose $A - \{a\} \cup \{b\} \in \mathbf{C}$ for all $b \in B$ with $b \neq a$. Let $B = \{a = b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$. Let $A_j = A - \{a\} \dot{\cup} \{b_j\}$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, k$. Suppose a line Y meets A in a alone. Then Y must intersect A_j in $b_j, j = 2, 3, \dots, k$. So Y contains each of the point a, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_k and hence $Y = B$. \square

An easy consequence of Lemma 2.1 is the following.

Corollary 2.2. *Let \mathbf{C} be a maximal k -clique. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ such that $X, Y \in \mathbf{C}$. Suppose X is the unique line intersecting Y at $x_1 = y_1$. Then there exist lines Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_k in \mathbf{C} such that*

- (a) *$X \cap Y_i = \{x_i\}$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$ and*
- (b) *X is the unique line that intersects Y_i at x_i .*

Proof. $Y \cap X = \{x_1\}$ and X is a unique such line. By Lemma 2.1(b) we have $Y - \{x_1\} \cup \{x_i\} \in \mathbf{C}$. Let $Y_i = Y - \{x_1\} \cup \{x_i\}$. Then $X \cap Y_i = \{x_i\}$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$. This proves (a). Note that $Y_i - \{x_i\} \cup \{x_j\} = Y_j \in \mathbf{C}$ for all $x_i \in X$. Hence by Lemma 2.1(b) again, X is unique line that intersects Y_i at x_i . \square

Definition 2.3. *Let \mathbf{C} be a maximal k -clique. Let $X \in \mathbf{C}$ and $x \in X$. A flag (x, X) is said to be *extremal* if there exists a unique Y such that $X \cap Y = \{x\}$. A line $X \in \mathbf{C}$ is said to be *extremal* if for all $x \in X$ the flag (x, X) is extremal. A point p is said to be *extremal* if each line containing p is extremal.*

Theorem 2.4. *Let $k \geq 3$. If \mathbf{C} is a maximal k -clique with an extremal point, then \mathbf{C} is the binomial clique and conversely.*

Proof. Our set up and notations are as follows. p is an extremal point in \mathbf{C} (which we fix). $X \cap Y = \{p\}$, $X' = X - \{p\} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$, $Y' = Y - \{p\} = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-1}\}$. Converse follows easily and the proof of Theorem 2.4 is given through the following steps.

Step 1

- (i) $\forall y \in Y', X' \dot{\cup} \{y\} \in \mathbf{C}$ and
- (ii) $\forall x \in X', Y' \dot{\cup} \{x\} \in \mathbf{C}$. □

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2.1(b).

Step 2

- (i) $\forall y \in Y', \forall x \in X', Y - \{y\} \dot{\cup} \{x\} \in \mathbf{C}$.
- (ii) $\forall x \in X', \forall y \in Y', X - \{x\} \dot{\cup} \{y\} \in \mathbf{C}$. □

Proof. Let $x \in X'$. By Step 1, $U = \{x\} \dot{\cup} Y' \in \mathbf{C}$. Further $p \in X$ and hence X is an extremal line and the unique line intersecting X in x must be U . By applying Step 1 again, $X - \{x\} \dot{\cup} \{y\} \in \mathbf{C}$ and (ii) holds by symmetry.

Step 3 Let $r \geq 1$. Then $\forall \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\} \subseteq X'$ and $\forall \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\} \subseteq Y'$, we have

- (i) $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r, y_r, \dots, y_{k-1}\} \in \mathbf{C}$.
- (ii) $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r, x_r, \dots, x_{k-1}\} \in \mathbf{C}$.
- (iii) $X - \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\} \dot{\cup} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\} \in \mathbf{C}$.
- (iv) $Y - \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\} \dot{\cup} \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\} \in \mathbf{C}$. □

Proof. Let $r = 1$. Then (i) and (ii) follow from Step 1, and (iii) and (iv) follow from Step 2. So the assertion holds for $r = 1$. This is the basis of induction. Let $r \geq 2$ and assume that the result is true for $r - 1$. By induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} L &= X - \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}\} \dot{\cup} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{r-1}\} \\ &= \{p, y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}, x_r, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}\} \in \mathbf{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= Y - \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{r-1}\} \dot{\cup} \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}\} \\ &= \{p, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, y_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{k-1}\} \in \mathbf{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, L and M intersect at the unique point p . Hence, Step 1 is applicable to get $M - \{p\} \dot{\cup} \{x_r\} \in \mathbf{C}$. Let this line be denoted by T . Thus, $T = \{x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, x_r, y_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{k-1}\} \in \mathbf{C}$ which proves (i) and (ii) follows by symmetry.

We can thus assume that (i) and (ii) both hold for r . Further, T and L intersect at the unique point x_r . Here, p is extremal and $p \in L$. So, L also is extremal. Hence the flag (x_r, L) is extremal. We see that T must be the unique line that intersects L in x_r . Applying Step 1, we get $L - \{x_r\} \dot{\cup} \{y_r\} \in \mathbf{C}$ (here $y_r \in T$ but $y_r \notin L$). So

$$\{p, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}\} = X - \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\} \dot{\cup} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\} \in \mathbf{C}.$$

This proves (iii) and (iv) follows by symmetry. We have thus completed proof of Step 3. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $S = X \cup Y = \{p, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-1}\}$. Let A be any k -subset of S . Let $p \in A$. Let $A \neq X, Y$. Note that $S = Y \dot{\cup} X'$. Let $|A \cap (Y - p)| = r$ where $r \geq 0$. Then, $|A \cap Y| = r + 1$ and therefore $|A \cap X'| = k - (r + 1) = (k - 1) - r$. So, by relabeling of points, we can assume that $A = X - \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\} \dot{\cup} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\}$ and then $A \in \mathbf{C}$ by Step 3 (iii). On the other hand if $p \notin A$ then $|A \cap X'| = r$, for some $r \geq 1$ and then $|A \cap Y'| = k - r$. Thus by relabeling of points again, we can assume that $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r, y_r, \dots, y_{k-1}\}$ and by Step 3 (i), $A \in \mathbf{C}$. So all the subsets of S are in \mathbf{C} . It is then easily seen that \mathbf{C} is the binomial k -clique. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let \mathbf{C} be a maximal k -clique. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) \mathbf{C} is a binomial k -clique.
- (b) Given any flag (a, A) , \exists at least $k - 1$ lines X in \mathbf{C} such that $X \cap A = A - \{a\}$.
- (c) Given any flag $(a, A) \exists$ exactly $k - 1$ lines X in \mathbf{C} such that $X \cap A = A - \{a\}$.

Proof. (a) implies, (b) follows by looking at the construction of the binomial k -clique. Let (b) hold. Each line X with $X \cap A = A - \{a\} = A'$ has exactly one point x such that $A' \dot{\cup} \{x\} = X$ and if $X \neq Y$ with $Y \cap A = A'$ and $A' \dot{\cup} \{y\} = Y$, we must have $x \neq y$. Let the set of lines that intersect A in A' be $T = \{X_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, t\}$ where $X_j = A' \dot{\cup} \{x_j\}$. Then by assumption $t \geq k - 1$. Let B be some line such that $B \cap A = \{a\}$. Then $A' \cap B = \emptyset$ and therefore B must contain each x_j and $|B - \{a\}| \leq k - 1$ implies $t \leq k - 1$. So, $t = k - 1$ and $B = \{a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$. If (c) holds then, continuing the above argument we see that the line B such that $B \cap A = \{a\}$ is unique and hence each flag (a, A) is extremal. So by Theorem 2.4, \mathbf{C} is the binomial k -clique and hence (a) holds. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let \mathbf{C} be a maximal k -clique containing a point p with the following property: for any line X containing the point p and for each $x \in X$ there exist at least (and in fact exactly) $k - 1$ lines Y such that $X \cap Y = X - \{x\}$. Then \mathbf{C} is the binomial k -clique.*

Example 2.7. (Erdős-Lovász [6]) Let \mathbf{C} denote the maximal 3-clique on the point set $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. The lines of \mathbf{C} are from two sets \mathbf{C}_1 and \mathbf{C}_2 where \mathbf{C}_1 is given by

$$\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}$$

and \mathbf{C}_2 is given by

$$\{\{1, 2, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 3, 6\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \{1, 4, 7\}, \{2, 3, 7\}\}.$$

This clique is obtained from the binomial 3-clique on the point set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ by replacement of 5 with 6 in the lines $\{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}$ and by the replacement of 5 with 7 in the lines $\{1, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 5\}$. The same idea is explored in Section 4 for all values of k . It is easy to verify that \mathbf{C} is a maximal 3-clique. The flag $(1, \{1, 2, 5\})$ is not extremal since both $\{1, 3, 6\}$ and $\{1, 4, 7\}$ intersect $\{1, 2, 5\}$ in 1 and hence the line $\{1, 2, 5\}$ is not extremal. We thus see (by symmetry of the construction) that no line in \mathbf{C}_2 is extremal. On the other hand, each line in \mathbf{C}_1 is extremal. Since each point p in V is on some line

of \mathbf{C}_2 , no point of \mathbf{C} is extremal. This shows that the hypothesis of Theorem 2.4 cannot be weakened, in general.

3. FURTHER CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BINOMIAL k -CLIQUE

As in the previous sections, \mathbf{C} denotes a maximal k -clique. We also fix a natural number t where $1 \leq t \leq k - 1$.

Definition 3.1. Let $X \in \mathbf{C}$. Let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \subset X$, then $(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, X)$ is said to be a t -flag if the t points p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t are all distinct. Note that this generalizes the earlier definition of a flag.

Definition 3.2. Let $X \in \mathbf{C}$ and let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}$ be a t -subset of X . The t -flag $(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, X)$ is said to be t -extremal if there exists a unique set $A = A(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, X)$ such that the following conditions hold.

- (a) $|A| = k - 1$.
- (b) $A \cap X = \emptyset$.
- (c) For each subset B of A with $|B| = k - t$ there exists a line

$$\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \dot{\cup} B \in \mathbf{C}$$

and these are the only lines that intersect X in $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}$.

- (d) Exchange Property: Let $Y \cap X = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}$ and let

$$Y = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \dot{\cup} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t}\}$$

where $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t} \in A$. Let $A - Y = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}\}$. Then the t -flag $(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, Y)$ satisfies the properties (a), (b), and (c) mentioned above and

$$A' = A(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, Y) = X - \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \dot{\cup} \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}\}.$$

Properties (a) through (d) will be collectively referred to as t -extremal properties.

Theorem 3.3. Let \mathbf{C} be a maximal k -clique. Let $2 \leq t \leq \frac{k}{2}$. \mathbf{C} is a binomial k -clique if and only if there exists a point p in \mathbf{C} such that, for every line X containing p , there is a t -subset, say B of X , which is t -extremal and there exists a t -subset of $X - B$, which is t -extremal.

Proof. It is clear that if \mathbf{C} is a binomial k -clique the conditions specified in the Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Now suppose the conditions specified in the statement of the Theorem are satisfied. Let X be a line containing p . Let $X = \{p = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-t}\}$ and let the t -flag $(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, X)$ be t -extremal. Let $Y = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t}\}$ and $X \cap Y = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, X) \\ &= Y - \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \dot{\cup} \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}\} \\ &= \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the $2k - 1$ points

$$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-t}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}$$

are all distinct. The proof will be given in the following steps.

Step 1 Let $x = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - t$ and $z = z_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1$. Then $X - \{x\} \dot{\cup} \{z\} \in \mathbf{C}$. \square

Proof. Clearly X is a line intersecting Y at $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, X) \\ &= Y - \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \dot{\cup} \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}\} \\ &= \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the t -extremal property (d) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= A(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, Y) \\ &= X - \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \dot{\cup} \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}\} \\ &= \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-t}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $W = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-t}\} - \{x_i\} \dot{\cup} \{z_j\}$ then W is a $(k - t)$ subset of A' and by the t -extremal property (c) applied to the t -flag $(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, Y)$, $W \dot{\cup} \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \in \mathbf{C}$. So, $X - \{x\} \dot{\cup} \{z\} \in \mathbf{C}$. \square

Step 2 Let $x = x_i$ and $y = y_j$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - t$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - t$ then $X - \{x\} \dot{\cup} \{y\} \in \mathbf{C}$.

Proof. Since $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t}\} - \{y_j\} \dot{\cup} \{z_1\}$ is a $(k - t)$ -subset of

$$A = A(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, X) = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t}\}$$

using Step 1 for Y , we get

$$Y' = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t}\} - \{y_j\} \dot{\cup} \{z_1\} = Y - \{y_j\} \dot{\cup} \{z_1\} \in \mathbf{C}.$$

So,

$$\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t, z_1, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_{k-t}\} \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Further, $Y' \cap X = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, X) \\ &= \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-t}\} \\ &= Y' - \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \dot{\cup} \{y_j, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the t -extremal property (d), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= A(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}, Y') \\ &= X - \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \dot{\cup} \{y_j, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}\} \\ &= \{y_j, z_2, \dots, z_{t-1}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-t}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-t}\} - \{x_i\} \dot{\cup} \{y_j\} = \{y_j, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k-t}\}$ is a $(k-t)$ subset of A' . By the t -extremal property (c),

$$\{y_j, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k-t}\} \dot{\cup} \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\} \in \mathbf{C}.$$

So $X - \{x_i\} \dot{\cup} \{y_j\} \in \mathbf{C}$. That is, $X - \{x\} \dot{\cup} \{y\} \in \mathbf{C}$. \square

By steps 1 and 2, for $1 \leq i \leq k-t$, there exists at least $k-1$ lines Y such that $X \cap Y = X - \{x_i\}$.

Step 3 There exists at least $k-1$ lines Y such that $X \cap Y = X - \{p_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

Proof. Consider $X = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-t}\}$. By assumption there exists a t -subset of $X - \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}$ say $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$, which is t -extremal. Using Steps 1 and 2 and by changing the role of $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t\}$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$, we obtain at least $k-1$ lines Y such that $X \cap Y = X - \{p_i\}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. By steps 1 and 2, there exist at least $k-1$ lines that intersect X at $X - \{x_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-t$. Further by Step 3, there exist at least $k-1$ lines that intersect X at $X - \{p_j\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Since X is an arbitrary line of \mathbf{C} containing p , Corollary 2.6, shows that \mathbf{C} is a binomial k -clique. \square

4. GENERALIZED BINOMIAL k -CLIQUE

We begin with the following general construction of a maximal k -clique. This construction first appeared in the paper by Erdős and Lovász [6].

Construction 4.1. Let $k \geq 3$ be a fixed integer. Let S and T be two disjoint sets of sizes s and $2k-2$ respectively, where $s \geq 1$. Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$. The (maximal) k -clique \mathbf{C} we now construct has $V = S \dot{\cup} T$ as its point-set. The line-set of \mathbf{C} has lines of two types. \mathbf{C}_1 denotes the collection of all the k -subsets of T . Let $\mathbf{F} = \{A : A \subset T \text{ and } |A| = k-1\}$. Note that for each member A of \mathbf{F} , there is a unique member B of \mathbf{F} , which is disjoint from A . Let

$$\mathbf{G} = \{\{A, B\} : A, B \in \mathbf{F} \text{ and } A \cap B = \emptyset\}.$$

Note that $|\mathbf{F}| = \binom{2k-2}{k-1}$ and hence $|\mathbf{G}| = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k-2}{k-1}$. Define a surjective function $g : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow S$. Finally, if $g(\{A, B\}) = \alpha_i$, then let $\overline{A} = A \dot{\cup} \alpha_i$ and $\overline{B} = B \dot{\cup} \alpha_i$. Define $\mathbf{C}_2 = \{\overline{A} : A \in \mathbf{F}\}$. Let \mathbf{C} denote the disjoint union $\mathbf{C}_1 \dot{\cup} \mathbf{C}_2$. This configuration is called the **generalized binomial k -clique**.

Theorem 4.2. (Erdős-Lovász [6]) The generalized binomial k -clique is a maximal k -clique with the number of lines equal to $\binom{2k-1}{k}$.

Definition 4.3. Let \mathbf{C} denote the generalized binomial clique as in Construction 4.1. Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ and let

$$a_i = |\{\{A, B\} \in \mathbf{G} : g(\{A, B\}) = \alpha_i\}|.$$

We also assume without loss of generality (by relabeling the elements of S if necessary) that the $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_s \geq 1$. Then the monotonically decreasing sequence (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s) of positive integers is called the **frequency sequence associated with the generalized binomial k -clique \mathbf{C}** .

We recall that the replication number of a point refers to the number of lines containing that point. The assertion of the following Lemma 4.4 with $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = 2$ is due to Erdős and Lovász [6].

Lemma 4.4. *Let \mathbf{C} be a generalized binomial k -clique with associated frequency sequence (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s) . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (a) $v = |V| = 2k - 2 + s$ and $b = \binom{2k-1}{k}$ and thus the number of lines in a generalized binomial k -clique is the same as those in the binomial k -clique.
- (b) Let $\alpha_i \in S$. Then α_i has replication number $2a_i$.
- (c) We have the following partition of the integer $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2k-2}{k-1}$:

$$\frac{1}{2}\binom{2k-2}{k-1} = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_s. \quad (*)$$

Further, \mathbf{C} is the binomial k -clique iff $|S| = 1$ (which is the case if the partition given in the previous sentence is the trivial partition with $s = 1$).

- (d) If \mathbf{C} is not the binomial clique, then replication numbers in \mathbf{C} are $\binom{2k-2}{k-1}$ (if the point is in T) and $2a_i$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$.
- (e) Isomorphic generalized binomial k -cliques have the same associated frequency sequences and in particular, if two distinct generalized binomial k -cliques have distinct frequency sequences, then they are not isomorphic.

All the assertions are routine verifications; the following two Theorems are then direct consequences of Lemma 4.4.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $k \geq 3$. Then there exists a maximal k -clique with the number of points v any number between $2k - 1$ and $2k - 2 + \frac{1}{2}\binom{2k-2}{k-1}$ with $\binom{2k-1}{k}$ lines.*

Theorem 4.6. *Let $k \geq 3$. The number of mutually nonisomorphic k -cliques is at least as large as $p(n)$ where $p(n)$ denotes the number of partitions of n and $n = \frac{1}{2}\binom{2k-2}{k-1}$.*

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Tuza [9] has essentially proved that the maximal k -clique with $2k - 2 + \frac{1}{2}\binom{2k-2}{k-1}$ constructed in Theorem 4.5 has the largest number of points (among all the maximal k -cliques). We are not aware of the proof of the following conjecture: The binomial clique gives the largest ratio $\frac{b}{v}$, the ratio between number of lines and the number of points.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the anonymous referees for useful suggestions that led to a considerable improvement in the final version of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Blokhuis, More on maximal intersecting families of finite sets, *J Comb Theory Ser A* 44 (1987), 299–303.
- [2] S. Dow, D. A. Drake, Z. Füredi, and J. A. Larson, A lower bound for the cardinality of a maximal family of mutually intersecting sets of equal size. In *Proceedings of 16th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph theory and Computing* (1985).
- [3] D. A. Drake, Maximal $(k + 1)$ -cliques that carry maximal k -cliques. *Proc. Conference on Finite Geometries*, Marcel-Dekker, Winnipeg, 1985.
- [4] D. A. Drake, Embedding maximal cliques of sets in maximal cliques of bigger sets, *Discrete Math* 58 (1986), 229–242.
- [5] D. A. Drake and S. S. Sane, Maximal intersecting families of finites sets and n -uniform Hjelmslev planes, *Proc Amer Math Soc* 86 (1982), 358–362.
- [6] P. Erdős and L. Lovász, Problems and Results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions, *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai*, Volume 10, Infinite and finite sets, Keszthely, Hungary, 1973.
- [7] Z. Füredi, On maximal intersecting families of finite sets, *J Comb Theory Ser A* 28 (1980), 282–289.
- [8] J. C. Meyer, Quelques problèmes concernant les cliques des hypergraphes h completset q parti h -complets, *Springer-verlag Lecture Notes* 411 (1974), 127–139.
- [9] Z. Tuza, Minimum number of elements representing a set system of given rank, *J Comb Theory Ser A* 52 (1989) 84–89.
- [10] Z. Tuza, Inequalities for minimal covering sets in set systems of given rank, *Discrete Appl Math* 51 (1994), 187–195.