ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227786037

On some problems in quantum pharmacology I. The partition functions

ARTICLE in INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF QUANTUM CHEMISTRY · JUNE 1983

Impact Factor: 1.43 · DOI: 10.1002/qua.560230610

CITATIONS READS
12 19

1 AUTHOR:



Juan-Sebastián Gómez-Jeria

University of Chile

140 PUBLICATIONS 808 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

On Some Problems in Quantum Pharmacology I. The Partition Functions

J. S. GOMEZ-JERIA

Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Básicas y Farmaceúticas, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile

Abstract

We performed an analysis of the drug-receptor equilibrium constant, $K_i = \{Q_{D_iR}Q_{D_i}^{-1}Q_R^{-1}\}\exp\left(-\Delta\varepsilon_i/kT\right)$. It is shown that, for a group of nonrotating molecules, we may consider the product of the partition functions as constant if $\log(\text{mass}) = \text{constant}$ for all the molecules.

1. Introduction

One of the techniques employed in the study of quantitative structure-activity relationships of biologically active molecules employs the expression [1-6]:

$$\log K_{i} = a + \sum_{p} (b_{p}Q_{p} + c_{p}S_{p}^{E} + d_{p}S_{p}^{N}), \tag{1}$$

where K_i is an equilibrium constant, Q_p , S_p^E , and S_p^N are, respectively, the net charge, the electrophilic superdelocalizability, and the nucleophilic superdelocalizability of atom p in the drug; a, b_p , c_p , and d_p are constants, and the summation is over all the atoms that interact with the receptor. The index i refers to the ith molecule in a group under study. Equation (1) has been derived from the classical expression relating the equilibrium constant to the partition functions and therefore has a big advantage over other analogous formulas (i.e., Hansch analysis)—it is a mathematical equality in spite of the approximations made to obtain it. If we use the appropriate statistical methods to fit Eq. (1), the information we obtain may be considered more realistic than another kind of analysis.

Until today, no one has presented a careful analysis of the approximations made to obtain Eq. (1). More specifically, there is no reason, as some authors do, to consider the quotient of the partition functions as a constant in a given group of molecules interacting with the same receptor [1-3].

In this work we analyze the partition functions and we fix the conditions to consider them as constants. This should lead to an optimum employ of Eq. (1).

2. The Fundamental Equation

Let us consider the state of thermodynamic equilibrium, and a 1:1 stoichiometry in the formation of the drug-receptor complex:

$$D_i + R \rightleftharpoons D_i R, \tag{2}$$

1970 GOMEZ-JERIA

where D_i is the drug, R is the receptor, and D_iR is the drug-receptor complex. Most drug receptors are proteins and we shall concentrate our discussion on them, accepting that $mass(D_i) \ll mass(R)$ and that the receptor conformation is so strongly preferred that the binding energy of the ligand is accounted for entirely in terms of local atomic interactions. The thermodynamical hypothesis is valid only if weak intermolecular forces mediate in the complex formation.

The equilibrium constant for Eq. (2) is [7]

$$K_i = (Q_{D_iR}/Q_{D_i}Q_R) \exp\left(-\Delta\varepsilon_0^i/kT\right),\tag{3}$$

where $\Delta \varepsilon_0^i$ is the difference between the ground-state energy of D_iR and the energies of the ground state of D_i and R:

$$\Delta \varepsilon_0^i = \varepsilon_{D_i R} - (\varepsilon_{D_i} + \varepsilon_R), \tag{4}$$

and the Q's are the total partition functions measured from the ground state (in solution). T and k are the temperature and the Boltzmann constant, respectively.

In a logarithmic form, we have

$$\log K_i = \log \left(Q_{D_i R} / Q_{D_i} Q_R \right) - (A / T) \Delta \varepsilon_0^i, \tag{5}$$

where $A = 1.36789 \times 10^5$ (with the energies measured in a.u. and T in °K).

Normally, the first term of the right-hand side of Eq. (5) is considered to be constant along a group of molecules. In Sec. 3 we shall analyze the conditions that make this supposition valid.

3. The Partition Function

The complete molecular partition function (PF) is

$$Q = Q^{\text{trans}} \left[g_0^{(e)} Q^{(v,r)_0} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} g_j^{(e)} Q^{(v,r)} j \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta \varepsilon_j}{kT}\right) \right], \tag{6}$$

where $\Delta \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_0$, Q^{trans} is the translational PF, $Q^{(v,r)}$ are the rotation-vibration PF, and the g_i 's are the degeneracies of the different levels.

Having in mind that for practically all polyatomic molecules, the Boltzmann factors of the excited electronic states are negligible compared to those of the ground state (exceptions are some free radicals, NO₂ and ClO₂) [8], we may consider only the electronic ground state in the PF. Therefore we have

$$Q = Q^{\operatorname{trans}}Q^{(v,r)_0},\tag{7}$$

with $g_0^{(e)} = 1$.

Inserting Eq. (7) into Eq. (5), and rearranging we obtain:

$$\log K_{i} = \log \left(\frac{Q_{D_{i}R}^{\text{trans}}}{Q_{D_{i}}^{\text{trans}} Q_{R}^{\text{trans}}} \right) + \log \left(\frac{Q_{D_{i}R}^{(v,r)_{0}}}{Q_{D_{i}}^{(v,r)_{0}} Q_{R}^{(v,r)_{0}}} \right) - \left(\frac{A}{T} \right) \Delta \varepsilon_{i}.$$
 (8)

The translational PF per unit volume is (Ref. 7, p. 97)

$$Q^{\text{trans}} = 3.20317 \times 10^{27} M^{3/2} T^{3/2}, \tag{9}$$

where M is the molecular weight (in amu) and T is the temperature in ${}^{\circ}K$. With mass $(R) \simeq \text{mass } (D_i R)$, we have

$$\log\left(\frac{Q_{D_iR}^{\text{trans}}}{Q_{D_i}^{\text{trans}}Q_R^{\text{trans}}}\right) = -27.50558 - (\frac{3}{2})\log M_{D_i} - \frac{3}{2}\log T.$$
 (10)

In a first approach, we shall consider that the rotational and vibrational motions are independent and uncoupled, i.e., $Q^{(v,r)} = Q^{(v)}Q^{(r)}$. At the body temperature, we have $Q^{(v)_0} \approx 1.0$ [9]. In the case of the rotational partition functions, we may distinguish two cases.

- (a) The drug molecule is "well orientated" in the receptor's potential field, i.e., it does not rotate. We have in this case $Q^{(r)_0} = 1.0$.
- (b) The molecule can rotate. In this case, and considering that $I_i(D_iR) \simeq I_i(R)$, where I_i is the inertia moment about the j axis, we have

$$\log\left(\frac{Q_{D_{i}}^{(r)}R}{Q_{D_{i}}^{(r)}Q_{R}^{(r)}}\right) = 1.82987 - \frac{3}{2}\log T + \log\left(\frac{\sigma_{D_{i}}}{(ABC)^{1/2}}\right),\tag{11}$$

where σ_{D_i} is the symmetry number of D_i and ABC is the product of the three moments of inertia about the three principal axes of rotation [7]. The units of T and the inertia moment are ${}^{\circ}K$ and amu ${}^{\circ}\mathring{A}^2$, respectively. The symmetry number is characteristic for each point group and is equal to the number of indistinguishable positions into which the molecule can be turned by simple rigid rotations.

Finally, we have for T = 315 °K:

$$\log K_i = -33.17064 - 434.25079 \Delta \varepsilon_i - \frac{3}{2} \log M_{D_i} + \log \left[\sigma_{D_i} / (ABC)^{1/2} \right], (12)$$

for the case where D_i rotates freely, and

$$\log K_i = -31.25304 - 434.25079 \Delta \varepsilon_i - \frac{3}{2} \log M_{D_i}, \tag{13}$$

if D_i does not rotate.

Some authors have considered the quotient of the partition functions of Eq. (3) as constant [1-4]. This is equivalent to writing

$$\sigma_{D_i}/M_{D_i}^{3/2} (ABC)^{1/2} = \text{constant},$$
 (14)

for the rotating molecule case, and

$$M_{D_i} = \text{constant},$$
 (15)

for the other case.

If the conditions [Eqs. (14) or (15)] are true, the variation of K_i in a group of molecules will depend only on the variation of $\Delta \varepsilon_i$. This seems to be true for the tetracyclines [1] and the monoamine oxydase inhibitors [2], but some results in phenethylamines [10] and narcotic analgesics [11] suggest that the molecular mass must be included as an independent variable in the statistical fitting of Eq. (1).

Therefore, if in a certain group of molecules conditions, Eq. (15) or Eq. (14), fail and the molecular mass is not included in the statistical analysis, this fact

1972 GOMEZ-JERIA

must be reflected in equations with relatively low correlation coefficients and/or high standard deviation.

Acknowledgments

It is a great pleasure to acknowledge the kind hospitality of Professor Raymond Daudel, extended to the author at the Centre de Mécanique Ondulatoire Appliquée in Paris, where the inspiration for this work came. This work has received partial financial support from the Departamento del Desarrollo Científico (Universidad de Chile), through project No. 01574-8212.

Bibliography

- [1] F. Peradejordi, A. N. Martin, and A. Cammarata, J. Pharm. Sci. 60, 576 (1971).
- [2] F. Tomás and J. M. Aulló, J. Pharm. Sci. 68, 772 (1979).
- [3] J. S. Gómez-Jeria and L. Espinosa, Bol. Soc. Chil. Quim. 27, 142 (1982).
- [4] J. S. Gómez-Jeria, D. Morales, and H. Chavez, Proceedings of the IX Latinoamerican Congress on Pharmacology and Therapeutics (Santiago, 1982). p. 100.
- [5] F. Peradejordi, in Aspects de la Chimie Quantique Contemporaine, R. Daudel, Ed. (CNRS, Paris, 1971), p. 321.
- [6] A. Cammarata, in *Molecular Orbital Studies in Chemical Pharmacology*, L. B. Kier, Ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970), p. 156.
- [7] O. K. Rice, Statistical Mechanics, Thermodynamics and Kinetics (W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1967).
- [8] G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure. II. Infrared and Raman Spectra of Diatomic Molecules (Van Nostrand, New York, 1945).
- [9] J. H. Knox, Molecular Thermodynamics (Wiley-Interscience, London, 1971).
- [10] J. S. Gómez-Jeria and D. Morales, submitted for publication.
- [11] J. S. Gómez-Jeria, unpublished results.

Received June 2, 1982

Accepted for publication November 5, 1982