Directed Polymers at Finite Temperatures in 1+1 and 2+1 Dimensions[†]

Xiao-Hong Wang,^{‡,§} Shlomo Havlin,*,[‡] and Moshe Schwartz^{||}

Minerva Center and Department of Physics, Bar—Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel, Department of Thermal Science and Energy Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, People's Republic of China, and School of Physics and Astronomy, Raymond and Beversly Sackler Faculty of Exact Science, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel

Received: September 30, 1999; In Final Form: December 23, 1999

We present systematic numerical simulations for directed polymers at finite temperatures in 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions. The transverse fluctuations and free energy fluctuations tend to the strong coupling limit at any temperature in both 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions for long time t. Two different definitions for energy fluctuations at finite temperatures, which are the ensemble energy fluctuations and the internal energy fluctuations, are investigated. Apart from zero temperature, the behavior of the energy fluctuations and the free energy fluctuations for directed polymers is shown to be different. At finite temperatures, the ensemble energy fluctuations in both 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions and internal energy fluctuations in 1+1 dimensions scale as $t^{1/2}$ where the free energy fluctuations in 1+1 dimensions and 2+1 dimensions scale as $t^{1/2}$ and $t^{0.2}$ respectively. As a consequence of that the specific heat in both 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions scales as t and the entropy fluctuations in 1+1 dimensions scale as $t^{1/2}$ at any finite temperature.

Introduction

Rough surfaces and interfaces are found in a wide variety of natural and industrial processes. The KPZ equation provides a good quantitative understanding of surface growth phenomena. 1-5 It is well-known that the KPZ equation is equivalent to the problem of directed polymers in a random medium,6-9 which has attracted much attention in recent years. The problem of directed polymers is also relevant to many other fields ranging from paper rupture¹⁰ and spin glasses¹¹ to high-T_c superconductors. 12 The KPZ equation provides the exact dynamical exponent for directed polymers in 1+1 dimesions.⁶⁻⁸ A self-consistent expansion of the correlation function¹³ yields results compatible with simulations for 2+1 dimensions. Above 2+1 dimensions, the behavior of directed polymers has a phase transition when temperature is raised and the system goes over from the strong coupling behavior to a perturbative behavior. 13-18 The strong coupling behavior has been studied by extensive numerical investigations for the energy fluctuation at zero temperature, ^{6,8,19-21} which is ensemble fluctuations of the ground state energy of directed polymers. At finite temperatures, fluctuations of the free energy have been studied extensively but it seems that quantities such as fluctuations of the internal energy have not received much attentions.

In this article, we present systematic numerical simulations for directed polymers in 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions. Our numerical simulations show that the transverse fluctuation and free energy fluctuation crossover to the strong coupling behavior at any finite temperature in both 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions, in agreement with the predictions of the field theory.^{13,22,23} We

School of Physics and Astronomy.

define the energy fluctuations at finite temperatures using two different definitions: the ensemble energy fluctuations and the internal energy fluctuations. The difference between the two definitions is related to the specific heat. We find that the behavior of the energy fluctuation at finite temperatures is different from that at zero temperature. For understanding the energy fluctuations at finite temperatures, we introduce the entropy and study its fluctuation. The behavior of the energy fluctuations at finite temperatures can be understood after investigating the specific heat and the entropy fluctuations.

Model

Consider a directed polymer on a hyperpyramid lattice structure with the random energy assigned on each bond. The partition function G(x, t) for directed polymers starting from (0, 0) and ending at (x, t) is defined by $G(x, t) = \sum_{C} e^{-E_{C}T}$ where E_{C} is the sum of the energies on the path C and T is the temperature. The iteration relation for the partition function G(x, t) is

$$G(x, t+1) = G(x-1, t)e^{-\epsilon_{l}/T} + G(x+1, t)e^{-\epsilon_{r}/T}$$
 (1)

in which ϵ_1 and ϵ_r are the energy assigned to the left and right bonds of the point (x, t). The free energy F(t) is given by $F(t) = -T \ln G(t)$, where $G(t) = \sum_x G(x, t)$ is the total partition function. The transverse fluctuation Δx for the directed polymers is $(\langle x^2 \rangle)^{1/2}$ where $\langle x^2 \rangle = \sum_x x^2 G(x, t)/G(t)$ (\bar{A} is the ensemble average of the quantity A). The transverse fluctuation Δx and free energy fluctuation $\Delta F = (\bar{F}^2 - \bar{F}^2)^{1/2}$ have been commonly studied. Another interesting quantity for directed polymers is the energy fluctuation. It is clear that the energy fluctuation at zero temperature is just that of the free energy. For finite temperatures, we propose two definitions for the energy fluctuations. One is the *ensemble energy fluctuation* and the other is *internal energy fluctuation*. The ensemble energy

[†] Part of the special issue "Harvey Scher Festschrift".

^{*} Corresponding author.

[‡] Minerva Center and Department of Physics.

[§] Department of Thermal Science and Energy Engineering.




Figure 1. (a) Plot of the transverse fluctuations $(\Delta x)^2$ as a function of time t at different temperatures $T = 10, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{20}$ (from bottom to top) in d = 1 + 1 dimensions. (b) Plot of the free energy fluctuations $(\Delta F)^2$ as a function of time t at different temperatures $T = 10, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{40}$ (from bottom to top) in d = 1 + 1 dimensions.




Figure 2. Scaling plot of the transverse fluctuations $(\Delta x)^2$ shown in Figure 1a and the free energy fluctuations $(\Delta F)^2$ shown in Figure 1b for d = 1+1 dimensions where circle, square, diamond, triangle up, triangle left, triangle down correspond to different temperatures T = 10, 5, 2, 1.0, 0.5, 0.25, respectively.

fluctuation is defined by $(\Delta E)_B \equiv (\overline{\langle E^2 \rangle} - \overline{\langle E \rangle^2})^{1/2}$, in which $\langle E \rangle$ is the internal energy:

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{\sum_{x} \sum_{C} E_{C} e^{-E_{C}/T}}{\sum_{x} \sum_{C} e^{-E_{C}/T}} = T^{2} \frac{\partial \ln G}{\partial T}$$
 (2)

and

$$\langle E^2 \rangle = \frac{\sum_{x} \sum_{C} E_C^2 e^{-E_C/T}}{\sum_{x} \sum_{C} e^{-E_C/T}} = T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left[T^2 \frac{\partial \ln G}{\partial T} \right] + \left[T^2 \frac{\partial \ln G}{\partial T} \right]^2$$
(3)

The internal energy fluctuation is defined by $(\Delta E)_T \equiv (\langle E \rangle^2 - \langle \overline{E} \rangle^2)^{1/2}$. It is obvious that both the two energy fluctuations will degenerate into the fluctuation of the sum of the energy on the optimal path when temperature tends to zero. From eqs 2 and 3, we have

$$(\Delta E)_B^2 = (\Delta E)_T^2 + T^2 C(T, t) \tag{4}$$

where $C(T, t) = \partial/\partial T [T^2 \overline{\partial \ln G/\partial T}] = \partial \overline{\langle E \rangle}/\partial T$ is the specific heat.²⁴

In order to understand the internal energy fluctuation, we use the entropy as $S = (\langle E \rangle - F)/T$ and the entropy fluctuation is $\Delta S = (\overline{S^2} - \overline{S^2})^{1/2}$. It is easy to see that

$$(\Delta S)^2 = \frac{1}{T^2} \left[(\Delta E)_T^2 + (\Delta F)^2 \right] - \frac{2}{T^2} B(T, t)$$
 (5)

where
$$B(T, t) = \overline{\langle E \rangle F} - \overline{\langle E \rangle} \overline{F} = -1/2 T^3 \frac{\partial}{T} [(\Delta F)^2 / T^2].$$

The above quantities can be calculated by the iteration relations given in Appendix. In the following, we present systematic numerical simulations based upon eq 1 and eq A1 and A2 from the Appendix with the initial conditions $G(x, 0) = \delta_{x,0}$, $\hat{E}(x, 0) = 0$, and $\hat{E}_2(x, 0) = 0$. The random energy assigned on the bond is assumed to be uniformly distributed in the interval (-0.5, 0.5) and uncorrelated in space and time. The effective program RAN2 was used for generating the uniform distributed random number.²⁵ We use length up to t = 2000 (d = 1 + 1) and t = 150 (d = 2 + 1). Some six thousand configurations for t = 1000 (d = 1 + 1) and t = 100 (d = 1 + 1) and some four thousand configurations for t = 100 (d = 1 + 1) dimensions were collected for the ensemble average. In order to avoid unstability, we normalize the partition function at every time step.

Directed Polymers in 1+1 and 2+1 Dimensions. At infinite temperature, directed polymers become random walks: the transverse fluctuation Δx will scale as $t^{1/2}$, and the ensemble




Figure 3. (a) Plot of the transverse fluctuations $(\Delta x)^2$ as a function of time t at different temperatures $T = 1, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}$ (from bottom to top) in d=2+1 dimensions. (b) Plot of the free energy fluctuations $(\Delta F)^2$ as a function of time t at different temperatures $T=1,\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{8},\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{15}$, $^{1}/_{40}$ (from bottom to top) in d=2+1 dimensions.




Figure 4. (a) Scaling plot for the transverse fluctuations $(\Delta x)^2$ in d=2+1 dimensions where circle, square, diamond, triangle up, triangle left, correspond to different temperatures $T=1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{10}$, respectively. (b) Scaling plot for the free energy fluctuations $(\Delta F)^2$ in d=2+1dimensions where circle, square, diamond, triangle up, triangle down, star, triangle right correspond to different temperatures $T = 5, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$, $^{1}/_{5}$, respectively.

energy fluctuation will be $(\Delta E)_{\rm B} \propto t^{1/2}$ because the ensemble energy fluctuation at N time steps is only the variance of the sum of N uncorrelated random numbers at the limit. The free energy fluctuation for infinite temperature can be predicted by the Edwards-Wilkinson equation²⁶⁻²⁸ that describes the linear surface growth phenomena. It follows that the free energy fluctuation ΔF scales as $t^{1/4}$ in 1+1 dimensions and tends to the logarithmic behavior in 2+1 dimensions.

When approaching zero temperature, the system will take the strong coupling behavior. Our simulations show that the transverse fluctuation scales as t^{ν} and both the free energy and energy fluctuations scales as t^{ω} , in which $\nu = \frac{2}{3}$, $\omega = \frac{1}{3}$ for d=1+1, and $\nu\approx0.6$, $\omega\approx0.2$ for d=2+1. These results are in agreement with the theoretical treatments and the previous numerical works.6-9,13,19-20

The problem of directed polymers in 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions at finite temperatures is investigated. Our simulations show that the transverse fluctuation Δx crossovers from $t^{1/2}$ to $t^{2/3}$ and the free energy fluctuation ΔF crossovers from $t^{1/4}$ to $t^{1/3}$ in 1+1 dimensions at any finite temperature (see Figure 1) in agreement with earlier arguments.²⁹ The scaling relations Δx = $T^2 f_1(T^{-4}t)$ and $\Delta F = Tg_1(T^{-4}t)$, which describe the crossover from the Edwards-Wilkinson behavior to KPZ behavior in 1+1 dimensions given by Amar and Family using the scaling analysis, 29 are confirmed for T > 0.25 (see Figure 2).

Similar to 1+1 dimensions, the transverse fluctuation Δx and free energy fluctuation ΔF crossover from $t^{1/2}$ to $t^{0.6}$ and from the logarithmic behavior to $t^{0.2}$ respectively in 2+1 dimensions (see Figure 3). For 2+1 dimensions, we can fit the data very well using $(\Delta F)^2 = 0.0068ln(1 + 20t)$ at very high temperature. Assuming the scaling relations $\Delta x = T f_2(T^{-2}t)$ for T > 0.1 and $\Delta F = Tg_2(T^{-2}ln(1+20t))$ for T > 0.2, the data collapse very well (see Figure 4). We note that an argument for the crossover time ln $t_{\rm x} \sim T^2$ for the free energy fluctuation has been given earlier. 16,22,23 Also note that our numerical data suggest that the crossover time for Δx and ΔF are different.

The difference between the two different definitions for the energy fluctuation is proportional to the specific heat as shown in eq 4. Our simulations shows that that specific heat $C(T, t) \rightarrow$ 0 for $T \rightarrow 0$ as $O(T^{\alpha})$ where $\alpha = 1.3$ for d = 1 + 1, $\alpha = 1.6$ for d = 2 + 1 and $C(T, t) \rightarrow \sigma^2/T^2$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$ where $\sigma =$ $(1/12)^{1/2}$ is the variance of the random numbers. We also find that the specific heat scales as t at any temperature in both 1+1and 2+1 dimensions (see Figure 5). Figure 6 shows that the ensemble energy fluctuations $(\Delta E)_B^2$ scale as t as the specific heat apart from zero temperature. We find very good fit with the scaling relations $(\Delta E)^2_B = e^{1/T}h_1(e^{-1.5/T}t)$ in 1+1 dimensions and $(\Delta E)_R^2 = e^{0.2/T} h_2(e^{-0.5/T}t)$ in 2+1 dimensions for $T \le \frac{1}{3}$ (see Figure 7). Howevever, in both 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions, the picture for the internal energy fluctuation is not clear (see




Figure 5. Plot of the specific heat as a function of temperature, (a) for the different time t = 100, 500, 1000, 2000 in d = 1 + 1 dimensions and (b) for the different time t = 50, 100, 120, 150 in d = 2 + 1 dimensions.




Figure 6. Plot of the ensemble energy fluctuations (ΔE)²_B as a function of time, (a) for different temperatures $T = 10, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{20}$ (from top to bottom) in d = 1 + 1 dimensions and (b) for different temperatures $T = 1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{20}$ (from top to bottom) in d = 2 + 1 dimensions.




Figure 7. (a) Scaling plot for the ensemble energy fluctuations $(\Delta E)_{\rm B}^2$ in d=1+1 dimensions where circle, square, diamond, triangle up, triangle left, triangle down correspond to different temperatures $T=\frac{1}{12},\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{8},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{5},\frac{1}{3}$, respectively. (b) Scaling plot in d=2+1 dimensions where circle, square, diamond, triangle up, triangle left correspond to $T=\frac{1}{25},\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{18},\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{3}$, respectively.

Figure 8). We note that the internal energy fluctuations is not monotonic with the temperature. But, at the two limits of zero and infinite temperatures, the internal energy and free energy fluctuations are the same in both 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions. For investigating the difference between the internal energy fluctuations and the free energy fluctuations, we study the entropy fluctuations.

Entropy is an important physical quantity for characterizing

the disorderd systems. Figure 9 shows that the entropy fluctuation has the behavior $(\Delta S)^2 \propto t$ at any temperature in 1+1 dimensions. This result is in agreement with earlier arguments of Fisher and Huse.³⁰ The entropy fluctuation $(\Delta S)^2$ reaches a maximum about $T = T_P = 0.2$ and tends to zero as $O(T^{-4})$ when $T \rightarrow \infty$ and as O(T) when $T \rightarrow 0$ (see Figure 10). It follows that the internal energy fluctuation and the free energy fluctuation are the same at infinite temperature and the energy




Figure 8. Plot of the internal energy fluctuations $(\Delta E)_T^2$ as a function of time t, (a) in d = 1 + 1 dimensions and (b) in d = 2 + 1 dimensions.




Figure 9. Plot of entropy fluctuations (ΔS)² as a function of time t in d=1+1, (a) for different temperatures $T=\frac{1}{5},\frac{1}{8},\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{20},\frac{1}{30},\frac{1}{40}$, $\frac{1}{50}$ (from top to bottom) and (b) for different temperatures $T = 10, 5, 2, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}$ (from bottom to top).





Figure 10. Plot of entropy fluctuations per unit length of the polymer $(\Delta S)^2/t$ as a function of temperature T for the different time t = 50, 500, 1000, 2000 in d = 1 + 1 dimensions.

fluctuation and the free energy fluctuation are the same at zero temperature. The function B(T, t) in the eq 5 can also be calculated numerically. From eq 5, it is easy to see that the function B(T, t) is the same as the free energy fluctuation or the internal energy fluctuation at the two limits of zero and infinite temperature. At finite temperatures, Figure 11a shows that the behavior of B(T, t) is similar to that of the free energy fluctuation $(\Delta F)^2$ and also has a crossover from $t^{1/2}$ to $t^{2/3}$ in 1+1 dimensions. From eq 5, we can expect that the internal

energy fluctuations $(\Delta E)_T$ will scale as to $t^{1/2}$ as the behavior of the entropy fluctuations ΔS at finite temperatures in 1+1 dimensions.

Discussion

Using the iteration relations 1, A1, and A2, we study the problem of directed polymers in 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions. The transverse fluctuations and free energy fluctuations have a crossover from the EW behavior to KPZ behavior at finite temperatures in both 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions as predicted by the field theory. In order to understand the energy fluctuations at finite temperatures, we investigate the specific heat and the entropy fluctuations. The specific heat in both 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions and the entropy fluctuations $(\Delta S)^2$ in 1+1 dimensions scale as t at any finite temperature. As a result, the ensemble energy fluctuations in 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions and the internal energy fluctuations in 1+1 dimensions scale as $t^{1/2}$ at finite temperatures. It means that the energy fluctuations and the free energy fluctuations may have a different behavior at finite temperatures in both 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions. The behavior of the entropy fluctuations in 2+1 dimensions is more complicated than that in 1+1 dimensions. There is an indication of a phase transition in 2+1 dimensions. The phase transition occurs at the temperature where the entropy fluctuation reaches the maximum. This result will be published elsewhere. 31 Figure 11b shows that the function B(T, t) in 2+1 dimensions also has a crossover from the logarithmic behavior to $t^{0.2}$ at any finite temperature. As a result, the internal energy fluctuations in 2+1 dimensions also have a phase transition even if Figure 8b cannot show it clearly.






Figure 11. Plot of the function B(T, t) given in the equation (5) of the text as a function of time t. (a) for different temperatures $T = 10, 1, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}$ (from bottom to top) in d = 1 + 1 dimensions and (b) for different temperatures $T = 1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}$ (from bottom to top) in d = 2 + 1 dimensions.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Nehemia Schwartz and Ehud Perlsmann for useful discussions. X.H. Wang acknowledges financial support from the Kort Postdoctoral Program of Bar-Ilan University.

Appendix: Iteration Relations

As in deriving the iteration relation for the partition function G(x, t) given by eq 1, we concentrate on the 1+1-dimensional case. The generlization to higher dimensions is obvious. In order to obtain the iteration relations for calculating the energy and entropy fluctuations, we define $\hat{E}(x, t) \equiv \sum_{C(x,t)} E_{C(x,t)} e^{-E_{C(x,t)}/T}/G(t)$ and $\hat{E}_2(x, t) \equiv \hat{\sum}_{C(x,t)} E_{C(x,t)}^2 e^{-E_{C(x,t)}/T}/G(t)$. It is clear that $\langle E \rangle = \sum_x \hat{E}(x, t)$ and $\langle E^2 \rangle = \sum_x \hat{E}_2(x, t)$. The derivation for the iteration relations for $\hat{E}(x, t)$ and $\hat{E}_2(x, t)$ are given as follows:

$$\begin{split} \hat{E}(x,t+1) &= \sum_{C(x,t+1)} E_{C(x,t+1)} e^{-E_{C(x,t+1)/T}} / G(t+1) \\ &= [\sum_{C(x-1,t)} (E_{C(x-1,t)} + \epsilon_l) e^{-(E_{C(x-1,t)} + \epsilon_l)/T} + \\ &\sum_{C(x+1,t)} (E_{C(x+1,t)} + \epsilon_r) e^{-(E_{C(x+1,t)} + \epsilon_r)/T}] / G(t+1) \\ &= [e^{-\epsilon_l/T} \hat{E}(x-1,t) G(t) + \\ e^{-\epsilon_r/T} \hat{E}(x+1,t) G(t) + \epsilon_l e^{-\epsilon_l/T} G(x-1,t) + \\ &\epsilon_r e^{-\epsilon_r/T} G(x+1,t)] / G(t+1) \quad (A1) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \hat{E}_{2}(x,t+1) &= \sum_{C(x,t+1)} E_{C(x,t+1)}^{2} \, \mathrm{e}^{-E_{C(x,t+1)/T}} / G(t+1) \\ &= [\sum_{C(x-1,t)} (E_{C(x-1,t)} + \epsilon_{l})^{2} \, \mathrm{e}^{-(E_{C(x-1,t)} + \epsilon_{l})/T} + \\ &\sum_{C(x+1,t)} (E_{C(x+1,t)} + \epsilon_{r})^{2} \, \mathrm{e}^{-(E_{C(x+1,t)} + \epsilon_{r})/T}] / G(t+1) \\ &= [\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon_{l}/T} \, \hat{E}_{2}(x-1,t) G(t) + \\ \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon_{r}/T} \, \hat{E}_{2}(x+1,t) G(t) + 2\epsilon_{l} \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon_{l}/T} \, \hat{E}(x-1,t) G(t) + \\ 2\epsilon_{r} \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon_{r}/T} \, \hat{E}(x+1,t) G(t) + \epsilon_{l}^{2} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon_{l}/T} \, G(x-1,t) + \\ &\epsilon_{r}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon_{r}/T} \, G(x+1,t)] / G(t+1) \, \, \text{(A2)} \end{split}$$

References and Notes

- (1) Halpin-Healy, T.; Zhang, Y.-C. Phys. Rep. 1995, 254, 215.
- (2) Barabási, A.-L.; Stanley, H. E. Fractal Concepts in Surface Growth; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1995.
 - (3) Meakin, P. Phys. Rep. 1993, 235, 189.
- (4) Kardar, M.; Parisi, G.; Zhang, Y.-C. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 56, 889.
- (5) Family, F.; Vicsek, T. Dynamics of Fractal Surfaces; World Scientific: Singapore, 1991.
- (6) Huse, D. A.; Henly, C. L. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1985, 54, 2708. Huse,
 D. A.; Henly, C. L. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1985, 55, 2924.
 - (7) Kardar, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1985, 55, 2235.
 - (8) Kardar, M.; Zhang, Y.-C. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1987, 58, 2087.
 - (9) Krug, J.; Meakin, P.; Halpin-Healy, T. Phys. Rev. A 1992, 45, 638.
 - (10) Kertész, J.; Horváth V. K.; Weber F. Fractals 1992, 1, 67.
 - (11) Derrida, B.; Spohn, H. J. Stat. Phys. 1988, 51, 817.
- (12) Bolle, C. A.; Aksyuk, V.; Pardo, F.; Gammel, P. L.; Zeldov, E.; Bucher E.; Boie, R.; Bishop, D. J.; and Nelson, D. R. *Nature* **1999**, *399*, 43–46.
- (13) Schwartz, M.; Edwards, S. F. Europhys. Lett. **1992**, 20, 301. Schwartz, M.; Edwards, S. F. Phys. Rev. E **1998**, 57, 5730.
 - (14) Moser, K.; Kertész, J.; Wolf, D. E. Physica A 1991, 178, 217.
- (15) Medina, E.; Hwa, T.; Kardar, M.; Zhang, Y.-C. Phys. Rev. A 1989, 39, 3053.
- (16) Kim, J.; Bray, A. J.; and Moore, M. A. *Phys. Rev. A* **1991**, *44*, R4782.
 - (17) Pellegrini, Y. P.; Jullien, R. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1990, 64, 1745.
- (18) Forster, D.; Nelson, D. R.; Stephen, M. J. Phys. Rev. A 1977, 16, 732.
 - (19) Kim, J. M.; Moore, M. A.; Bray A. J. Phys. Rev. A 1991, 44, 2345.
- (20) Schwartz, N.; Nazaryev, A. L.; Havlin, S. *Phys. Rev. E* **1998**, *58*, 7642.
 - (21) Krug, J.; Halpin-Healy, T. J. Phys. A 1998, 31, 5939.
- (22) Tang, L.-H.; Nattermann, T.; Forrest, B. M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1990, 65, 2422.
 - (23) Forrest, B. M.; Tang, L.-H. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1990, 64, 1405.
 - (24) Derrida, B.; Golinelli, O. Phys. Rev. A 1990, 41, 4160.
 - (25) Press, W. H.; Teukolsky, S. A. Comput. Phys. 1992, 6, 522.
- (26) Edwards, S. F.; Wilkinson, D. R. Proc. R. Soc. London A 1982, 381, 17.
 - (27) Honda, K. Fractals 1996, 4, 331.
- (28) Honda, K. Phys. Rev. E 1997, 55, R1235.
- (29) Amar, J. G.; Family, F. Phys. Rev. A 1992, 45, 5378.
- (30) Fisher, D. S.; Huse, D. A. Phys. Rev. B 1991, 43, 10728.
- (31) Wang, X. H.; Havlin S.; Schwartz, M. Entropy fluctuations in directed polymers: indication for a phase transition in 2+1 dimensions. Preprint.