

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/244432229>

# On the solvation of ions in dipolar aprotic solvents. Chlorine<sup>35</sup> nuclear magnetic resonance studies of chloride ion in mixed solvents

ARTICLE *in* JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · JULY 1969

Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/ja01042a092

---

CITATIONS

10

---

READS

6

2 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Cooper H Langford

The University of Calgary

301 PUBLICATIONS 4,565 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Table I. Infrared Spectra and  $\sigma_R^0$  Values of Monosubstituted Ethylenes<sup>a</sup>

| Substituent                       | $\nu_{C=C}$          | $A^b$ | $\pm \sigma_R^0$ <sup>c</sup> | $\sigma_R^0$ <sup>d</sup> |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Br                                | 1597                 | 1640  | 0.23                          | -0.16                     |
| I                                 | 1587                 | 1209  | 0.22                          | -0.14                     |
| OEt                               | { 1638, 1652<br>1612 | 5149  | 0.44                          | ...                       |
| OBu                               | { 1636, 1652<br>1612 | 5263  | 0.42 <sup>e</sup>             | ...                       |
| OCOMe                             | 1648                 | 2115  | 0.23                          | -0.21                     |
| <i>n</i> -Pr                      | 1641                 | 470   | 0.11                          | ...                       |
| <i>sec</i> -Bu                    | 1641                 | 460   | 0.11                          | ...                       |
| <i>t</i> -Bu                      | 1641                 | 512   | 0.12                          | -0.17 <sup>f</sup>        |
| CH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> | 1638                 | 528   | 0.13                          | ...                       |
| CH <sub>2</sub> Ph                | 1638                 | 359   | 0.12                          | -0.08                     |
| Ph                                | 1630                 | 339   | 0.10                          | -0.09                     |
| CH <sub>2</sub> OH                | 1646                 | 177   | 0.00                          | -0.07                     |
| CH <sub>2</sub> Cl                | 1646 (sh), 1642      | 123   | 0.00                          | -0.03                     |
| CH <sub>2</sub> Br                | 1646 (sh), 1638      | 87    | 0.00                          | ...                       |
| SiCl <sub>3</sub>                 | 1598                 | 301   | 0.09 <sup>e</sup>             | ...                       |
| COOH                              | { 1637<br>1618       | 2304  | 0.29                          | +0.21                     |
| COOMe                             | { 1635<br>1622       | 733   | 0.15                          | ...                       |
| COOEt                             | { 1638<br>1622       | 887   | 0.18                          | +0.19                     |
| CN                                | { 1650<br>1608       | 117   | 0.09                          | +0.21                     |

<sup>a</sup> Frequencies and intensities refer to measurements in CCl<sub>4</sub> solution on a Perkin-Elmer 125 spectrometer. <sup>b</sup> Integrated intensity area in l. mole<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>. <sup>c</sup>  $\sigma_R^0$  derived from the ir intensity of monosubstituted benzene; taken from ref 3 unless otherwise stated. <sup>d</sup>  $\sigma_R^0$  derived from the <sup>19</sup>F nmr spectra of substituted fluorobenzenes; taken from ref 9 unless otherwise stated. <sup>e</sup> Unpublished work by R. F. Pinzelli. <sup>f</sup> R. W. Taft and W. A. Sheppard, private communication.

that  $|\sigma_R^0(\text{Me}) - \sigma_R^0(\text{Cl})|$  is 0.10. We have  $\sigma_R^0(\text{Cl}) = -0.23$  from ir measurements<sup>3</sup> which gives  $\sigma_R^0(\text{Me}) = -0.13$ , in fair agreement with the ir value of  $-0.10$  and the <sup>19</sup>F value of  $-0.15$  (see Taft, *et al.*, in ref 2).




Figure 1. Integrated intensity of the infrared C=C stretching vibration for monosubstituted ethylenes plotted against the square of  $\sigma_R^0$ : ●, ir-derived  $\sigma_R^0$  values; X, <sup>19</sup>F-derived  $\sigma_R^0$  values.

A normal coordinate analysis applicable to monosubstituted ethylenes has been carried out by Popov and Kagan<sup>7</sup> who quoted atomic displacements for the car-

(7) E. M. Popov and G. I. Kagan, *Opt. Spectry* (USSR), **12**, 102 (1962).

bon and hydrogen atoms. We have, as before,<sup>6</sup> calculated by the CNDO2 method<sup>8</sup> the dipole moment at the equilibrium and stretched states of fluoroethylene and hence<sup>6</sup> found  $\partial\mu/\partial Q$  as 39.6. The expected  $A$  value of fluoroethylene can be deduced from  $\sigma_R^0(\text{F}) = 0.34$  as  $A = 3235$  by reading off from Figure 1. This value of  $A = 3235$  is equivalent to  $\partial\mu/\partial Q = 67.8$  (*cf.* ref 6).

**Acknowledgment.** R. F. P. and J. M. A. are grateful to the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and the Royal Society for Post Doctoral Fellowships, and M. V. S. acknowledges the award of a 3M Research Studentship.

(8) J. A. Pople, D. P. Santry, and G. A. Segal, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **43**, S129, S136 (1965).

J.-M. Angelelli, A. R. Katritzky, R. F. Pinzelli, M. V. Sinnott  
School of Sciences, University of East Anglia  
Norwich, England

R. D. Topsom  
School of Sciences, La Trobe University  
Melbourne, Australia  
Received April 24, 1969

### On the Solvation of Ions in Dipolar Aprotic Solvents. Chlorine-35 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies of Chloride Ion in Mixed Solvents<sup>1</sup>

Sir:

Parker and his colleagues<sup>2,3</sup> have concluded that chloride ion activity increases by  $8.0 \pm 0.3$  units on a *log*-

(1) We acknowledge the financial support of the Directorate of Chemical Sciences, AFOSR, the National Research Council of Canada, and the Ontario Department of University Affairs.

(2) J. Miller and A. J. Parker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **83**, 117 (1961).

(3) R. Alexander, E. C. F. Ko, A. J. Parker, and T. J. Broxton, *ibid.*, **90**, 5049 (1968); A. J. Parker, *Advan. Phys. Org. Chem.*, **5**, 173 (1967).

arithmetic scale on transfer of the ion from water to dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). (The qualitative significance of this conclusion is independent of the choice of extrathermodynamic assumptions used to resolve the ion activities.<sup>4</sup>) Quite naturally, *hydrogen bonding* of the protic solvent molecule to the small anion has been suggested as the solvation mechanism responsible for the effect. To date, little experimental information is available on the *local* solvent environment of anions. The hydrogen-bonding hypothesis tends to suggest that the requisite stoichiometric amount of a protic solvent in a mixture would strongly *preferentially* solvate the anion. However, the data of 80 vol % DMSO-CH<sub>3</sub>OH<sup>3</sup> raises a question about this interpretation. The activity values for small anions are closer to DMSO values than to CH<sub>3</sub>OH values in the mixture.

We have undertaken to extend the analysis of preferential solvation from solute chemical shifts suggested by Frankel, Stengle, and Langford<sup>5</sup> to solvation of chloride ion in mixtures of water with dipolar aprotic solvents. The earlier use of solute chemical shift depended upon the argument that solvent-dependent <sup>59</sup>Co chemical shifts of Co(acetylacetonate)<sub>3</sub> reflect the local environment. Independent evidence for this idea was obtained from relaxation time measurements on the Cr(III) analog of the Co complex. In the case of the Cl<sup>-</sup> ion, the local environment may consist of solvent and/or counterions. In fact, it has been shown that <sup>23</sup>Na<sup>+</sup> chemical shifts are anion dependent.<sup>6</sup>

In contrast to <sup>23</sup>Na<sup>+</sup>, the choice of cation and *concentration* of salt is of small importance compared to the choice of solvent. The chemical shifts are dominated by *solvent* shifts. This is, in fact, reasonable. If the paramagnetic term<sup>7</sup> in the chemical shift is most important, perturbation theory implies that shifts will depend strongly on the energy of the lowest lying electronic excited state. An excited state, which is probably the lowest lying for a solvated chloride ion, is the charge transfer to solvent (CTTS). Shifts interpreted in terms of chloride CTTS would be the observed "solvent shifts." Unfortunately, Cl<sup>-</sup> CTTS bands in the uv spectra in the organic solvents are hidden by solvent absorption. (It is crudely suggestive that I<sup>-</sup> CTTS bands lie at lower energy in the solvents of large negative solvent shift.<sup>8</sup>)

Given (experimentally) that <sup>35</sup>Cl<sup>-</sup> chemical shifts reflect the solvent environment of the ion, Figure 1 now deserves consideration. It shows <sup>35</sup>Cl chemical shifts as a function of solvent composition in mixtures of DMSO and CH<sub>3</sub>CN with water. The results for CH<sub>3</sub>CN-water mixtures appear to support the hydrogen-bonding hypothesis; there is a strong preference of Cl<sup>-</sup> for small amounts of water. But, results for mixtures of DMSO-water do not. There appears to be nearly even competition between the two solvents for sites in the solvation shell of Cl<sup>-</sup>.

A deeper examination suggests that the nearly even competition for Cl<sup>-</sup> solvation sites may occur in both




Figure 1. The variation of <sup>35</sup>Cl chemical shifts of chloride salts in mixtures of CH<sub>3</sub>CN and DMSO with water. Open circles represent 0.25 M LiCl in DMSO-water. Closed circles represent 0.25 M (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>NCl in DMSO-water. Closed triangles represent 0.25 M (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>NCl in CH<sub>3</sub>CN-water. All of these curves are chemical shift as a function of volume fraction of the organic component. The last curve (open squares) represents 0.25 M (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>NCl in CH<sub>3</sub>CN-water, this time showing chemical shift as a function of the relative partial pressure of water (approximately relative activity) compared to pure water ( $P/P_0$ ).

solvent mixtures. Cl<sup>-</sup> solvent preference should be inferred from the change of local chloride environment as the bulk solvent *activity* of the solvent components

Table I. <sup>35</sup>Cl Chemical Shifts of Cl<sup>-</sup> in Several Solvents<sup>a</sup>

| Solute  | Solvent                              | Concn, M               | Chem shift, ppm |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| NaCl  | (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO   | Ca. 0.1 (satd)         | -69             |
| LiCl  | H <sub>2</sub> O                     | 0.25                   | 0               |
| (C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> NCl | (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO   | 0.25, 0.17, 0.12       | -66             |
|   | H <sub>2</sub> O                     | 0.25                   | 0               |
|   | (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO   | 0.25                   | -65             |
|   | CH <sub>3</sub> CN                   | 0.25, 0.20, 0.14, 0.11 | -33             |
|   | (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NCHO | 0.25                   | -42             |
|   | CH <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>      | 0.25                   | -14             |

<sup>a</sup> Referred to 1 M aqueous NaCl.

varies.<sup>9</sup> Partial vapor pressure studies are available for both water-DMSO<sup>10</sup> and water-CH<sub>3</sub>CN<sup>11</sup> mixtures. The DMSO-water system was analyzed at 70°. A quantitative comparison is possible for CH<sub>3</sub>CN-water mixtures for which 25° thermodynamic data are available. The *straight line* in Figure 1 shows the correlation of <sup>35</sup>Cl chemical shift with *bulk* water activity.

(9) S. Behrendt, C. H. Langford, and L. S. Frankel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **91**, 2236 (1969).

(10) J. Kentamaa and J. J. Lindberg, *Suomen Kemi*, **B33**, 98 (1960).

(11) V. de Landsberg, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges*, **49**, 59 (1940).

(4) A. J. Parker and R. Alexander, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 3313 (1968).

(5) L. S. Frankel, T. R. Stengle, and C. H. Langford, *Chem. Commun.*, 373 (1965).

(6) E. G. Bloor and R. G. Kidd, *Can. J. Chem.*, **46**, 3425 (1968).

(7) A. Carrington and A. McLachlen, "Introduction to Magnetic Resonance," Harper & Row, New York, N. Y., 1967, p 57.

(8) T. R. Griffiths and M. C. R. Symons, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **56**, 1125 (1960).

The correlation is perhaps surprising. The hydrogen-bonding hypothesis suggests that water should be pulled into the contact solvation shell of  $\text{Cl}^-$  on a preferential basis and that it should remain the dominating solvating species until bulk water activity has been reduced by a factor comparable to the activity change for transfer of the ion from protic aprotic solvent. The results indicate that, in fact, the immediate environment of  $\text{Cl}^-$  is related to the long-range structural aspects of solvent mixtures. We can imagine how this occurs if we look closely at the meaning of our numbers. The advantage of the chemical shift parameter as a solvation probe is that it reflects composition of the solvent layers in contact with  $\text{Cl}^-$ . The activities from vapor pressures characterize the solvent in the solution at a distance far enough from  $\text{Cl}^-$  to be unperturbed by the ions. Both of these regions are in equilibrium with an intervening region to which we have no experimental access. A reason why water is not strongly preferred over the aprotic solvent in the layer in contact with  $\text{Cl}^-$  might well be that a protic solvent molecule hydrogen

bonded to  $\text{Cl}^-$  is strongly polarized so that it presents a "lyate ion like" aspect to the middle solvent region and this "lyate ion like" species is poorly "solvated" by the aprotic solvent. Conversely, an aprotic molecule solvating  $\text{Cl}^-$  may interact less favorably with the  $\text{Cl}^-$  but much more favorably with the surrounding solvent layer. In this way, the short-range favorable or unfavorable effects are mitigated and the local environment of the ion comes to reflect long-range interactions.

A final point: lines in the mixed solvents were very wide. They imply a residual asymmetry in the field gradient at the nucleus that is not averaged by tumbling.

(12) Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow 1968-1970.

Cooper H. Langford<sup>12</sup>

Department of Chemistry, Carleton University  
Ottawa 1, Ontario, Canada

Thomas R. Stengle

Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, Massachusetts 01002

Received April 10, 1969

## Additions and Corrections

**The Mechanism of the Aminolysis of Methyl Formate** [*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 2638 (1968)]. By G. M. BLACKBURN and W. P. JENCKS, Graduate Department of Biochemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154.


On page 2639, column 2, line 3, the rate constant for alkaline hydrolysis of methyl formate should read  $1.95 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ .

**Cyclopropyl Conjugation in Olefinic Esters. Conformational Effects on Ultraviolet Absorption** [*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 3769 (1968)]. By MARGARET J. JORGENSON and TERESA LEUNG, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California.


On page 3773, column 1, the second sentence of the third paragraph should read: The values determined for esters **1**, **5**, and **6**, are 9.4, 10.0, and 10.3 cps, respectively.

**Biosynthesis of Indole Alkaloids. Vindoline** [*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 4144 (1968)] by T. MONEY, I. G. WRIGHT, F. MCCAPRA, E. S. HALL, and A. I. SCOTT, Chemistry Department, University of British Columbia, Vancouver 8, Canada.

On page 4146, Figure 2, the schematic structure



should be replaced by



**The Interpretation of Porphyrin and Metalloporphyrin Spectra** [*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 6577 (1968)]. By ALSOPH H. CORWIN, ARTHUR B. CHIVVIS, ROBERT W. POOR, DAVID G. WHITTEN, and EARL W. BAKER, Department of Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.

In Table I, column 6, the last value should be 24,530 instead of 24,360. On page 6580, Figures 2 and 3 should be interchanged.

**The Acid-Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Acyl Phosphates** [*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 6803 (1968)]. By DAVID R. PHILLIPS and THOMAS H. FIFE, Department of Biochemistry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90053.

In the abstract, the legend to Figure 6, and on page 6808 it should read: plots of  $(\log k_{\text{obsd}} + H_0)$  vs.  $(\log C_{\text{H}} + H_0)$ . This expression appeared with the parentheses misplaced.

**Spin-Delocalization Mechanisms in Some Paramagnetic Tris-2,2'-bipyridine Complexes of Nickel(II)** [*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 6946 (1968)]. By M. WICHOLAS and R. S. DRAGO, William A. Noyes Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.

The first compound in Table I should be  $\text{Ni}(\text{bipy})_3 \cdot \text{Cl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . On page 6950, the sentence beginning in column 2, line 37 should read: "The downfield resonance peak is due to either the *ortho* and *para* protons or the *meta* and *para* protons, and the upfield resonance peak is due to either the *ortho* or *meta* protons; however, of these only one assignment is reasonable." The last sentence should read: "If the assignments are correct, the contact shifts are consistent with