Anodic Oxidation Technique. The cell used in this work has been described. 27, 28 Some modifications were made in the electrode arrangement. The glass cylinder was omitted, and on some occasions parallel planar platinum electrodes were used. In a few of the experiments pyrolytic graphite electrodes were used. They were obtained from High Temperature Materials, Inc., Boston, Mass. After the electrolysis was complete the cell was swept with the sweep gas for at least 15 min to ensure that all of the gaseous products were removed from the cell. The liquid products were isolated by continuous ether extraction of the cell contents. The unreacted acid was generally recovered for reuse. On this basic the mass balance was found to be over 90% in some reactions, but, and especially in more alkaline solutions, 75-80% was more usual. Some of this may have been due to destruction of starting material by the electrode or the oxygen generated, some was Kolbe coupling product which was not sought or identified.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the Petroleum Research Fund is acknowledged with thanks.

Reactions of Triatomic Carbon with Alcohols

P. S. Skell and R. F. Harris¹

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802. Received September 18, 1968

Abstract: Ground-state C3 reacts with alcohols by addition reactions, producing propargyl aldehyde acetals, (RO)₂CHC≡CH. Excited-state C₃ reacts with alcohols and other hydrogen-containing substrates to form C₃ hydrocarbons.

The cocondensation near -196° of chlorine (a large excess) and carbon vapor produces CCl₄, C₂Cl₄, C₂Cl₆, C₃Cl₆, and C₃Cl₈. The latter, hexachloropropene and octachloropropane, isolated in 2:1 ratio, are products resulting from addition of chlorine to C₃. The primary product could be C₃Cl₂ or C₃Cl₄, but these would react further with the chlorine.2

The absolute yield, although subject to some uncertainty, indicates the C₃ content of the carbon vapor to be between the limits 12 and 20 %. The summation of the known C₃ products from similarly produced carbon vapor and other substrates, vide infra, indicate the lower limit to be the preferred value. 3 All yields of C₃ products are reported below assuming that 12% of the carbon vapor is C₃.

Results and Discussion

The low temperature, condensed phase reactions of C₃ and alcohols follow two major paths, addition of two molecules of alcohol to form propargyl aldehyde acetals, (RO)₂CHC≡CH, and hydrogenation of C₃ to form a variety of C₃ hydrocarbons. These observations are summarized in Table I.

There is good analogy between the chemistry of C₃ and the chemistry of vinylidene carbenes, R₂C=C=C:. Skell, Wescott, Golstein, and Engel reported4 the additions of C₃ to double bonds to form bisethanoallenes, the additions being stereospecific and electrophilic in

$$C_3 + 2$$
 \longrightarrow C

character for ground-state C₃ (singlet). Hartzler⁵⁻⁷ has generated R₂C=C=C: by treatment of 3-halo-1-acetylenes with base; the reactions in the presence of olefins producing allenylcyclopropanes in a stereospecific and electrophilic manner.

Previously8 it was thought that additions of ethanol to C₃ produce 1,3-diethoxyallene because the product gave a positive Kreis test,9 reputed to be characteristic for malondialdehyde, 10,11 the anticipated hydrolysis product. However, propargyl aldehyde also gives a positive Kreis test. Isolation of the pure reaction products enabled positive identification. Thus, the addi-

$$2ROH + C_3 \longrightarrow (RO)_2CHC \equiv CH$$

⁽²⁷⁾ P. H. Reichenbacher, M. Y .- C. Liu, and P. S. Skell, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90, 1816 (1968).
(28) P. S. Skell and P. H. Reichenbacher, ibid., 90, 2309 (1968).

⁽¹⁾ National Institutes of Health Predoctoral Fellow, 1966-1967. (2) Cocondensation of carbon vapor with hydrogen chloride results in the formation of 1,3-dichloroallene: R. F. Harris, Ph.D. Thesis,

The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa., 1968.

(3) Earlier reports of higher yields of C₂ plus olefin products have been found incorrect, due to an erroneous value for converting gas chromatography (gc) areas to absolute yields.

⁽⁴⁾ P. S. Skell, L. D. Wescott, Jr., J.-P. Golstein, and R. R. Engel, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 87, 2829 (1965).

⁽⁵⁾ H. D. Hartzler, ibid., 81, 2024 (1959).

⁽⁶⁾ H. D. Hartzler, ibid., 83, 4990 (1961).

⁽⁶⁾ H. D. Hartzler, *ibid.*, 83, 4990 (1961).
(7) H. D. Hartzler, *ibid.*, 83, 4997 (1961).
(8) L. D. Wescott, Jr., Ph.D. Thesis, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa., 1963.
(9) H. Kreis, *Chem. Ztg.*, 26, 897 (1902).

⁽¹⁰⁾ S. Patton, M. Keeney, and G. W. Kurtz, J. Amer. Oil Chem. Soc., 28, 391 (1951).

⁽¹¹⁾ P. Fleury, J. Courtois, W. Hammam, and L. Dizet, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1290 (1955).

Table I. Products^a Formed from C₃ and Alcohols (wt % of total C₃^b)

Product			Alcoholic substrate		
	Methanol	Ethanol	1-Propanol	2-Propanol	t-Butyl alcohol
Propane	0.58	0.90	15.1	20.0	7.0
Propene	2.3	10.8	$(60.0)^d$	$(104)^d$	62.5
Allene	2.8	10.8	20.0	21.6	c
Propyne	3.0	20.8	23.3	16.7	20.0
(RO)₂CHC≡CH	43	30	40	c	c

^a Some of these gases are produced, in part, by other pathways. ^b Assuming that C_8 is 12% by weight of the total carbon. ^c Do not have data. ^d For the main these are probably not derived from C_8 (vide infra).

tions of alcohols to C_3 are not symmetric as they are for olefins. Here too there is good analogy with the vinylidene carbenes. The 3-halo-1-acetylenes^{5-7,12-16} (and 1-haloallenes¹²) undergo substitution reactions which are initiated by bases and lead to products which are not the result of a 1,1 addition to the carbenic intermediate but a 1,3 addition. In the case of C_3 either 1,1 and/

$$CC = CH + base \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \bigcirc & \bigcirc & \bigcirc \\ C - C = C \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V = X, OH, OR, NR_2$$

$$V = X, OH, OR, NR_2$$

or 1,3-addition sequences rationalize the formation of propargyl aldehyde acetals; there is no basis for choosing the order of events.

$$C_3$$
 + ROH $\stackrel{1,3}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{RO-\ddot{C}-C \equiv CH}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{1,1}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{RO}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{C=C=C:}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{1,1}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{(RO)_2CHC \equiv CH}{\longrightarrow}$

It is not possible to state definitively that 1,3-dialkoxyallenes are not products of this reaction, since these substances are not available for an evaluation of the isolation procedures.

Excited-State C3

Employing ¹⁴C-enriched graphite electrodes, it was demonstrated that all of the carbon of allene, propyne, and propene, when ethanol is employed as substrate, and allene and propyne, when methanol is employed as substrate, are derived from the electrodes (Table II); the relative molar activities are closely three times as great as for the standard which is known to derive only one carbon atom per molecule from the electrodes.

The C₃ hydrocarbons are also obtained from the re-

Table II. Relative Molar Activities of C₃ Hydrocarbons Produced by the Reaction of ¹⁴C-Enriched Vapor with Methanol and Ethanol

	Relative molar ——— activities ^a		
Product	$^{14}{ m C_{vap}} + \\ ^{12}{ m CH_3OH}$	¹⁴ С _{уар} + ¹² СН ₃ ¹² СН ₂ ОН	
C ₁ product, CH ₂ (OR) ₂ Allene	(1.00) 3.00	(1.00)	
Propyne	2.86	2.86	
Propene	1.01	2.81	

^a (Curies/mole)_{product}/(curies/mole)_{standard}.

action of carbon vapor with a variety of other hydrogen-containing substrates (Table III). Although it is not established (by 14 C-labeling) that the C_3 molecule is the precursor in each case, it is assumed to be in most cases. 17 The C_3 hydrocarbons are not formed to any appreciable extent from substrates which have only high bond dissociation energies for removal of a hydrogen atom such as water, benzene, chlorobenzene, etc.

Hydrogenation of C₃ by hydrogen-containing sub-

Table III. Summary of C_3 Hydrocarbons^a from Selected Substrates (wt % of total C_3)

Propane	Propene	Allene	Propyne
0.008	0.17	0.17	
0.58	2.3	2.8	3.0
0.90	10.8	10.8	20,8
15.1	60.0	20.0	23.3
20.0	104	21.6	16.7
7.0	62.5	c	20.0
< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03
<0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	<0.05
0.10	0.17	0.17	c
<0.06	<0.06	0.06	<0.06
<0.04	< 0.04	<0.04	<0.04
< 0.03	< 0.03	12.5	11.7
	13.3	9.2	9.2
1.6	5.4	c	13.3
0.50	41.6	12.5	c
	4.8		c
4.4	2.1	20.0	22.5
	0.58		1.08
	26.6		16.7
			13.3
			20.0
21.6	22.5	36.6	13.3
	0.008 0.58 0.90 15.1 20.0 7.0 <0.03 <0.05 0.10 <0.06 <0.04 <0.03 0.33 1.6 0.50 0.25	0.008	0.008 0.17 0.17 0.58 2.3 2.8 0.90 10.8 10.8 15.1 60.0 20.0 20.0 104 21.6 7.0 62.5 c <0.03

^a Some of these gases are produced, in part, by other pathways. ^b Assuming that C_3 is 12% by weight of the total carbon. ^c Do not have data.

⁽¹²⁾ G. F. Hennion and D. E. Maloney, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 73, 4735 (1951).

⁽¹³⁾ G. F. Hennion and E. G. Teach, ibid., 75, 1653 (1953).

⁽¹⁴⁾ G. F. Hennion and K. W. Nelson, ibid., 79, 2142 (1957).
(15) R. L. Jacobs, Abstracts of Papers, 138th National Meeting of the

American Chemical Society, New York, N. Y., Sept 1960, p 65-P. (16) V. J. Shiner, Jr., and J. W. Wilson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 84, 2402 (1962).

⁽¹⁷⁾ The high yields of propene from substrates with three carbons, acetone, and propanols, are attributed to a deoxygenation process, mainly the result of reaction of C₁: P. S. Skell, J. H. Plonka, and R. R. Engel, *ibid.*, 89, 1748 (1967).

$$C_3 + \underset{substrates}{\text{hydrogen-containing}} \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3\\ \text{CH}_3\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2\\ \text{CH}_2=\text{C}=\text{CH}_4\\ \text{CH}_4\text{C}=\text{CH} \end{matrix}$$

strates is analogous to the hydrogenation of C2 18 and C₄, 19 which lead to C₂ and C₄ hydrocarbons, respectively.

Formation of the C₃ hydrocarbons from a variety of partially deuterated substrates is being studied; detailed mechanistic conclusions will be discussed elsewhere.

The C₃ hydrocarbons allene and propyne result from attack of excited-state C₃ on the substrate. This was demonstrated by carrying out the reaction with carbon vapor from resistively heated carbon (no arcing) and methanol and ethanol: allene and propyne are not reaction products, virtually the sole product being the propargyl aldehyde acetal. From this experiment it follows that excited-state C₃ is the precursor of allene and propyne. Whether the excited state is one of the singlets or the previously studied triplet cannot be specified at present.

Experimental Section

The reaction system has been described.⁴ The C₃ molecules are generated, along with C_1 , C_2 , and C_4 , from a 16-V (ac) carbon arc under vacuum ($\sim 1 \times 10^{-4}$ mm). Reactions occur in condensed phase near 77°K. Products were isolated by vapor phase chromatography and the retention times and infrared and mass spectra of the C3 hydrocarbons, hexachloropropene, and octachloropropane²⁰ were compared with those of known compounds. The

propargyl aldehyde acetals were identified by consistent infrared and mass spectra and confirmed by nmr (Table IV).

Table IV. Nmr of 3,3-Dialkoxypropynes^a

δ	Area	Multiplicity	Assignment			
(CH₃O)₂CHC≔CH						
2.41	1.00	Doublet $(J = 2)$	HC≡C-			
3.25	6.00	Singlet	CH₃O-			
4.95	1.00	Doublet $(J = 2)$	-OCHO-			
(CH₃CH₂)O₂CHC≡CH						
1.16	6.0°_{2}	Triplet $(J = 7)$	CH ₃ -			
2.39^{b}	$1.0\bar{0}$	Doublet $(J = 2)$	HC≡C-			
3.55	4.0_{2}	Multiplet	-OCH ₂ -			
5.07	1.00	Doublet $(J = 2)$	-OCHO-			
(CH₃CH₂CH2O)₂CHC≡CH						
0.92	6.02	Triplet $(J = 7)$	CH₃-			
1.55	4.00	Multiplet	$-CH_2-$			
2.36	1.00	Doublet $(J = 2)$	HC≡C-			
3.44	4.0_{0}	Multiplet	-OCH ₂ -			
5.06	1.00	Doublet $(J = 2)$	-OCHO-			

^a Microtubes (25 μl). ^b Literature values: δ 2.39 in CCl₄ (M. M. Kreevoy, H. B. Charman, and D. R. Vinard, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 83, 1978 (1961)) and δ 2.33 in CCl₄ (P. Jouve, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 256, 1497 (1963)).

Thermally vaporized carbon vapor was obtained by replacing the upper electrode with a thin (~1 mm) graphite slice and heating resistively (~2800°). Carbon vapor enriched in carbon-14 was obtained by replacing one graphite electrode with a graphite rod enriched in carbon-14 (\sim 0.01 Ci/g).

Acknowledgment. The financial support of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research is gratefully acknowledged.

Stable Carbonium Ions. LXXIV.1a Protonated Alkoxysilanes and Disiloxanes and Their Cleavage in Fluorosulfuric Acid-Antimony Pentafluoride Solution

George A. Olah, Daniel H. O'Brien,1b and Christine Y. Lui

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106. Received June 20, 1968

Abstract: Several alkoxysilanes and disiloxanes have been examined in the extremely strong acid system, fluorosulfuric acid-antimony pentafluoride at low temperatures by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. For trimethylmethoxysilane and hexamethyldisiloxane it was possible to directly observe the protonated species with the proton on oxygen. For the other siloxanes studied it was possible to observe the stepwise cleavage of alkoxy and alkyl groups from silicon. The mechanism of the cleavage reactions is discussed. No experimental evidence was found for the intermediacy of trivalent siliconium ion intermediates.

Ikoxysilanes undergo facile cleavage reactions in A the presence of both proton and Lewis acids. Cryoscopic studies of alkoxysilanes and polysiloxanes indicate the rapid formation of silyl hydrogen sulfates at 0° in sulfuric acid.² Lewis acid adducts of moderate

(1) (a) Part LXXIII: G. A. Olah, M. B. Comisarow, and C. F. Kim, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., in press. (b) National Science Foundation Post-doctral Research Investigator, 1966–1967.

(2) (a) F. P. Price, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 70, 872 (1948); (b) M. S. Newman, R. A. Craig, and A. B. Garrett, ibid., 71, 869 (1949); (c) H. H. Szmant, O. M. Devlin, and G. A. Brost, ibid., 73, 3059 (1951).

stability have been isolated for the reaction of boron halides3 and aluminum halides4 with alkoxysilanes and disiloxanes. These complexes undergo further reaction to form halosilanes and oxy salts of boron and aluminum. Protonated intermediates have been proposed

⁽¹⁸⁾ P. S. Skell and R. F. Harris, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88, 5933 (1966).
(19) R. F. Harris and P. S. Skell, ibid., 90, 4172 (1968).

⁽²⁰⁾ A. L. Henne and E. C. Ladd, ibid., 60, 2491 (1938).

^{(3) (}a) H. J. Emeleus and M. Onyszchuk, J. Chem. Soc., 604 (1958); (b) P. A. McCusker and T. Ostdick, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 80, 1103 (1958); (c) M. J. Frazer, W. Gerrard, and J. A. Strickson, J. Chem. Soc., 4701 (1960).

⁽⁴⁾ A. H. Cowley, F. Fairbrother, and N. Scott, ibid., 717 (1959)