

# Neutron Diffraction Studies of Graphite–Potassium–Methylamine: Staging Transitions and Structure of New Graphite Intercalation Compounds

Neal T. Skipper,\* Jennifer K. Walters, Colin Lobban, Jane McKewn, Robin Mukerji, Gary J. Martin, and Michael de Podesta

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.

Alex. C. Hannon

ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, OXON OX11 0QX, U.K.

Received: August 10, 2000

Graphite intercalation compounds (GICs) of the type  $\text{KC}_x(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_y$  have been prepared by in situ amination of stage-II  $\text{C}_{24}\text{K}$  and stage-IV  $\text{C}_{48}\text{K}$ , and studied by time-of-flight neutron diffraction. As the vapor pressure of methylamine is increased the compounds pass through a rich sequence of staging transitions, in which the regular repeat of  $n$  empty graphite layers is progressively filled by intercalant. In these staging transitions,  $n$  always changes by  $-1$ . We therefore observe lower stage *unaminated* compounds as methylamine is introduced into the starting compounds. Isotopic substitution of  $\text{CD}_3\text{ND}_2$  for  $\text{CD}_3\text{NH}_2$  has enabled us to determine the detailed structure of the fully aminated stage-I and stage-II end compounds,  $\text{C}_{24}\text{K}(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_3$  and  $\text{C}_{48}\text{K}(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_3$ . We find that the interlayer structure is based on 3-fold coordinated potassium ions and is relatively insensitive to the stage.

## Introduction

Graphite intercalation compounds (GICs) are an important class of materials that have many practical applications,<sup>1</sup> and allow us to study a variety of low-dimensional phenomena.<sup>2–4</sup> In addition to novel structural, dynamic, and electronic properties, GICs also appear to be unique in that a series of stoichiometric compositions can be obtained with a given intercalant species.<sup>4</sup> These compositions give rise to a series of  $c$ -axis superlattices, in which an integral number (known as the *stage*) of graphene sheets separate nearest intercalant layers.

Ternary graphite intercalation compounds (GICs) of the type  $\text{C}_x\text{K}(\text{NH}_3)_y$  pass through a rich sequence of stages and intercalant structures as ammonia content,  $y$ , is increased.<sup>5–8</sup> In addition, experimental studies of  $\text{K}(\text{NH}_3)_x\text{C}_{24}$  with compositions in the range  $0 < x < 4.38$  have identified two important electronic transitions.<sup>6,7</sup> First, in the range  $0.05 < x < 1.0$  resistance perpendicular to the graphite layers increases by 2 orders of magnitude. This increase coincides with the conversion of a stage-II GIC to a stage-I GIC, with a repeat distance of 6.6 Å. Second, as  $x > 4.0$ , resistance in the plane of the graphite layers first increases, and then shows a notable but shallow decrease. This is seen as evidence for a 2-dimensional nonmetal–metal transition in the intercalant layers, caused by back transfer of electrons from the host graphite once the potassium has been fully solvated by four ammonia molecules. This leads to the expectation that metal–ammonia GICs are the two-dimensional structural and electronic analogues of the bulk metal–ammonia solutions. There are therefore strong reasons for exploring the existence and structure of graphite–potassium–methylamine GICs.

Unlike their ammonia counterparts, bulk metal–methylamine solutions do not exhibit a true composition driven metal–

nonmetal transition.<sup>9</sup> This reluctance to form a metallic state is attributed to localization of electron pairs within cation cavities, resulting in, for example,  $\text{e}^- - \text{K}^+ - \text{e}^-$  species.<sup>10</sup> The opportunity therefore exists for employing  $\text{K}(\text{NH}_3)_x\text{C}_{24}$  and  $\text{K}(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_x\text{C}_{24}$  systems to study the effects of dimensionality on electron localization and the metal–nonmetal transition, in the crossover regime between 2-dimensional and 3-dimensional behavior.

Neutron diffraction techniques have already been successful in determining detailed structure in staged potassium–ammonia GIC systems.<sup>5,6</sup> In this context, neutrons have the important advantages of “seeing” light elements, and providing the opportunity to exploit isotopic substitution methods. For example, both hydrogen (H) and its isotope deuterium (D) scatter neutrons strongly. In addition, the neutron scattering lengths,  $b$ , of H and D have different signs:  $b_{\text{H}} = -3.74$  fm and  $b_{\text{D}} = 6.67$  fm. Isotope substitution of D for H, applied in conjunction with difference analysis, can therefore be used to locate hydrogen atoms unambiguously.<sup>6</sup> In this paper we apply this technique to study for the first time the staging transitions and structure of compounds of the type  $\text{KC}_x(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_y$ .

## Experimental Details

The samples were prepared using the “one zone” method described in Carlile et al.<sup>11</sup> for Cs GICs. A 1 cm<sup>2</sup> by ~0.5 mm thick piece of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) was placed in the Pyrex reaction tube together with an excess of potassium metal. Previously the HOPG had been outgassed for ~12 h at 350 °C under a vacuum of 10<sup>–5</sup> mbar. The reaction tube was then heated to 300 or 360 °C, and held at that temperature for ~24 h. After this time, the graphite had taken on a metallic blue-purple color, indicating the formation of either stage-II or stage-IV K-GIC. These starting materials have nominal compositions  $\text{KC}_{24}$  and  $\text{KC}_{48}$ , respectively. X-ray and neutron data for the starting K-GICs confirm that the compounds

\* Corresponding author. E-mail: n.skipper@ucl.ac.uk.



**TABLE 1: Peak Positions and Relative Peak Intensities of the First 16 (00 $l$ ) Bragg Peaks, for Stage-II Potassium–Methylamine Graphite Intercalation Compounds under 3 bar of Methylamine Pressure, Evaluated from the Split-Pearson VII Bragg Peak Fitting<sup>a</sup>**

$Q/\text{\AA}^{-1}$	$I(Q)$	
	$\text{C}_{48}\text{K}(\text{CD}_3\text{ND}_2)_3$	$\text{C}_{48}\text{K}(\text{CD}_3\text{NH}_2)_3$
0.61	0.1930	0.275
1.22	0.0392	0.0415
1.83	1.0	1.0
2.44	0.0951	0.0880
3.05	0.0440	0.033
3.66	0.1616	0.1833
4.27	0.0529	0.0513
4.88	0.0099	0.0073
5.49	0.0980	0.0966
6.10	0.01738	0.0208
6.71	0.0	0.0
7.32	0.0814	0.0574
7.93	0.00925	0.00733
8.54	0.000148	0.0
9.16	0.01886	0.0171
9.76	0.00703	0.00611

<sup>a</sup> The (001) and (002) Bragg reflections were obtained from the detector bank at 35°, the remainder from the bank at 150°. The (003) reflection is used as the normalization point of the intensities.

profile. Data from the 35° detectors were scaled to those at 150° by comparing the overlay region.

For the pure stage-I and stage-II aminated compounds the neutron scattering densities along the  $z$ -axis,  $\rho(z)$ , were obtained by inverse Monte Carlo fitting to the integrated Bragg peak intensities,  $I(Q)$ .<sup>13</sup> These are related to  $\rho(z)$  via the structure factor,  $F(Q)$ :

$$I(Q) = M(Q)|F(Q)|^2 \quad (1)$$

$$F(Q) = \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \rho(z) e^{iQz} dz \quad (2)$$

where  $d$  refers to the smallest repeat distance along the  $c^*$ -axis.  $M(Q)$  is a  $Q$ -dependent form factor, which takes into account the mosaic spread and finite size of the sample, and the Debye–Waller factor.<sup>13</sup> Because of the H/D substitution on  $\text{CD}_3\text{NH}_2$ , we are able to isolate the distribution amine group hydrogen atoms from the other components, as shown in Figure 3.

## Results and Discussion

We begin by identifying the GICs that have been observed during our experiments. The compounds, with label and  $c$ -axis

spacing in brackets, are; stage-IV  $\text{KC}_{48}$  (IV = 15.45 Å), stage-III  $\text{KC}_{36}$  (III = 12.10 Å), stage-II  $\text{KC}_{24}$  (II = 8.75 Å), stage-I  $\text{KC}_8$  (I = 5.40 Å), methylaminated stage-III  $\text{KC}_{72}(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_x$  (AIII = 13.59 Å), methylaminated stage-II  $\text{KC}_{48}(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_x$  (AII = 10.30 Å) and methylaminated stage-I  $\text{KC}_{24}(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_x$  (AI = 6.95 Å). The methylamine content depends on the applied vapor pressure, with  $x \leq 3$ .

Scattering data collected during the methylation of IV are shown in Figure 1. We note first that we do not see AIV, which would have a layer spacing of 16.98 Å, and (001) and (002) Bragg peaks at 0.37 and 0.74 Å<sup>-1</sup>. Instead, the starting compound is first converted to III and AIII. The formation of a lower stage *unaminated* compound during amination is at first sight surprising, but is presumably required to conserve overall  $\text{K}^+$  content within the constraint of stoichiometric staged compounds. The methylamine content of our AIII cannot be determined from the current experiments. However, the fact that it is soon converted to AII suggests that the  $\text{K}^+$  ions are not fully solvated by three methylamine molecules. At vapor pressures greater than 1.0 bar AII appears, and is the only component in the final compound formed at 3 bar. We therefore conclude that the only allowed staging transitions allowed during methylation have  $\Delta n = -1$ , where  $\Delta n$  is the change of stage. This rule applies even if the transition involves amination/demamination. For this reason we did not see AIV or II during conversion of IV to AII. In contrast, transitions with  $\Delta n = 0$  (for example II to AII) have been observed during ammoniation of K-GICs.<sup>7</sup> This is probably due to the smaller size of ammonia, which allows the molecule to intercalate without reorganization of the in-plane  $\text{K}^+$  structure.<sup>8</sup>

Peak positions and relative intensities for the final AII compound are given in Table 1, and are displayed graphically in Figure 2. The data for the two isotopically distinct samples,  $\text{KC}_{48}(\text{CD}_3\text{ND}_2)_3$  and  $\text{KC}_{48}(\text{CD}_3\text{NH}_2)_3$ , reveal quite large changes in the relative intensities of the Bragg peaks due to isotopic substitution. The density profiles obtained from the intensity data for AII and AI are shown in Figure 3. These profiles are zeroed on the center of the interlayer region, to allow us to compare the two samples more easily. The plots show the unsubstituted scattering length density profiles (all atomic species except the isotopically substituted H/D) and the difference profiles (only the isotopically substituted H/D atoms).

Considering first the isotope independent profiles (solid lines), there are sharp peaks at  $\pm 6.825$  and  $\pm 3.475$  Å due to the flat (unrumped) graphite layers, with the peaks at  $\pm 6.825$  Å of course being present in AII but not AI. The area under these

**TABLE 2: Structure of Stage-II ( $d = 10.30$  Å) and Stage-I ( $d = 6.95$  Å, in *Italics*) Potassium–Methylamine–Graphite, at 3 bar of Methylamine and Room Temperature<sup>a</sup>**

density profile	peak position/Å	peak area	assignment	comment
H/D isotope independent (solid lines)	$\pm 6.825$	15.95	1.0 $\text{C}_{24}$	peaks used to normalize the distribution
	$\pm 3.475$	15.95	1.0 $\text{C}_{24}$	
	$-0.800$	2.65	K + 3.12 ( $\text{CD}_3\text{N}$ )	we assume that K is conserved, and that H/D substitution was complete
	0.000	2.47	K + 3.29 ( $\text{CD}_3\text{N}$ )	
		6.28		
	$+0.800$	7.27		
		2.66		
H/D isotope dependent (dashed lines)	$-0.900$	2.49		we assume H/D substitution is complete, and assign protons the scattering length of D
		2.00	3.00 D	
		2.28	3.43 D	
	$+0.900$	2.05	3.07 D	
		2.30	3.48 D	

<sup>a</sup> Peak positions and peak areas were obtained from the neutron scattering density profiles such shown in Figure 3. The neutron scattering lengths,  $b$ , for relevant species are  $C = 0.6646$  fm,  $K = 0.367$  fm,  $N = 0.937$  fm,  $H = -0.3739$  fm,  $D = 0.6671$  fm

peaks corresponds to  $C_{24}$ , and is used to normalize other features in the data (Table 2). In the center of the interlayer region there is a peak that we attribute to approximately collinear  $K^+$ , C, N, and D(C). The side shoulders at  $\pm 0.8$  Å are then due to (rotationally hindered) D(C). In both samples, the data are consistent with solvation of  $K^+$  by approximately three methylamine molecules.

The isotope-dependent H/D profiles locate the labeled protons in two broad peaks at  $\pm 0.9$  Å, whose areas are again consistent with approximately three methylamine molecules per  $K^+$ . The separation of these peaks is greater than the intramolecular H–H(N) separation, and implies that the  $-NH_2$  groups can direct both protons toward the same graphite sheet.

## Conclusions

Graphite intercalation compounds (GICs) of the type  $KC_x(CH_3NH_2)_y$  have been prepared by in situ methylation of stage-II  $C_{24}K$  and stage-IV  $C_{48}K$ , and studied by time-of-flight neutron diffraction. As the vapor pressure of methylamine is increased the compounds pass through a rich sequence of staging transitions, in which the regular repeat of  $n$  empty graphite layers is progressively filled by intercalant. In these staging transitions we always find that  $\Delta n = -1$ , even if the transition involves amination/deamination. We therefore observe lower stage *unaminated* compounds as methylamine is introduced into the starting compounds. In contrast to this, intercalation of K-GICs with smaller molecules, such as ammonia, gives rise to  $\Delta n = 0$  transitions. Isotopic substitution of  $CD_3ND_2$  for  $CD_3NH_2$  has

enabled us to determine the detailed structure of the fully aminated stage-I and stage-II end compounds,  $C_{24}K(CH_3NH_2)_3$  and  $C_{48}K(CH_3NH_2)_3$ . We find that the interlayer structure is based on 3-fold coordinated potassium ions, and is relatively insensitive to the stage.

**Acknowledgment.** This research was funded by grants from the EPSRC and the Royal 1851 Commission (J.K.W.). We are also particularly grateful to Rob Done, John Dreyer, Robin Humphreys, and John Bones, of the ISIS sample environment group, for essential support during the experiment.

## References and Notes

- (1) Inagaki, M. *J. Mater. Res.* **1989**, *4*, 1560.
- (2) Dresselhaus, M. S.; Dresselhaus, G. *Adv. Phys.* **1981**, *30*, 139.
- (3) Charlier, A.; Charlier, M. F.; Fristot, D. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1989**, *50*, 987.
- (4) Fischer, J. E.; Thompson, T. E. *Phys. Today* **1978**, *July*, 36.
- (5) Fan, Y. B.; Solin, S. A.; Neumann, D. A.; Zabel, H.; Rush, J. J. *Phys. Rev. B* **1987**, *36*, 3386.
- (6) Walters, J. K.; Skipper, N. T.; Soper, A. K. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1999**, *300*, 444.
- (7) Solin, S. A. *J. Phys. IV* **1991**, *1*, 311.
- (8) Qian, X. W.; Stump, D. R.; Solin, S. A. *Phys. Rev. B* **1986**, *33*, 5756.
- (9) Edwards, P. P. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* **1981**, *10*, 189.
- (10) Edwards, P. P. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1984**, *88*, 3772.
- (11) Carlile, C. J.; Jamie, I. McL.; Lockhart, G.; White, J. W. *Mol. Phys.* **1992**, *76*, 173.
- (12) Boland, B.; Whapham, S. ISIS Experimental Facilities, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK, 1992.
- (13) Semenovskaya, S. V.; Khachatryan, K. A.; Khachatryan, A. G. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1985**, *A41*, 268.