# Evaluation in Quantitative Structure—Property Relationship Models of Structural Descriptors Derived from Information-Theory Operators

Ovidiu Ivanciuc,\*,†,‡ Teodora Ivanciuc,† Daniel Cabrol-Bass,\*,§,|| and Alexandru T. Balaban\*,†,⊥
Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, University "Politehnica" of Bucharest,
Oficiul 12 CP 243, 78100 Bucharest, Romania, and GRECFO-LARTIC, University of Nice—Sophia
Antipolis, Parc Valrose, 06108 Nice Cedex 2, France

Received July 30, 1999

During the search for new structural descriptors we have defined the information-theory operators U(M), V(M), X(M), and Y(M), that are computed from atomic invariants and measure the information content of the elements of molecular matrices. Structural descriptors computed with these four information-theory operators are used to develop structure—property models for the boiling temperature, molar heat capacity, standard Gibbs energy of formation, vaporization enthalpy, refractive index, and density of alkanes. The information-theory operators were applied to six molecular matrices, namely, the distance D, the reciprocal distance-path  $D_p$ , the path Szeged  $D_p$ , and the reciprocal path Szeged  $D_p$  matrices. In combination with other topological indices, the information-theory indices offer good structure—property models for all six alkane properties investigated in this study.

#### INTRODUCTION

The properties of a substance (such as the physicochemical behavior, reactivity, or biological activity) are ultimately determined by its molecular structure. Quantitative structureproperty relationship (QSPR) and quantitative structureactivity relationship (QSAR) models represent well-established tools for the molecular design of new compounds with desired properties. All QSPR and QSAR models are statistically based and aimed at extracting the maximum information from experimental data on compounds of known structure. These structure-property studies require atomic and molecular descriptors to encode in a numerical form the local (atomic) or global (molecular) structure. The most efficient software used in QSPR or QSAR studies integrates the computation of structural descriptors with the generation of structure-property models. Several programs from this category, such as ADAPT, 1-5 OASIS, 6-8 SciQSAR, 9 CODESSA, 10-16 and Cerius, 2,17 were used with success in developing a large number of QSPR and QSAR models. These programs compute more than 1000 structural descriptors from five classes: constitutional, graph theoretic, and topological indices and geometrical, electrostatic, and quantumchemical descriptors. Using statistical methods, such as multilinear regression (MLR), principal component analysis (PCA), partial least square (PLS), or artificial neural networks, the best descriptors are selected in the final structure-property model. A survey of the QSPR and QSAR models developed with the above programs shows that molecular graph descriptors and topological indices are used with success to model various properties and demonstrates that they are valuable descriptors of chemical structure. The interest of developing new graph descriptors for organic compounds revived in recent years, when topological indices found new applications in database mining, similarity, and diversity assessment.

Graph theory is widely used in theoretical chemistry, and numerous reviews<sup>18-29</sup> were published on its applications in characterizing the molecular structure with graph descriptors and topological indices. In a first approximation the chemical structure of a molecule can be represented as a molecular graph. Molecular graphs are nondirected chemical graphs that represent molecules, using different conventions. Usually, only non-hydrogen atoms are taken into account in molecular graphs. In the graph representation of molecules, their geometrical features such as bond lengths or bond angles, are not taken into account and the chemical bonding of atoms is regarded as being their most important characteristic. In molecular graphs, vertexes correspond to atoms and edges represent covalent bonds between atoms. A topological index (TI) is a numerical descriptor of the molecular structure based on certain topological features of the molecular graph, offering an effective way of measuring molecular branching, shape, size, and molecular similarity. Topological indices have several obvious advantages when compared with geometrical, electrostatic, and quantum descriptors: they are computed only from the information contained in the molecular graph, they have a unique value for a particular chemical compound, and their calculation requires small computational resources. Considering the advantages of graph descriptors, TIs represent valuable descriptors that complement (and do not substitute) the structural information encoded by other classes of descriptors. Because TIs are global descriptors of the molecular graph, they do not contain explicit information regarding the number of functional groups, pharmacophores, volume, surface area, interatomic distances, charge distribution, orbital energy, or electrostatic potential; for the generation of QSPR and QSAR models such information must be provided by other structural descriptors.

Using information theory applied to graph distances at the atomic (vertex) level, the highly discriminating topological

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>†</sup> University "Politehnica" of Bucharest.

<sup>‡</sup> E-mail: o\_ivanciuc@chim.upb.ro.

<sup>§</sup>University of Nice—Sophia Antipolis.

E-mail: cabrol@unice.fr.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>perp}$  E-mail: atbalaban@hotmail.com.

indices U, V, X, and Y were introduced. <sup>30,31</sup> These four information indices were used with good results in OSPR models to estimate the critical volumes, temperatures, and pressures of 49 alkanes, as well as for the coefficients of the Antoine equation that give the saturation pressure versus the absolute temperatures.<sup>32</sup> Although by design the "information-on-distances" indices U, V, X, and Y are very selective, it was found that certain acyclic<sup>33</sup> and cyclic<sup>34</sup> graphs that have nonequivalent vertexes with identical distance degree sequences can generate pairs of graphs with degenerate U, V, X, and Y indices. Recently, these information indices were extended for any symmetric molecular matrix derived from vertex- and edge-weighted molecular graphs, giving the operators U(M), V(M), X(M), and Y(M)that are a measure of the information on matrix elements.<sup>35</sup> These four operators were also applied to molecular matrices derived from three-dimensional molecular geometry, giving topological-geometrical indices that were used with success in a quantitative structure-retention relationship model for 50 alkylphenols in gas-liquid chromatography.<sup>36</sup>

In the present study we will investigate the correlational ability of a large collection of TIs computed from the information-theory operators U(M), V(M), X(M), and Y(M). Structural descriptors computed with these four information-theory operators are used to develop structure—property models for the boiling temperature, molar heat capacity, standard Gibbs energy of formation, vaporization enthalpy, refractive index, and density of alkanes. The information-theory operators are computed from six molecular matrices, namely, the distance D, the reciprocal distance RD, the distance-path  $D_p$ , the reciprocal distance-path  $RD_p$ , the path Szeged  $RS_p$ , and the reciprocal path Szeged  $RS_p$  matrices.

# INFORMATION-THEORY OPERATORS

The indices U, V, X, and Y for information on distances are computed from the elements of the distance matrix of the molecular graph, and these TIs provided good results both for structure discrimination and in structure-property models.<sup>30–32</sup> Because new graph matrices were defined in recent years, <sup>28</sup> it is possible to extend the definition of these four indices for all dense molecular matrices M (a dense matrix is a matrix without zero nondiagonal elements). We have recently introduced four information-theory operators that can be applied to a matrix with integer value elements, such as the distance matrix **D**, or to a matrix with real value elements, such as the reciprocal distance matrix RD. The graph vertex operators VUinf(M,G), VVinf(M,G), VXinf(M,G), and VYinf(M,G) apply the information-theory equations to the nonzero elements of the molecular matrix **M** that correspond to a vertex  $v_i$ :

$$\mathbf{VUinf}(\mathbf{M})_{i} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{[\mathbf{M}]_{ij}}{\mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M})_{i}} \log_{2} \left[ \frac{[\mathbf{M}]_{ij}}{\mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M})_{i}} \right]$$
(1)

$$\mathbf{VVinf}(\mathbf{M})_i = \mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M})_i \log_2 \mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M})_i - \mathbf{VUinf}(\mathbf{M})_i \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{VXinf}(\mathbf{M})_i = \mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M})_i \log_2 \mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M})_i - \mathbf{VYinf}(\mathbf{M})_i \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{VYinf}(\mathbf{M})_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [\mathbf{M}]_{ij} \log_2[\mathbf{M}]_{ij}$$
(4)

where **M** is a molecular graph matrix,  $\mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M})_i$  represents the vertex sum of the vertex  $v_i$ , and the summations in eqs 1 and 4 are done for the nonzero elements of the molecular matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ ,  $[\mathbf{M}]_{ij} \neq 0$ . In a molecular graph G with N vertexes, the vertex sum operator for the vertex  $v_i$ ,  $\mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M},G)_i$ , is defined as the sum of the elements in column i, or row i, of the molecular matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ :

$$VS(\mathbf{M}, G)_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\mathbf{M}]_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\mathbf{M}]_{ij}$$
 (5)

For a general dense molecular graph matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ , the matrix elements  $[\mathbf{M}]_{ij}$  may have values lower than 1, giving negative terms for certain vertex structural descriptors computed with the graph vertex operators  $\mathbf{VUinf}(\mathbf{M},G)$ ,  $\mathbf{VVinf}(\mathbf{M},G)$ ,  $\mathbf{VXinf}(\mathbf{M},G)$ , and  $\mathbf{VYinf}(\mathbf{M},G)$ . The Randić-like formula used in the case of the indices U, V, X, and Y is therefore replaced by the following equation:

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} (xy)^{-1/2} & \text{if } xy > 0\\ -(|xy|)^{-1/2} & \text{if } xy < 0 \end{cases}$$
 (6)

The operators U(M), V(M), X(M), and Y(M), representing information on matrix elements, are computed with the equations

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M}, G) = \frac{M}{\mu + 1} \sum_{E(G)} f(\mathbf{VUinf}(\mathbf{M})_i, \mathbf{VUinf}(\mathbf{M})_j)$$
 (7)

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{M}, G) = \frac{M}{\mu + 1} \sum_{F(G)} f(\mathbf{VVinf}(\mathbf{M})_i, \mathbf{VVinf}(\mathbf{M})_j)$$
(8)

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{M}, G) = \frac{M}{\mu + 1} \sum_{E(G)} f(\mathbf{VXinf}(\mathbf{M})_i, \mathbf{VXinf}(\mathbf{M})_j)$$
(9)

$$\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{M}, G) = \frac{M}{\mu + 1} \sum_{F(G)} f(\mathbf{VYinf}(\mathbf{M})_i, \mathbf{VYinf}(\mathbf{M})_j) \quad (10)$$

# **METHOD**

(1) Data. The QSPR models were developed for a data set consisting of 134 alkanes between C<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>10</sub>, for the following six physical properties: $^{37}$   $t_b$ , boiling temperature at normal pressure (°C); Cp, molar heat capacity at 300 K (J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>);  $\Delta_f G^{\circ}_{300}$  (g), standard Gibbs energy of formation in the gas phase at 300 K (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>);  $\Delta_{\rm vap}H_{300}$ , vaporization enthalpy at 300 K (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>);  $n_{\rm D}^{25}$ , refractive index at 25 °C;  $\rho$ , density at 25 °C (kg m<sup>-3</sup>). The value of the refractive index of 2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane is missing, while the reported density of this compound, 821.70 kg m<sup>-3</sup>, <sup>37</sup> is too high when compared with the density of similar alkanes and it was not considered in the computation of the density QSPR models. As it is known, there are 142 constitutional isomers for these alkanes, but data for all six properties are missing for the following eight of them: n-hexane, n-nonane, n-decane, 2-methylnonane, 3-methylnonane, 4-methylnonane, 5-methylnonane, and 3-ethyl-2,4dimethylhexane. This database of 134 alkanes together with their six physical properties forms the Alkane1 database proposed as a standard QSPR test for structural descriptors.<sup>38</sup> The utility of structural descriptors may be judged by

comparing their performances in computing the alkane physical properties from the Alkane1 database.

- (2) Molecular Matrices. The structural descriptors defined on molecular matrices were computed from the following six graph matrices: distance **D**, reciprocal distance **RD**,<sup>39–43</sup> distance-path  $\mathbf{D_p}$ ,  $^{44,45}$  reciprocal distance-path  $\mathbf{RD_p}$ ,  $^{44,45}$  path Szeged  $\mathbf{Sz_p}$ ,  $^{46-49}$  and reciprocal path Szeged  $\mathbf{RSz_p}$ .
- (3) Structural Descriptors. The scope of this paper is to investigate the correlational ability of the information-theory operators U(M), V(M), X(M), and Y(M), computed from six molecular matrices. Owing to the complexity of the molecular structure, it seems to be impossible to expect that a single set of descriptors would contain all the relevant information. This is the main reason OSPR and OSAR models are developed by selecting descriptors from a large pool of structural descriptors. In the present study the QSPR models were generated with the information-theory indices and a selection of the most used molecular graph descriptors. The list of the 60 structural descriptors used in the QSPR study is presented below:
- (1) The molecular weight, MW: This constitutional descriptor is a measure of molecular size, and experience shows that in many structure-property studies this is an important parameter.
- (2) The Kier and Hall connectivity indices  $^{18}$   $^{0}\chi$ ,  $^{1}\chi$ ,  $^{2}\chi$ ,  $^3\chi_p$ ,  $^3\chi_c$ : These topological indices are, by far, the most used descriptors in QSPR and QSAR models. The  $^1\chi$  index was first described by Randić and named molecular connectivitv. 19
- (3) Wiener indices computed with the Wiener operator Wi(M):<sup>25-28,50</sup> These descriptors represent an extension of the Wiener index that is defined for any molecular matrix. Molecular graph descriptors computed with the Wiener operator were used with success to compute the boiling temperatures of acyclic compounds containing oxygen or sulfur atoms.<sup>51</sup> The Wiener operator Wi(M) = Wi(M,G) of a molecular graph G with N vertexes is computed from the symmetric  $N \times N$  molecular matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(G)$ :

$$\mathbf{Wi}(\mathbf{M},G) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\mathbf{M}(G)]_{ij}$$
 (11)

The Wiener operator Wi(M) is an extension of the topological index W introduced by Wiener<sup>52,53</sup> for alkanes, and extended to cycloalkanes by Hosoya.<sup>54</sup> While W is computed from the distance matrix, the Wiener operator Wi(M) can be applied to any molecular matrix, derived either from the molecular graph or from the three-dimensional structure of a chemical compound. A detailed comparison of these structural descriptors was made by Ivanciuc.<sup>27</sup>

(4) Hyper-Wiener indices computed with the hyper-Wiener operator **HyWi(M)**:<sup>27,50,51</sup> The hyper-Wiener operator HyWi(M) = HyWi(M,G) of a molecular graph G with N vertexes is computed from the symmetric  $N \times N$  molecular matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(G)$ :

$$\mathbf{HyWi}(\mathbf{M},G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ([\mathbf{M}]_{ij}^{2} + [\mathbf{M}]_{ij})$$
 (12)

The hyper-Wiener index WW was defined for alkanes by Randić<sup>55–57</sup> and extended to cycloalkanes by Klein, Lukovits, and Gutman.<sup>58</sup> Diudea proposed an alternative method for computing the hyper-Wiener from the distance-path matrix **D**<sub>n</sub>. <sup>44,45</sup> Equation 12, related to the formula proposed in ref 58, extends the computation of the hyper-Wiener indices to molecular graph matrices or matrices derived from the threedimensional molecular structure. The definitions and examples for the computation of all these descriptors were recently reviewed.27

(5) The spectral operators MinSp(M) and Max-Sp(M):<sup>25-27,50</sup> Structural descriptors computed with these two spectral operators were used with good results to develop QSPR models for the boiling temperature, vaporization enthalpy, molar refraction, molar volume, critical pressure, critical temperature, and surface tension of alkanes, 43,59 to estimate the boiling temperature of acyclic compounds containing oxygen or sulfur atoms,<sup>51</sup> and to model the amine boiling temperature.<sup>60</sup> The matrix spectrum operator<sup>50</sup>  $\mathbf{Sp}(\mathbf{M},G)$ =  $\{x_i, i = 1, 2, ..., N\}$  represents the eigenvalues of the matrix **M** or the roots of the polynomial Ch(M,G,x), Ch(M,G,x) =0. The spectral operators MinSp(M,G) and MaxSp(M,G)are equal to the minimum and maximum values of Sp(M,G), respectively:45

$$MinSp(M,G) = min\{Sp(M,G)\}$$
 (13)

$$\mathbf{MaxSp}(\mathbf{M},G) = \mathbf{max}\{\mathbf{Sp}(\mathbf{M},G)\}$$
 (14)

(6) The Ivanciuc—Balaban operator **IB**(**M**):<sup>28,51</sup> By design, this operator reflects mainly the molecular shape and reduces the contribution of the size term in the numerical value of the descriptor. Molecular graph descriptors computed with the Ivanciuc-Balaban operator were used with success to generate structure-property models for the boiling temperature of acyclic compounds containing oxygen or sulfur atoms<sup>51</sup> and to estimate the boiling temperature, molar heat capacity, standard Gibbs energy of formation, vaporization enthalpy, refractive index, and density of alkanes.<sup>61</sup> The Ivanciuc-Balaban operator of a graph G,  $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{M}, G)$ is computed from the vertex sum local invariant defined by eq 5 computed from the symmetric  $N \times N$  molecular matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(G)$ :

$$\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{M},G) = \frac{M}{\mu + 1} \sum_{e: e \in E(G)} (\mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M})_i \times \mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{M})_j)^{-1/2}$$
 (15)

where  $VS(M)_i$  and  $VS(M)_i$  denote the vertex sums of the two adjacent vertexes  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  that are incident with an edge  $e_{ij}$  in the molecular graph G, M is the number of edges in the molecular graph,  $\mu$  is the cyclomatic number (the number of cycles in the graph,  $\mu = M - N + 1$ , where N is the number of atoms the molecular graph), and the summation goes over all edges from the edge set E(G).

- (7) The information-theory operators U(M), V(M), X(M), and  $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{M})$ .<sup>35</sup>
- (4) **QSPR Model.** All studies that develop QSPR models from a large set of computed descriptors use a wide range of algorithms for selecting significant descriptors. Because an exhaustive test of all multilinear regression (MLR) equations require too large computational resources, we have used a heuristic method for descriptor selection. This heuristic algorithm starts from the set of 60 structural descriptors and develops QSPR models by applying the following steps:

- (1) All one-parameter correlation equations are computed. All descriptors with a correlation coefficient greater than a threshold  $|r_{\min}| > 0.15$  are selected for further use.
- (2) Biparametric regression equations are computed with all possible pairs of descriptors selected in step 1 that are not significantly correlated. Two descriptors are considered to be not significantly correlated if their intercorrelation coefficient  $r_{ij}$  is lower than a threshold  $|r_{ij}| < 0.8$ . The most significant 60 pairs of molecular descriptors were used in the next (third) step.
- (3) To an MLR model containing n descriptors, a new descriptor is added to generate a model with n + 1 descriptors if the new descriptor is not significantly correlated with the previous n descriptors.
- (4) The most significant 60 MLR models containing n + 1 descriptors are selected.

Steps 3 and 4 are repeated until MLR models with a certain maximum number of descriptors are obtained.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

(1) Normal Boiling Temperature. In Tables 1 and 2 we present the coefficients, confidence interval, structural descriptors, and statistical indices for the best ten MLR equations with three and four, respectively, independent variables that model the alkane boiling temperature. The best MLR equation with three independent variables contains a connectivity index,  ${}^{3}\chi_{p}$ , the spectral descriptor **MaxSp(RD)**, and the index V(RD). The index  $^{3}\chi_{p}$  represents the weighted contribution of butane-like subgraphs and is a measure of molecular branching, and MaxSp(RD) is a global measure of branching derived from the reciprocal distance matrix, while V(RD) represents the weighted total information content in the elements of the reciprocal distance matrix. All ten MLR equations from Table 1 contain the connectivity index  ${}^{3}\chi_{D}$ , and an information index, namely, V(RD), V(D), X(RD),  $X(D_p)$ , or  $X(RD_p)$ . The third index included by the descriptor selection algorithm either is the molecular weight **MW** or is derived from the Wiener, hyper-Wiener, or **MaxSp** operators. The majority of the indices are derived from reciprocal matrices, such as the reciprocal distance RD and the reciprocal distance-path  $RD_p$  matrices.

The addition of the fourth independent variable improves the MLR models for the alkane boiling temperature, as can be seen from Table 2. The best MLR equation with four independent variables contains, apart from the indices from eq 1, the information index  $V(Sz_n)$  computed from the elements of the path Szeged matrix  $Sz_p$ ; eq 11, with r =0.9951, s = 2.67, and F = 3256, is only slightly better than the remaining nine equations (12)-(20); this situation appears when a QSPR model is derived from a large set of descriptors and indicates that several MLR models with close statistical indices can be generated with different sets of topological indices. We have to mention that almost all indices are computed from RD and RD<sub>p</sub> matrices, but one finds also five information indices derived from  $Sz_p$ , indicating the importance of the path Szeged matrix in generating TIs with good correlational ability. The connectivity index  $^{3}\chi_{p}$  is present in all eqs 11–20; seven equations contain two information indices, while the remaining three have one information index. Equally important is the spectral descriptor MaxSp(RD) that appears in five equations.

**Fable 1.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $SD_i$  (i = 1-3), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Three Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Boiling Temperature

| bə | $a_0$                | $a_1$               | $SD_1$          | $a_2$               | $\mathbf{SD}_2$         | $a_3$               | $\mathbf{SD}_3$            | r      | S    | F    |
|----|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------|------|------|
| 1  | $-146.782 \pm 8.218$ | $8.8334 \pm 0.4946$ | $^3\chi_{ m p}$ | $45.479 \pm 2.546$  | MaxSp(RD)               | $6.5352 \pm 0.3659$ | V(RD)                      | 0.9939 | 2.97 | 3495 |
| 2  | $-46.764 \pm 2.802$  | $11.514 \pm 0.690$  | 3%              | $9.0677 \pm 0.5434$ | HyWi(RD <sub>p</sub> )  | $4.5813 \pm 0.2745$ | V(RD)                      | 0.9930 | 3.18 | 3051 |
| ю  | $-41.997 \pm 2.542$  | $11.145 \pm 0.675$  | 3%              | $7.1334 \pm 0.432$  | $Wi(RD_p)$              | $4.8711 \pm 0.2949$ | V(RD)                      | 0.9928 | 3.21 | 2990 |
| 4  | $-75.612 \pm 4.637$  | $1.1880 \pm 0.0729$ | MM              | $12.531 \pm 0.769$  | $^3\chi_{ m p}$         | $2.7534 \pm 0.1689$ | V(RD)                      | 0.9926 | 3.25 | 2913 |
| 5  | $-34.714 \pm 2.184$  | $11.313 \pm 0.712$  | $^3\chi_{ m b}$ | $6.1756 \pm 0.3886$ | HyWi(RD)                | $4.5683 \pm 0.2875$ | V(RD)                      | 0.9923 | 3.33 | 2767 |
| 9  | $-87.987 \pm 5.578$  | $12.424 \pm 0.788$  | 3%              | $21.646 \pm 1.372$  | MaxSp(RD)               | $20.088 \pm 1.274$  | X(RD)                      | 0.9921 | 3.36 | 2726 |
| 7  | $-22.157 \pm 1.421$  | $1.2379 \pm 0.0794$ | MM              | $15.319 \pm 0.983$  | $^3\chi_{ m p}$         | $-39.115 \pm 2.509$ | V(D)                       | 0.9920 | 3.40 | 2662 |
| ∞  | $-18.113 \pm 1.169$  | $1.1641 \pm 0.0751$ | MW              | $15.361 \pm 0.992$  | $^{3}\chi_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-40.546 \pm 2.617$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D_p})$ | 0.9919 | 3.42 | 2629 |
| 6  | $-28.303 \pm 1.834$  | $11.275 \pm 0.731$  | $^3\chi_{ m p}$ | $4.8254 \pm 0.3127$ | Wi(RD)                  | $4.4946 \pm 0.2912$ | V(RD)                      | 0.9918 | 3.43 | 2609 |
| 10 | $-80.408 \pm 5.240$  | $11.157 \pm 0.727$  | $^3\chi_{ m p}$ | $27.648 \pm 1.802$  | MaxSp(RD)               | $9.9073 \pm 0.6457$ | $X(RD_p) \\$               | 0.9917 | 3.45 | 2579 |
|    |                      |                     |                 |                     |                         |                     |                            |        |      |      |

<sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $t_b = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_3$ 

**Table 2.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $\mathbf{SD}_i$  (i = 1-4), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Four Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Boiling

| тешр       | compensation at informal ricesonic, $t_{\rm b}$ ( $\sim$ )   | ie, 1/6 ( C)             |                          |                     |   |                      |                         |                      |                                       |        |      |      |
|------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|------|------|
| ьъ         | $a_0$  | $a_1$                    | $\mathbf{SD}_1$          | $a_2$               | $\mathbf{SD}_2$                             | $a_3$                | $\mathbf{SD}_3$         | $a_4$                | $\mathbf{SD}_4$                       | 7      | S    | F    |
| 11         | $-97.884 \pm 6.227$  | $10.993 \pm 0.699$       | $^3\chi_{\rm p}$         | $38.777 \pm 2.467$  | MaxSp(RD)                                   | $5.3194 \pm 0.3384$  | V(RD)                   | $-111.597 \pm 7.099$ | V(Sz <sub>p</sub> )                   | 0.9951 | 2.67 | 3256 |
| 12         | $-101.424 \pm 6.472$   | $10.964 \pm 0.700$       | 3,3                      | $39.005 \pm 2.489$  | MaxSp(RD)                                   | $5.4272 \pm 0.3463$  | V(RD)                   | $-55.943 \pm 3.570$  | $Y(Sz_p)$                             | 0.9951 | 2.68 | 3236 |
| 13         | $-22.575 \pm 1.479$  | $12.541 \pm 0.821$       | $\frac{3}{2}$            | $35.354 \pm 2.316$  | IB(D)                                       | $-86.856 \pm 5.690$  | V(D)                    | $15.917 \pm 1.043$   | X(RD)                                 | 0.9948 | 2.75 | 3071 |
| 14         | $16.029 \pm 1.070$   | $11.121 \pm 0.742$       | $\frac{3}{2}$            | $130.993 \pm 8.744$ | MinSp(RD)                                   | $54.228 \pm 3.620$   | MaxSp(RD)               | $5.2343 \pm 0.3494$  | V(RD)                                 | 0.9946 | 2.80 | 2957 |
| 15         | $-37.520 \pm 2.513$  | $10.239 \pm 0.686$       | $\frac{3}{\chi_{\rm p}}$ | $54.677 \pm 3.662$  | MaxSp(RD)                                   | $83.360 \pm 5.584$   | MinSp(RD <sub>p</sub> ) | $5.2676 \pm 0.3528$  | V(RD)                                 | 0.9946 | 2.81 | 2937 |
| 16         | $-10.954 \pm 0.737$  | $12.974 \pm 0.873$       | $\frac{3}{2}$            | $31.871 \pm 2.146$  | IB(D)                                       | $13.353 \pm 0.899$   | X(RD)                   | $-88.201 \pm 5.938$  | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{p}})$ | 0.9945 | 2.82 | 2907 |
| 17         | $-180.638 \pm 12.562$  | $8.1713 \pm 0.5683$      | $\frac{3}{2}$            | $54.686 \pm 3.803$  | MaxSp(RD)                                   | $-1.1665 \pm 0.0811$ | IB(RD <sub>p</sub> )    | $8.2955 \pm 0.5769$  | V(RD)                                 | 0.9941 | 2.91 | 2725 |
| 18         | $-7.3897 \pm 0.5143$   | $13.060 \pm 0.909$       | $\frac{3}{\chi_{\rm p}}$ | $6.0343 \pm 0.4200$ | Wi(RD <sub>p</sub> )                        | $3.8737 \pm 0.2696$  | V(RD)                   | $-115.302 \pm 8.025$ | $V(Sz_0)$                             | 0.9941 | 2.92 | 2720 |
| 19         | $-13.231 \pm 0.922$  | $13.294 \pm 0.926$       | $\frac{3}{2}$            | $7.7348 \pm 0.5388$ | HyWi(RD <sub>p</sub> )                      | $3.6810 \pm 0.2564$  | V(RD)                   | $-109.324 \pm 7.616$ | $V(Sz_p)$                             | 0.9941 | 2.92 | 2715 |
| 20         | $-10.665 \pm 0.745$  | $13.034 \pm 0.911$       | 3%                       | $6.0762 \pm 0.4246$ | $Wi(RD_p)$                                  | $3.9812 \pm 0.2782$  | V(RD)                   | $-57.508 \pm 4.018$  | $Y(Sz_p)$                             | 0.9941 | 2.93 | 2699 |
| П <i>в</i> | <sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form $t_b = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_2 +$ | the general form $t_b =$ | $= a_0 + a_1$            |                     | $a_3$ <b>SD</b> $_3 + a_4$ <b>SD</b> $_4$ . |                      |                         |                      |                                       |        |      |      |

(2) Molar Heat Capacity. The best ten QSPR models with three or four independent variables that model the alkane molar heat capacity are presented in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. The first three-parametric model, eq 21, with r = 0.9883, s = 3.93, and F = 1812, contains two spectral descriptors, MinSp(RD) and MaxSp(RD), and an index computed from the Ivanciuc-Balaban operator,  $IB(RD_p)$ . Only eqs 23, 27, and 28 contain an information index, indicating that for the alkane molar heat capacity the Ivanciuc-Balaban indices are more suitable than the information indices. As previously noted, almost all indices are derived from the reciprocal distance RD and the reciprocal distance-path **RD<sub>p</sub>** matrices. Although eq 21 does not contain a connectivity index, there are five MLR models that contain two such indices, showing their importance in modeling the alkane molar heat capacity.

As can be seen from Table 4, the best MLR model with four independent variables, eq 31, is not derived from any of the models with three parameters reported in Table 3. This equation, with r = 0.9891, s = 3.79, and F = 1461, contains as descriptors MW,  ${}^{3}\chi_{p}$ , Wi(RSz<sub>p</sub>), and IB(D). While the Ivanciuc—Balaban index computed from the distance matrix, **IB(D)**, appears only in eq 31, the Wiener index derived from the reciprocal path Szeged matrix,  $Wi(RSz_p)$ , appears in the first six equations from Table 4. All models from Tabe 4 contain at least one connectivity index, with  ${}^{3}\chi_{p}$  being present in nine equations; equally important is the spectral descriptor MaxSp(RD) that appears in seven equations and  $Wi(RSz_p)$ that is found in six QSPR models. Although the first three QSPR models from Table 4 do not contain information indices, they appear in the next six equations, suggesting that they are important descriptors in modeling the alkane molar heat capacity. As observed in the previous cases, the majority of the indices are computed from **RD** and **RD**<sub>n</sub> matrices, but one finds also two descriptors computed from the reciprocal path Szeged matrix, namely, Wi(RSz<sub>p</sub>) and  $V(RSz_{p})$ .

(3) Standard Gibbs Energy of Formation. In Tables 5 and 6 we give the best ten QSPR models with three and four, respectively, independent variables that model the alkane standard Gibbs energy of formation. The best MLR eq 41 with three independent variables, with r = 0.9528, s = 4.52, F = 427, contains the Ivanciuc-Balaban index IB(D) and the information descriptors V(RD) and Y(RD). It appears that  $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{D})$  is quite important in modeling the alkane standard Gibbs energy of formation, because only eq 48 does not contain this index. Equally important are the information indices derived from the RD, RD<sub>p</sub>, and RSz<sub>p</sub> matrices; they are present in all QSPR models from Table 5; moreover four equations, namely, equations 41-43 and 45 contain two such descriptors. A spectral descriptor appears only in eqs 45 and 50, two models contain a Wiener index, and only one model contains a connectivity index.

The QSPR equations that model the alkane standard Gibbs energy of formation are significantly improved by the addition of a fourth independent variable, as one can note from the inspection of the results reported in Table 6. By adding to the three descriptors from eq 41 a fourth one, namely, the Wiener index  $\mathbf{Wi}(\mathbf{RSz_p})$ , one obtains the best MLR eq 51, the best MLR equation with four independent variables, with r = 0.9635, s = 4.00, and F = 417. All QSPR models from Table 6 contain the Ivanciuc—Balaban index

| eq | $a_0$                | $a_1$                | $\mathbf{SD}_1$            | $a_2$               | $\mathbf{SD}_2$ | $a_3$                    | $SD_3$               | r      | S    | F    |
|----|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|------|------|
| 21 | $139.743 \pm 10.867$ | $120.900 \pm 9.402$  | MinSp(RD)                  | $46.098 \pm 3.585$  | MaxSp(RD)       | $2.8452 \pm 0.2213$      | IB(RD <sub>p</sub> ) | 0.9883 | 3.93 | 1812 |
| 22 | $8.0233 \pm 0.6255$  | $-2.9300 \pm 0.2284$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$             | $33.710 \pm 2.628$  | MaxSp(RD)       | $3.5992 \pm 0.2806$      | $IB(RD_p)$           | 0.9882 | 3.93 | 1803 |
| 23 | $102.038 \pm 7.984$  | $121.595 \pm 9.515$  | MinSp(RD)                  | $56.403 \pm 4.413$  | MaxSp(RD)       | $6.6785 \pm 0.5226$      | $X(RD_p)$            | 0.9881 | 3.95 | 1789 |
| 24 | $109.097 \pm 8.554$  | $94.738 \pm 7.428$   | MinSp(RD)                  | $41.359 \pm 3.243$  | MaxSp(RD)       | $4.5600 \pm 0.3575$      | IB(RD)               | 0.9881 | 3.96 | 1782 |
| 25 | $3.4666 \pm 0.2718$  | $43.223 \pm 3.389$   | $^{1}\chi$                 | $10.061 \pm 0.789$  | $^{2}\chi$      | $0.15329 \pm 0.01202$    | $MinSp(D_p)$         | 0.9881 | 3.96 | 1782 |
| 26 | $-1.4223 \pm 0.1117$ | $40.225 \pm 3.158$   | $^{1}\chi$                 | $8.2934 \pm 0.6511$ | $^{2}\chi$      | $5.3908 \pm 0.4232$      | IB(D)                | 0.9880 | 3.96 | 1777 |
| 27 | $-40.489 \pm 3.180$  | $-2.8825 \pm 0.2264$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$             | $46.573 \pm 3.658$  | MaxSp(RD)       | $8.4685 \pm 0.6651$      | $X(RD_p)$            | 0.9880 | 3.96 | 1776 |
| 28 | $12.091 \pm 0.950$   | $46.729 \pm 3.671$   | 1 <sup>χ</sup> χ           | $8.0588 \pm 0.6332$ | $^{2}\gamma$    | $-1.7939 \pm 0.1409$     | V(RD)                | 0.9880 | 3.96 | 1775 |
| 29 | $4.9847 \pm 0.3918$  | $43.213 \pm 3.397$   | $^{1}\overset{\sim}{\chi}$ | $10.272 \pm 0.807$  | $^{2}\chi$      | $0.71420 \pm 0.05614$    | MinSp(D)             | 0.9880 | 3.97 | 1773 |
| 30 | $-1.2280 \pm 0.0965$ | $44.298 \pm 3.482$   | $^{1}\overset{\sim}{\chi}$ | $9.8663 \pm 0.7756$ | 2χ <sup>0</sup> | $-0.003367 \pm 0.000265$ | $HyWi(D_p)$          | 0.9880 | 3.97 | 1773 |
|    | 1.2200 ± 0.0702      | 250 ± 502            | ٨                          | 7.0000 ± 0.7700     | λ.              | 0.00000, ± 0.000200      | шу (та(2-р)          | 0.,000 | 0.57 | - 7  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $C_p = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{SD}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{SD}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{SD}_3$ .

**Table 4.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $\mathbf{SD}_i$  (i = 1-4), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Four Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Molar Heat Capacity at 300 K,  $C_p$  (J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>a</sup>

| eq | $a_0$               | $a_1$                | $SD_1$                  | $a_2$                | $\mathbf{SD}_2$    | $a_3$                | $SD_3$       | $a_4$                   | $\mathbf{SD}_4$           | r      | S    | F    |
|----|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------|------|------|
| 31 | $-22.653 \pm 2.151$ | $1.6836 \pm 0.1599$  | MW                      | $-6.0995 \pm 0.5792$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $-2.5875 \pm 0.2457$ | $Wi(RSz_p)$  | $13.307 \pm 1.264$      | IB(D)                     | 0.9891 | 3.79 | 1461 |
| 32 | $-29.391 \pm 2.791$ | $-5.9861 \pm 0.5685$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$      | $-2.3728 \pm 0.2254$ | $Wi(RSz_p)$        | $49.751 \pm 4.725$   | MaxSp(RD)    | $4.0817 \pm 0.3877$     | IB(RD)                    | 0.9891 | 3.79 | 1461 |
| 33 | $-23.122 \pm 2.212$ | $-6.2087 \pm 0.5939$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$          | $-2.0313 \pm 0.1943$ | $Wi(RSz_p)$        | $49.360 \pm 4.722$   | MaxSp(RD)    | $2.8099 \pm 0.2688$     | $IB(RD_p)$                | 0.9890 | 3.82 | 1440 |
| 34 | $-69.421 \pm 6.673$ | $-5.9530 \pm 0.5722$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$      | $-2.3861 \pm 0.2294$ | $Wi(RSz_p)$        | $57.883 \pm 5.564$   | MaxSp(RD)    | $12.721 \pm 1.223$      | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{RD})$ | 0.9889 | 3.83 | 1426 |
| 35 | $-39.812 \pm 3.838$ | $1.9627 \pm 0.1892$  | MW                      | $-5.2051 \pm 0.5018$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $-2.2735 \pm 0.2192$ | $Wi(RSz_p)$  | $33.240 \pm 3.205$      | $X(D_p)$                  | 0.9888 | 3.85 | 1418 |
| 36 | $-60.776 \pm 5.871$ | $-6.1373 \pm 0.5928$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$          | $-2.0070 \pm 0.1939$ | $Wi(RSz_p)$        | $59.272 \pm 5.725$   | MaxSp(RD)    | $6.6261 \pm 0.6401$     | $X(RD_p)$                 | 0.9888 | 3.85 | 1412 |
| 37 | $6.4565 \pm 0.6238$ | $-3.7440 \pm 0.3617$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$      | $34.572 \pm 3.340$   | MaxSp(RD)          | $3.5490 \pm 0.3429$  | $IB(RD_p)$   | $0.033931 \pm 0.003278$ | $V(RSz_p)$                | 0.9888 | 3.85 | 1412 |
| 38 | $-41.426 \pm 4.018$ | $-3.7534 \pm 0.3640$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$      | $47.291 \pm 4.587$   | MaxSp(RD)          | $8.3465 \pm 0.8095$  | $X(RD_p)$    | $0.036404 \pm 0.003531$ | $V(RSz_p)$                | 0.9887 | 3.87 | 1401 |
| 39 | $4.7918 \pm 0.4667$ | $42.546 \pm 4.144$   | $^{1}\chi$              | $10.179 \pm 0.991$   | $^{2}\chi$         | $0.1439 \pm 0.0140$  | $MinSp(D_p)$ | $0.031346 \pm 0.003053$ | $V(RSz_p)$                | 0.9886 | 3.88 | 1381 |
| 40 | $-17.665 \pm 1.721$ | $-5.0643 \pm 0.4934$ | $^{3}\chi_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-3.0416 \pm 0.2963$ | $^3\chi_{c}$       | $41.699 \pm 4.063$   | MaxSp(RD)    | $4.7585 \pm 0.4636$     | IB(RD)                    | 0.9886 | 3.88 | 1388 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $C_p = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_3 + a_4 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_4$ .

**Table 5.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $SD_i$  (i = 1-3), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Three Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Standard Gibbs Energy of Formation in the Gas Phase at 300 K,  $\Delta_f G^{\circ}_{300}(g)$  (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>a</sup>

| eq | $a_0$                 | $a_1$                    | $SD_1$                    | $a_2$                | $SD_2$     | $a_3$                  | $SD_3$     | r      | S    | F   |
|----|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--------|------|-----|
| 41 | $-139.505 \pm 22.340$ | $42.313 \pm 6.776$       | IB(D)                     | $4.8331 \pm 0.7740$  | V(RD)      | $-1.4790 \pm 0.2368$   | Y(RD)      | 0.9528 | 4.52 | 427 |
| 42 | $-102.669 \pm 16.779$ | $38.510 \pm 6.294$       | IB(D)                     | $13.3278 \pm 2.1781$ | X(RD)      | $-3.2052 \pm 0.5238$   | Y(RD)      | 0.9510 | 4.60 | 410 |
| 43 | $-145.129 \pm 23.778$ | $38.904 \pm 6.374$       | IB(D)                     | $5.0194 \pm 0.8224$  | V(RD)      | $-0.70056 \pm 0.11478$ | $Y(RD_p)$  | 0.9508 | 4.61 | 408 |
| 44 | $-176.186 \pm 28.929$ | $43.568 \pm 7.154$       | IB(D)                     | $-1.1827 \pm 0.1942$ | $IB(RD_p)$ | $6.7550 \pm 1.1091$    | V(RD)      | 0.9506 | 4.62 | 406 |
| 45 | $-66.224 \pm 10.919$  | $2.3868 \pm 0.3935$      | MaxSp(D)                  | $39.880 \pm 6.575$   | IB(D)      | $-4.2195 \pm 0.6957$   | Y(RD)      | 0.9502 | 4.64 | 403 |
| 46 | $-94.900 \pm 15.740$  | $39.034 \pm 6.474$       | $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{D})$ | $-2.8102 \pm 0.4661$ | Y(RD)      | $6.7168 \pm 1.1140$    | $X(RD_p)$  | 0.9497 | 4.66 | 398 |
| 47 | $-165.935 \pm 27.523$ | $41.857 \pm 6.943$       | IB(D)                     | $-1.3278 \pm 0.2202$ | IB(RD)     | $6.0855 \pm 1.0094$    | V(RD)      | 0.9497 | 4.66 | 398 |
| 48 | $-43.666 \pm 7.249$   | $-6.4796 \pm 1.0757$     | $^3\chi_{\rm c}$          | $6.1000 \pm 1.0127$  | Wi(RD)     | $-1.1827 \pm 0.1963$   | $X(RSz_p)$ | 0.9496 | 4.67 | 398 |
| 49 | $-159.920 \pm 26.551$ | $-0.010882 \pm 0.001807$ | $Wi(Sz_p)$                | $39.119 \pm 6.495$   | IB(D)      | $5.0975 \pm 0.8463$    | V(RD)      | 0.9496 | 4.67 | 397 |
| 50 | $-157.781 \pm 26.220$ | $-0.060519 \pm 0.010057$ | $MaxSp(Sz_p) \\$          | $38.818 \pm 6.451$   | IB(D)      | $5.0894 \pm 0.8458$    | V(RD)      | 0.9495 | 4.67 | 397 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $\Delta_f G^{\circ}_{300} = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_3$ .

**Table 6.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $\mathbf{SD}_i$  (i = 1-4), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Four Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Standard Gibbs Energy of Formation in the Gas Phase at 300 K,  $\Delta_t G^{\circ}_{300}(g)$  (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>)

| eq | $a_0$                 | $a_1$                   | $\mathbf{SD}_1$   | $a_2$               | $\mathbf{SD}_2$           | $a_3$                | $SD_3$                   | $a_4$                 | $\mathbf{SD}_4$           | r      | S    | F   |
|----|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|------|-----|
| 51 | $-136.232 \pm 24.204$ | $4.0032 \pm 0.7113$     | $Wi(RSz_p)$   | $43.759 \pm 7.775$  | IB(D)                     | $7.3067 \pm 1.2982$  | V(RD)                    | $-4.0401 \pm 0.7178$  | Y(RD)                     | 0.9635 | 4.00 | 417 |
| 52 | $-139.593 \pm 24.943$ | $3.4693 \pm 0.6199$     | $HyWi(RSz_p)$   | $46.786 \pm 8.360$  | IB(D)                     | $7.3170 \pm 1.3074$  | V(RD)                    | $-3.9993 \pm 0.7146$  | Y(RD)                     | 0.9631 | 4.02 | 413 |
| 53 | $-156.089 \pm 27.899$ | $5.2072 \pm 0.9307$     | $Wi(RSz_p)$   | $35.607 \pm 6.364$  | IB(D)                     | $9.4961 \pm 1.6973$  | V(RD)                    | $-2.8790 \pm 0.5146$  | $Y(RD_p)$                 | 0.9631 | 4.03 | 413 |
| 54 | $-159.749 \pm 28.906$ | $4.3844 \pm 0.7933$     | HyWi(RSz <sub>p</sub> )   | $39.566 \pm 7.159$  | IB(D)                     | $9.3492 \pm 1.6917$  | V(RD)                    | $-2.7739 \pm 0.5019$  | $Y(RD_p)$                 | 0.9622 | 4.07 | 402 |
| 55 | $-142.485 \pm 27.800$ | $6.9594 \pm 1.3579$     | $^{2}\chi$  | $38.278 \pm 7.468$  | IB(D)                     | $7.3116 \pm 1.4266$  | V(RD)                    | $-2.7016 \pm 0.5271$  | $Y(RD_p)$                 | 0.9564 | 4.36 | 346 |
| 56 | $-76.407 \pm 15.035$  | $2.8408 \pm 0.5590$     | $\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{p}})$ | $38.795 \pm 7.634$  | $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{D})$ | $-5.0892 \pm 1.0014$ | Y(RD)                    | $9.1294 \pm 1.7964$   | $X(RD_p)$                 | 0.9557 | 4.40 | 340 |
| 57 | $-79.048 \pm 15.633$  | $2.4128 \pm 0.4772$     | $HyWi(RSz_p)$   | $40.896 \pm 8.088$  | IB(D)                     | $-5.0164 \pm 0.9921$ | Y(RD)                    | $9.0902 \pm 1.7977$   | $X(RD_p)$                 | 0.9553 | 4.42 | 337 |
| 58 | $-101.947 \pm 20.290$ | $0.63860 \pm 0.12710$   | Wi(RD)  | $44.252 \pm 8.807$  | $IB(D_p)$                 | $4.7959 \pm 0.9545$  | V(RD)                    | $-183.389 \pm 36.499$ | $V(Sz_p)$                 | 0.9548 | 4.44 | 333 |
| 59 | $-136.337 \pm 27.158$ | $2.9446 \pm 0.5866$     | $^{3}\chi_{c}$  | $44.744 \pm 8.913$  | $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{D})$ | $5.7705 \pm 1.1495$  | V(RD)                    | $-2.6045 \pm 0.5188$  | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{RD})$ | 0.9547 | 4.45 | 332 |
| 60 | $-6.7332 \pm 1.3415$  | $0.008787 \pm 0.001751$ | $HyWi(D_p)$   | $66.808 \pm 13.311$ | IB(D)                     | $-84.635 \pm 16.862$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ | $-4.5332 \pm 0.9032$  | Y(RD)                     | 0.9547 | 4.45 | 332 |
|    |                       |                         |   |                     |                           |                      |                          |                       |                           |        |      |     |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $\Delta_f G^{\circ}_{300} = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_3 + a_4 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_4$ .

 $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{D})$ , with the exception of eq 58 that contains the index  $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{D}_p)$ . The importance of information indices in modeling the alkane standard Gibbs energy of formation is emphasized by the presence of two such indices in eqs 51-60, derived from the  $\mathbf{RD}$  or  $\mathbf{RD}_p$  matrices, with the exception of  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{Sz}_p)$  and  $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ . The fourth descriptor is a Wiener, hyper-Wiener, or connectivity index, with  $\mathbf{Wi}(\mathbf{RSz}_p)$  and  $\mathbf{HyWi}(\mathbf{RSz}_p)$  having the greatest importance. Although the spectral descriptors  $\mathbf{MinSp}$  and  $\mathbf{MaxSp}$  were identified as significant parameters for modeling other physicochemical properties, these indices were not selected in the QSPR models from Table 6.

(4) Vaporization Enthalpy. The best ten QSPR models with three or four independent variables that model the alkane vaporization enthalpy are presented in Tables 7 and 8, respectively. The first three-parametric model, eq 61, with r = 0.9891, s = 0.64, and F = 1959, contains the connectivity index  $^3\chi_p$ , the spectral descriptor MaxSp(RD), and the information index V(RD). All MLR models contain the information index V(RD); eqs 63–65 and 68 contain also a Y index, either Y(RD<sub>p</sub>) or Y(RSz<sub>p</sub>). Another important index is MaxSp computed from the RD, RD<sub>p</sub>, and RSz<sub>p</sub> matrices. A connectivity index appears in three QSPR models, an Ivanciuc—Balaban descriptor is found in three equations, and the molecular weight appears in eqs 66 and 67.

As one can see from Table 8, the best QSPR model with four independent variables, eq 71, is obtained from eq 61 by adding the Ivanciuc-Balaban index computed from the distance-path matrix,  $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{D}_{p})$ . The statistical indices of this equation, r = 0.9898, s = 0.62, and F = 1564, are only marginally better than those of eq 61, indicating that the addition of the fourth parameter does not significantly improve the modeling of alkane vaporization enthalpy. The trends regarding the most frequent descriptors observed for the QSPR models in Table 7 are noticed also in Table 8. The information index V(RD) is present in all equations; three models contain a second information index derived from the  $Sz_p$  matrix, namely,  $U(Sz_p)$ ,  $V(Sz_p)$ , and  $Y(Sz_p)$ , respectively. A connectivity index appears in seven QSPR models, the spectral index MaxSp computed from the RD or **RSz<sub>p</sub>** matrices was selected in six MLR equations, and a **IB** index is found in eqs 71-75, 78, and 80.

(5) Refractive Index. In Tables 9 and 10 we present the best ten MLR equations with three and four, respectively, independent variables that model the alkane refractive index. The first MLR eq 71 with three independent variables has good statistical indices, i.e., r = 0.9840, s = 0.0025, and F= 1309, and contains a connectivity index,  ${}^{3}\chi_{p}$ , the Ivanciuc— Balaban index computed from the distance matrix, IB(D), and the information index X(D). All QSPR equations from this table contain the connectivity index  ${}^{3}\chi_{p}$ , indicating the importance of the weighted count of butane-like subgraphs in modeling the alkane refractive index. Although not present in the best two models, MaxSp(RD) appears in six equations, indicating its significance in this QSAR. The IB index appears in five equations, and an information index is selected in the first QSPR models from Table 9. Unlike previous results in which information indices were mainly computed from reciprocal matrices, in this case they are derived from the D and  $Sz_p$  matrices, with one exception, V(RD); the indices X(D) and  $X(Sz_p)$  are the most important descriptors from this class.

**Fable 7.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $SD_i$  (i = 1-3), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Three Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Vaporization Enthalpy

| bə | $a_0$                | $a_1$                  | $\mathbf{SD}_1$         | $a_2$                 | $\mathbf{SD}_2$          | $a_3$                   | $SD_3$              | r      | S    | F    |
|----|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|------|------|
| 61 | $-9.7040 \pm 0.7256$ | $-0.35708 \pm 0.02670$ | $^3\chi_{ m p}$         | $7.2484 \pm 0.5420$   | MaxSp(RD)                | $1.5553 \pm 0.1163$     | V(RD)               | 0.9891 | 0.64 | 1959 |
| 62 | $-9.3009 \pm 0.6961$ | $6.6116 \pm 0.4948$    | MaxSp(RD)               | $0.37860 \pm 0.02834$ | MaxSp(RSz <sub>p</sub> ) | $1.6333 \pm 0.1222$     | V(RD)               | 0.9891 | 0.64 | 1956 |
| 63 | $-12.740 \pm 0.957$  | $8.8377 \pm 0.6638$    | MaxSp(RD <sub>p</sub> ) | $1.6813 \pm 0.1263$   | V(RD)                    | $0.077031 \pm 0.005786$ | $Y(RSz_p)$          | 0.9890 | 0.64 | 1942 |
| 64 | $-8.2712 \pm 0.6226$ | $6.3898 \pm 0.4810$    | MaxSp(RD)               | $1.4981 \pm 0.1128$   | V(RD)                    | $0.076361 \pm 0.005748$ | Y(RD <sub>p</sub> ) | 0.9890 | 0.64 | 1934 |
| 65 | $-15.255 \pm 1.150$  | $9.0155 \pm 0.6798$    | MaxSp(RD <sub>p</sub> ) | $1.7043 \pm 0.1285$   | V(RD)                    | $0.14373 \pm 0.01084$   | $Y(RD_p)$           | 0.9889 | 0.65 | 1927 |
| 99 | $-3.3821 \pm 0.2556$ | $0.16509 \pm 0.01248$  | MM                      | $2.0646 \pm 0.1560$   | $IB(D_{\rm p})$          | $1.3658 \pm 0.1032$     | V(RD)               | 0.9889 | 0.65 | 1918 |
| 29 | $-3.5348 \pm 0.2673$ | $0.12786 \pm 0.00967$  | MW                      | $2.3658 \pm 0.1789$   | IB(D)                    | $1.4219 \pm 0.1075$     | V(RD)               | 0.9889 | 0.65 | 1917 |
| 89 | $-7.3777 \pm 0.5585$ | $6.4274 \pm 0.4866$    | MaxSp(RD)               | $1.5060 \pm 0.1140$   | V(RD)                    | $0.031672 \pm 0.002398$ | $Y(RSz_p)$          | 0.9889 | 0.65 | 1912 |
| 69 | $-8.3251 \pm 0.6312$ | $0.17523 \pm 0.01328$  | $\chi^2$                | $6.5012 \pm 0.4929$   | MaxSp(RD)                | $1.5807 \pm 0.1198$     | V(RD)               | 0.9888 | 0.65 | 1906 |
| 70 | $-5.3834 \pm 0.4090$ | $1.8169 \pm 0.1380$    | $\mathcal{X}_0$         | $2.8035 \pm 0.2130$   | IB(D)                    | $1.7097 \pm 0.1299$     | V(RD)               | 0.9888 | 0.65 | 1898 |
|    |                      |                        |                         |                       |                          |                         |                     |        |      |      |

<sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H_{300}=a_0+a_1\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_1+a_2\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_2+a_3$ 

**Table 8.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $SD_i$  (i = 1-4), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Four Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Vaporization Enthalpy at 300 K,  $\Delta_{vap}H_{300}$  (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>)

| eq | $a_0$                 | $a_1$                   | $\mathbf{SD}_1$    | $a_2$                  | $\mathbf{SD}_2$ | $a_3$                 | $SD_3$                               | $a_4$                 | $\mathbf{SD}_4$ | r      | S    | F    |
|----|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------|------|------|
| 71 | $-13.304 \pm 1.221$   | $-0.75902 \pm 0.06966$  | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $6.8004 \pm 0.6241$    | MaxSp(RD)       | $1.7033 \pm 0.1563$   | IB(D <sub>p</sub> )                  | $1.8267 \pm 0.1676$   | V(RD)           | 0.9898 | 0.62 | 1564 |
| 72 | $-7.3423 \pm 0.6750$  | $0.10693 \pm 0.00983$   | MW                 | $-0.68510 \pm 0.06298$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$  | $3.9093 \pm 0.3594$   | IB(D)                                | $1.6526 \pm 0.1519$   | V(RD)           | 0.9898 | 0.62 | 1559 |
| 73 | $-7.0419 \pm 0.6474$  | $0.168510 \pm 0.015493$ | MW                 | $-0.67885 \pm 0.06241$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$  | $3.3933 \pm 0.3120$   | $IB(D_p)$                            | $1.5568 \pm 0.1431$   | V(RD)           | 0.9898 | 0.62 | 1559 |
| 74 | $-6.8288 \pm 0.6300$  | $0.092611 \pm 0.008544$ | MW                 | $0.65493 \pm 0.06042$  | $MaxSp(RSz_p)$  | $3.2334 \pm 0.2983$   | $\overline{\mathbf{IB}}(\mathbf{D})$ | $1.7571 \pm 0.1621$   | V(RD)           | 0.9897 | 0.62 | 1548 |
| 75 | $-6.4304 \pm 0.5947$  | $0.14475 \pm 0.01339$   | MW                 | $0.62565 \pm 0.05786$  | $MaxSp(RSz_p)$  | $2.7691 \pm 0.2561$   | $IB(D_p)$                            | $1.6623 \pm 0.1537$   | V(RD)           | 0.9897 | 0.63 | 1541 |
| 76 | $-15.006 \pm 1.389$   | $-0.59122 \pm 0.05474$  | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $7.9750 \pm 0.7383$    | MaxSp(RD)       | $1.6871 \pm 0.1562$   | V(RD)                                | $12.101 \pm 1.120$    | $V(Sz_p)$       | 0.9897 | 0.63 | 1537 |
| 77 | $-9.2045 \pm 0.8526$  | $6.6490 \pm 0.6159$     | MaxSp(RD)          | $0.75438 \pm 0.06988$  | $MinSp(RSz_p)$  | $0.64725 \pm 0.05996$ | $MaxSp(RSz_p)$                       | $1.6337 \pm 0.1513$   | V(RD)           | 0.9897 | 0.63 | 1536 |
| 78 | $-8.8466 \pm 0.8198$  | $1.5143 \pm 0.1403$     | $^{0}\chi$         | $-0.67028 \pm 0.06211$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$  | $4.2655 \pm 0.3953$   | IB(D)                                | $1.8931 \pm 0.1754$   | V(RD)           | 0.9897 | 0.63 | 1535 |
| 79 | $-14.5608 \pm 1.3499$ | $-0.58524 \pm 0.05426$  | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $7.9416 \pm 0.7362$    | MaxSp(RD)       | $1.6739 \pm 0.1552$   | V(RD)                                | $5.9902 \pm 0.5553$   | $Y(Sz_p)$       | 0.9896 | 0.63 | 1533 |
| 80 | $-3.4262 \pm 0.3177$  | $-0.74041 \pm 0.06866$  | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $4.1683 \pm 0.3865$    | IB(D)           | $1.7890 \pm 0.1659$   | V(RD)                                | $0.32635 \pm 0.03026$ | $U(Sz_p)$       | 0.9896 | 0.63 | 1532 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $\Delta_{\text{vap}}H_{300} = a_0 + a_1\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_1 + a_2\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_2 + a_3\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_3 + a_4\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_4$ .

**Table 9.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $SD_i$  (i = 1-3), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Three Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Refractive Index at 25 °C,  $n_D^{25~a}$ 

| eq | $a_0$               | $a_1$                   | $SD_1$             | $a_2$                   | $SD_2$                                 | $a_3$                    | $SD_3$  | r      | S      | F    |
|----|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|--------|--------|------|
| 81 | $1.3768 \pm 0.1259$ | $0.008563 \pm 0.000783$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $0.035447 \pm 0.003243$ | IB(D)                                  | $-0.090690 \pm 0.008296$ | X(D)  | 0.9840 | 0.0025 | 1309 |
| 82 | $1.3599 \pm 0.1352$ | $0.010478 \pm 0.001041$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $0.013957 \pm 0.001387$ | IB(D)                                  | $-0.094928 \pm 0.009435$ | $X(Sz_p)$                                       | 0.9812 | 0.0027 | 1109 |
| 83 | $1.3162 \pm 0.1319$ | $0.010368 \pm 0.001039$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $0.018681 \pm 0.001872$ | MaxSp(RD)                              | $-0.034151 \pm 0.003422$ | $X(Sz_p)$                                       | 0.9809 | 0.0027 | 1091 |
| 84 | $1.3159 \pm 0.1323$ | $0.010450 \pm 0.001051$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $0.018481 \pm 0.001858$ | MaxSp(RD)                              | $-0.069961 \pm 0.007033$ | $V(Sz_p)$                                       | 0.9807 | 0.0027 | 1084 |
| 85 | $1.3155 \pm 0.1329$ | $0.010491 \pm 0.001060$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $0.018446 \pm 0.001863$ | MaxSp(RD)                              | $-0.037071 \pm 0.003744$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{p}})$ | 0.9806 | 0.0027 | 1074 |
| 86 | $1.2849 \pm 0.1319$ | $0.009145 \pm 0.000939$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $0.022541 \pm 0.002314$ | MaxSp(RD)                              | $0.000833 \pm 0.000086$  | V(RD)   | 0.9799 | 0.0027 | 1040 |
| 87 | $1.3064 \pm 0.1341$ | $0.010001 \pm 0.001027$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $0.023680 \pm 0.002431$ | MaxSp(RD)                              | $-0.015213 \pm 0.001562$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$                        | 0.9799 | 0.0028 | 1039 |
| 88 | $1.3587 \pm 0.1404$ | $0.010938 \pm 0.001130$ | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $0.013139 \pm 0.001358$ | $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{D})$              | $-0.18926 \pm 0.01956$   | $V(Sz_p)$                                       | 0.9797 | 0.0028 | 1026 |
| 89 | $1.3867 \pm 0.1443$ | $0.011018 \pm 0.001146$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $0.017379 \pm 0.001808$ | $\mathbf{IB}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}})$ | $-0.14559 \pm 0.01515$   | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{z_p})$            | 0.9794 | 0.0028 | 1012 |
| 90 | $1.3123 \pm 0.1372$ | $0.010441 \pm 0.001092$ | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $0.020036 \pm 0.002095$ | MaxSp(RD)                              | $-0.015078 \pm 0.001577$ | $IB(Sz_n)$                                      | 0.9792 | 0.0028 | 1002 |
|    |                     |                         | 701                |                         |  |                          |   |        |        |      |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $n_D^{25} = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{SD}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{SD}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{SD}_3$ .

**Fable 10.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $SD_i$  (i = 1-4), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Four Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Refractive Index at 25 °C,  $n_{\rm D}^{25}$ 

|            | a. (-               | ā:  |                  |   |                         |                          |                          |                          |                 |        |        |      |
|------------|---------------------|---|------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|------|
| bə         | $a_0$               | $a_1$   | $\mathbf{SD}_1$  | $a_2$   | $\mathbf{SD}_2$         | $a_3$                    | $\mathbf{SD}_3$          | $a_4$                    | $\mathbf{SD}_4$ | r      | S      | F    |
| 91         | $1.4034 \pm 0.1573$ | $0.008944 \pm 0.001003$   | $^3\chi_{ m b}$  | $0.045182 \pm 0.005065$   | IB(D)                   | $-0.000682 \pm 0.000076$ | IB(RD <sub>p</sub> )     | $-0.12974 \pm 0.01454$   |                 | 0.9851 | 0.0024 | 1049 |
| 92         | $1.4183 \pm 0.1590$ | $-0.006829 \pm 0.000766$  | 1 ×              | $0.009901 \pm 0.001110$   | $^3\chi_{\rm p}$        | $0.045975 \pm 0.005155$  | IB(D)                    | $-0.13410 \pm 0.01504$   |                 | 0.9851 | 0.0024 | 1048 |
| 93         | $1.4061 \pm 0.1581$ | $0.008761 \pm 0.000985$   | 3%p              | $0.046167 \pm 0.005190$   | IB(D)                   | $-0.001060 \pm 0.000119$ | IB(RD)                   | $-0.13245 \pm 0.01489$   |                 | 0.9850 | 0.0024 | 1043 |
| 94         | $1.4159 \pm 0.1597$ | $-0.000260 \pm 0.000029$  | MM               | $0.008317 \pm 0.000938$   | $^3\chi_{\rm p}$        | $0.051042 \pm 0.005758$  | IB(D)                    | $-0.13922 \pm 0.01571$   |                 | 0.9849 | 0.0024 | 1035 |
| 95         | $1.3982 \pm 0.1583$ | $0.008622 \pm 0.000976$   | $^3\chi_{\rm p}$ | $0.041032 \pm 0.004646$   | IB(D)                   | $-0.11887 \pm 0.01346$   | X(D)                     | $-0.000242 \pm 0.000027$ |                 | 0.9848 | 0.0024 | 1028 |
| 96         | $1.4166 \pm 0.1604$ | $0.008877 \pm 0.001005$   | $\frac{3}{2}$    | $0.044615 \pm 0.005052$   | IB(D)                   | $-0.13281 \pm 0.01504$   | X(D)                     | $-0.003912 \pm 0.000443$ |                 | 0.9848 | 0.0024 | 1028 |
| 26         | $1.4073 \pm 0.1597$ | $0.009065 \pm 0.001029$   | $\frac{3}{2}$    | $0.042099 \pm 0.004777$   | IB(D)                   | $-0.12458 \pm 0.01414$   | X(D)                     | $-0.001704 \pm 0.000193$ |                 | 0.9847 | 0.0024 | 1024 |
| 86         | $1.3932 \pm 0.1585$ | $0.008740 \pm 0.000994$   | 3%               | $0.039800 \pm 0.004527$   | IB(D)                   | $-0.000062 \pm 0.000007$ | $IB(RSz_p)$              | $-0.11308 \pm 0.01286$   |                 | 0.9847 | 0.0024 | 1019 |
| 66         | $1.3962 \pm 0.1589$ | $0.008491 \pm 0.000966$   | 3%<br>%          | $0.044401 \pm 0.005052$   | IB(D)                   | $-0.12227 \pm 0.01391$   | X(D)                     | $-0.000459 \pm 0.000052$ |                 | 0.9846 | 0.0024 | 1018 |
| 100        | $1.3947 \pm 0.1588$ | $0.008430 \pm 0.000960$   | $^3\chi_{ m p}$  | $0.045034 \pm 0.005126$   | IB(D)                   | $-0.12201 \pm 0.01389$   | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ | $-0.000548 \pm 0.000062$ | U(RD)           | 0.9846 | 0.0024 | 1017 |
| ш <i>»</i> | he MLR equations h  | <sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form $n_{\rm D}^{25}=a_0+a_1{ m SD_1}+a_2{ m SD_2}$ | $= a_0 + a_0$    | $a_1\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_1 + a_2\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_2 + a_3\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_3 + a_4\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}_4$ | $+ a_4 \mathbf{SD}_4$ . |                          |                          |                          |                 |        |        |      |

The results from Table 10 show that the addition of a fourth parameter does not significantly improve the modeling of alkane refractive index. A close inspection of these data reveals that eqs 91-100 are obtained from eq 81 by adding a topological index that is not highly intercorrelated with the three descriptors from eq 81. The statistical indices of these equations do not show a significant improvement over the best models from Table 9, indicating that using our collection of structural descriptors it is not possible to improve the QSPR model represented by eq 81.

(6) Density. The best ten MLR equations with three and four, respectively, independent variables that model the alkane density are presented in Tables 11 and 12. The first QSPR model, eq 101, with r = 0.9902, s = 3.73, F = 2156, contains the same descriptors from eq 81, namely,  ${}^{3}\chi_{p}$ , **IB(D)**, and  $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ . The similarity with the descriptors selected for modeling the alkane density is even greater: all QSPR equations from this table contain the connectivity index  ${}^{3}\chi_{p}$ , **IB**(**D**) appears in four models, the information indices are derived from the D and  $Sz_p$  matrices, and X appears as the most important information descriptor. Also, MaxSp(RD) appears in four equations, but not in the first four.

The QSPR models for the alkane density are slightly improved by the addition of a fourth independent variable, as one can note from the inspection of the results reported in Table 12. With the exception of eq 115, all other models from Table 12 are obtained from eq 101 by adding a topological index. The statistical indices of eq 111, r =0.9927, s = 3.22, and F = 2182, show a small but significant improvement over those of eq 101, and the incorporation of the molecular weight increases the value of F, indicating that the contribution of this descriptor is important for modeling the alkane density.

(7) Frequency of the Structural Descriptors in the **OSPR Models.** The results obtained in this study indicate that all six alkane properties can be modeled with the set of 60 structural descriptors, but each property requires a particular combination of molecular descriptors. An inspection of the QSPR models reported in Tables 1-12 reveals that some descriptors appear with a greater frequency, while others are rarely present in these equations. To obtain an indication of the importance of each descriptor in modeling the six alkane properties, for each set of QSPR models we have computed the counts of the MW,  $\chi$ , and molecular operators Wi, HyWi, MinSp, MaxSp, IB, U, V, X, and Y. We have to mention that each operator is computed for six molecular matrices, and that we have used five  $\gamma$  indices. The frequencies of the structural descriptors in the QSPR models from Tables 1-12 are reported in Table 13; the structural descriptors are arranged in the decreasing order of their frequencies. Overall, the size descriptor MW appears with a low frequency, indicating that the molecular size is incorporated into other structural descriptors. Other descriptors that appear, in general, with a low frequency are Wi, HyWi, MinSp, U, and Y; however, in specific QSPR models they exhibit a higher importance, such as Wi in fourdescriptor QSPR models for the molar heat capacity(Table 4) or Y in three- and four-descriptor QSPR models for the standard Gibbs energy of formation (Tables 5 and 6). All five connectivity indices were selected in the QSPR models, with  ${}^{3}\chi_{p}$  appearing more frequently, indicating that subgraphcounting descriptors cannot be substituted with global

**Table 11.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $\mathbf{SD}_i$  (i=1-3), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Three Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Density at 25 °C,  $\rho$  (kg m<sup>-3</sup>)<sup>a</sup>

| eq  | $a_0$                | $a_1$                | $SD_1$             | $a_2$                | $\mathbf{SD}_2$                 | $a_3$                 | $SD_3$                               | r      | S    | F    |
|-----|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|------|------|
| 101 | $655.305 \pm 46.714$ | $19.217 \pm 1.370$   | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $62.894 \pm 4.483$   | IB(D)                           | $-156.304 \pm 11.142$ | X(D)                                 | 0.9902 | 3.73 | 2156 |
| 102 | $597.331 \pm 43.988$ | $20.786 \pm 1.531$   | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $52.881 \pm 3.894$   | IB(D)                           | $-115.283 \pm 8.490$  | V(D)                                 | 0.9895 | 3.85 | 2020 |
| 103 | $625.994 \pm 51.092$ | $22.580 \pm 1.843$   | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $25.790 \pm 2.105$   | IB(D)                           | $-162.602 \pm 13.271$ | $X(Sz_p)$                            | 0.9872 | 4.26 | 1645 |
| 104 | $675.367 \pm 56.196$ | $23.304 \pm 1.939$   | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $32.762 \pm 2.726$   | $IB(D_p)$                       | $-258.712 \pm 21.527$ | $X(Sz_p)$                            | 0.9867 | 4.34 | 1582 |
| 105 | $461.883 \pm 39.202$ | $-8.3256 \pm 0.7066$ | $^{2}\chi$         | $12.568 \pm 1.067$   | $^{3}\chi_{\mathrm{p}}$         | $64.237 \pm 5.452$    | MaxSp(RD)                            | 0.9862 | 4.42 | 1521 |
| 106 | $482.755 \pm 41.118$ | $16.134 \pm 1.374$   | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $-5.1919 \pm 0.4422$ | $^{3}\chi_{c}$                  | $51.281 \pm 4.368$    | MaxSp(RD)                            | 0.9861 | 4.44 | 1510 |
| 107 | $623.937 \pm 53.587$ | $23.382 \pm 2.008$   | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $24.374 \pm 2.093$   | $\ddot{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{D})$ | $-323.685 \pm 27.800$ | $V(Sz_p)$                            | 0.9858 | 4.47 | 1485 |
| 108 | $548.727 \pm 47.873$ | $22.778 \pm 1.987$   | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $33.576 \pm 2.929$   | MaxSp(RD)                       | $-52.535 \pm 4.583$   | $X(Sz_p)$                            | 0.9854 | 4.54 | 1439 |
| 109 | $696.297 \pm 60.827$ | $23.442 \pm 2.048$   | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $20.391 \pm 1.781$   | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{D})$        | $-329.030 \pm 28.744$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{z_p})$ | 0.9854 | 4.55 | 1436 |
| 110 | $548.222 \pm 48.004$ | $22.894 \pm 2.005$   | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $33.300 \pm 2.916$   | MaxSp(RD)                       | $-107.241 \pm 9.390$  | $V(Sz_p)$                            | 0.9853 | 4.56 | 1429 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $\rho = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{SD}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{SD}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{SD}_3$ .

**Table 12.** Coefficients, Confidence Interval, Structural Descriptors  $\mathbf{SD}_i$  (i = 1-4), and Statistical Indices for the Best 10 MLR Equations with Three Independent Variables That Model the Alkane Density at 25 °C,  $\rho$  (kg m<sup>-3</sup>)

| eq  | $a_0$                | $a_1$                  | $SD_1$             | $a_2$                    | $\mathbf{SD}_2$         | $a_3$                 | $SD_3$                   | $a_4$                 | $SD_4$                   | r      | S    | F     |
|-----|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------|------|-------|
| 111 | $781.897 \pm 60.762$ | $-0.84327 \pm 0.06553$ | MW                 | $18.420 \pm 1.431$       | $^{3}\chi_{p}$          | $113.446 \pm 8.816$   | IB(D)                    | $-313.625 \pm 24.372$ | X(D)                     | 0.9927 | 3.22 | 2182  |
| 112 | $694.435 \pm 54.523$ | $18.666 \pm 1.466$     | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $-0.15015 \pm 0.01179$   | MaxSp(Sz <sub>p</sub> ) | $81.529 \pm 6.401$    | IB(D)                    | $-227.787 \pm 17.885$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ | 0.9926 | 3.25 | 2138  |
| 113 | $734.718 \pm 57.921$ | $-11.416 \pm 0.900$    | $\chi^0$           | $16.821 \pm 1.326$       | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$      | $104.547 \pm 8.242$   | IB(D)                    | $-266.271 \pm 20.991$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ | 0.9925 | 3.27 | 2120  |
| 114 | $685.173 \pm 54.074$ | $18.985 \pm 1.498$     | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $-0.023699 \pm 0.001870$ | $Wi(Sz_p)$              | $79.373 \pm 6.264$    | IB(D)                    | $-217.958 \pm 17.201$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ | 0.9925 | 3.27 | 2116  |
| 115 | $567.279 \pm 44.806$ | $16.186 \pm 1.278$     | $^{3}\chi_{\rm p}$ | $54.617 \pm 4.314$       | $IB(D_p)$               | $5.4818 \pm 0.4330$   | V(RD)                    | $-412.355 \pm 32.570$ | $V(Sz_p)$                | 0.9925 | 3.27 | 2112  |
| 116 | $706.057 \pm 55.797$ | $18.336 \pm 1.449$     | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $99.233 \pm 7.842$       | IB(D)                   | $-261.115 \pm 20.635$ | X(D)                     | $-2.0521 \pm 0.1622$  | $U(Sz_p)$                | 0.9925 | 3.27 | 21108 |
| 117 | $718.312 \pm 57.021$ | $18.556 \pm 1.473$     | $^3\chi_{\rm p}$   | $97.634 \pm 7.750$       | IB(D)                   | $-2.0173 \pm 0.1601$  | $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{D})$ | $-268.022 \pm 21.276$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ | 0.9924 | 3.29 | 2091  |
| 118 | $719.418 \pm 57.153$ | $18.400 \pm 1.462$     | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $94.321 \pm 7.493$       | IB(D)                   | $-262.644 \pm 20.865$ | X(D)                     | $-1.7086 \pm 0.1357$  | $U(\mathbf{D_p})$        | 0.9924 | 3.29 | 2088  |
| 119 | $675.302 \pm 53.871$ | $18.816 \pm 1.501$     | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $-0.001481 \pm 0.000118$ | $HyWi(Sz_p)$            | $74.716 \pm 5.960$    | IB(D)                    | $-200.459 \pm 15.991$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ | 0.9924 | 3.30 | 2071  |
| 120 | $719.810 \pm 57.440$ | $17.891 \pm 1.428$     | $^{3}\chi_{p}$     | $-6.1616 \pm 0.4917$     | $HyWi(RD_p)$            | $108.974 \pm 8.696$   | IB(D)                    | $-279.340 \pm 22.291$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{D})$ | 0.9924 | 3.30 | 2069  |
|     |                      |                        |                    |                          | -                       |                       |                          |                       |                          |        |      |       |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The MLR equations have the general form  $\rho = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{SD}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{SD}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{SD}_3 + a_4 \mathbf{SD}_4$ .

**Table 13.** Frequency of the Structural Descriptors in the QSPR Models Reported in Tables 1-12

| table | χ   | IB | V  | X  | MaxSp | Y  | Wi | MW | HyWi | MinSp | U |
|-------|-----|----|----|----|-------|----|----|----|------|-------|---|
| 1     | 10  | 0  | 7  | 3  | 3     | 0  | 2  | 3  | 2    | 0     | 0 |
| 2     | 10  | 3  | 12 | 3  | 5     | 2  | 2  | 0  | 1    | 2     | 0 |
| 3     | 12  | 4  | 1  | 2  | 5     | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1    | 5     | 0 |
| 4     | 12  | 5  | 3  | 4  | 7     | 0  | 6  | 2  | 0    | 1     | 0 |
| 5     | 1   | 11 | 6  | 3  | 2     | 5  | 2  | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
| 6     | 2   | 10 | 8  | 3  | 0     | 9  | 4  | 0  | 4    | 0     | 0 |
| 7     | 3   | 3  | 10 | 0  | 8     | 4  | 0  | 2  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
| 8     | 8   | 7  | 11 | 0  | 7     | 1  | 0  | 4  | 0    | 1     | 1 |
| 9     | 10  | 5  | 3  | 5  | 6     | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
| 10    | 11  | 13 | 0  | 13 | 0     | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0    | 0     | 2 |
| 11    | 12  | 5  | 3  | 5  | 4     | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
| 12    | 11  | 10 | 2  | 9  | 1     | 0  | 1  | 1  | 2    | 0     | 3 |
| Total | 102 | 76 | 66 | 50 | 48    | 23 | 17 | 13 | 10   | 9     | 6 |

descriptors. Other important descriptors for modeling the six alkane properties are the spectral operator **MaxSp** and the Ivanciuc—Balaban operator **IB**. From the four information-theory operators, our computations revealed a greater importance of the **V** and **X** descriptors; nevertheless, the **U** and **Y** operators can exhibit an important contribution for modeling certain properties, such as the **Y** descriptors for the standard Gibbs energy of formation. Among the four information-theory operators, **U** was found from the outset to be the least promising one.<sup>30</sup>

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

Molecular graph descriptors represent valuable structural descriptors that can be used with success in developing QSPR and QSAR models; in such structure-property studies, graph descriptors can be used in conjunction with other classes of structural descriptors, such as constitutional, geometrical, electrostatic, and quantum descriptors. In this study we have investigated the utility of the information-theory operators  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{M})$ ,  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{M})$ ,  $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{M})$ , and  $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{M})$  in developing QSPR models for six alkane properties: boiling temperature, molar heat capacity, standard Gibbs energy of formation, vaporization enthalpy, refractive index, and density. For the generation of the QSPR models we have used also a selection of the most used molecular graph descriptors: molecular weight, **MW**; connectivity indices  ${}^{0}\chi$ ,  ${}^{1}\chi$ ,  ${}^{2}\chi$ ,  ${}^{3}\chi_{p}$ ,  ${}^{3}\chi_{c}$ ; Wiener indices Wi(M); hyper-Wiener indices HyWi(M); spectral indices MinSp(M) and MaxSp(M); Ivanciuc-Balaban indices **IB**(M). The molecular graph operators were applied to six molecular matrices, namely, the distance **D**, the reciprocal distance **RD**, the distance-path  $\mathbf{D_p}$ , the reciprocal distancepath  $RD_{p}, \mbox{ the path Szeged }Sz_{p}, \mbox{ and the reciprocal path}$ Szeged RSz<sub>p</sub> matrices. The results obtained show that all six alkane properties can be modeled with three or four descriptors selected from the group of descriptors, but each property requires a particular combination of molecular descriptors. From the four information-theory operators, our results indicate a greater importance of the V, X, and Y descriptors in developing QSPR models for the six alkane properties: V for boiling temperature, standard Gibbs energy of formation and vaporization enthalpy; X for refractive index and density; Y for standard Gibbs energy of formation. For the molar heat capacity, the Ivanciuc-Balaban indices IB are more important than the information-theory indices, but the X indices give also good QSPR models for this property.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

O.I. thanks the Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche of France for a PAST grant. T.I. and O.I. acknowledge the kind hospitality of the LARTIC group during their stay in Nice. We acknowledge the partial financial support of this research by the Romanian Ministry of National Education under Grant 7001 T34.

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Jurs, P. C. ADAPT; Chemistry Department, Penn State University: University Park, PA. E-mail: pcj@psu.edu: www http://zeus.chem. psu.edu/ADAPT.html.
- (2) Sutter, J. M.; Dixon, S. L.; Jurs, P. C. Automated Descriptor Selection for Quantitative Structure—Activity Relationships Using Generalized Simulated Annealing. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1995, 35, 77–84.
- (3) Mitchell, B. E.; Jurs, P. C. Prediction of Aqueous Solubility of Organic Compounds from Molecular Structure. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1998**, *38*, 489–496.
- (4) Mitchell, B. E.; Jurs, P. C. Computer Assisted Simulations of <sup>13</sup>C Nuclear Magnetic Spectra of Monosaccharides. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 1996, 36, 58–64.
- (5) Clouser, D. L.; Jurs, P. C. Simulation of the <sup>13</sup>C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra of Ribonucleosides Using Multiple Linear Regression Analysis and Neural Networks. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 1996, 36, 168–172.
- (6) Mekenyan, O.; Karabunarliev, S.; Bonchev, D. The Microcomputer OASIS System for Predicting the Biological Activity of Chemical Compounds. Comput. Chem. 1990, 14, 193–200.
- (7) Mekenyan, O. G.; Karabunarliev, S. H.; Ivanov, J. M.; Dimitrov, D. N. A New Development of the OASIS Computer System for Modeling Molecular Properties. *Comput. Chem.* 1994, 18, 173–187.
- (8) Bonchev, D.; Mountain, C. F.; Seitz, W. A.; Balaban, A. T. Modeling the Anticancer Action of Some Retinoid Compounds by Making Use of the OASIS Method. *J. Med. Chem.* 1993, 36, 1562–1569.
- (9) SciQSAR, SciVision, Inc.: Burlington, MA. E-mail: scivision@delphi.com; www http://www.scivision.com.
- (10) Murugan, R.; Grendze, M. P.; Toomey, J. E., Jr.; Katritzky, A. R.; Karelson, M.; Lobanov, V. S.; Rachwal, P. Predicting Physical Properties from Molecular Structure. CHEMTECH 1994, 24, 17–23.
- (11) Katritzky, A. R.; Lobanov, V. S.; Karelson, M. QSPR: The Correlation and Quantitative Prediction of Chemical and Physical Properties from Structure. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 1995, 279–287.
- (12) Karelson, M.; Lobanov, V. S.; Katritzky, A. R. Quantum-Chemical Descriptors in QSAR/QSPR Studies. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1027– 1043
- (13) Katritzky, A. R.; Wang, Y.; Sild, S.; Tamm, T.; Karelson, M. QSPR Studies on Vapor Pressure, Aqueous Solubility, and the Prediction of Water—Air Partition Coefficients. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1998, 38, 720—725.
- (14) Katritzky, A. R.; Lobanov, V. S.; Karelson, M. Normal Boiling Points for Organic Compounds: Correlation and Prediction by a Quantitative Structure—Property Relationship. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1998, 38, 28–41.
- (15) Ivanciuc, O.; Ivanciuc, T.; Balaban, A. T. Quantitative Structure— Property Relationship Study of Normal Boiling Points for Halogen-/ Oxygen-/Sulfur-Containing Organic Compounds Using the CODESSA Program. *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 9129—9142.
- (16) Ivanciuc, O.; Ivanciuc, T.; Filip, P. A.; Cabrol-Bass, D. Estimation of the Liquid Viscosity of Organic Compounds with a Quantitative Structure-Property Model. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1999, 39, 515– 524.
- (17) Cerius<sup>2</sup> 3.0 QSAR+; Molecular Simulations Inc.: San Diego, CA, 1997.
- (18) Kier, L. B.; Hall, L. H. Molecular Connectivity in Chemistry and Drug Research; Academic Press: New York, 1976. Kier, L. B.; Hall, L. H. Molecular Connectivity in Structure—Activity Analysis; Research Studies Press: Letchworth, U.K., 1986.
- (19) Randić, M. On Characterization of Molecular Branching. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6609–6615.
- (20) Balaban, A. T. Using Real Numbers as Vertex Invariants for Third Generation Topological Indexes. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1992, 32, 23–28.
- (21) Balaban, A. T. Local versus Global (i.e. Atomic versus Molecular) Numerical Modeling of Molecular Graphs. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1994, 34, 398–402.
- (22) Balaban, A. T. Local (Atomic) and Global (Molecular) Graph-Theoretical Descriptors. SAR QSAR Environ. Res. 1995, 3, 81–95.

- (23) Ivanciuc, O.; Balaban, A. T. Graph Theory in Chemistry. In *The Encyclopedia of Computational Chemistry*; Schleyer, P. v. R., Allinger, N. L., Clark, T., Gasteiger, J., Kollman, P. A., Schaefer, H. F., III, Schreiner, P. R., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, U.K., 1998; pp 1169–1190.
- (24) Balaban, A. T.; Ivanciuc, O. Historical Development of Topological Indices. In: *Topological Indices and Related Descriptors in QSAR and QSPR*; Devillers, J., Balaban, A. T., Eds.; Gordon and Breach Science Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1999; pp. 21–57.
- (25) Ivanciuc, O.; Balaban, A. T. The Graph Description of Chemical Structures. In *Topological Indices and Related Descriptors in QSAR* and QSPR; Devillers, J., Balaban, A. T., Eds.; Gordon and Breach Science Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1999; pp. 59–167.
- (26) Ivanciuc, O.; Ivanciuc, T.; Balaban, A. T. Vertex- and Edge-Weighted Molecular Graphs and Derived Structural Descriptors. In *Topological Indices and Related Descriptors in QSAR and QSPR*; Devillers, J., Balaban, A. T., Eds.; Gordon and Breach Science Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1999; pp 169–220.
- (27) Ivanciuc, O.; Ivanciuc, T. Matrices and Structural Descriptors Computed from Molecular Graph Distances. In *Topological Indices* and Related Descriptors in QSAR and QSPR; Devillers, J., Balaban, A. T., Eds.; Gordon and Breach Science Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1999; pp 221–277.
- (28) Ivanciuc, O.; Ivanciuc, T.; Diudea, M. V. Molecular Graph Matrices and Derived Structural Descriptors. SAR QSAR Environ. Res. 1997, 7, 63-87.
- (29) Diudea, M. V.; Gutman, I. Wiener-Type Topological Indices. *Croat. Chem. Acta* **1998**, *71*, 21–51.
- (30) Balaban, A. T.; Balaban, T.-S. New Vertex Invariants and Topological Indices of Chemical Graphs Based on Information on Distances. J. Math. Chem. 1991, 8, 383–397.
- (31) Balaban, A. T.; Balaban, T.-S. Correlations Using Topological Indices Based on Real Graph Invariants. J. Chim. Phys. Phys.-Chim. Biol. 1992, 89, 1735–1745.
- (32) Balaban, A. T.; Feroiu, V. Correlations Between Structure and Critical Data or Vapor Pressures of Alkanes by Means of Topological Indices. *Rep. Mol. Theor.* 1990, 1, 133–139.
- (33) Ivanciuc, O.; Balaban, T.-S.; Balaban, A. T. Chemical Graphs with Degenerate Topological Indices Based on Information on Distances. *J. Math. Chem.* **1993**, *14*, 21–33.
- (34) Ivanciuc, O.; Laidboeur, T.; Cabrol-Bass, D. Degeneracy of Topologic Distance Descriptors for Cubic Molecular Graphs: Examples of Small Fullerenes. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1997, 37, 485–488.
- (35) Ivanciuc, O.; Balaban, A. T. Design of Topological Indices. Part 20. Molecular Structure Descriptors Computed with Information on Distances Operators. Rev. Roum. Chim., in press.
- (36) Ivanciuc, O.; Balaban, A. T. Design of Topological Indices. Part 21. Molecular Graph Operators for the Computation of Geometric Structural Descriptors. Rev. Roum. Chim., in press.
- (37) Gakh, A. A.; Gakh, E. G.; Sumpter, B. G.; Noid, D. W. Neural Network-Graph Theory Approach to the Prediction of the Physical Properties of Organic Compounds. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1994**, 34, 832–839.
- (38) Ivanciuc, O. Design of Topological Indices. Part 18. Modeling the Physical Properties of Alkanes with Molecular Graph Descriptors Derived from the Hosoya Operator. Rev. Roum. Chim., in press.
- (39) Ivanciuc, O. Design on Topological Indices. 1. Definition of a Vertex Topological Index in the Case of 4-Trees. Rev. Roum. Chim. 1989, 34, 1361–1368.
- (40) Balaban, T. S.; Filip, P. A.; Ivanciuc, O. Computer Generation of Acyclic Graphs Based on Local Vertex Invariants and Topological Indices. Derived Canonical Labeling and Coding of Trees and Alkanes.

- J. Math. Chem. 1992, 11, 79-105.
  (41) Plavšić, D.; Nikolić, S.; Trinajstić, N.; Mihalić, Z. On the Harary Index for the Characterization of Chemical Graphs. J. Math. Chem. 1993, 12, 235-250.
- (42) Ivanciuc, O.; Balaban, T.-S.; Balaban, A. T. Design of Topological Indices. Part 4. Reciprocal Distance Matrix, Related Local Vertex Invariants and Topological Indices. J. Math. Chem. 1993, 12, 309— 218
- (43) Diudea, M. V.; Ivanciuc, O.; Nikolić, S.; Trinajstić, N. Matrices of Reciprocal Distance, Polynomials and Derived Numbers. MATCH (Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.) 1997, 35, 41–64.
- (44) Diudea, M. V. Walk Numbers <sup>e</sup>W<sub>M</sub>: Wiener-type Numbers of Higher Rank. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1996**, *36*, 535–540.
- (45) Diudea, M. V. Wiener and Hyper-Wiener Numbers in a Single Matrix. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1996, 36, 833–836.
- (46) Diudea, M. V.; Minailiuc, O.; Katona, G.; Gutman, I. Szeged Matrices and Related Numbers. MATCH (Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.) 1997, 35, 129–143.
- (47) Diudea, M. V. Cluj Matrix Invariants. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1997, 37, 300–305.
- (48) Diudea, M. V.; Pârv, B.; Topan, M. I. Derived Szeged and Cluj Indices. J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 1997, 62, 267–276.
- (49) Ivanciuc, O. Design of Topological Indices. Part 13. Structural Descriptors Computed from the Szeged Molecular Matrices. Rev. Roum. Chim., in press.
- (50) Ivanciuc, O. Design of Topological Indices. Part 19. Computation of Vertex and Molecular Graph Structural Descriptors with Operators. *Rev. Roum. Chim.*, in press.
- (51) Ivanciuc, O.; Ivanciuc, T.; Balaban A. T. Design of Topological Indices. Part 10. Parameters Based on Electronegativity and Covalent Radius for the Computation of Molecular Graph Descriptors for Heteroatom-Containing Molecules. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1998, 38, 395–401.
- (52) Wiener, H. Structural Determination of Paraffin Boiling Points. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 17–20.
- (53) Wiener, H. Correlation of Heats of Isomerization and Differences in Heats of Vaporization of Isomers among the Paraffin Hydrocarbons. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 2636–2638.
- (54) Hosoya, H. A Newly Proposed Quantity Characterizing the Topological Nature of Structural Isomers of Saturated Hydrocarbons. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1971, 44, 2332–2339.
- (55) Randić, M. Novel Molecular Descriptor for Structure-Property Studies. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1993, 211, 478-483.
- (56) Randić, M.; Guo, X.; Oxley, T.; Krishnapriyan, H. Wiener Matrix: Source of Novel Graph Invariants. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1993, 33, 709-716.
- (57) Randić, M.; Guo, X.; Oxley, T.; Krishnapriyan, H.; Naylor, L. Wiener Matrix Invariants. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1994, 34, 361–367.
- (58) Klein, D. J.; Lukovits, I.; Gutman, I. On the Definition of the Hyper-Wiener Index for Cycle-Containing Structures. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 1995, 35, 50–52.
- (59) Ivanciuc, O.; Diudea, M. V.; Khadikar, P. V. New Topological Matrices and Their Polynomials. Ind. J. Chem. 1998, 37A, 574–585.
- (60) Ivanciuc, O. Design of Topological Indices. Part 14. Distance-Valency Matrices and Structural Descriptors for Vertex- and Edge-Weighted Molecular Graphs. Rev. Roum. Chim., in press.
- (61) Ivanciuc, O.; Ivanciuc, T.; Cabrol-Bass, D.; Balaban A. T. Optimum Structural Descriptors Derived from the Ivanciuc—Balaban Operator. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci., in press.

CI9900884