

# Human DNA2 Is a Mitochondrial Nuclease/Helicase for Efficient Processing of DNA Replication and Repair Intermediates

Li Zheng,<sup>1</sup> Mian Zhou,<sup>1</sup> Zhigang Guo,<sup>1</sup> Huiming Lu,<sup>1</sup> Limin Qian,<sup>1</sup> Huifang Dai,<sup>1</sup> Junzhuan Qiu,<sup>1</sup> Elena Yakubovskaya,<sup>2</sup> Daniel F. Bogenhagen,<sup>2</sup> Bruce Demple,<sup>3</sup> and Binghui Shen<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiation Biology, City of Hope National Medical Center and Beckman Research Institute, Duarte, CA 91010, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacological Sciences, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794-8651, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Genetics and Complex Diseases, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA

\*Correspondence: [bshen@coh.org](mailto:bshen@coh.org)

DOI 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.09.024

## SUMMARY

DNA2, a helicase/nuclease family member, plays versatile roles in processing DNA intermediates during DNA replication and repair. Yeast Dna2 (yDna2) is essential in RNA primer removal during nuclear DNA replication and is important in repairing UV damage, base damage, and double-strand breaks. Our data demonstrate that, surprisingly, human DNA2 (hDNA2) does not localize to nuclei, as it lacks a nuclear localization signal equivalent to that present in yDna2. Instead, hDNA2 migrates to the mitochondria, interacts with mitochondrial DNA polymerase  $\gamma$ , and significantly stimulates polymerase activity. We further demonstrate that hDNA2 and flap endonuclease 1 synergistically process intermediate 5' flap structures occurring in DNA replication and long-patch base excision repair (LP-BER) in mitochondria. Depletion of hDNA2 from a mitochondrial extract reduces its efficiency in RNA primer removal and LP-BER. Taken together, our studies illustrate an evolutionarily diversified role of hDNA2 in mitochondrial DNA replication and repair in a mammalian system.

## INTRODUCTION

DNA replication and repair, which are central processes in all cells, require the involvement of nuclease and helicase activities to process different DNA intermediate structures and to maintain genomic stability. One such enzyme is DNA2, a member of the nuclease/helicase family (Budd and Campbell, 1995). DNA2 proteins from different organisms have conserved nuclease, ATPase, and helicase domains (Bae and Seo, 2000; Budd et al., 1995). Their nuclease activity is structure specific in that they recognize and cleave 5' flap DNA structures. However, unlike the typical flap endonuclease 1 (FEN1), which cleaves the single-stranded flap DNA at the junction between ssDNA and dsDNA (Harrington and Lieber, 1994), yeast Dna2 (yDna2) nuclease removes a portion of DNA in the middle of the ssDNA flap (Bae et al., 2001; Bae and Seo, 2000). The distinct cleavage


pattern of yDna2 and FEN1 is consistent with a model suggesting that yDna2 and FEN1 sequentially remove RNA primers *in vivo* (Bae et al., 2001). In this model, yDna2 in complex with RPA cleaves a long flap tail of 25 nt or longer during Okazaki fragment maturation, generating a 5–8 nt short flap structure that is then removed by FEN1 to generate a ligatable DNA end. The sequential enzymatic reactions are controlled by RPA, which binds to the long flap strand, inhibiting FEN1 flap endonuclease activity. However, RPA can bind to the N-terminal region of yDna2 to stimulate Dna2 cleavage of flap substrates. The yDna2/RPA complex then falls off the short flap structure, allowing FEN1 to interact and cut the substrate (Bae et al., 2001). yDna2 helicase activity can unwind the DNA duplex, generating a 5' flap structure, which facilitates RNA primer cleavage by the nuclease activities of yDna2 and FEN1 (Bae et al., 2002). In addition, the yDna2 helicase may be important in the resolution of the secondary structures formed during DNA replication. yDna2 also plays a role in DNA repair. Yeast Dna2 deficiency causes hypersensitivity to MMS, UV, and X-ray irradiation (Budd and Campbell, 2000).

Like its homolog in yeast, human DNA2 (hDNA2) also cleaves the single-stranded DNA flap and shows ATPase and helicase activity *in vitro* (Kim et al., 2006; Masuda-Sasa et al., 2006), suggesting that it may play similar roles in DNA replication and repair. However, our extensive sequence analysis revealed that the hDNA2 polypeptide was shorter than yDna2 and lacked the RPA binding domain and classic nuclear localization signals (NLS). In this study, we employed immunofluorescence staining and western blotting analysis to demonstrate that hDNA2 did not localize to the nucleus. Instead, hDNA2 was detected in the mitochondria. Furthermore, hDNA2 formed a complex with mitochondrial DNA polymerase  $\gamma$  (Pol $\gamma$ ), stimulating its polymerase activity. We also demonstrated that hDNA2 nuclease activity was important in processing RNA primers during DNA replication and in processing intermediates of LP-BER, in cooperation with FEN1.

## RESULTS

### Human DNA2 Is Not a Nuclear Protein

Previous studies reported that both hDNA2 and yDNA2 had conserved nuclease/helicase/ATPase domains; however, the human homolog lacked an RPA binding motif that was important



**Figure 1. Human DNA2 Does Not Translocate into Nuclei or Localize to Replication Foci**

(A) The domain structure of human and yeast DNA2. The RPA binding motif, nuclease, ATPase, and helicase domains. The classic Pat4, Pat7, and bipartite nuclear localization signals were identified with the pSORT II program (Nakai and Horton, 1999).

(B) Representative image of immunofluorescence staining of hDNA2 and nuclei of HeLa cells. hDNA2 (red) was stained with a polyclonal antibody to hDNA2; nucleus (blue) was stained with DAPI.

(C) hDNA2-c-myc and hFEN1-c-myc fusion proteins were transiently expressed in HeLa cells. The cells were costained with a monoclonal antibody against c-myc peptide and DAPI.

(D) Localization of hDNA2 and hFEN1 in the G1/S boundary and S phase. HeLa cells at G1/S boundary or in S phase were pulse labeled with BrdU as previously described (Zheng et al., 2007a). The cells were costained with antibodies to hDNA2 and BrdU or with antibodies to FEN1 and BrdU. BrdU signals (green) indicate the replication foci. Images were viewed and recorded with a 40× objective and a 10× ocular lens.

for regulating the activity and action of yDna2 (Figure 1A) (Kim et al., 2006; Masuda-Sasa et al., 2006). Our sequence analysis revealed a striking aspect that yDna2 had classic Pat4, Pat7, and bipartite NLS sequences—none of which were found in hDNA2 (Figure 1A). Interestingly, NLS deficiency in hDNA2 has its evolutionary roots. We found that DNA2 proteins progressively lose NLS during the evolutionary process. DNA2 proteins in single-cell organisms such as *S. cerevisiae*, *S. pombe*, and *A. fumigatus* all have three types of classic NLS (see Table S1 available online). DNA2 proteins in *Arabidopsis*, *C. elegans*, *Drosophila*, puffer fish, and frog lose one or two types of the NLS, whereas vertebrate DNA2 proteins (chicken, mice, rats, cattle, and humans) lack all three types of classic NLS (Table S1).


These data suggested that hDNA2 might have different subcellular localization and functions compared to yDna2. To experimentally determine whether hDNA2 localizes to the nucleus, we first conducted immunostaining of HeLa cells with a polyclonal antibody raised against hDNA2. The result revealed that

it colocalize with the replication foci in S phase (Figure 1D). In contrast, hFEN1 colocalized with nuclear replication foci (Figure 1D). Taken together, these data suggested that hDNA2 was not a nuclear protein, consistent with its apparent lack of NLS.

#### Human DNA2 Localizes to Mitochondria

We next tested if hDNA2 could localize to the mitochondria. Coimmunostaining of HeLa cells for hDNA2 and mitochondrial heat shock protein 70 (mtHSP70) revealed colocalization of hDNA2 and mtHSP70 (Figure 2A, arrows). hDNA2 signals were also observed in cytosolic regions other than mitochondria. In addition, signals of transiently expressed hDNA2-EGFP fusion protein colocalized with mito-tracker red signals, confirming that hDNA2 localized to mitochondria (Figure 2B).

To further elucidate how hDNA2 localizes into mitochondria, we analyzed the hDNA2 sequence with the pSORT II program, which predicted a potential mitochondrial targeting R-2 motif (Gavel and von Heijne, 1990) at the hDNA2 N terminus



**Figure 2. Human DNA2 Localizes to the Mitochondria**

(A) Localization of hDNA2 and mitochondrial-specific heat-shock protein 70 (mtHSP70) in HeLa cells. hDNA2 (red) and mtHSP70 (green) were stained with antibodies to hDNA2 and mtHSP70. The nucleus (blue) was stained with DAPI. Yellow spots (arrows) indicate colocalization of hDNA2 and mtHSP70 (merged views). The square box in the upper right panel is a magnification of the area framed in white.

(B) Localization of exogenous hDNA2 and its deletion mutants fused with GFP at their C termini. The pEGFP-N1 vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) harboring the human DNA2L gene to express hDNA2-EGFP or hDNA2 deletion mutant-EGFP fusion protein was transformed into HeLa cells. Mitotracker red (mitochondria) and EGFP (green) signals in live HeLa cells (full-length hDNA2) or in 2% paraformaldehyde-fixed HeLa cells (hDNA2 deletion mutants) were examined (40 $\times$  objective and 10 $\times$  ocular lens).

(C) Western blotting of hDNA2 in cytoplasm (CE), mitochondrial extract (ME), and nuclear extract (NE). Fifty micrograms of CE, NE, and ME was resolved in 4%–15% SDS-PAGE. The presence of hDNA2, mtHSP70, actin, or lamin A was determined by western blotting using antibodies to corresponding proteins (Abcam). mtHSP70 was used as a positive mitochondria marker, Lamin A, as a nuclear marker. Actin is present in both CE and NE. All of these markers were used as controls to determine the ME purity.

(D) Western blotting analysis of hDNA2 in protease K-treated mitochondria. Purified mitochondria were treated with 1 mg/ml protease K. Proteins were extracted and analyzed by western blotting using antibodies against hDNA2, hFEN1, or Poly.

(Figure S1A). However, the N-terminal 91 amino acid residues with EGFP (hDNA2<sub>1–91</sub>-EGFP) did not localize to mitochondria in HeLa cells (Figure S2). This suggested that the N-terminal peptide might not be the mitochondrion-targeting signal. Previous studies have shown that mitochondrial localization could be mediated by internal or C-terminal peptides (Diekert et al., 1999). To identify the region essential for mitochondrial localization of hDNA2, we expressed varying hDNA2 deletion mutants fused to the N terminus of EGFP (Figure S1B) and analyzed their mitochondrial localization. We discovered that the fragment covering amino acid residues 734–829 was essential and sufficient for the mitochondrial localization of EGFP fusion proteins (Figure 2B and Figure S2).


To further validate the mitochondrial localization of hDNA2, proteins extracted from the cytoplasm, mitochondria, and nuclei were analyzed by western blotting. Consistent with the immunostaining, hDNA2 was predominantly detected in the

mitochondrial extract (ME) (Figure 2C). Moreover, similar to Poly and FEN1, hDNA2 in mitochondria was resistant to treatment with protease K (Figure 2D),

suggesting the nuclease/helicase was localized within the inner membrane or matrix of mitochondria.

#### **hDNA2 Interacts with Poly and Enhances Poly-Catalyzed DNA Synthesis on Double-Stranded Plasmid**

Its mitochondrial localization suggested potential roles for hDNA2 in mtDNA replication and/or repair. This hypothesized that hDNA2 was complexed with the mitochondrial replication or repair machinery through interaction with mitochondrial DNA replication or repair proteins such as Poly. Supporting our hypothesis, a mouse polyclonal antibody against Poly, but not the nonspecific mouse IgG, coimmunoprecipitated hDNA2 (Figure 3A). We further found that recombinant hDNA2 bound to Poly coupled to Sepharose 4B, but not BSA-Sepharose 4B (Figure 3B), as well as treatment of the cell lysate with DNase I and RNase A, did not decrease the amount of coprecipitated



**Figure 3. hDNA2 Interacts with and Stimulates Poly Activity**

(A) Coimmunoprecipitation of Poly and hDNA2 from a ME. Lane 1 was the recombinant hDNA2 (rhDNA2), which was a positive control for western blotting. Lane 2 was ME input, and lanes 3 and 4 were hDNA2 pulled down by anti-Poly or nonspecific mouse Ig G.

(B) Interaction between recombinant hDNA2 and Poly. Poly- or BSA-coated Sepharose 4B beads were incubated with 1 mg/ml insect cell lysates containing recombinant hDNA2 in the absence or presence of 100 μg/ml each of DNase I and RNase A. hDNA2 bound to the Sepharose 4B beads were analyzed with western blotting.

(C) hDNA2 bound to Poly-coated Sepharose 4B beads was sequentially eluted with a 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5) containing 150, 300, 500, or 800 mM NaCl (lanes 2–5). The beads were finally incubated with the SDS-PAGE loading buffer (lane 6) to dissociate the remaining hDNA2 from the beads.

(D–F) hDNA2 stimulated Poly-driven primer extension on D-loop substrates. Purified Poly (25 or

50 ng) was incubated with 100 ng DNA substrates, 5 μCi [ $\alpha$ - $^{32}$ P] dATP, 1 μM dATP, and 50 μM each of the other three dNTP, in the presence of 100 ng BSA, 100 ng hDNA2, or 40 ng hFEN1. Products were analyzed with 0.5% agarose gel (D) or 5% denaturing PAGE (E). The products from reactions catalyzed by 50 ng Poly were purified by the QIAGEN plasmid purified kit and quantified by a scintillation counter (F). Relative Poly activities were calculated as in (E). Values were means  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 3$ ).

hDNA2 (Figure 3B), suggesting that hDNA2 directly interacted with Poly. In addition, the hDNA2/Poly interaction was resistant to high salt, because most hDNA2 remained bound to Poly-conjugated Sepharose 4B beads after sequential washes of the resin with a buffer containing 150, 300, 500, or 800 mM NaCl (Figure 3C).

We then tested whether hDNA2 had any effect on Poly activity by investigating the efficiency of primer extension by Poly in the absence or presence of hDNA2. Consistent with a previous study (Korhonen et al., 2004), our data showed that Poly alone was not active in extending a 21 nt primer annealed to a plasmid mimicking mitochondrial DNA (Figures 3D–3F). However, hDNA2 dramatically enhanced Poly activity (Figures 3D–3F). The discrete bands in the agarose gel likely represented a DNA triplex with extended products of different lengths (Figure 3D). Denaturing PAGE analyses suggested that Poly alone resulted in products that were less than 50 nt, but in the presence of hDNA2, the extended products increased to 400 nt (Figure 3E). Purification and further quantification of the products demonstrated that, in the presence of hDNA2, there was a 60-fold increase in Poly activity under these conditions (Figure 3E). In contrast, no stimulation was observed in the presence of hFEN1 (Figures 3D and 3E). Interestingly, hDNA2 did not significantly stimulate Poly activity on an oligo-primed, single-stranded DNA template (Figure S3).


#### hDNA2 Is Important for Efficient Processing of RNA Primer Intermediates in Mitochondria

We hypothesized that one of functions of hDNA2 was to participate in RNA primer removal during mtDNA replication. To test this hypothesis, we first determined if hDNA2 contributed to the cleavage of a flap structure that might be formed during RNA

primer removal, by a mitochondrial extract (ME). The ME from HeLa cells efficiently cleaved the ssDNA flap (20 nt) of a 5' end  $^{32}$ P-labeled DNA substrate at multiple sites (lanes 3–6, Figure 4A). Cleavage products were grouped into two categories: the first group included products of 1–10 nt in length, resulting from endonuclease cleavage at the 5' end or in the middle of the ssDNA flap. The second group consisted of products 20 nt in length, resulting from endonuclease cleavage at the ssDNA and dsDNA junction (Figure 4A). While depletion of hDNA2 from the mitochondrial extract diminished group 1 products (lane 7–10, Figure 4A), supplementation of hDNA2-depleted ME with recombinant hDNA2 recovered these cleavage products (lanes 11–14, Figure 4A). Furthermore, group 1 products resulting from the ME cleavage corresponded to those resulting from the purified hDNA2 cleavage (lanes 15–18, Figure 4A). These data suggested that hDNA2 in mitochondria mediated the endonuclease cleavage of the ssDNA flap, generating shorter flap structures. It is possible that the 20 nt product might have been generated mainly by hFEN1, which was recently demonstrated to localize to both nuclei and mitochondria (Liu et al., 2008). While depletion of hFEN1 from the ME abolished most of the 20 nt bands (lanes 19–22, Figure 4A), addition of recombinant hFEN1 restored the 20 nt products (lanes 23–26, Figure 4A). Our results clearly indicated two distinct flap endonuclease activities corresponding to hDNA2 and hFEN1 in mitochondria, and depletion of either one decreased the efficiency of endonuclease cleavage of flap substrates.

To further characterize the cleavage of flap substrates by hDNA2 and hFEN1, we labeled the flap substrate with  $^{32}$ P at the 3' end of the flap strand. Incubation of hDNA2 with the 3' end labeled DNA substrates resulted in 40–50 nt bands (Figure 4B), consistent with the previous observation that hDNA2





**Figure 4. Two-Flap Endonuclease Activities in Mitochondrial Extracts**

(A) Flap endonuclease activities in mitochondrial extracts (ME). One microgram nondepleted ME or a ME depleted of either hDNA2 or hFEN1 was incubated with 1 pmol 5' end  $^{32}$ P-labeled flap DNA substrates (upper panel). 100 ng hDNA2 or 10 ng hFEN1 was added to the reactions as specified. Reactions were carried out at 37°C for 5, 10, 30, and 60 min.


(B) hDNA2 and hFEN1 synergistically cleave flap substrates. hDNA2 (0, 2, 10, and 50 ng) was incubated with 1 pmol 3' end  $^{32}$ P-labeled flap DNA substrates in the presence of 1 ng BSA or hFEN1. Reactions were carried out at 37°C for 60 min. The cleavage products were analyzed with 15% denaturing PAGE in both (A) and (B).

cleaved flap substrates at multiple sites within the ssDNA strand. On the other hand, hFEN1 cleaved the flap substrate at the ssDNA and dsDNA junction, generating a 40 nt band suitable for ligation (Figure 4B). The 40 nt band could be further cleaved by the exonuclease activity of hFEN1 in vitro (Figure 4B). Importantly, hDNA2 stimulated hFEN1 cleavage of the flap substrate in a concentration-dependent manner. Our data revealed that 1 ng hFEN1 alone cleaved approximately 10% of the substrate, but that addition of 2 ng or 50 ng hDNA2 resulted in 50% and more than 90% excision, respectively (Figure 4B). On the other hand,

45–50 nt bands resulting from hDNA2 cleavage were not observed in the presence of 1 ng hFEN1 and 50 ng hDNA2 (Figure 4B), likely due to efficient processing of short flap intermediates by the coordinated action of the two nucleases.

Next, we employed a nick translation assay on a gapped flap substrate to resemble gap filling, RNA primer removal, and DNA ligation, which occur during Okazaki fragment maturation or during the final maturation process of displacement mitochondrial DNA replication. Incorporation of [ $\alpha$ - $^{32}$ P] dCTP into the upstream primer (32 nt) by Pol $\gamma$  filled the gap and resulted in extended  $^{32}$ P-labeled bands, which were visualized with a phosphorimager. Pol $\gamma$  mainly produced the 40 nt band, indicating that the polymerase was very active in filling the gap (Figure 5A). Interestingly, hDNA2 but not hFEN1 enhanced the displacement of DNA synthesis by Pol $\gamma$  (Figure 5A), possibly due to its helicase activity. In the absence of DNA ligase III $\alpha$ , no 80 nt band was observed, indicating that generation of the full-length product required the involvement of DNA ligase and could not be due to continued strand displacement (lanes 3–6, Figure 5A). Reaction with Pol $\gamma$ , Lig III $\alpha$ , and hDNA2 did not generate a visible ligated product of 80 nt (lane 9, Figure 5A), consistent with previous observations that hDNA2 mainly cleaved the flap substrate in the middle of the flap strand, producing a shorter flap substrate not suitable for ligation. However, the presence of hFEN1, Pol $\gamma$ , and Lig III $\alpha$  produced a considerable amount of ligated products (lane 10, Figure 5A). Furthermore, the presence of both hDNA2 and hFEN1 also resulted in a significant increase in the amount of ligated products, compared to hFEN1 alone (Figure 5A). Similar results were observed utilizing a substrate with an RNA-DNA flap (17 nt RNA and 3 nt DNA), which better resembled the intermediate structure during RNA primer removal (Figure 5B). Altogether, our data suggested that both hDNA2 and FEN1 were important for efficient RNA primer removal.

We further investigated the impact of hDNA2 and hFEN1 deficiency on the effectiveness of RNA primer removal by ME preparations. We immunodepleted hDNA2 or hFEN1 from ME and assayed nick translation activity on the gapped duplex with a ribonucleotide or a flap at the 5' end of the downstream oligomer. Nondepleted ME efficiently filled the gap, processed the ribonucleotide or flap, and ligated the DNA nicks, generating a full-length 80 nt band (Figure 5C). Depletion of hDNA2 significantly decreased Pol $\gamma$  activity and generation of the ligated product, but addition of the recombinant hDNA2 partially restored production of the 80 nt product. Similarly, depletion of hFEN1 also decreased the overall efficiency of generation of the ligated product, but the nick translation activity was restored following addition of recombinant hFEN1. In the absence of hDNA2 or hFEN1 in the ME, there was a significant increase in levels of nonligated products relative to ligated products, indicating that hDNA2 or hFEN1 deficiency resulted in defective removal of ribonucleotides or flaps. This in turn affected the conversion of nonligatable products into ligated ones. Immunodepletion of both hDNA2 and FEN1 completely shut down the gap-filling activity and generation of the ligated product. Readdition of hDNA2 and hFEN1 only recovered about 10% of the activity. This might have resulted from the removal of Pol $\gamma$  that had been complexed with hDNA2, or other replication factors bound to hDNA2 and hFEN1.



**Figure 5. hFEN1 and hDNA2 Are Critical for Removal of RNA Primers in Mitochondria**

(A and B) Nick translation by purified recombinant mitochondrial proteins with a gap substrate containing a 20 nt DNA flap (A) or with a gap substrate with a 20 nt RNA-DNA flap (B), which consists of 17 ribonucleotides and 3 deoxyribonucleotides and is represented by the zigzag line.

(C) Nick translation by ME and ME depleted of hDNA2 or/and hFEN1 with either a gap substrate containing a ribonucleotide (left) or a 20 nt DNA flap (right). The zigzag line represents the single ribonucleotide in the gapped duplex (left). 2  $\mu$ g each of ME was incubated with 1 pmol of indicated DNA substrates.


All reactions in (A)–(C) were carried out in a buffer containing 5  $\mu$ Ci [ $\alpha$ - $^{32}$ P] dCTP and 50  $\mu$ M each of dATP, dGTP, and dTTP at 37°C for 1 hr and analyzed with a 15% denaturing PAGE.

### hDNA2 Is Important for Long-Patch Base Excision Repair in Mitochondria

A flap structure also occurs in long-patch base excision repair (LP-BER), and hDNA2 could also contribute to the processing of such intermediates. We previously showed that hFEN1 played an important role in LP-BER in mitochondria (Liu et al., 2008). Depletion of hFEN1 from a ME led to an approximate 60% decrease in LP-BER efficiency (Liu et al., 2008), suggesting that FEN1 was vital in mitochondrial LP-BER. These results did not, however, rule out contributions from additional nucleases in LP-BER, as suggested by recent studies implicating hFEN1-independent flap endonuclease activity in ME (Akbari et al., 2008; Szczesny et al., 2008).

To test whether hDNA2 was also involved in processing nicked or flapped LP-BER intermediates, we assayed hDNA2 cleavage of a nicked substrate or a short flap substrate with an abasic tetrahydrofuran (THF) residue at the 5' end of the downstream oligomer, which resembled the intermediate resulting from AP endonuclease 1 (APE1) cleavage of a LP-BER substrate. hDNA2 efficiently cleaved both the nicked and the flap substrates, resulting in a cleavage pattern that was identical to that obtained with ME (Figure 6A), supporting our hypothesis that both hDNA2 and hFEN1 processed DNA intermediates in LP-BER.

To further elucidate the role of hDNA2 and hFEN1 in LP-BER, we reconstituted the reaction to repair an abasic THF residue as a model base and sugar damage in a DNA duplex substrate. In




**Figure 6. hDNA2 and hFEN1 Are Required for Efficient LP-BER in Mitochondria**

(A) Cleavage of nick or flap substrates with a 5' THF AP site, which was represented by a circle (upper panels). 2 μg ME or 100 ng hDNA2 was incubated with 1 pmol 5' end <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA substrates in a total volume of 15 μl at 37°C for 0, 5, 10, 30, and 60 min.

(B) Reconstitution of LP-BER with recombinant mitochondrial proteins. 20 ng APE1, 50 ng Poly, and 200 ng Lig IIIα were mixed with no flap endonuclease or with 50 ng hDNA2 or/and 20 ng hFEN1. The mixture was then incubated with 500 fmol of the THF model LP-BER substrate in the reaction buffer containing 5 μCi dCTP and 50 μM each of dATP, dGTP, and dTTP. The reactions were carried out at 37°C for 30 min. The THF residue was represented by a circle in the middle of the substrate (upper panel).

(C) LP-BER on hDNA2 or hFEN1-depleted ME. 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 μg ME or ME depleted of hDNA2 or/and hFEN1 was incubated with 500 fmol LP-BER model substrates in a total volume of 20 μl.

(D) LP-BER on hDNA2 or hFEN1-depleted ME supplemented with corresponding recombinant nucleases. Four micrograms each of indicated ME preparation were mixed with 500 fmol of the LP-BER substrate. 50 ng hDNA2 or 20 ng hFEN1 was added to the reaction as specified. In each panel, reactions were carried out at 37°C for 30 min. Intermediates or ligated products were analyzed with 15% denaturing PAGE.



**Figure 7. hDNA2 Deficiency Affects the Efficiency of Repairing Oxidative DNA Damage in Mitochondria**

(A) siRNA knockdown of hDNA2. Knockdown efficiency was determined by western blotting analysis of whole-cell extract from HeLa cells not treated with RNA oligos (lane 1, untreated) or transfected with control siRNA oligos (lane 2, siRNA control) or siRNA specific to hDNA2 (lane 3, siRNA-hDNA2).

(B and C) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-induced oxidative DNA damage in mitochondria (B) and nuclei (C). A long-range QPCR protocol was used to evaluate the oxidative damage in mtDNA or nuclear DNA as induced by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment. The relative PCR amplification of 8.9 kb mtDNA fragment or 13.5 kb nuclear DNA fragment was normalized to mtDNA copy number or total amount of nuclear DNA.

(D and E) Time course of recovery from H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment. HeLa cells were treated with 2 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and allowed 0, 2, 4, or 8 hr to repair the damage before being harvested for DNA isolation and QPCR analysis of oxidative damage in mtDNA (D) and nuclear DNA (E). All values were mean ± SD (n = 3).

the absence of hDNA2 and hFEN1, all resulting products were nonligated intermediates and were 40–55 nt in length (lane 3, Figure 6B). Addition of either human hDNA2 or hFEN1 generated a weak ligated 80 nt band. However, inclusion of both hDNA2 and hFEN1 in the reaction dramatically (>10-fold) elevated the level of 80 nt ligated product, while significantly decreasing the levels of nonligated intermediates (Figure 4B). These data demonstrated the synergistic effect of hDNA2 and hFEN1 in processing LP-BER intermediates for DNA ligation.

We then determined if deficiency of hDNA2 and FEN1 resulted in defective LP-BER in mitochondrial extracts. The nondepleted ME efficiently repaired the THF damage (Figure 6C). However, removal of hDNA2 from the ME resulted in significant accumulation of nonligated product and a marked decrease in the formation of ligated product (Figure 6C). A similar phenomenon was observed with hFEN1-depleted ME (Figure 6C). Depletion of both human DNA2 and FEN1 completely blocked the formation of ligated products (Figure 6C). Furthermore, addition of purified recombinant hDNA2 or hFEN1 to hDNA2- or hFEN1-depleted ME fully restored the repairing activity (Figure 6D), suggesting that the inefficient LP-BER observed in the hDNA2- or hFEN1-depleted ME was solely due to deficiency of DNA2 or hFEN1, not due to the incidental coimmunoprecipitation of other proteins with hDNA2 or hFEN1.

We then tested whether deficiency of hDNA2 resulted in defective LP-BER in vivo. We employed siRNA to knock down

the expression of hDNA2 in HeLa cells. After 48 hr incubation posttransfection, the levels of hDNA2 in HeLa cells treated with siRNA oligos targeting hDNA2 (siRNA-hDNA2) decreased to less than 20% of untreated cells, whereas HeLa cells treated with control siRNA oligos (siRNA-control) did not affect hDNA2 levels (Figure 7A). We found that, in response to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment, significantly more oxidative damage accumulated in mtDNA of siRNA-hDNA2 HeLa cells than in siRNA-control cells (Figure 7B). However, knockdown of hDNA2 in HeLa cells did not affect the amount of oxidative

damage in nuclear DNA (Figure 7C). Consistently, we found that the recovery of mtDNA from H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-induced DNA damage was delayed in hDNA2 knockdown HeLa cells (Figure 7D). However, the recovery time course of nuclear DNA in siRNA hDNA2-transfected cells was similar to that in control cells (Figure 7E). These results suggested that hDNA2 deficiency selectively impaired repair of oxidative damage in mtDNA.

## DISCUSSION

In the current study, we have shown that hDNA2 predominantly localizes to the mitochondria and the cytoplasm. This observation has significant implications regarding the in vivo function of hDNA2, which has not been fully elucidated. Because DNA2 nuclease, helicase, and ATPase activities are conserved from yeast to humans, it has been speculated that hDNA2, like its yeast homolog, may also be important in Okazaki fragment maturation (Kim et al., 2006; Masuda-Sasa et al., 2006). Our current subcellular localization studies using immunofluorescence staining, transient expression of c-myc-tagged hDNA2, and western blotting analysis clearly show that hDNA2 does not localize to the nucleus, possibly due to the lack of a NLS. Thus, hDNA2 is unlikely to be the primary player in nuclear Okazaki fragment maturation, as is yDna2. The observation that hFEN1 but not hDNA2 is recruited to nuclear DNA replication sites further suggests that FEN1 is the major nuclease in nuclear RNA primer



removal in mammalian cells. Previous studies have indicated that nuclear Okazaki fragment maturation in mammals is quite different from that in yeast. While DNA2 and FEN1 in yeast are both involved in RNA primer removal, DNA2 is essential and FEN1 is not (Ayyagari et al., 2003; Bae et al., 2001; Budd et al., 1995; Reagan et al., 1995; Sommers et al., 1995). In contrast, *Fen1* gene knockout mice displayed cellular death and embryonic lethality (Kucherlapati et al., 2002; Larsen et al., 2003). Depletion of FEN1 from a nuclear extract of mouse embryonic fibroblast cells eliminates most activity in processing RNA primers in a nick translation assay, implicating FEN1 as the primary player in RNA primer removal in mammalian cells (Zheng et al., 2007a). In addition, the FEN1 point mutation E160D, which eliminates the exonuclease activity for removal of the last ribonucleotides in RNase H1-mediated RNA primer removal pathway (Turchi et al., 1994), resulted in frequent spontaneous mutations and the development of cancers (Zheng et al., 2007b). Nevertheless, our study does not exclude the possibility that hDNA2 may be cotransported into the nucleus via NLS-independent mechanisms (Xu and Massague, 2004) in response to external stimuli. Future studies will clarify if hDNA2 plays a role in nuclear DNA repair, as does its yeast homolog.

The mitochondrial localization of hDNA2 suggests a role for hDNA2 in mitochondrial DNA replication and repair. As well, hDNA2 may provide helicase activity to unwind the DNA duplex during mtDNA replication. mtDNA is typically replicated via a strand-displacement mode (Shadel and Clayton, 1997), which requires helicase activity to unwind the DNA duplex. It is generally thought that the T7-like helicase Twinkle, the product of the PEO gene, functions as a mtDNA replicative helicase (Korhonen et al., 2003; Korhonen et al., 2004; Spelbrink et al., 2001). In the current study, we show that hDNA2 forms a complex with Poly and significantly stimulates its activity on a double-strand plasmid-based D-loop substrate, but it does not enhance Poly activity on a short oligonucleotide DNA template. We also observed that hDNA2 endonuclease activity did not cleave a D-loop substrate in vitro (data not shown). Thus, displacement of the DNA duplex mediated by the helicase activity of hDNA2 is likely responsible for hDNA2 stimulation of the Poly activity. Both hDNA2 and Twinkle may be important in mtDNA replication as replicative helicases. It will be necessary to resolve the relative roles of hDNA2 and Twinkle in future work.

Our data suggest that hDNA2 may play a vital role in RNA primer removal during mtDNA replication. RNA primer removal is a critical process in mtDNA replication, regardless of whether replication occurs by a strand-displacement or by a strand-coupled replication mode (Holt et al., 2000; Shadel and Clayton, 1997). The major pathway for RNA primer removal is via displacement and cleavage of the RNA primer. Our current studies suggest that hDNA2 may function in different ways during the maturation process to remove RNA primers in mitochondria. First, hDNA2 forms a complex with Poly, serving as an alternative to the Twinkle helicase. hDNA2 helicase activity enhances Poly-driven displacement DNA synthesis, resulting in the formation of an RNA primer flap. A similar mechanism may be employed by yDNA2 during Okazaki fragment maturation in nuclear DNA replication (Bae et al., 2002; Budd and Campbell, 1997). Second, hDNA2 participates in cleavage of the flap structure,

which consists of both RNA and DNA portions and is typically 20 to 25 nt. While such flap structures can be processed by hFEN1 alone to generate DNA ends for ligation, the cooperative action of hDNA2 and hFEN1 results in significantly enhanced efficiency. Alternatively, RNA primers may be removed by an RNase H1-mediated pathway. RNase H1, which has been demonstrated to localize to mitochondria and is important for mtDNA replication (Cerritelli et al., 2003), may remove all ribonucleotides, with the exception of the last one in the RNA primer (Turchi et al., 1994). Both hDNA2 and hFEN1 have 5' exonuclease activity and may play a role in this pathway. Furthermore, immunodepletion of hDNA2 or hFEN1 from HeLa ME results in a decrease in ligated products, accompanied by the accumulation of nonligated intermediates in an in vitro nick translation assay using a gapped DNA substrate containing a ribonucleotide at the 5' end of the downstream oligomer. This suggests that both hDNA2 and FEN1 are involved in processing the intermediate resulting from RNase H1 cleavage of the mtRNA primer.

It has been demonstrated that mtDNA is consistently exposed to DNA-damaging agents due to byproducts of oxidative phosphorylation in this organelle (Harman, 1972; Sohal and Weindrich, 1996). Thus, robust DNA repair, particularly BER, is important to maintain the integrity of the mitochondrial genome. Short-patch BER has been reported in mitochondria (Bohr et al., 2002; Pinz and Bogenhagen, 1998). We have recently found that the LP-BER is also important in mitochondria, because damaged nucleotides with modified base and sugar groups such as 2-deoxyribonolactone (dL) can be only repaired through this pathway (Liu et al., 2008). In LP-BER, displacement DNA synthesis results in a flap structure bearing the abasic residue (Klungland and Lindahl, 1997). Such a DNA intermediate structure must be removed before the DNA ligase can seal the nick to complete the repair process. We and others have previously suggested that, in mitochondria, FEN1 is partially responsible for processing of LP-BER intermediates. Our current studies implicate hDNA2 as another nuclease capable of processing nick or flap LP-BER intermediates in mitochondria. Furthermore, hDNA2 and FEN1 have synergistic effects in this process, most likely due to mutual stimulation of hDNA2 and FEN1. We suggest that hDNA2 helicase activity may enhance the formation of flaps for FEN1 to cleave. It has been demonstrated that the flap substrate is a far better substrate for FEN1 compared to the nick substrate (Harrington and Lieber, 1994). Thus, conversion of the nick substrate into a flap substrate could certainly enhance the efficiency of FEN1 cleavage.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

### Purification of Nuclei and Mitochondria and Preparation of Mitochondrial Extracts

Nuclei and mitochondria were fractionated using 1 M/1.5 M sucrose step gradients centrifugation as previously described (Liu et al., 2008). The integrity of purified mitochondria was tested by treatment with 1 mg/ml protease K (37°C, 30 min). To prepare nuclear extract, nuclei were incubated with an NE buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 8.0], 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 400 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 17% glycerol). To prepare the mitochondrial extract (ME), purified mitochondria were incubated in NE buffer containing 0.25% CHAPS. The ME was dialyzed against the NE buffer without CHAPS.

### Protein Expression and Purification

hFEN1, APE1, DNA ligase III, and Pol $\gamma$  were expressed and purified as previously described (Frank et al., 2001; Guo et al., 2008; Yakubovskaya et al., 2006). hDNA2 was expressed and purified following a published protocol, with modifications (Masuda-Sasa et al., 2006). Briefly, the full-length cDNA of hDNA2 corresponding to the DNA2L (NM\_001080449) mRNA sequence was successfully amplified by RT-PCR using mRNA extracted from the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line. The hDNA2 cDNA sequence, which was confirmed by DNA sequencing, was subcloned into pBlueBacHis2 to express 6His- and Xpress-tagged DNA2 in Sf9 insect cells. hDNA2 was first purified by FPLC using a Ni<sup>2+</sup>-chelating column. The eluted hDNA2 was further purified by anti-Xpress antibody-conjugated agarose beads. hDNA2 bound to agarose beads was eluted with 1 mg/ml Xpress peptide and dialyzed against a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, and 50% glycerol.

### Nuclease Assay

<sup>32</sup>P-labeled nick or flap DNA substrates were prepared as described previously (Zheng et al., 2005). To assay nuclease activity, mitochondrial extract, DNA2, or FEN1 was incubated (37°C, 30 min, or as specified) with 1 pmol DNA substrates in reaction buffer A containing 50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.5), 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM DTT, and 0.25 mg/ml BSA.

### Pol $\gamma$ Activity Assay

To prepare plasmid-based D-loop DNA substrates, T3 primers (21 nt) were incubated with pBluescript plasmid at 95°C for 10 min followed by 55°C for 10 min. The reaction was cooled to room temperature. The D-loop substrate was purified with a QIAGEN plasmid purification kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). To assay Pol $\gamma$  activity, the polymerase was incubated with indicated DNA substrates in the reaction buffer B containing 50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.5), 45 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM ATP, 200 unit creatine-phosphokinase, 0.5 mM NAD, and 5 mM phosphocreatine. Reactions (37°C, 30 min) were carried out in the presence of 5  $\mu$ Ci [ $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P] dATP, 1  $\mu$ M dATP, and 50  $\mu$ M each of dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP. To quantify the DNA synthesis by Pol $\gamma$ , DNA products were purified by Dynabeads M-280 Streptavidin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), or the QIAGEN plasmid purification kit. The incorporation of [ $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P] dATP was determined by a scintillation counter. Background counts from reactions without Pol $\gamma$  were subtracted from the corresponding Pol $\gamma$ -driven reactions.

### Nick Translation Assay

Gap filling, RNA/DNA primer removal, and DNA ligation reactions were assayed by nick translation reaction with gapped substrates, following a modified version of published protocols (Zheng et al., 2007a). Briefly, purified proteins or mitochondrial extracts were incubated with specific gapped substrates in the reaction buffer B containing 5  $\mu$ Ci [ $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P]dCTP and 50  $\mu$ M each of dATP, dGTP, and dTTP. Reactions were carried out at 37°C for 60 min.

### In Vitro LP-BER Assay

LP-BER was assayed using a synthetic DNA duplex harboring a tetrahydrofuran (THF) AP site at residue 40. Reactions were conducted according to published procedures, with slight modifications (Klungland and Lindahl, 1997; Sung et al., 2005). Briefly, ME or purified BER proteins were mixed with 1 pmol DNA substrates in reaction buffer B. Each reaction also contained 5  $\mu$ Ci [ $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P] dCTP and 50  $\mu$ M each of dATP, dGTP, and dTTP. Reactions were carried out for 30 min at 37°C.

### Immunodepletion of Human DNA2 and FEN1

The polyclonal antibody against FEN1 was raised in a rabbit against purified recombinant hFEN1 (Zheng et al., 2005). The polyclonal antibody against hDNA2 was raised in a rabbit against a purified peptide TDKVPAPEQVEKGG, corresponding to the amino acid sequence from 983 to 996, at the C terminus of hDNA2. The peptide synthesis and anti-hDNA2 antibody production were completed by Genscript Corp (Piscataway, NJ). Reactivity and specificity to the hDNA2 C-terminal polypeptide was verified by Elisa in Genscript (Piscataway, NJ). Effectiveness of the antibody for immunoblotting analysis of hDNA2 was confirmed by western blotting analysis of recombinant hDNA2

(data not shown). To immunodeplete hDNA2 or hFEN1, 100  $\mu$ g of HeLa mitochondrial extract was incubated with protein A agarose beads (50  $\mu$ l) coated with rabbit polyclonal antibodies to hDNA2 or hFEN1 in the NE buffer at 4°C for 8 hr. After brief centrifugation (5000  $\times$  g), the supernatant was analyzed by western blot analysis to confirm depletion efficiency.

### Coimmunoprecipitation and Affinity-Precipitation Assays

Nonspecific mouse antibodies or a mouse polyclonal antibody against Pol $\gamma$  (Novus Biologicals, Inc. Littleton, CO) were conjugated to protein A agarose beads. Beads were incubated (4°C, overnight) with ME and washed with PBS buffer containing 0.2% Tween 20. hDNA2 associated with beads was analyzed with a rabbit polyclonal antibody against hDNA2. To coprecipitate recombinant hDNA2 with recombinant Pol $\gamma$ , purified Pol $\gamma$  or BSA were coated onto Sepharose 4B beads and incubated (4°C, overnight) with insect cell lysates containing recombinant hDNA2 in the absence or presence of 100  $\mu$ g/ml each of DNase I and RNase A. Beads were washed with PBS buffer containing 0.2% Tween 20. hDNA2 bound to Sepharose 4B beads was eluted with 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5) containing an indicated concentration of NaCl or SDS-PAGE sample buffer and was analyzed by western blotting using a rabbit polyclonal antibody to hDNA2.

### Immunofluorescence Microscopy

HeLa cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with an antibody against specified proteins. Nuclei were stained with DAPI as previously described (Zheng et al., 2007a) and slides were examined by fluorescence microscopy (AX70, Olympus, Center Valley, PA or Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope, Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY). To observe the localization of exogenous hDNA2-GFP, HeLa cells were transfected with vectors encoding hDNA2-GFP using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), cultured (24–48 hr), then incubated (10 min) with Mitotracker red dye and washed with PBS. Cells were left untreated or fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (10 min). The hDNA2-GFP and Mitotracker red signals were detected by fluorescence microscopy. All images were processed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0 (Adobe, San Jose, CA).

### siRNA

siRNA oligos (a mixture of three oligos) against hDNA2 (catalog# sc-90458) or control siRNA oligos (catalog# sc-37007) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies (Santa Cruz, CA). siRNA oligos were transfected into HeLa cells using an siRNA transfection kit (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The inhibition of hDNA2 expression was confirmed by western blotting utilizing a polyclonal antibody against hDNA2.

### H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Treatment and Quantitative PCR

HeLa cells (2  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup>) were transfected with control siRNA oligos or siRNA specific to hDNA2. After transfection, cells were incubated (48 hr) in fresh DMEM, treated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (30 min), washed twice with fresh DMEM, and harvested immediately or incubated for a specific time to allow recovery from H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment. Nuclear or cytoplasmic DNA was isolated with DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). The relative amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-induced oxidative DNA damage in control and siRNA-hDNA2 knockdown HeLa cells was assayed by quantitative PCR as previously described (Liu et al., 2008; Santos et al., 2002). Briefly, an 8.9 kb mitochondrial DNA fragment or a 13.5 kb nuclear DNA fragment was amplified using primers and PCR conditions as specified previously (Liu et al., 2008; Santos et al., 2002). A 221 bp small fragment was amplified to determine the copy number of mitochondria. The relative PCR product, which represents the relative level of oxidative DNA damage, was calculated by dividing the raw fluorescence value of a H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-treated sample by that of the corresponding H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-untreated sample. The relative PCR product of mtDNA was normalized to the copy number of mtDNA.

### SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

The Supplemental Data include one table and three figures and can be found with this article online at [http://www.molecule.org/supplemental/S1097-2765\(08\)00698-9](http://www.molecule.org/supplemental/S1097-2765(08)00698-9).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the microscopy core facility of COH for technical assistance of immunofluorescence staining of HeLa cells. We thank P. Liu for technical advice on the measurement of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-induced DNA damage in nuclei and mitochondria. We thank S.R. da Costa for editorial assistance. This work was supported by an NIH grant R01 CA085344 to B.S. as well as partly by GM040000 to B.D. and ES012039 to D.F.B.

Received: April 4, 2008

Revised: July 30, 2008

Accepted: September 26, 2008

Published: November 6, 2008

## REFERENCES

- Akbari, M., Visnes, T., Krokan, H.E., and Otterlei, M. (2008). Mitochondrial base excision repair of uracil and AP sites takes place by single-nucleotide insertion and long-patch DNA synthesis. *DNA Repair (Amst.)* 7, 605–616.
- Ayyagari, R., Gomes, X.V., Gordenin, D.A., and Burgers, P.M. (2003). Okazaki fragment maturation in yeast. I. Distribution of functions between FEN1 AND DNA2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 1618–1625.
- Bae, S.H., Bae, K.H., Kim, J.A., and Seo, Y.S. (2001). RPA governs endonuclease switching during processing of Okazaki fragments in eukaryotes. *Nature* 412, 456–461.
- Bae, S.H., Kim, D.W., Kim, J., Kim, J.H., Kim, D.H., Kim, H.D., Kang, H.Y., and Seo, Y.S. (2002). Coupling of DNA helicase and endonuclease activities of yeast Dna2 facilitates Okazaki fragment processing. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 26632–26641.
- Bae, S.H., and Seo, Y.S. (2000). Characterization of the enzymatic properties of the yeast dna2 Helicase/endonuclease suggests a new model for Okazaki fragment processing. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 38022–38031.
- Bohr, V.A., Stevnsner, T., and de Souza-Pinto, N.C. (2002). Mitochondrial DNA repair of oxidative damage in mammalian cells. *Gene* 286, 127–134.
- Budd, M.E., and Campbell, J.L. (1995). A yeast gene required for DNA replication encodes a protein with homology to DNA helicases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 7642–7646.
- Budd, M.E., and Campbell, J.L. (1997). A yeast replicative helicase, Dna2 helicase, interacts with yeast FEN-1 nuclease in carrying out its essential function. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17, 2136–2142.
- Budd, M.E., and Campbell, J.L. (2000). The pattern of sensitivity of yeast dna2 mutants to DNA damaging agents suggests a role in DSB and postreplication repair pathways. *Mutat. Res.* 459, 173–186.
- Budd, M.E., Choe, W.C., and Campbell, J.L. (1995). DNA2 encodes a DNA helicase essential for replication of eukaryotic chromosomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 26766–26769.
- Cerritelli, S.M., Frolova, E.G., Feng, C., Grinberg, A., Love, P.E., and Crouch, R.J. (2003). Failure to produce mitochondrial DNA results in embryonic lethality in Rnaseh1 null mice. *Mol. Cell* 11, 807–815.
- Diekert, K., Kispal, G., Guiard, B., and Lill, R. (1999). An internal targeting signal directing proteins into the mitochondrial intermembrane space. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96, 11752–11757.
- Frank, G., Qiu, J., Zheng, L., and Shen, B. (2001). Stimulation of eukaryotic flap endonuclease-1 activities by proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) is independent of its in vitro interaction via a consensus PCNA binding region. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 36295–36302.
- Gavel, Y., and von Heijne, G. (1990). Cleavage-site motifs in mitochondrial targeting peptides. *Protein Eng.* 4, 33–37.
- Guo, Z., Chavez, V., Singh, P., Finger, L.D., Hang, H., Hegde, M.L., and Shen, B. (2008). Comprehensive mapping of the C-terminus of flap endonuclease-1 reveals distinct interaction sites for five proteins that represent different DNA replication and repair pathways. *J. Mol. Biol.* 377, 679–690.
- Harman, D. (1972). The biologic clock: the mitochondria? *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 20, 145–147.
- Harrington, J.J., and Lieber, M.R. (1994). The characterization of a mammalian DNA structure-specific endonuclease. *EMBO J.* 13, 1235–1246.
- Holt, I.J., Lorimer, H.E., and Jacobs, H.T. (2000). Coupled leading- and lagging-strand synthesis of mammalian mitochondrial DNA. *Cell* 100, 515–524.
- Kim, J.H., Kim, H.D., Ryu, G.H., Kim, D.H., Hurwitz, J., and Seo, Y.S. (2006). Isolation of human Dna2 endonuclease and characterization of its enzymatic properties. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34, 1854–1864.
- Klungland, A., and Lindahl, T. (1997). Second pathway for completion of human DNA base excision-repair: reconstitution with purified proteins and requirement for DNase IV (FEN1). *EMBO J.* 16, 3341–3348.
- Korhonen, J.A., Gaspari, M., and Falkenberg, M. (2003). TWINKLE Has 5' → 3' DNA helicase activity and is specifically stimulated by mitochondrial single-stranded DNA-binding protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 48627–48632.
- Korhonen, J.A., Pham, X.H., Pellegrini, M., and Falkenberg, M. (2004). Reconstitution of a minimal mtDNA replisome in vitro. *EMBO J.* 23, 2423–2429.
- Kucherlapati, M., Yang, K., Kuraguchi, M., Zhao, J., Lia, M., Heyer, J., Kane, M.F., Fan, K., Russell, R., Brown, A.M., et al. (2002). Haploinsufficiency of Flap endonuclease (Fen1) leads to rapid tumor progression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 9924–9929.
- Larsen, E., Gran, C., Saether, B.E., Seeberg, E., and Klungland, A. (2003). Proliferation failure and gamma radiation sensitivity of Fen1 null mutant mice at the blastocyst stage. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 23, 5346–5353.
- Liu, P., Qian, L., Sung, J.S., de Souza-Pinto, N.C., Zheng, L., Bogenhagen, D.F., Bohr, V.A., Wilson, D.M., III, Shen, B., and Demple, B. (2008). Removal of oxidative DNA damage via FEN1-dependent long-patch base excision repair in human cell mitochondria. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28, 4975–4987.
- Masuda-Sasa, T., Imamura, O., and Campbell, J.L. (2006). Biochemical analysis of human Dna2. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34, 1865–1875.
- Nakai, K., and Horton, P. (1999). PSORT: a program for detecting sorting signals in proteins and predicting their subcellular localization. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 24, 34–36.
- Pinz, K.G., and Bogenhagen, D.F. (1998). Efficient repair of abasic sites in DNA by mitochondrial enzymes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 1257–1265.
- Reagan, M.S., Pittenger, C., Siede, W., and Friedberg, E.C. (1995). Characterization of a mutant strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with a deletion of the RAD27 gene, a structural homolog of the RAD2 nucleotide excision repair gene. *J. Bacteriol.* 177, 364–371.
- Santos, J.H., Mandavilli, B.S., and Van Houten, B. (2002). Measuring oxidative mtDNA damage and repair using quantitative PCR. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 197, 159–176.
- Shadel, G.S., and Clayton, D.A. (1997). Mitochondrial DNA maintenance in vertebrates. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 66, 409–435.
- Sohal, R.S., and Weindruch, R. (1996). Oxidative stress, caloric restriction, and aging. *Science* 273, 59–63.
- Sommers, C.H., Miller, E.J., Dujon, B., Prakash, S., and Prakash, L. (1995). Conditional lethality of null mutations in RTH1 that encodes the yeast counterpart of a mammalian 5' to 3'-exonuclease required for lagging strand DNA synthesis in reconstituted systems. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 4193–4196.
- Spelbrink, J.N., Li, F.Y., Tiranti, V., Nikali, K., Yuan, Q.P., Tariq, M., Wanrooij, S., Garrido, N., Comi, G., Morandi, L., et al. (2001). Human mitochondrial DNA deletions associated with mutations in the gene encoding Twinkle, a phage T7 gene 4-like protein localized in mitochondria. *Nat. Genet.* 28, 223–231.
- Sung, J.S., DeMott, M.S., and Demple, B. (2005). Long-patch base excision DNA repair of 2-deoxyribonolactone prevents the formation of DNA-protein cross-links with DNA polymerase beta. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 39095–39103.
- Szczesny, B., Tann, A.W., Longley, M.J., Copeland, W.C., and Mitra, S. (2008). Long patch base excision repair in mammalian mitochondrial genomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 26349–26356.

- Turchi, J.J., Huang, L., Murante, R.S., Kim, Y., and Bambara, R.A. (1994). Enzymatic completion of mammalian lagging-strand DNA replication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **91**, 9803–9807.
- Xu, L., and Massague, J. (2004). Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of signal transducers. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **5**, 209–219.
- Yakubovskaya, E., Chen, Z., Carrodegua, J.A., Kisker, C., and Bogenhagen, D.F. (2006). Functional human mitochondrial DNA polymerase gamma forms a heterotrimer. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 374–382.
- Zheng, L., Zhou, M., Chai, Q., Parrish, J., Xue, D., Patrick, S.M., Turchi, J.J., Yannoni, S.M., Chen, D., and Shen, B. (2005). Novel function of the flap endonuclease 1 complex in processing stalled DNA replication forks. *EMBO Rep.* **6**, 83–89.
- Zheng, L., Dai, H., Qiu, J., Huang, Q., and Shen, B. (2007a). Disruption of the FEN-1/PCNA interaction results in DNA replication defects, pulmonary hypoplasia, pancytopenia, and newborn lethality in mice. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **27**, 3176–3186.
- Zheng, L., Dai, H., Zhou, M., Li, M., Singh, P., Qiu, J., Tsark, W., Huang, Q., Kernstine, K., Zhang, X., et al. (2007b). Fen1 mutations result in autoimmunity, chronic inflammation, and cancers. *Nat. Med.* **13**, 812–819.