

Journal of Clinical Anesthesia

Original Contribution



Chad C. Cripe MD (Instructor of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine)^{a,b}, Akash R. Patel MD (Assistant Professor of Pediatrics)^{a,c}, Scott D. Markowitz MD, FAAP (Associate Professor of Anesthesiology)^d, Tiffany S. Behringer MD (Resident in Emergency Medicine)^e, Ronald S. Litman DO (Professor of Anesthesiology and Pediatrics)^{a,b,*}

Received 15 July 2013; revised 26 November 2013; accepted 27 November 2013

Keywords:

Adenosine; anesthesia complications; desflurane; pediatric anesthesia; sevoflurane; tachycardia supraventricular; vagus nerve stimulation

Abstract

Study Objective: To perform a qualitative analysis of noncardiac patients who developed suspected intraoperative supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) during general anesthesia.

Design: Retrospective database analysis and chart review.

Setting: Operating room of a university-affiliated children's hospital.

Measurements: The records of children without cardiac disease who received general anesthesia at The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia from July 1998 through June 2011 were reviewed. Patients with heart rate values above 180 beats per minute were identified, as were specific medications or key words in the free-text fields of the anesthesia records that would be indicative of a tachyarrhythmia. Each case was reviewed by at least two authors; each patient was assigned a diagnosis classification of "highly suspicious" or "unlikely" SVT. The highly suspicious SVT cases were examined in detail to determine the specific aims. Main Results: 36 subjects out of a total of 285,353 anesthetics administered during the study period were suspected by the anesthesia care team to have had an episode of intraoperative SVT: 22 were "highly suspicious" events, and 14 were "unlikely" events. The highly suspicious SVT events occurred in all phases of anesthesia, and none led to any hemodynamic instability. Effective treatments included vagal maneuvers, pharmacologic antiarrhythmics, or no treatment if the event resolved spontaneously before treatment. Six patients had outpatient follow-up and three received antiarrhythmic medications to control ongoing SVT.

E-mail address: litmanr@email.chop.edu (R.S. Litman).

^aPerelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

^bDepartment of Anesthesiology & Critical Care, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

^cDivision of Pediatric Cardiology, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

^dDepartment of Anesthesiology, The Children's Hospital of Colorado, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

^eDepartment of Emergency Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

r The study took place at The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Philadelphia, PA.

Presented in part at the Annual Meeting of the Society for Pediatric Anesthesia, Chicago, Oct. 13, 2006.

[★] Supported by departmental funding only.

^{*} Correspondence: Ronald S. Litman, DO, Department of Anesthesiology & Critical Care, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 34th St. and Civic Center Blvd., Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA.

258 C.C. Cripe et al.

Conclusions: SVT during the intraoperative period in noncardiac pediatric patients was uncommon. When it occurred, it was not associated with clinically significant patient morbidity. For some patients, the anesthesia unmasked a predisposition for re-entrant SVT and those patients remained on maintenance antiarrhythmic therapy following discharge home.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Arrhythmias occasionally occur during pediatric anesthesia. When halothane was used as the primary inhalational agent for pediatric anesthesia, approximately 5% of anesthetized children developed intraoperative arrhythmias [1]. Halothane sensitizes the heart to catecholamines and, especially in the presence of hypercapnia, demonstrates proarrhythmic properties that are predominantly ventricular in origin [2]. Since the widespread adoption of sevoflurane for inhaled induction in children, and desflurane for maintenance of general anesthesia, there has been the occasional development of supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) in previously healthy, anesthetized children.

The aim of this study was to perform a qualitative analysis of patients who developed episodes thought to be consistent with SVT during administration of general anesthesia, since the time that halothane was discontinued in 1998. The primary goal of the analysis was to determine the characteristics of these patients, determine possible causes of the tachycardic episodes, and investigate their short-term and long-term outcomes, including treatment. A secondary goal was to determine the reliability of the diagnosis provided by the anesthetic care team at the time of the episode by comparing the clinical circumstances with the classic diagnostic criteria for pediatric SVT.

2. Materials and methods

Permission was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Stokes Research Institute of The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia to screen all anesthetic records of noncardiac patients who received general anesthesia from June 1998 through June 2011, to find episodes of tachycardia labeled by the anesthesia care team as "SVT". Requirement for written consent was waived by the IRB.

The reviewed data were contained in an electronic anesthetic record database (CompuRecord; Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA, USA) that captures all anesthetic information, vital signs every 15 seconds, and any recorded free-text. Patients believed to have had an intraoperative SVT episode were identified by searching for: 1) patients with heart rate (HR) values above 180 beats per minute; 2) relevant administered medications (eg, adenosine, esmolol, labetalol, propranolol, lidocaine, and amiodarone); or

3) keywords from free-text narrative events suggestive of SVT during the anesthetic (including misspellings) that included the terms "SVT", "PSVT", "supraventricular", "arrhythmia", "dysrhythmia", "fib", "fibrillation", "flutter", "ice", "tachycardia", "vagal maneuver" and "Valsalva". All records with any one of these three criteria were included for screening analysis.

For each SVT anesthetic record identified, the perioperative records were examined in detail to obtain demographic information, medical diagnosis and comorbidities, surgical procedure, anesthetic agents, description of event, treatment, consequences of event, and outcomes on any subsequent follow-up appointments. At least two investigators, including one pediatric cardiac anesthesiologist and one pediatric cardiologist, reviewed the anesthetic and inpatient medical records of each included patient to further categorize the events and determine the likelihood of the diagnosis.

A qualitative analysis was performed by examining the clinical features of all reported SVT patients and assigning to each a diagnosis classification of "highly suspicious" or "unlikely". Characteristics of "highly suspicious" SVT events included a clearly documented and sudden increase in HR above baseline levels, and clear evidence of termination of the event with vagal or pharmacologic treatment, or by withdrawal of an inciting physical stimulus such as a central line catheter or guidewire. Further evidence accumulated after the intraoperative phase of the event that could substantiate a diagnosis classification included capturing the SVT on a 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) and diagnostic opinions of the consulting pediatric cardiologist, if obtained. Statistical analyses of the results are solely descriptive.

3. Results

Of 285,353 total anesthetics in noncardiac patients over the study period, 36 anesthetic records contained the indication of a possible SVT. Of these, 22 patients (age \pm SD = 6.6 \pm 5.56) met the criteria for a "highly suspicious" event (Table 1). Five of these highly suspicious episodes were later confirmed by 12-lead ECG. For some of these "highly suspicious" events, the precise cause of the SVT episode could not be discerned. However, 4 of these patients had central line catheter or wire manipulation sometime (three immediately) prior to developing SVT, but no such preceding event was noted in the remaining 18 patients. One

| Subject | Age (yrs) | | Diagnosis | | Procedure | | Anesthetic during even |
|---------|--------------|---|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| 1 | 9 | 28 wks premature, RAD | Leg lengtl | h discrepancy | Tibia & fibula osteotom | ies | Desflurane |
| 2 | 4 | None | Appendic | | Laparoscopic appendect | omy | Sevoflurane |
| 3 | 9 | None | Appendic | | Laparoscopic appendect | | Desflurane |
| 4 | < 1 | Prematurity, RAD, anemia | Bowel ob | | Exploratory laparotomy | | Desflurane |
| 5 | 12 | Depression | Ulnar fracture | | ORIF | | Desflurane |
| 6 | 8 | None | Leukemia | | Central line placement | | Desflurane |
| 7 | < 1 | None | Pyloric ste | enosis | Laparoscopic pyloromy | otomy | Sevoflurane |
| 8 | 15 | None | • | nediastinal mass | Resection mass | , , , | Desflurane |
| 9 | 12 | Prematurity, possible | Hypertrop | hic scar | Scar revision | | Desflurane |
| | | arrhythmia in infancy | Jr · · · r | | | | |
| 10 | 2 | Prematurity, BPD, GERD, developmental delay | Neuroblas | stoma | Central catheter placeme | ent | Desflurane |
| 11 | 5 | Hearing loss | OSA | | T&A | | Isoflurane |
| 12 | 3 | OSA | Ureteral re | eflux | Ureteral reimplant | | Desflurane |
| 13 | 13 | None | Femur fra | cture | Intramedullary nail | | Desflurane |
| 14 | 13 | Previous volvulus repair | Small boy | vel obstruction | Exploratory laparotomy | | Desflurane |
| 15 | 5 | None | Tonsil hy | | T&A | | Isoflurane |
| 16 | < 1 | None | Hyperinsu | | Broviac placement | | Isoflurane |
| 17 | 3 | Chronic nausea, hydronephrosis | Neuroblas | | Central line placement | | Desflurane |
| 18 | 14 | Diabetes | Hepatic fa | ilure | Liver transplant | | Isoflurane |
| 19 | < 1 | Tachypnea, anemia | Hyperinsu | | Pancreatectomy | | Desflurane |
| 20 | < 1 | None | Pyloric ste | | Laparoscopic pyloromy | otomy | Desflurane |
| 21 | 16 | RAD | Biliary dy | | Laparoscopic cholecyste | | Desflurane |
| 22 | 3 | None | Tonsil hy | | T&A | | Desflurane |
| Subject | Age | Event characteristics | | Treatment | Consequences of event | Follo | w un |
| | (yrs) | Event characteristics | | Treatment | Consequences of event | Tollo | w up |
| 1 | 9 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to 194 during procedure | | None | None | None | |
| 2 | 4 | 1 1 | | Carotid | Planned admission | Lost 1 | to follow-up |
| | | 213 bpm at induction | | massage | converted to | | |
| | | | | | telemetry unit | | |
| 3 | 9 | Abrupt HR increase to 220 bpm la | sting two | Adenosine | Planned admission | Lost 1 | to follow-up |
| | | min at end of procedure | | | converted to | | |
| | | | | | telemetry unit | | |
| 4 | < 1 | Abrupt atrial flutter, HR to 240 bpm | n during | Placement of | Telemetry during | None | |
| | | procedure, maybe related to catheter placement | | esophageal echocardiogram probe | planned admission to ICU | | |
| 5 | 12 | Increase in HR to 140 bpm lasting 30 |) min. | Adenosine | Procedure canceled, | SVT | on Holter |
| | | 20 min after intubation, before start of | | 114011001110 | unplanned telemetry | | or as outpt, the |
| | | 20 mm area macadon, octore start o | or procedure | | admission | | follow up. |
| 6 | 8 | 8 Abrupt HR increase to 200 bpm, unrelated to | | Unspecified | None | None | • |
| O . | 0 | ine placement | | vagal maneuvers | None | None | |
| 7 | < 1 | Multiple, abrupt HR increases to 2 | 20 hpm | Adenosine, | Planned admission | Follow | wed by |
| , | ` 1 | lasting two min before intubation of | | esmolol | converted to | | ology, given |
| | | extubation | | 551110101 | telemetry unit | | ol and flecainid |
| 8 | 15 | Abrupt on/off HR increase from 80 | to 160 hpm | Phenylephrine | None None | None | |
| J | 13 | during procedure | w roo opin | 1 nenytepititie | TAOHC | None | |
| 9 | 12 | Abrupt HR increase to 175 bpm la | etina | Carotid | None | None | |
| , | 12 | one min at induction | isting | | TAOHE | None | |
| 10 | 2 | | | massage Caratid | None | Non- | |
| 10 | 2 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to | | Carotid | None | None | |
| 10 | | 214 bpm during wire placement | | massage | 110110 | TVOILE | |

260 C.C. Cripe et al.

| Subject | Age (yrs) | Event characteristics | Treatment | Consequences of event | Follow up |
|---------|--------------|--|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 11 | 5 | Two episodes of abrupt HR increases to 210 bpm during procedure; SVT confirmed by 12-lead ECG in PACU | Propranolol | Unplanned admission to telemetry | None |
| 12 | 3 | Multiple abrupt on/off HR 230 bpm greater than 30 sec, after extubation; SVT confirmed by 12-lead ECG in PACU | Adenosine in PACU | Planned admission converted to telemetry unit | Lost to follow-up |
| 13 | 13 | Abrupt onset HR 180 bpm, unresponsive to carotid massage, labetalol, esmolol at end of procedure | Two doses of adenosine | Planned admission converted to telemetry unit | Lost to follow-up |
| 14 | 13 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to 220 bpm during procedure; SVT confirmed by 12-lead ECG; normal echocardiogram | Adenosine | Telemetry during planned admission to ICU | None |
| 15 | 5 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to 210 bpm during procedure | None | Unplanned admission to telemetry | Outpt Holter normal, no treatment |
| 16 | < 1 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to 207 bpm during line placement | Carotid massage | None | None |
| 17 | 3 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to 220 bpm during procedure; junctional ectopic tachycardia confirmed by 12-lead ECG | Adenosine | Telemetry during planned admission to ICU | Outpt Holter normal, no treatment |
| 18 | 14 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to 190 bpm near end of case, twice | Carotid massage | None | None |
| 19 | < 1 | HR increase to 220 bpm at end of case, with abrupt decrease | Unspecified vagal maneuvers | None | None |
| 20 | < 1 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to 220 bpm during induction; SVT confirmed by 12-lead ECG | Unspecified vagal maneuvers | Planned admission converted to telemetry unit | SVT on outpt Holter, digoxin |
| 21 | 16 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to 186 bpm during case, twice | Adenosine | Planned admission converted to telemetry unit | Lost to follow-up |
| 22 | 3 | Abrupt on/off HR increase to 215 bpm at induction and emergence; procedure canceled | Unspecified vagal maneuvers | Unplanned admission to telemetry | SVT on outpt Holter, nadolol |

HR=heart rate, bpm=beats per minute, ICU=intensive care unit, outpt=outpatient, ECG=electrocardiograph, PACU=Postanesthesia Care Unit.

* RAD=reactive airway disease, ORIF=open reduction & internal fixation, BPD=bronchopulmonary dysplasia, GERD=gastroesophageal reflux disease, OSA=obstructive sleep apnea, T&A=adenotonsillectomy.

child with SVT after induction had their procedure canceled; however, during the rescheduled procedure two days later, the patient continued to demonstrate intermittent SVT that was hemodynamically stable and did not require additional treatment.

The characteristics of the anesthetics in the highly suspicious SVT patients were similar to the typical case volume (Table 2). The SVT events did not appear to predominantly occur in any particular phase of anesthesia, but it appeared that some of the events were temporally related to some type of physical stimulus, such as tracheal intubation or surgical manipulation.

Treatments of SVT included vagal maneuvers (n=12), and pharmacologic antiarrhythmics (n=11). Some children had more than one attempted treatment. Two patients had termination of the suspected SVT event without any treatment. In the 12 patients who initially were treated with

a vagal maneuver, 9 successfully converted the SVT to sinus rhythm. Six of the 12 vagal maneuvers were described as carotid massage; the others were not specifically documented on the anesthetic record. Adenosine was the first-line medication in 8 patients, one of whom required a second dose. One child with suspected SVT that not resolve with administration of adenosine had resolution by insertion of a transesophageal echocardiography probe. Other medications used to convert SVT included esmolol, phenylephrine, and propranolol; one additional child received digoxin postoperatively in the intensive care unit (ICU).

In 8 patients with highly suspicious SVT, no change was noted in their postoperative disposition. However, 14 had escalation of care: 7 patients required an admission change from a regular inpatient unit to a telemetry unit; three patients who were originally planned for same-day discharge were admitted to a telemetry unit; and three patients who were originally

Table 2 Anesthetic characteristics of patients with highly suspicious supraventricular tachycardia (SVT)

| Primary surgical service | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----|
| | General surgery | 13 |
| | Orthopedics | 3 |
| | Otorhinolaryngology | 3 |
| | Urology | 1 |
| | Plastics | 1 |
| | Transplant | 1 |
| SVT event occurrence * | - | |
| | induction | 6 |
| | maintenance | 13 |
| | emergence | 4 |
| Induction agent | | |
| | sevoflurane | 10 |
| | thiopental sodium | 7 |
| | propofol | 4 |
| | fentanyl | 1 |
| primary anesthetic | | |
| during SVT episode | | |
| | desflurane | 16 |
| | isoflurane | 4 |
| | sevoflurane | 2 |

planned for ICII admission were switched to a telemetry ICII

planned for ICU admission were switched to a telemetry ICU bed. Three patients were discharged taking oral antiarrhythmic medications as a result of continuing postoperative SVT.

At hospital discharge, 11 patients were not recommended to have follow-up by a cardiologist. Of the 11 patients who were recommended to have outpatient follow-up with a cardiologist, 6 were lost to follow-up (one of whom demonstrated SVT on Holter monitoring), two showed no abnormalities on Holter monitoring, and three demonstrated SVT on a Holter monitor and were continued on antiarrhythmic medications. No patient was subsequently found to have any other type of inherent cardiac abnormality.

4. Discussion

On review of all anesthetic records of children anesthetized in the post-halothane era, we found 36 children without known heart disease who were suspected of developing SVT during general anesthesia. Detailed qualitative analysis showed that 22 of these events were "highly suspicious" of a reentrant type of SVT. None of these patients had known preexisting cardiac disease, and none had clinically important sequelae from the SVT during their hospitalization. Three patients were started on pharmacologic antiarrhythmics before hospital discharge, and remained on medication because they continued to demonstrate episodes of SVT on Holter monitoring. There were no unique identifiable patient characteristics or anesthetic agents that were associated with the development of SVT; however, the anesthetic records of some patients indicated that the SVT episodes were temporally related to

some type of physical stimulation such as tracheal intubation, guidewire manipulation, or surgical stimulus.

Treatments that successfully terminated the SVT events included vagal maneuvers and antiarrhythmic medications; in some cases there was spontaneous resolution of the event. In the two cases of highly suspicious SVT that resolved without treatment, it was the suddenness and degree to which HR increased and decreased that convinced the investigators that the diagnosis was accurate. Vagal maneuvers consisting primarily of carotid massage appeared to be successful in most cases in which it was attempted as the first treatment method. (This mechanism might be implicated in our patient with SVT, which terminated during insertion of the echocardiography probe.) Increasing vagal tone slows conduction through the AV node [3]. Physical maneuvers that increase vagal tone and terminate SVT include carotid massage, Valsalva maneuver, and application of ice to the face [4]. The comparative efficacy and complications of these different methods have not been studied in anesthetized pediatric patients.

Adenosine was used as the primary pharmacologic treatment in most cases, in accordance with Pediatric Advanced Life Support (PALS) guidelines [5]. Adenosine 0.1 mg/kg given via rapid intravenous bolus is recommended as a first-line pharmacologic treatment of SVT and has terminated SVT in anesthetized children [6]. Additional medications that terminate SVT in the literature and were used in our patients included phenylephrine, esmolol, and propranolol [7]. Amiodarone and digoxin are also successful in the termination of resistant SVT [8].

Digoxin and calcium channel blockers should be used with caution. Digoxin should be avoided if there exists the possibility of Wolff-Parkinson-White (WPW) syndrome. Atrioventricular (AV) node blockade in the setting of an atrial arrhythmia with WPW may allow for rapid conduction down the accessory pathway and result in ventricular fibrillation. Although verapamil can effectively treat pediatric SVT, its use in neonates has been associated with hemodynamic decompensation [9].

A unique limitation of this case series was the difficulty of determining in hindsight whether the patient's tachycardia represented a true reentrant SVT. Supraventricular tachycardia is a broad term used to identify a tachyarrhythmia of supraventricular origin that is often indistinguishable from sinus tachycardia on a surface ECG (Table 3). A diagnosis of sinus tachycardia is based on the morphology of the P waves, age-specific HR values, and the clinical situation during presentation. Sinus tachycardia is characterized by upright P waves in leads I, II, and aVF followed by a QRS complex with a rate-appropriate PR interval (ie, PR interval shortens as HR accelerates). Sinus tachycardia occurs commonly in the operating room during induction of general anesthesia and tracheal intubation, and at times of heightened surgical stimulation or pain. Although age-related limits of normal HRs in children exist, it is difficult to extrapolate these data to the anesthetized child. In the cases reported here, we

262 C.C. Cripe et al.

| Table 3 Supraventricular tachycardias classified by origin | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Origin | Classification | Lead II P wave ECG findings | Clinical characteristics | |
| Sinus node | | | | |
| | Sinus tachycardia | Upright | Heart rate (HR): infants < 220 bpm; children <180 bpm; varies during general anesthesia | |
| Atrium | | | | |
| | Atrial fibrillation | Variable fibrillation waves | Irregular rhythm, variable rate | |
| | Atrial flutter | Saw tooth flutter waves | Regular QRS, rate depends on degree of block (2:1, 3:1) | |
| | Ectopic atrial tachycardia | Rapid single morphology | Variation in HR 120-30 bpm, may have | |
| | (EAT) | P wave different from sinus, may not be visible | slow on/off period | |
| | Multifocal atrial | Rapid variable morphology | Variation in HR 120-300 bpm, may have | |
| | tachycardia (MAT) | P wave different than sinus, may not be visible | slow on/off period | |
| AV junction | | | | |
| J | AV reentrant tachycardia (AVRT) | Retrograde P wave following the QRS | Regular HR 150–300 bpm, abrupt on/off period, respond to vagal maneuver/drugs | |
| | AV nodal reentrant tachycardia (AVNRT) | Downward facing P wave following QRS, may not be visible | Regular HR 150–300 bpm, abrupt on/off period, respond to vagal maneuver/drugs | |
| | Junctional ectopic tachycardia | No visible P waves or P waves not associated with QRS | Variation in HR 120–250 bpm, may have slow on/off period | |

assumed that a presumptive diagnosis of SVT was made by the anesthesia care team based on the suddenness of the tachycardia and the highest rate achieved. On retrospective review, cases felt to be unlikely or of low suspicion for SVT often had documentation of age-appropriate HR values; in most cases, the high HR values seemed to increase over the course of several minutes in the face of an explainable catecholamine surge, and then gradually resolved. In our highly suspicious SVT patients, the diagnosis was later confirmed by ECG in some, but most remained highly suspicious based on the absolute rate attained, the suddenness of appearance, and the disappearance with traditional treatment methods.

Although SVT is commonly used to describe either AV reentrant tachycardia (AVRT) or the AV nodal reentry type of tachycardia (AVNRT), it is often used to label multiple arrhythmias originating from above the ventricles. These also include atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, ectopic atrial tachycardia (EAT), multifocal atrial tachycardia (MAT), and junctional ectopic tachycardia (Table 3). Atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter may be diagnosed using lead II of the surface ECG. Atrial fibrillation has a characteristically irregular R-to-R distance and noted fibrillation waves. This arrhythmia is rare in healthy children, although it may occur acutely with wire stimulation or in situations of atrial dilatation. Atrial flutter has a distinctive "saw tooth" P-wave pattern on lead II of a surface ECG. None of our reported cases resembled atrial fibrillation or flutter. Ectopic atrial tachycardia and MAT are rarely seen in healthy pediatric patients, and most often after cardiac surgery. Ectopic atrial tachycardia is the result of an increased automaticity of a non-sinus atrial focus, or multiple foci in the case of MAT. Similarly, in junctional ectopic tachycardia the focus is often high in the AV node.

These arrhythmias can be difficult to distinguish from other forms of SVT on a surface ECG in lead II alone. The *P*-wave of EAT is a different configuration from that seen in sinus tachycardia. There may be evidence of varying degrees of AV block, as with atrial flutter. The P-wave of MAT may have a varying morphology. Ectopic atrial tachycardia and MAT often have gradual onset and offset which, along with the rate, can help distinguish them from other forms of SVT. Ectopic atrial tachycardia is classified as MAT if there is more than one focus that can be seen as multiple P-wave morphologies. These arrhythmias are diagnoses of exclusion; failure of vagal maneuvers or of adenosine to terminate the SVT, slower onset, and the clinical scenario assist in diagnosing these arrhythmias.

In otherwise healthy children, AVRT or AVNRT account for most episodes of SVT. In an attempt to determine the true nature of each SVT event, we considered a variety of criteria that increased or decreased our suspicion for one of these types. These criteria included a sudden on-off appearance, HR above age-appropriate normal limits, and termination of the event with a vagal maneuver or administration of a pharmacologic agent.

Additional limitations of this study, which are common to all retrospective reviews, are errors of data reporting and collection. Potential cases of SVT were identified using a query of electronic medical records for terms in narrative description or medications that might have been used to treat SVT. This methodology may have missed some cases of unrecognized SVT with HRs within the limits that are considered normal; or it may have missed suspected SVT cases that did not have any of the matching criteria within the data fields of the electronic record.

While sevoflurane may have fewer proarrhythmic properties than other volatile anesthetics, isolated cases of

sevoflurane-associated arrhythmias have been reported. These reports are suggestive of a tachyarrhythmia of supraventricular origin or the prolongation of the QT interval, as opposed to those of ventricular origin seen with halothane [10–13].

In conclusion, most cases of intraoperative SVT were transient and responded to vagal maneuvers or antiarrhythmic medications. However, some children demonstrated for the first time a propensity for SVT, and were discharged home receiving maintenance antiarrhythmic therapy.

In Memoriam

This article is dedicated to the memory of Chad Cripe, who recently passed away at the age of 45 after a year-long battle with brain cancer. Chad was an exceptionally gifted CRNA, who then went on to medical school later in life. While balancing the responsibilities of school and three young children, Chad graduated from Hahnemann Medical College, the University of Pennsylvania Anesthesiology Residency, and a fellowship in Pediatric Cardiac Anesthesia at The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, where he remained as a faculty member for several years. Chad was a rising academic and clinical star who always kept his sense of humanity while caring for the sickest patients. We will miss him dearly.

References

 Cohen MM, Cameron CB, Duncan PG. Pediatric anesthesia morbidity and mortality in the perioperative period. Anesth Analg 1990;70:160-7.

- [2] Rolf N, Cote CJ. Persistent cardiac arrhythmias in pediatric patients: effects of age, expired carbon dioxide values, depth of anesthesia, and airway management. Anesth Analg 1991;73:720-4.
- [3] Müller G, Deal BJ, Benson DW Jr. "Vagal maneuvers" and adenosine for termination of atrioventricular reentrant tachycardia. Am J Cardiol 1994;74:500-3.
- [4] Bisset GS 3rd, Gaum W, Kaplan S. The ice bag: a new technique for interruption of supraventricular tachycardia. J Pediatr 1980;97:593-5.
- [5] Kleinman ME, de Caen AR, Chameides L, et al; Pediatric Basic and Advanced Life Support Chapter Collaborators. Part 10: Pediatric basic and advanced life support: 2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. Circulation 2010;122(16 Suppl 2):S466-515.
- [6] Litman RS, Keon TP, Campbell FW. Termination of supraventricular tachycardia with adenosine in a healthy child undergoing anesthesia. Anesth Analg 1991;73:665-7.
- [7] Garson A Jr, Gillette PC, McNamara DG. Supraventricular tachycardia in children: clinical features, response to treatment, and long-term follow-up in 217 patients. J Pediatr 1981;98:875-82.
- [8] Dilber E, Mutlu M, Dilber B, Aslan Y, Gedik Y, Celiker A. Intravenous amiodarone used alone or in combination with digoxin for life-threatening supraventricular tachyarrhythmia in neonates and small infants. Pediatr Emerg Care 2010;26:82-4.
- [9] Epstein ML, Kiel EA, Victorica BE. Cardiac decompensation following verapamil therapy in infants with supraventricular tachycardia. Pediatrics 1985;75:737-40.
- [10] Joas TA, Stevens WC. Comparison of the arrhythmic doses of epinephrine during Forane, halothane, and fluroxene anesthesia in dogs. Anesthesiology 1971;35:48-53.
- [11] Regueiro-Purriños M, Fernández-Vázquez F, de Prado AP, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias and mortality associated with isoflurane and sevoflurane in a porcine model of myocardial infarction. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci 2011;50:73-8.
- [12] Blayney MR, Malins AF, Cooper GM. Cardiac arrhythmias in children during outpatient general anaesthesia for dentistry: a prospective randomised trial. Lancet 1999;354(9193):1864-6.
- [13] Zhang X, Firth PG. Third degree atrio-ventricular block in a 4-year-old child during sevoflurane anesthesia. Paediatr Anaesth 2008;18:1217-8.