

## Are there habitat salinity markers of the Sr:Ca ratio in the otolith of wild diadromous fishes? A literature survey

Jian Yang · Tao Jiang · Hongbo Liu

Received: 2 July 2010 / Revised: 30 March 2011 / Accepted: 7 April 2011 / Published online: 12 May 2011  
© The Ichthyological Society of Japan 2011

Diadromous fishes may inhabit quite different water environments during their different life history stages. However, it is very difficult to precisely monitor these spatial and temporal dynamics because of the limitations of the most current research methods (e.g., catch analysis, biotelemetry, tagging). The basic water environments for fish can be categorized according to their salinity: fresh water, brackish water or seawater. The otoliths are calcified structures primarily composed of calcium carbonate (>90%) and located within the fish inner ear. They can be utilized as environmental markers because of their chemical properties such as the chronological signature in its concentric rings. The ambient environmental scalars and other characteristics in their isotopic and elemental composition (like a fingerprint) remain unmetabolized after deposition; they are derived from the habitat water, and the complete preservation of both spatial and temporal information in their aragonite mineralogy (Secor and Rooker 2000; Thorrold et al. 2001). Otolith microchemistry of trace elements is proving to be a powerful tool in reconstructing the migration chronology of diadromous teleosts in fresh water, estuarine and saltwater habitats (Campana 1999). Teleost fishes have three pairs of otoliths—the sagittae, lapilli and asterisci. The sagittae are usually chosen for element analysis because they are the largest of the three pairs. More recent studies have concentrated efforts on a smaller number of elements, including

Ca, Sr, Mg, Mn, Ba and Pb (Thorrold and Swearer 2009). These elements, which are assimilated from the ambient water, accumulate in the body of the fish, including hard tissues including the otoliths. The otolith Sr:Ca ratio of concentrations has been especially used to describe diadromous migrations. Interestingly, Farrell and Campana (1996) reared Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in fresh water and artificially enhanced Sr:Ca conditions to compare the incorporation of Sr and Ca from radioisotope-labeled food and water. Strontium and Ca in the otoliths were primarily derived from water (through gill uptake), with 75% of Ca and 88% of Sr derived from water rather than from food. Similarly, 83% of Sr in otoliths was derived from the surrounding water in marine species (Walther and Thorrold 2006). Consequently, patterns of Sr/Ca variability in fish otoliths have been widely applied as tracers of movement between freshwater and marine habitats, with a direct relationship between Sr/Ca in the otoliths and the water, across a range of estuarine salinities (Kraus and Secor 2004a). However, because all of the validation otolith Sr:Ca ratios in concentration studies to date have been derived separately by different authors even using different analytical approaches, e.g., EPMA, LA-ICPMS, PIXE and ion microprobe, it is difficult to assess the applicability of these varying Sr:Ca ratio results for other fish species, and, moreover, if these Sr:Ca ratio data can be summarized to a similar tendency for the habitats of freshwater, brackish water or seawater for diadromous fishes. As a result, interspecific variation in Sr incorporation can only be surmised based on comparisons of published studies. To fill the gap, in this study we reviewed the Sr:Ca ratios of diadromous species reported in the literature so far.

Fresh water, brackish water and seawater present significantly different salinity regimes. Secor and Rooker (2000) summarized that the regimes for freshwater,

J. Yang (✉) · H. Liu  
Key Laboratory of Ecological Environment and Resources  
of Inland Fisheries, Freshwater Fisheries Research Center,  
Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Wuxi 214081, China  
e-mail: jiany@ffrc.cn

T. Jiang  
College of Fisheries and Life Science, Shanghai Ocean  
University, Shanghai 201306, China

**Table 1** Review of mean Sr:Ca  $\times$  1,000 data in the freshwater, estuarine (brackish water) and seawater habitat phases of otoliths for diadromous fishes (weight percent ratio)

| Species   | Order             | Freshwater | Brackish water | Seawater | Analytical method | Reference                                      |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|--|
| <i>Coilia mystus</i>                                | Clupeiformes      |            |                | 8.1      | EPMA              | Yang et al. (2006)                             |
| <i>Coilia nasus</i>                                 | Clupeiformes      | 1.7        | 4.3            |          | EPMA              | Yang et al. (2006)                             |
| <i>Coilia nasus</i>                                 | Clupeiformes      | 1.6        |                |          | EPMA              | Yang et al. (2006)                             |
| <i>Anchoa mitchilli</i>                             | Clupeiformes      |            |                | 7.4      | EPMA              | Secor and Rooker (2000)                        |
| <i>Anchoa mitchilli</i>                             | Clupeiformes      | 1.7        | 5.4            |          | EPMA              | Kimura et al. (2000)                           |
| <i>Anchoa mitchilli</i>                             | Clupeiformes      | 1.3        |                |          |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Anguilla japonica</i>                            | Anguilliformes    | 2.5        | 5              | 6        | EPMA              | Tsukamoto and Arai (2001)                      |
| <i>Anguilla australis</i> ; <i>A. dieffenbachii</i> | Anguilliformes    | 2.5        |                | 6        | EPMA              | Arai et al. (2004a)                            |
| <i>Anguilla japonica</i>                            | Anguilliformes    |            |                | 6        | EPMA              | Tzeng et al. (2003)                            |
| <i>Anguilla rostrata</i>                            | Anguilliformes    |            | 5              |          | EPMA              | Jessop et al. (2002)                           |
| <i>Anguilla japonica</i>                            | Anguilliformes    |            |                | 5.1      | EPMA              | Tzeng et al. (2002)                            |
| <i>Anguilla japonica</i>                            | Anguilliformes    |            |                | 6.57     | EPMA              | Tzeng (1996)                                   |
| <i>Anguilla anguilla</i>                            | Anguilliformes    |            |                | 3.3      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Anguilla rostrata</i>                            | Anguilliformes    | 0.7        |                | 5.9      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Pogonias cromis</i>                              | Perciformes       | 5.1        |                | 6.7      | EPMA              | Rooker et al. (2004)                           |
| <i>Morone americana</i>                             | Perciformes       | 2.5        |                |          | EPMA              | Kraus and Secor (2004b)                        |
| <i>Morone americana</i>                             | Perciformes       | 3.2        | 6.7            |          | EPMA              | Kraus and Secor (2004b)                        |
| <i>Stizostedion lucioperca</i>                      | Perciformes       | 1          |                |          | EPMA              | Kafemann et al. (2000)                         |
| <i>Arripis trutta</i>                               | Perciformes       |            |                | 4.6      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Trachurus declivis</i>                           | Perciformes       |            |                | 11.4     |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Nemadactylus macropterus</i>                     | Perciformes       |            |                | 6.4      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Pseudolabrus tetricus</i>                        | Perciformes       |            |                | 8.5      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Acanthopagrus butcheri</i>                       | Perciformes       |            |                | 12       |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Thyrstites atun</i>                              | Perciformes       |            |                | 7.2      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Thunnus thynnus thnnus</i>                       | Perciformes       | 2.4        |                |          |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Nemadactylus macropterus</i>                     | Perciformes       |            |                | 5.7      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Thunnus maccoyii</i>                             | Perciformes       |            |                | 3.9      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Morone saxatilis</i>                             | Perciformes       |            | 5              | 10.5     |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Morone americana</i>                             | Perciformes       | 2.8        | 6.8            | 7.4      | EPMA              | Kerr et al. (2007)                             |
| <i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>                       | Gasterosteiformes |            | 5.6            |          | EPMA              | Arai et al. (2003a)                            |
| <i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>                       | Gasterosteiformes | 0.96       |                |          | EPMA              | Arai et al. (2003a)                            |
| <i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>                       | Gasterosteiformes | 2.1        |                |          | EPMA              | Arai et al. (2003a)                            |
| <i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>                       | Gasterosteiformes | 0.85       |                |          | EPMA              | Arai et al. (2003a)                            |
| <i>Salvelinus leucomaenis</i>                       | Salmoniformes     | 3          |                | 6        | EPMA              | Arai and Morita (2005)                         |
| <i>Salangichthys microdon</i>                       | Salmoniformes     | 7.6        |                | 26.9     | EPMA              | Arai et al. (2003b)                            |
| <i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>                     | Salmoniformes     | 2.5        | 5.9            | 19.2     | Ion microprobe    | Bacon et al. (2004)                            |
| <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>                          | Salmoniformes     |            | 4.4            |          | EPMA              | Zimmerman and Reeves (2000)                    |
| <i>Hucho perryi</i>                                 | Salmoniformes     | 4.6        |                | 6.36     | EPMA              | Arai et al. (2004b)                            |
| <i>Hucho perryi</i>                                 | Salmoniformes     | 2.96       |                |          | EPMA              | Arai et al. (2004b)                            |
| <i>Hypomesus nipponensis</i>                        | Salmoniformes     | 4.21       |                | 6.94     | EPMA              | Katayama et al. (2007)                         |
| <i>Salmo trutta</i>                                 | Salmoniformes     |            |                | 6.8      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>                          | Salmoniformes     | 1.8        |                | 6.8      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Mugil cephalus</i>                               | Mugiliformes      | 3          |                | 7        | EPMA              | Chang et al. (2004)                            |
| <i>Abramis brama</i>                                | Cypriniformes     | 3.6        |                |          | EPMA              | Kafemann et al. (2000)                         |
| <i>Gadus morhua</i>                                 | Gadiformes        | 2          |                |          |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Helicolenus papillosus</i>                       | Scorpaeniformes   |            |                | 9.2      |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |

**Table 1** continued

| Species                          | Order           | Freshwater    | Brackish water | Seawater      | Analytical method | Reference                                      |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|--|
| <i>Platycephalus bassensis</i>   | Scorpaeniformes |               |                | 6.1           |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Neosebastes scorpaenoides</i> | Scorpaeniformes |               |                | 8.5           |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Hoplostethus atlanticus</i>   | Beryciformes    |               |                | 8.1           |                   | Summarized by Secor et al. (1995) <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Galaxias argenteus</i>        | Osmeriformes    |               |                | 15            | PIXE              | David et al. (2004)                            |
| <i>Osmerus mordax</i>            | Osmeriformes    | 3.8           | 7.8            | 11            | LA-ICP-MS         | Bradbury et al. (2008)                         |
| Mean $\pm$ SD                    |                 | 2.7 $\pm$ 1.5 | 5.6 $\pm$ 1.1  | 8.3 $\pm$ 4.5 |                   |  |

<sup>a</sup> The Sr:Ca  $\times$  1,000 data summarized by Secor et al. (1995) in this table are converted from molar ratio basis

estuarine and marine are 0–5, 5–25 and >25 ppt, respectively. In another study, Kraus and Secor (2004b) documented that the salinity of freshwater and oligohaline brackish habitats was <3 and 3–15 ppt, respectively, in the Patuxent River estuary, Maryland, USA. Surge and Lohmann (2002) believed that 34 ppt is a normal marine salinity. Although Sr uptake in otoliths of diadromous fish may be influenced to some degree by temperature, growth rate, age, diet and stress, the salinity of the ambient water is the most consistent and prominent factor influencing Sr uptake and may often mask the effects of other factors. Temperature and growth rate seem not to be major factors; likewise, age, stress and diet are likely unimportant (Howland et al. 2001). Actually, positive functional relationships between the otolith Sr:Ca ratio and habitat salinity were reported by Secor et al. (1995) in Japanese eel, by Tzeng (1996) in *Anguilla japonica* and by Zenitani et al. (2003) in *Engraulis japonicas*. In contrast, the relationship between Sr:Ca ratio and temperature has been reported as either negative, positive, non-existent (Pontual and Geffen 2004) or inconsistent (Kawakami et al. 1998; Pontual and Geffen 2004; Yang et al. 2006). In the up to date literature, we found that the mean Sr:Ca ratios (i.e., Sr:Ca  $\times$  1,000) of the 37 teleosts were highly variable, and most of them belonged to Clupeiformes, Salmoniformes, Anguilliformes, Mugiliformes, Perciformes, Gasterosteiformes, Cypriniformes, Gadiformes, Scorpaeniformes, Beryciformes and Osmeriformes. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that the values of Sr:Ca  $\times$  1,000 are significantly different between the certain concentric zones in otoliths, reflecting the freshwater (mean  $\pm$  SD, 2.7  $\pm$  1.5), brackish water (5.6  $\pm$  1.1) and seawater habitat (8.3  $\pm$  4.5) phases of these aforementioned fishes (Kruskal-Wallis  $H$  test,  $P < 0.01$ ; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) (Table 1). Similarly, Secor and Rooker (2000) once reported a significant difference ( $P < 0.01$ ) in Sr:Ca  $\times$  1,000 among fish taxa collected from three different salinity regimes: freshwater 0.9, estuarine 2.3 and marine 3.4. The results of both Secor and Rooker (2000) and ours suggest that Sr:Ca  $\times$  1,000 among fishes from freshwater, brackish and sea habitats must be a

significant difference, although Sr:Ca ratio studies to date have been conducted separately by different authors even using different analytical methods with known differences in performance from each other (Campana et al. 1997; Pontual and Geffen 2004). Noteworthy, the summarized Sr:Ca ratio results by Secor and Rooker (2000) were much lower than ours. In accordance with many recent studies, including our own (Table 1), the former seems to be too low, probably because limited literature was available at that time. Actually, research on otolith chemistry (including Sr, Ca) has increased quickly since the 2000s. Campana (2005) indicated that the papers on otoliths on annual age and growth accounted for 80% of all otolith papers before 1999, but then the portion dropped to 40%, while those of otolith chemistry, microstructure and non-aging application each accounted for 15–20% of the total between 1999 and the early months of 2004. We believe that Sr:Ca ratios are highly variable among species; nevertheless, the difference in mean data of Sr:Ca ratios may possibly be used as a reference to separate the otolithic salinity markers of freshwater, brackish water and seawater habitats of wild diadromous fishes, although these ratio data are reported by different authors who even use different analytical approaches. Future continuous studies involving more data on wild diadromous species are required to thoroughly understand the connection between the otolith Sr:Ca ratio and ambient salinity regime.

**Acknowledgments** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 30871920) and 973 project (2010CB429005).

## References

- Arai T, Morita K (2005) Evidence of multiple migrations between freshwater and marine habitats of *Salvelinus leucomaenis*. J Fish Biol 66:888–895
- Arai T, Goto A, Miyazaki N (2003a) Migratory history of the threespine stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. Ichthyol Res 50:9–14
- Arai T, Hayano H, Asami H, Miyazaki N (2003b) Coexistence of anadromous and lacustrine life histories of the shirao, *Salanichthys microdon*. Fish Oceanogr 12:134–139

- Arai T, Kotake A, Morita K (2004a) Evidence of downstream migration of Sakhalin taimen, *Hucho perryi*, as revealed by Sr:Ca ratios of otolith. *Ichthyol Res* 51:377–380
- Arai T, Kotake A, Lokman PM, Miller JM, Tsukamoto K, Miyazaki N (2004b) Evidence of different habitat use by New Zealand freshwater eels *Anguilla australis* and *A. dieffenbachii*, as revealed by otolith microchemistry. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 266:213–225
- Bacon CR, Weber PK, Larsen KA, Reisenbichler R, Fitzpatrick JA, Wooden JL (2004) Migration and rearing histories of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) determined by ion microprobe Sr isotope and Sr/Ca transects of otolith. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 61:2452–2439
- Bradbury I, Campana S, Bentzen P (2008) Estimating contemporary early life-history dispersal in an estuarine fish: integrating molecular and otolith elemental approaches. *Mol Ecol* 17:1438–1450
- Campana SE (1999) Chemistry and composition of fish otoliths: pathways, mechanisms and applications. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 188:263–297
- Campana SE (2005) Otolith science entering the 21st century. *Mar Freshwater Res* 56:485–495
- Campana SE, Thorrold SR, Jones CM, Gunther D, Tubrett M, Longerich H, Jackson S, Halden NM, Kalish JM, Piccoli P, dePontual H, Troadec H, Panfili J, Secor DH, Severin KP, Sie SH, Thresher R, Teesdale WJ, Campbell JL (1997) Comparison of accuracy, precision, and sensitivity in elemental assays of fish otoliths using the electron microprobe, proton-induced X-ray emission, and laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 54:2068–2079
- Chang CW, Iizuka Y, Tzeng WN (2004) Migratory environmental history of the grey mullet *Mugil cephalus* as revealed by otolith Sr:Ca ratios. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 269:277–288
- David B, Chadderton L, Closs G., Barry B, Markwitz A (2004) Evidence of flexible recruitment strategies in coastal populations of giant kokopu (*Galaxias argenteus*). DOC Science Internal Series 160, New Zealand Department of Conservation, Wellington
- Farrell J, Campana SE (1996) Regulation of calcium and strontium deposition on the otoliths of juvenile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 115A:103–109
- Howland KL, Tonn WM, Babaluk JA, Tallman RF (2001) Identification of freshwater and anadromous inconnu in the Mackenzie River system by analysis of otolith strontium. *Trans Am Fish Soc* 130:725–741
- Jessop BM, Shiao JC, Iizuka Y, Tzeng WN (2002) Migratory behavior and habitat use by American eels *Anguilla rostrata* as revealed by otolith microchemistry. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 233:217–229
- Kafemann R, Adlerstein S, Neukamm R (2000) Variation in otolith strontium and calcium ratio as indicator of life-history strategies of freshwater fish species within a brackish water system. *Fish Res* 46:313–325
- Katayama S, Saruwatari T, Kimura K, Yamaguchi M, Sasaki T, Torao M, Fujioka T, Okada N (2007) Variation in migration patterns of pond smelt, *Hypomesus nipponensis*, in Japan determined by otolith microchemical analysis. *Bull Jpn Soc Fish Oceanogr* 71:175–182
- Kawakami Y, Mochioka N, Morishita K, Tajima T, Nakagawa H, Toh H, Nakazono A (1998) Factors influencing otolith strontium/calcium ratios in *Anguilla japonica* elvers. *Environ Biol Fish* 52:299–303
- Kerr L, Secor D, Kraus R (2007) Stable isotope ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ) and Sr/Ca composition of otolith as proxies for environmental salinity experienced by an estuarine fish. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 349:245–253
- Kimura R, Secor DH, Houde ED, Piccoli PM (2000) Up-estuary dispersal of young-of-the-year bay anchovy *Anchoa mitchilli* in the Chesapeake Bay: inferences from microprobe analysis of strontium in otoliths. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 208:217–227
- Kraus RT, Secor DH (2004a) Incorporation of strontium into otoliths of an estuarine fish. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 302:85–106
- Kraus RT, Secor DH (2004b) Dynamics of white perch *Morone americana* population contingents in the Patuxent River estuary, Maryland USA. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 279:247–259
- Pontual H, Geffen AJ (2004) Otolith microchemistry. In: Panfili J, Pontual H, Troadec H, Wright PJ (eds) Manual of fish sclerochronology. Ifremer-IRD Coedition, Brest, pp 245–307
- Rooker JR, Kraus RT, Secor DH (2004) Dispersive behaviors of black drum and red drum: Is otolith Sr:Ca a reliable indicator of salinity history? *Estuaries* 27:334–341
- Secor DH, Rooker JR (2000) Is otolith strontium a useful scalar of life cycles in estuarine fishes? *Fish Res* 46:359–372
- Secor DH, Henderson AA, Piccoli PM (1995) Can otolith microchemistry chart patterns of migration and habitat utilization in anadromous fishes? *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 192:15–23
- Surge DM, Lohmann KC (2002) Temporal and spatial differences in salinity and water chemistry in SW Florida estuaries: effects of human-impacted watersheds. *Estuaries* 25:393–408
- Thorrold SR, Swearer SE (2009) Otolith chemistry. In: Green BS, Mapstone BD, Carlos G, Begg GA (eds) Tropical fish otoliths: information for assessment, management and ecology. Springer Dordrecht, pp 249–295
- Thorrold SR, Latkoczy C, Swart PK, Jones CM (2001) Natal homing in a marine fish metapopulation. *Science* 291:297–299
- Tsukamoto K, Arai T (2001) Facultative catadromy of the eel *Anguilla japonica* between freshwater and seawater habitats. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 220:265–276
- Tzeng WN (1996) Effects of salinity and ontogenetic movements on strontium:calcium ratios in the otoliths of the Japanese eel, *Anguilla japonica* Temmick and Schlegel. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 199:111–122
- Tzeng W, Shiao J, Iizuka Y (2002) Use of otolith Sr:Ca ratios to study the riverine migratory behaviors of Japanese eel *Anguilla japonica*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 245:213–221
- Tzeng W, Iizuka Y, Shiao J, Yamada Y, Oka HP (2003) Identification and growth rates comparison of divergent migratory contingents of Japanese eel (*Anguilla japonica*). *Aquaculture* 216:77–86
- Walther BD, Thorrold SR (2006) Water, not food, contributes the majority of strontium and barium deposited in the otoliths of a marine fish. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 311:125–130
- Yang J, Arai T, Liu H, Miyazaki N, Tsukamoto K (2006) Reconstructing habitat use of *Coilia mystus* and *Coilia ectenes* of the Yangtze River estuary, and of *Coilia ectenes* of Taihu Lake, based on otolith strontium and calcium. *J Fish Biol* 69:1120–1135
- Zenitani H, Kono N, Arai N (2003) Preliminary report on PIXE analysis for trace elements of *Engraulis japonicas* otoliths. *Fish Sci* 69:210–212
- Zimmerman C, Reeves G (2000) Population structure of sympatric anadromous and nonanadromous *Oncorhynchus mykiss*: evidence from spawning surveys and otolith microchemistry. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 57:2152–2162