An Efficient Stereoselective Synthesis of Co-enzyme Q₁₀

Kikumasa Sato,* Osamu Miyamoto, Seiichi Inoue, Tomoya Yamamoto, and Yukihiko Hirasawa Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University, Tokiwadai, Hodogayaku, Yokohama 240, Japan

Co-enzyme Q_{10} was efficiently synthesised by stereo- and regio-selective prenylation of the protected hydroquinone (2) with isoprene epoxide and solanesyl p-tolyl sulphone in a good overall yield.

Co-enzyme Q₁₀ (1) plays a pivotal role in several metabolic sequences and there is an increasing need for an efficient preparative method for this substance owing to its remarkable physiological and clinical activity. Most of the existing methods involve alkylation of a protected or unprotected hydroquinone or quinone precursor with decaprenyl compounds.^{2,3} However, the alkylating agents, such as decaprenol and decaprenyl bromide, from which other alkylating agents can be prepared, are usually obtained as a mixture of cis and trans isomers from natural solanesol.2 Therefore the utility of these methods is diminished by the difficulty in isolating a single isomer of the pure alkylating reagent. Another approach to (1) was reported by Terao et al.4 using a sulphone-functionalised prenylhydroquinone and solanesyl bromide; however, the stereoselective synthesis of the former component requires multi-step procedures.

Recently⁵ we reported a stereoselective synthetic route to all-trans-decaprenol from geraniol via the coupling of polyprenyl sulphones and a halide, and subsequent reductive elimination of the sulphone group. This methodology has now been applied to the stereoselective synthesis of (1).

The bromide $(2a)^8$ was converted into the Grignard reagent (3a) and treated with isoprene epoxide in the presence of a catalytic amount of copper(i) chloride in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at -50 °C to afford the *trans*-allylic alcohol (4a) in 77% yield. The stereochemistry of the alcohol was confirmed by the n.m.r. spectrum of the aldehyde $(\delta_{\text{CHO}} 9.31)^7$ obtained by Collins oxidation of (4a). The alcohol (4a) was converted (BuLi, $p\text{-MeC}_6H_4\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}$, and LiBr; 89% yield) into the bromide (5a), which was then coupled with the anion of solanesyl p-tolyl sulphone to give the product (6a) in a good yield.

The reductive elimination of the sulphone group in (6a)

by the usual method⁸ (lithium-ethylamine, -78 °C) did not afford any desired product. The normal product (7a), however, was obtained when (6a) was subjected to the modified Bouvault-Blanc reduction⁹ (8 equiv. of metallic sodium and 10 equiv. of ethanol in THF at room temp.). Simple acid-catalysed deprotection of (7a) followed by neutralisation and air oxidation furnished co-enzyme Q_{10} (1) in nearly quantitative yield. Pure all-trans-(1) was obtained by silica gel column chromatography (10% THF-hexane) and recrystallisation from ethanol, m.p. 48—49 °C, in 83% yield.

 $a; R^1 = R^2 = MeOCH_2$

b; $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = PhCH_2$

$$(2b) \xrightarrow{2 \text{ steps}} \xrightarrow{\text{MeO}} \xrightarrow{\text{OMe}} \xrightarrow{\text{MeO}} \xrightarrow{\text{$$

A similar reaction sequence was successfully applied to give another synthesis of (1) starting from the bromide (2b). The final step consisted of the modified Bouvault-Blanc reduction (vide supra) of (6b), and reductive elimination of the benzyl protecting group in (7b) (Li-EtNH₂, -78 °C), followed by mild oxidation of the p-methoxyphenol (FeCl₃, ethyl acetate-isopropyl ether).

Considering that (2a) is made from 2,3-dimethoxy-5-methylbenzoquinone (9) in three steps, and that (2b) is obtained in two steps from 2,3,4-trimethoxy-6-methylphenol (8),¹⁰ an intermediate in the synthesis of (9), the latter route, i.e. (2b) \rightarrow (4b) \rightarrow (6b) \rightarrow (1), seems to provide the most effective synthesis of co-enzyme Q_{10} (1).

Received, 2nd November 1981; Com. 1281

References

- R. H. Thomson, 'Naturally Occurring Quinones,' 2nd edn., Academic Press, New York, 1971; G. P. Littaru, L. Ho, and K. Folkers, Int. J. Vitam. Nutr. Res., 1972, 42, 291, 413; A. B. Combs, D. Acosta, and K. Folkers, I.R.C.S. Med. Sci.: Libr. Compend., 1976, 4, 403.
- 2 R. Rüegg, U. Gloor, N. R. Ryser, O. Wiss, and O. Isler, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1959, 42, 2616.
- S. Inoue, R. Yamaguchi, K. Saito, and K. Sato, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1974, 47, 3098; Y. Naruta and K. Maruyama, *Chem. Lett.*, 1979, 885; *J. Org. Chem.*, 1980, 45, 4097.
- 4 S. Terao, K. Kato, M. Shiraishi, and H. Morimoto, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 868; Y. Fujita, M. Ishiguro, T. Onishi, and T. Nishida, Synthesis, 1981, 469.
- 5 K. Sato, S. Inoue, A. Onishi, N. Uchida, and N. Minowa, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1981, 761.
- 6 K. Sato, S. Inoue, and R. Yamaguchi, J. Org. Chem., 1972, 37, 1889.
- 7 K. C. Chan, R. A. Jewell, W. H. Nutting, and H. Rapoport, J. Org. Chem., 1968, 33, 3382.
- 8 E. M. Kaiser, *Synthesis*, 1972, 391.
- 9 K. Ogura, T. Noguchi, S. Mita, and G. Tsuchihashi, 7th Congress of Organo Sulphur and Phosphorus Compounds, Kyoto, Feb. 1979, Abstr. No. 22.
- K. Sato, S. Inoue, and H. Sato, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1972, 45, 3455.