

# **An Equation for Transference Numbers**

Theodore Shedlovsky

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics 6, 845 (1938); doi: 10.1063/1.1750179

View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1750179

View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/6/12?ver=pdfcov

Published by the AIP Publishing

### Articles you may be interested in

On the number of solutions of Thue equations

AIP Conf. Proc. 1385, 124 (2011); 10.1063/1.3630048

Development of a two equation heat transfer model based on direct simulations of turbulent flows with different Prandtl numbers

Phys. Fluids 8, 3379 (1996); 10.1063/1.869124

## Transference Numbers in Transient Gas Discharges

Am. J. Phys. 37, 171 (1969); 10.1119/1.1975438

#### Transference Numbers in Ionized Gases

Am. J. Phys. 34, 228 (1966); 10.1119/1.1972891

### Transference Number Measurements for Aluminum Oxide

J. Appl. Phys. **32**, 556 (1961); 10.1063/1.1736044



## An Equation for Transference Numbers

THEODORE SHEDLOVSKY Laboratories of The Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research, New York, New York (Received October 4, 1938)

The following transference number equation is proposed,

$$1/t = 1/t_0 + AC^{\frac{1}{2}} - BC$$
.

The values for the constant A are in accord with the Onsager theory for most uni-univalent electrolytes in water, but not for abnormal salts, such as silver nitrate, or for higher valence salts. However, the transference equation appears to have quite general applicability.

SEVERAL years ago the author proposed an equation for electrolytic conductance which reduces to Onsager's2 theoretical formulation at high dilutions and is useful for extrapolation purposes. It is applicable to most strong uniunivalent electrolytes up to a concentration of about one-tenth normal. An analogous equation for transference numbers has been proposed by Longsworth.3 However, these equations have forms which are somewhat unwieldy for practical computations and they fail in the case of higher valence salts. In the present communication a simpler transference number equation is presented.

The Onsager theory for conductance yields the following limiting transference number equation

$$t = \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda_0 (1 - \alpha C^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \beta (Z_1 / Z_1 + Z_2) C^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Lambda_0 (1 - \alpha C^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \beta C^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (1)$$

in which t is the transference number,  $\lambda$  the equivalent conductance of the corresponding ion species of valence  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2$  the valence of the other ion species, and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the Onsager constants for the "time of relaxation" and electrophoretic effects, respectively. Neglecting terms of higher order than C<sup>1</sup> in an expansion yields

$$t = t_0 - \frac{\beta t_0}{\Lambda_0} \left( \frac{Z_1}{(Z_1 + Z_2)t_0} - 1 \right) C^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1'}$$

in which  $t_0 = \lambda_0/\Lambda_0$ . It will be noted that only the electrophoretic term appears in this equation. Plots of t against  $C^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , however, generally show

considerable curvature. To account for this fact, Longsworth<sup>3</sup> proposed the equation

$$t_0' = \frac{t\Lambda' + (\beta/2)C^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Lambda' + \beta C^{\frac{1}{2}}} = t_0 + BC,$$
 (2)

in which  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_0 - (\alpha \Lambda_0 + \beta) C^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , based on the modified Onsager formula<sup>1</sup>

$$\Lambda_0 = \frac{\Lambda + \beta C^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \alpha C^{\frac{1}{2}}} - BC.$$

He found it applicable to his very accurate measurements on strong uni-univalent electrolytes up to C = 0.10N.

A simpler equation, also reducing to the theoretical limiting Eq. (1'), is the following

$$1/t = 1/t_0 + AC^{\frac{1}{2}} - BC, \tag{3}$$

in which  $A = (\beta/l_1) \lceil Z_1/(Z_1+Z_2)t_0 - 1 \rceil C^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and B is an empirical constant. For uni-univalent electrolytes in water at 25°  $A = (59.79/l_0)(1/2t_0-1)$ .

Using Longsworth's4 transference measurements on NaCl, HCl, LiCl, KCl, KBr, KI, NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and NaC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, linear graphs result when the function  $(1/t - AC^{\frac{1}{2}})$  is plotted against C, from which the empirical constants B are obtained. In every case the intercepts give values for the limiting transference number,  $t_0$ , in accord with those chosen by Longsworth. The comparison in Table I shows the excellent agreement between the experimentally determined values for the transference numbers and the corresponding ones computed from Eq. (3). It is interesting to note that the equation reproduces the slight minima observed for KI, KBr and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> T. Shedlovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 54, 1405 (1932).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> L. Onsager, Physik. Zeits. **27**, 388 (1926); **28**, 277 (1927).

<sup>3</sup> L. G. Longsworth, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **54**, 2741 (1932).

See also, B. B. Owen, *ibid*. **57**, 2441 (1935).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> L. G. Longsworth, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 57, 1185 (1935).

TABLE I. Cation transference numbers of strong 1-1 electrolytes in water at  $25^{\circ}$ .

 $1/t^{+} = 1/t^{+} + AC^{\frac{1}{2}} - BC$ ;  $A = (\beta/l_0^{+})1/2t_0^{+} - 1$ ;  $\beta = 59.79$ .

ELECTROLYTE	C EQUIV.	f+ CAL.	t+ obs.	
KCl A = 0.012 B = .002 $t_0^+ = .4906$	0.01 .02 .05 .10 .20 .50	0.4903 .4902 .4900 .4897 .4894 .4888 .4882	0.4902 .4901 .4899 .4898 .4894 .4887 .4883	
NaCl A = 0.312 B = .250 $t_0^+ = .3963$	.01 .02 .05 .10 .20	.3918 .3903 .3875 .3851 .3827	.3918 .3902 .3876 .3854 .3821	
LiCl A = 0.749 B = .453 $t_0^+ = .3368$	.01 .02 .05 .10	.3290 .3262 .3211 .3164 .3112	.3289 .3261 .3211 .3168 .3112	
	.01 .02 .05 .10	.8252 .8267 .8293 .8315 .8336	.8251 .8266 .8292 .8314 .8337	
$   \begin{array}{l}     \text{NaAc} \\     A = -0.110 \\     B = -0.070 \\     t_0^+ = 0.5507   \end{array} $	.01 .02 .05 .10 .20	.5538 .5550 .5572 .5593 .5616	.5537 .5550 .5573 .5594 .5610	
$   \begin{array}{ccc}       KI \\       A = & 0.019 \\       B = & .043 \\       t_0^+ = & .4887   \end{array} $	.01 .02 .05 .10	.4884 .4883 .4882 .4883 .4887	.4884 .4883 .4882 .4883 .4887	
$A = 0.027$ $B = 0.069$ $t_0^+ = 0.4837$	.01 .02 .05 .10 .20	.4832 .4831 .4831 .4833 .4841	.4833 .4832 .4831 .4833 .4841	
$   \begin{array}{r}     NH_4Cl \\     A = 0.015 \\     B = .039 \\     t_0^+ = .4909   \end{array} $	.01 .02 .05 .10 .20	.4906 .4906 .4906 .4907 .4912	.4907 .4906 .4905 .4907 .4911	

For higher valence electrolytes and certain abnormal uni-univalent salts such as silver ni-

Table II. Cation transference numbers for calcium chloride, silver nitrate and potassium sulfate in water at 25°.  $1/t^{+} = 1/t_0^{+} + AC^{\dagger} - BC.$ 

	ŧ+								
	CaCl <sub>2</sub>		AgNO <sub>3</sub>		K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>				
$C = \frac{\text{EQUIV.}}{\text{LITER}}$	obs.	CALC.	OBS.	CALC.	obs.	CALC.			
0 0.01 .02 .05 .10 .20 .50	(0.4380) .4264 .4220 .4140 .4060 .3953 — .61:		(0.4643) .4648 .4652 .4664 .4682 — — — .00			0.4780 .4826 .4843 .4871 .4895 .4916 .4909 2192			

trate, Eq. (3) is not in accord with the transference data if the theoretical Onsager values for the coefficients A are used. However, if A, as well as B, is taken as an empirical constant, the equation is useful in many cases. This is shown by the examples listed in Table II for CaCl<sub>2</sub>,<sup>4</sup> AgNO<sub>3</sub> <sup>5</sup> and  $K_2SO_4$ . The values for  $t_0$ <sup>+</sup> in the "observed" columns were obtained from the limiting conductances and ionic mobilities for these salts,4,6 while the constants A and B for Eq. (3) were found from the transference data by the method of least squares. Although the coefficients A are in all these cases considerably lower than would be expected from the Onsager theory, the equation is well in accord with the measurements and gives the correct limiting transference number values. For example, in the case of calcium chloride  $t_0^+=1-l_0Cl^-/\Lambda_0=1-76.34/135.84=0.4380$ , which is in close agreement with the value 0.4376 obtained from the transference data alone, but the value of A = 0.6113 is quite different from the theoretical value A = 0.965.

<sup>6</sup> G. S. Hartley and G. W. Donaldson, Trans. Faraday Soc. 33, 457 (1937).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> D. A. MacInnes and L. G. Longsworth, Chem. Rev. 11, 209 (1932).