

Raman Spectra of Some Disubstituted Diacetylenes

Arnold G. Meister and Forrest F. Cleveland

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics 12, 393 (1944); doi: 10.1063/1.1723879

View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1723879

View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/12/10?ver=pdfcov

Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Theoretical analysis of the resonance Raman spectra of diacetylene single crystals

J. Chem. Phys. 97, 841 (1992); 10.1063/1.463187

Proton NMR Spectra of Disubstituted Benzenes

J. Chem. Phys. 37, 2594 (1962); 10.1063/1.1733060

Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectra of Some Disubstituted Nitric Oxides

J. Chem. Phys. 35, 1507 (1961); 10.1063/1.1732082

Fluorine Magnetic Resonance Spectra of some Mono and Disubstituted Tetrafluorocyclobutanes

J. Chem. Phys. 25, 949 (1956); 10.1063/1.1743149

The Raman Spectra of Some Isomeric Octanes

J. Chem. Phys. 2, 618 (1934); 10.1063/1.1749543



THE JOURNAL OF

CHEMICAL PHYSICS

Volume 12, Number 10

Остовек, 1944

Raman Spectra of Some Disubstituted Diacetylenes*

Arnold G. Meister** and Forrest F. Cleveland Department of Physics, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois (Received September 14, 1944)

Raman frequencies and relative intensities are reported for dimethyldiacetylene, diethyldiacetylene, dipropyldiacetylene, dibutyldiacetylene, and diamyldiacetylene. Depolarization factors also were obtained except for diethyldiacetylene. The relative intensities and depolarization factors were obtained with the use of a Gaertner microdensitometer. A tentative assignment was made of all the observed Raman frequencies of dimethyldiacetylene, assuming that it has

the symmetry D_{3h}^{\prime} . Using the chain and methyl group frequencies thus established for dimethyldiacetylene, a comparison was made with the other spectra in order to determine how these frequencies are affected by introducing additional CH₂ groups into the dimethyldiacetylene molecule. A comparison was made of the Raman spectra of the disubstituted diacetylenes with those of the corresponding acetylenes. For dimethyldiacetylene, three cases of Fermi resonance occurred, but there were also two cases where only a single line was observed, in spite of the fact that the frequency and symmetry conditions for resonance were satisfied. The carboncarbon triple-bond frequency, which appears at 2183 cm⁻¹ for diacetylene, increases to 2264 for dimethyldiacetylene, then drops to 2251–2257 for the other four compounds.

INTRODUCTION

PREVIOUS investigations in this laboratory and elsewhere have shown that disubstituted acetylenes generally have two or more lines near 2200 cm⁻¹. It seemed worth while to extend these investigations to see if the same behavior is exhibited by the disubstituted diacetylenes. Moreover, diacetylene itself has a very strong line at 2183 cm⁻¹ which has been identified by Timm and Mecke¹ with the totally symmetrical frequency involving the carbon-carbon triple bond.

If each of the hydrogen atoms of diacetylene is replaced with the same alkyl radical, one would expect this change to affect the above-mentioned frequency. Also since the Raman spectra of the corresponding disubstituted acetylenes have been obtained, a comparison of the spectra of the disubstituted acetylenes and diacetylenes should reveal information about the frequencies which may have a similar origin in the two types of compounds. The molecules selected were dimethyldiacetylene (2,4-hexadiyne), diethyldiacetylene (3,5-octadiyne), dipropyldiacetylene (4,6-decadiyne), dibutyldiacetylene (5,7-dodecadivne), and diamyldiacetylene (6,8-tetradecadivne). All of these compounds have the characteristic diacetylenic structure, $R-C \equiv C-C$ $\equiv C - R$. No previous Raman or infra-red data could be found for any of the five compounds.

i B. Timm and R. Mecke, Zeits. f. Physik 94, 1 (1935).

^{*}Presented at the Chicago meeting of the American Physical Society, November 1942; Phys. Rev. **63**, 64 (1943). Communication No. 40 from the Spectroscopy Laboratory.

^{**} This paper is a report on an investigation carried out by Mr. Arnold G. Meister in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

TABLE I. Comparison of the Raman spectra of the disubstituted diacetylenes with
the spectra of the corresponding acetylenes.*

Dimethyl- acetylene Dimethyl- diacetylene		Diethyl- acetylene			Diethyl- diacetylene		Dipropyl- acetylene		Dipropyl- diacetylene			Dibutyl- acetylene			Dibutyl- diacetylene				am;		Diamyl- diacetylene						
$\Delta \nu$	Ιρ	Δν	I	ρ	$\Delta \nu$	I	ρ	Δν	I	$\Delta \nu$	I	ρ	Δν	I	ρ	$\Delta \nu$	I	ρ	Δυ	I	ρ	Δν	I	ρ	$\Delta \nu$	I	ρ
213	vw	±247	. 04	0.64	±206	6vl	o 0.8	150	vw	(165)	(2b)				169	1b	0.8									_
371	vvs r	1	O	, 0.01	±364	3vl	0.7			342 ±371	1 5	0.9				371 389 416	$\left. egin{array}{c} 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array} \right\}$	0.6 0.8				373 383		0.9	•		
		±475	220	0.64	484 499	1 3	0.7	479	10	468 (509)	2b (0)	0.7	458 ±480.	29 44	0.70		1b	0.7	±467 ±484		0.86 0.79		1		468 487	38 15	0.48 0.75
				,	532	2	İ	521	4	534 551 578	0 1 1	•	526	6		547	1b	0.7	540	4					539 (580)	4 vw	0.86
693 774	M I	(686)	VV		- 681 695 782	2 2 3	р	778	٧W	674	2	0.9				803	3	0.7	(672) 803	vw 6					(675)	vw	
										808 855	3 4	0.4	846	7	0.24	811	3	0.4	866	vw		842	2	0.6	827	4	
					918	2	İ			891	5	0.5	882	10	0.16		3	0.6	000	.,,		887	1	0.8			
		(957)	VV	7	983	7	0.3	953	. 3							960	$\frac{2}{2}$	0.7 0.7	956	vw		970	1	0.9	(956)	vw	
1029	мп	1020	vv	i						1020 1037	$\left\{ 1 \atop 2 \right\}$	0.9	1037	3		1049	4	0.7	(993) 1048	vw 7	0.27	1024					
					1064	8	0.3	1063	5	1069 1095	4	0.9 0.5	1091	11	0.40	1104 1141	5 1	0.7	1101	11	0.64	1067 1110			1104	4 10	0.19
		(1151) (1185)			1207	1/2										1204	1					1221	1		1219	8	0.40
		(1228) 1253	17		1259	2	0.9	1231 1254	6 vw	1227 1260 1274 1291	2 3 1 1	0.6 0.6	1228 1256 1293	10 7 3	0.47 0.41	1235 1295	3	<0.7 0.8	1228		0.29	1271	1	0.9	1297	5	
1380	S F			0.62		7 2	0.6 p	1330 1375	7	1327 1350	5 2	0.6 p	1329 1347	12 9	0.61	1326	4	0.6	1329 1348	vw		1330	3	0.9	1329	12	0.37
1448	MS D	2225	VV	7 7	1439 1460 2200	5 4 1	0.7 0.8 p	1430 1461	8 5	1434 1456		0.8 0.8	1425 1452	10	0.83 0.55	1457 2195	5 3b 0	0.8	1423 1443	17	0.47	1436 1452	3	0.8	1425 1450	11	0.39 0.49
2233 2310	VS I		1000	0,40	2231 2247 2301	9 8 6	0.4 0.4 0.4	2257	1000	2234 2292	10 6	0.5 0.5	2256	1000	0.33	2233 2245 2296	8 2 5	0.4 0.4 0.4	2251	1000	0.47	2231 2248 2294	2		2255	1000	0.19
2737 2857	MW M	2502 2821 2848	v v 21	, ,	2729 2850 2883	2 5 4	0.2 0.3	2836 2884	$^{12}_{28}$	2736 2841 2871	2 5 8	0.4 0.2	2830 2869	10 48	0.26	2729 2841 2865	${1 \choose 7}$	<0.9 0.2	2827 2864	13 58		2852 2874	6		2827 2861	vw 44	0.20
2916 2966	VS F MW	2914	400 39	0.17	2909 2939 2978	10b 10 7	0.3 0.1 0.7	2913 2939 2982	59 47 25	2905 2936 2971	9 9 6	0.5 0.4 0.9	2904 2932 2966	81 62 21		2909 2931 2967	10 [*] 8 5	0.6 0.1 0.8	2902 2926 2957	110 77 23	0.47	2906 2934 2967	8	0.1	2900 2922 2956	93 46 vw	0.27 0.29

^{*} $\Delta \nu$ =Raman displacement in cm⁻¹, I=estimated relative intensity if intensity of strongest line is 10, but if intensity of strongest line is 1000 then I is the relative intensity measured with the densitometer, b=broad, vb=very broad, S=strong, VS=very strong, M=medium, MS=medium-strong, W=weak, MW=medium-weak, VW=very weak, vw=lines that were too weak to measure with the microdensitometer, ρ =depolarization factor \pm indicates observation both as Stokes and anti-Stokes lines, parentheses enclose data in regard to which there is some question, D=depolarized (ρ =6/7), P=polarized (ρ =6/7), p means ρ was less than 6/7 but that it was not possible to make a quantitative measurement, and the brace joins lines that were unresolved on the polarization spectrogram. Data for dimethylacetylene were obtained from B. L. Crawford, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 7, 555 (1939); for diethylacetylene and dipropylacetylene from Forrest F. Cleveland, M. J. Murray, and H. J. Taufen, J. Chem. Phys. 10, 172 (1942); for dibutylacetylene from M. J. Murray and Forrest F. Cleveland, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 63, 1718 (1941), and for diamylacetylene from Forrest F. Cleveland and M. J. Murray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 62, 3185 (1940).

EXPERIMENTAL

Using the technique and apparatus described in previous papers,² spectrograms were obtained

which were used to determine Raman frequencies, relative intensities, and depolarization factors. It was necessary to obtain some of the spectrograms with a fast Hilger E-518 spectrograph on account of the rapid decomposition of one of the samples. All of the samples were in the

² Forrest F. Cleveland, M. J. Murray, J. R. Coley, and V. I. Komarewsky, J. Chem. Phys. 10, 18 (1942); Forrest F. Cleveland, J. Chem. Phys. 11, 1 (1943).

	Diace	tylene			Di	methyldiacetylene	Dimethylacetylene						
Designation	$\Delta \nu$	Type of vibration	$\Delta \nu$	I	ρ	Assignment and symmetry	Δν	I	ρ	Assignment and symmetry			
νο	230	deformation	247	80	0.64	Fund. E or $ar{E}$							
ν_8	488	deformation	475	220	0.64								
ν ₃				vw		Fund. A1							
		C-H stretching		vw		Fund. A1	į.						
•	(,		1020	vw		Fund. $ar{E}$	1029	N	1 L	Fund. $ar{E}$			
			(1151)	vw		$686 + 475 = 1161, \bar{E}$							
			(1185)	vw		$1442 - 247 = 1195, \bar{A}_1 + \bar{A}_2 + \bar{E}$	ĺ						
			(2100)			or A_1+A_2+E							
			(1228)	vw		$957 + 247 = 1204$, E or \bar{E}	l						
			1253	17		$1020 + 247 = 1267, \bar{A}_1 + \bar{A}_2 + \bar{E}$	1						
			1200			or A_1+A_2+E	İ						
			1381	115	0.62		1380	9	S F	Fund. A_1			
			1426	5	٠.٠٠,	Fund. 1442, \bar{E}				Fund. \vec{E}			
			1458	vw		$(957+475=1432, \tilde{E})$	- 110	.,,,		7 una. 13			
			2225	vw	,	C ¹³ isotope							
v 2	2183	$C \equiv C$ stretching	2264	1000	0.40	Γ							
~ 2	2100	c = c stretening	2309	9	0.10	$957 + 1381 = 2338, A_1$							
			2502	vw	,	$2264 + 247 = 2511$, E or \bar{E}							
			2821	vw	,	$(1381^2 = 2762, A_1)$	2737	MW	Ţ	$1380^2 = 2760, A_1$			
			2848	21		$\{1442^2 = 2884, A_1 + E\}$	2857	M		$1448^2 = 2896, A_1$			
		·	2914	400	0.17		2916		5 F				
			2954	39	0.11	Fund. \tilde{E}	2966			Fund. \bar{E}			

TABLE II. A tentative assignment of the Raman frequencies of dimethyldiacetylene.*

liquid state with the exception of dimethyldiacetylene which was put into solution in CCl₄. Excitation was by Hg 4358A. The intensities and depolarization factors were determined by use of a Gaertner microdensitometer as described elsewhere.3 No polarization spectrogram could be obtained for diethyldiacetylene.

An outline of the method of preparation of the compounds is as follows:

Dimethyldiacetylene, C₆H₆: About 500 cm³ of liquid ammonia were placed in a 2-1, threenecked flask and 25 g of sodium were added in small pieces as acetylene was bubbled through the ammonia until the blue color of the solution disappeared.⁴ The acetylene had been washed by passing through two bottles containing H₂SO₄ and then had been dried by passing over soda lime. A dry-ice acetone condenser was used.

After adding dropwise 130 g of (CH₃)₂SO₄, the mixture was allowed to stand ½ hr. Water was then added continuously and the gases evolved were passed through freshly prepared ammoniacal Cu₂Cl₂. When no more gas was evolved as water

was added, the precipitated acetylide was filtered off using suction and washed until free of the blue color in the filtrate. It was then suspended along with the filter paper in 500 cm³ of water and 110 g of K₃Fe(CN)₆ were added.⁵ The resulting mixture was steam distilled until no more product was obtained. The water was poured off the resulting white solid, CH₃-C $\equiv C - C \equiv C - CH_3$, which was then dissolved in CCl₄ and dried with Drierite. The solution was placed in a distilling flask and both CCl4 and dimethyldiacetylene were distilled into the Raman tube in a partial vacuum.

The other compounds were prepared by the following method: The monosubstituted acetylene was obtained from acetylene, sodium, liquid ammonia, and the bromide of the radical being substituted for the hydrogen of the acetylene. The acetylenic Grignard reagent was formed in dry ether, using ethylmagnesium bromide. Using an ice bath, CuBr₂ was added slowly and with vigorous stirring to the acetylenic Grignard reagent.6 When the reaction was complete, ice was added and the disubstituted diacetylene was

^{*} All symbols have the same meaning as in Table I with the exception of the braces which indicate probable cases of Fermi resonance.

³ Forrest F. Cleveland and M. J. Murray, J. Chem. Phys. 11, 450 (1943).

Cf. T. H. Vaughn, G. F. Hennion, R. R. Vogt, and

J. A. Nieuwland, J. Org. Chem. 2, 1 (1937).

⁵ Cf. G. Griner, Ann. Chim. Phys. [6] **26**, 354 (1892). ⁶ James P. Danehy and J. A. Nieuwland, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **58**, 1609 (1936).

then separated from the pasty mass by steam distillation. The boiling points of the compounds thus prepared were as follows:

Diethyldiacetylene, C_8H_{10} , $77.5-80.0^{\circ}C$ at 34 mm Hg, Dipropyldiacetylene, $C_{10}H_{14}$, Dibutyldiacetylene, $C_{12}H_{18}$, Diamyldiacetylene, $C_{14}H_{22}$, $97.0^{\circ}C$ at 2 mm Hg, $121.4^{\circ}C$ at 2 mm Hg.

RESULTS

The experimental results for the disubstituted diacetylenes are listed in Table I. Also in Table I are given, for comparison purposes, the corresponding data for the disubstituted acetylenes, as reported by various observers. All the samples seemed to be fairly pure since no recognizable impurity lines were found in the Raman spectra, with the exception of diethyldiacetylene for which a very weak line was observed at 2179 cm⁻¹. This might indicate the presence of a trace of diacetylene as an impurity since diacetylene has its strongest line at 2183 cm⁻¹.

DISCUSSION

Probably the most outstanding characteristic of the Raman spectra of these disubstituted diacetylenes is the very strong line which appears for each compound in the 2250–2265 cm⁻¹ region. Comparison with other molecules containing the acetylenic linkage indicates that this line is associated with the carbon-carbon triple-bond frequency. For diacetylene a similar line occurs at 2183 cm⁻¹. From Table I it appears that the greatest change in this frequency is produced when the two hydrogen atoms of diacetylene are replaced with methyl groups as this frequency has a greater value for dimethyldiacetylene than for any of the other diacetylenes investigated. Addition of CH₂ groups to the methyl groups which replace the hydrogen atoms does not seem to produce a greater shift, but results in a slight lowering of the Raman frequency, as observed for the other disubstituted diacetylenes. Furthermore, in all the disubstituted diacetylenes studied, with the exception of dimethyldiacetylene, only one line was observed in the 2200-2300 cm⁻¹ region. This is somewhat different from the disubstituted acetylenes which usually have two or more lines in the same region.

Assuming that dimethyldiacetylene has the same symmetry as dimethylacetylene D'_{3h} , the

tentative assignment of the Raman frequencies shown in Table II was made. The chain frequencies were established by comparison with Wu and Shen's⁷ analysis of the vibrational spectrum of diacetylene. Crawford's⁸ analysis of the dimethylacetylene Raman spectrum was used in determining the methyl group frequencies.

As a further aid in the analysis, the selection rules for fundamentals, binary combinations, overtones of non-degenerate frequencies, overtones of doubly degenerate frequencies up to the fourth overtone, for a molecule whose symmetry is D'_{3h} , and the number of frequencies of each type for dimethyldiacetylene, were obtained by methods described elsewhere. 9 The selection rules will not be listed here since they have already been published in a condensed form.¹⁰ The selection rules allow five fundamental frequencies of type A_1 , five of type E, and five of type \bar{E} to appear in the Raman spectrum and four type \bar{A}_2 and the five E fundamentals to appear in the infra-red spectrum. The A_1 fundamentals should be polarized and the E and \bar{E} frequencies should be depolarized. Unfortunately, no infra-red data were available and the tentative assignments therefore had to be based upon the Raman data alone. It is quite possible that these assignments may require revision if and when the infra-red data become available.

Without the calculated values of the fundamental frequencies it was not possible to give a unique assignment of the 247, 1185, 1228, 1253, and 2502 lines, since for these two assignments are possible depending upon whether the 247 fundamental is E or \bar{E} . However, it was possible to decide that the 475 cm⁻¹ line was an \bar{E} fundamental frequency in the following way: In order to have Fermi resonance between the $1442\bar{E}$ fundamental and the 1432 combination frequency, the symmetry condition requires that the 1432 frequency have \bar{E} symmetry. Since, according to the present assignment, 1432 cm⁻¹ results from the combination of the $957A_1$ fundamental from the combination of the $957A_1$ fundamental from the combination of the $957A_1$ fundamental from the combination of the $957A_1$ fundamental from the combination of the $957A_1$ fundamental from the combination of the $957A_1$ fundamental from the combination of the $957A_1$ fundamental from the following way:

10 Reference 7, page 296.

⁷ T. Y. Wu, Vibrational Spectra and Structure of Polyatomic Molecules (National University of Peking, Kunming, China, 1939), page 259.

^{*}B. L. Crawford, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 7, 555 (1939).

Arnold G. Meister, Forrest F. Cleveland, and M. J. Murray, Am. J. Phys. 11, 239 (1943).

mental and the 475 fundamental, the 475 fundamental would have to be of \bar{E} symmetry so that the combination frequency would have \bar{E} symmetry. This is true because from the selection rules one sees that a combination of an A_1 frequency with an \bar{E} frequency will have the symmetry \bar{E} .

Besides the case mentioned above, two other cases of Fermi resonance appear as shown in Table II. The first occurs between the $2264A_1$ fundamental and the 2309 combination frequency which results, according to the present assignment, from the combination of the 957 and $1381A_1$ fundamental frequencies. The other case appears to be more complicated, for now three frequencies seem to be interacting with one another; they are the first overtone of the $1381A_1$ fundamental, the first overtone of the $1442\bar{E}$ fundamental, and the $2914A_1$ fundamental.

Two other cases of Fermi resonance appear to be possible but were not observed. For example, the first overtone of the $475\bar{E}$ fundamental frequency would have the proper symmetry and energy level value to interact with the $957A_1$ fundamental. Yet in spite of this possibility no other line was observed in this region. However, the 957 cm⁻¹ fundamental was quite weak and it is possible that the resonance component might have escaped observation. Another possible case of resonance which was not observed could occur between the first overtone of the $686A_1$ fundamental and the $1381A_1$ fundamental, since both the overtone and the fundamental frequency would have the same symmetry, A_1 , and $686^2 = 1372$.

If one assigns the line at 1185 cm⁻¹ to the difference tone (1442-247), one would expect a line to appear at (1442+247)=1689 cm⁻¹, for according to Herzberg,¹¹ if a difference tone is present, the corresponding summation frequency, since it is undiminished by a Boltzmann factor, should appear with even greater intensity. Also, for the same reason, if the line at 2225 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the (2914-686) difference tone, one would expect a line to be found at (2914+686) = 3600 cm⁻¹. Yet in neither of these cases were lines observed in the Raman spectrum. In view

of this difficulty, it seems better to assign the 2225 cm⁻¹ line to the triple-bond frequency of an isotopic molecule containing C¹³. Another alternative might be that dimethylacetylene was present as an impurity. Since this compound has very strong lines at 2233 and 2310 cm⁻¹, the lines at 2225 and 2309 cm⁻¹ could indicate the presence of a trace of dimethylacetylene, although this seems improbable in view of the method of preparation.

A study of Table II indicates that of the fifteen fundamental frequencies which are permitted in the Raman effect, only ten have been given an assignment. All five A_1 fundamental frequencies seem to have been observed, but only four or possibly five of the \bar{E} fundamental frequencies were observed, depending on the final assignment of the 247 cm⁻¹ line which tentatively has been assigned to an E or \bar{E} fundamental. For the same reason only one or none of the five E frequencies was observed. This same scarcity of E fundamentals was observed by Crawford⁸ in his analysis of the Raman spectrum of dimethylacetylene; of the four E-type fundamental frequencies which were allowed in the Raman spectrum, only one was observed. Since for this compound all of the missing E fundamentals were observed in the infra-red spectrum, one would expect that if the infra-red spectrum of dimethyldiacetylene were obtained, it would help to determine the missing E-type fundamental frequencies. Of course, it is possible that some of the frequencies did not occur in the Raman spectrum because they lie too close to other frequencies and were thus not resolved, or else because the lines while they are permitted by the selection rules were too weak to be observed.

From Table I, it is possible to get a good indication of the behavior of the chain frequencies as CH_2 groups are added to the dimethyldiacetylene molecule. The 247 cm⁻¹ E or \bar{E} fundamental of dimethyldiacetylene either was not observed for the other disubstituted diacetylenes or else its value was changed considerably, as the other compounds have no line near this value. On the other hand, the 475 cm⁻¹ \bar{E} fundamental frequency seemed to increase slightly as more CH_2 groups were added, each compound having a line in the 475–490 cm⁻¹ region with diamyl-

¹¹ G. Herzberg, private communication.

diacetylene having the greatest frequency value. In contrast to this behavior the $686A_1$ fundamental frequency seemed to decrease slightly, but whether the decrease is significant is hard to say since this frequency was not observed in the spectra of two of the disubstituted diacetylenes. The 957 cm⁻¹ A_1 fundamental was observed in only three of the other compounds and was not very strong in any of the four cases. Finally, the 2264 cm⁻¹ A_1 fundamental appears to be affected very little since its value for the other four compounds lies between 2251–2257 cm⁻¹.

In a similar manner one can observe the behavior of the methyl group frequencies. The 1020 cm⁻¹ \bar{E} fundamental seems to be changed considerably or else was not observed in some cases since only two compounds had lines which were found near this value. A similar behavior is shown by the 1381 cm⁻¹ A_1 fundamental since diethyldiacetylene was the only compound which had a line near this value. The 1442 cm⁻¹ \bar{E} frequency seems to be present in all the compounds, although its value seems to change rather sporadically from one compound to another. A similar behavior is exhibited by the 2914 cm⁻¹ A_1 fundamental since it is found for all the compounds in the 2922-2939 cm⁻¹ region. The line lying in this region has been chosen as corresponding to the A_1 fundamental rather than the line which appears for all the compounds in the 2900-2913 region, because if one compares the ratio of the intensity of the line in the 2900-2913 region to the intensity of the line in the 2922-2939 region, one finds that the ratio increases as the number of CH₂ groups increases, having its greatest value for diamyldiacetylene. So the line in the 2900-2913 cm⁻¹ region is believed to be associated with the CH₂ groups while the line in the 2922–2939 cm⁻¹ region is associated with the methyl group. Furthermore, it has been shown¹² that the methyl group usually has a polarized frequency in the 2930 cm⁻¹ region, so it seems more reasonable to associate the line in this region with the polarized frequency of the methyl group. All that remains is the 2954 cm⁻¹ \bar{E} fundamental frequency which seems to be present in all of the compounds; its value changes considerably from compound to compound at first but for the last two compounds in the table is about the same. This frequency has its greatest value for diethyldiacetylene.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All of the compounds used in this investigation were prepared by Dr. M. J. Murray of the Department of Chemistry. The optical parts of the spectrograph used in obtaining the frequencies were obtained with a grant to Forrest F. Cleveland and M. J. Murray from The Society of the Sigma Xi and the microdensitometer used in obtaining the intensities was obtained with a grant to one of us (F. F. C.) from the Penrose Fund of the American Philosophical Society. The Hilger spectrograph used in obtaining some of the spectrograms was obtained, in part, with a grant to one of us (F. F. C.) from the Permanent Science Fund of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences. The authors are grateful for this assistance.

¹² Forrest F. Cleveland, M. J. Murray, H. H. Haney, and Julia Schakelford, J. Chem. Phys. **8**, 153 (1940); Forrest F. Cleveland and M. J. Murray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **62**, 3185 (1940).