

### Reply to "Accuracy of a b i n i t i o C-H bond length differences and their correlation with isolated C-H stretching frequencies"

A. L. Aljibury, R. G. Snyder, Herbert L. Strauss, and Krishnan Raghavachari

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics 88, 7257 (1988); doi: 10.1063/1.454336

View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.454336

View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/88/11?ver=pdfcov

Published by the AIP Publishing

### Articles you may be interested in

Interaction energies associated with short intermolecular contacts of C–H bonds. A b i n i t i o computational study of the C–HO contact interaction in CH4OH2

J. Chem. Phys. 95, 5179 (1991); 10.1063/1.461686

Interactions energies associated with short intermolecular contacts of C–H bonds. II. A b i n i t i o computational study of the C–HH–C interactions in methane dimer

J. Chem. Phys. 94, 4835 (1991); 10.1063/1.460568

Comment on: Accuracy of a b i n i t i o C–H bond length differences and their correlation with isolated C–H stretching frequencies

J. Chem. Phys. 88, 7255 (1988); 10.1063/1.454335

Radio frequency spectra of chloroacetylene in v=0 and v=1 of the C-H stretching vibration

J. Chem. Phys. 87, 1948 (1987); 10.1063/1.453723

Isolated C-H stretching vibrations of nalkanes: Assignments and relation to structure

J. Chem. Phys. 81, 5352 (1984); 10.1063/1.447677



## Reply to "Accuracy of *ab initio* C-H bond length differences and their correlation with isolated C-H stretching frequencies"

A. L. Aljibury, R. G. Snyder, and Herbert L. Strauss

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Krishnan Raghavachari

AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

(Received 8 February 1988; accepted 23 February 1988)

A major point of our papers<sup>1,2</sup> is that the experimental C-H vibrational frequencies of *n*-alkanes and cyclohexane correlate with calculated (*ab initio*) bond lengths with a precision of about 10<sup>-4</sup> Å in bond length or 1 cm<sup>-1</sup> in frequency. We demonstrated this correlation for *ab initio* calculations in which 4-31G and 6-31G basis sets were used. Schäfer and Siam show that the correlation also holds with 4-21G and 5-31G\*\* basis sets.<sup>3</sup> Contrary to the statements in Schäfer and Siam,<sup>3</sup> we noted<sup>1,2</sup> that different basis sets need not give the same slope to provide useful correlations. *Relative differences* between bond lengths can be estimated, with the stated high precision, from these correlations independent of their slope. *Accuracy* is another matter, of course, since *experimental* bond lengths correlate with experimental

frequencies with still a different slope. The difference between the various correlations is discussed in detail in the original publications.<sup>1,2</sup>

We gratefully acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (CHE-83-16674) and the National Institutes of Health (GM 27690).

<sup>1</sup>R. G. Snyder, A. L. Aljibury, H. L. Strauss, H. L. Casal, K. M. Gough, and W. J. Murphy, J. Chem. Phys. 81, 5352 (1984).

<sup>2</sup>A. L. Aljibury, R. G. Snyder, H. L. Strauss, and K. Raghavachari, J. Chem. Phys. **84**, 6872 (1986).

<sup>3</sup>L. Schäfer and K. Siam, J. Chem. Phys. 88, 7255 (1988).

#### **ERRATA**

# Erratum: Electronic states and spectra of 1, 4-naphthoquinone: Evidence for the dual phosphorescence from $T_1$ $^3(n,\pi^*)$ and $T_2$ $^3(n,\pi^*)$ states [J. Chem. Phys. 87, 4361 (1987)]

Takao Itoh

Research and Development Center, Onoda Cement Co. Ltd., Tokyo 135, Japan

There is at least one confusing error which the author would like to correct: In the line between Eqs. (5) and (6a), in column 2 on p. 4365, the two matrix elements should be  $\langle {}^{1}A_{1}|H_{so}(b_{1})|{}^{3}B_{1}\rangle$  and  $\langle {}^{1}B_{2}|H_{so}(b_{1})|{}^{3}A_{2}\rangle$ , instead of  $\langle {}^{1}A_{1}|H_{so}(b_{1})|{}^{3}A_{2}\rangle$  and  $\langle {}^{1}A_{2}|H_{so}(b_{1})|{}^{3}B_{2}\rangle$ . Equations (6a) and (6b) are correct as printed.

Another less important error is that the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) should be  $k(T_1)$ , not  $1k(T_1)$ .