

“Volume Effect” and Random Flights

R. C. Briant

Citation: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **16**, 839 (1948); doi: 10.1063/1.1747010

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1747010>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/16/8?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the **AIP Publishing**

Articles you may be interested in

[Localization of random copolymers at a sharp interface: Effect of excluded volume](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 10377 (2000); 10.1063/1.1322625

[Effect of random volume and surface fluctuations on propagation in shallow water](#)

J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **96**, 3345 (1994); 10.1121/1.410679

[Analytical Treatment of Excluded Volume. II. Quasi RandomFlight Behavior](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **46**, 3800 (1967); 10.1063/1.1840453

[qDimensional Excluded Volume in Random Flight Chains](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **35**, 503 (1961); 10.1063/1.1731960

[Errata: “Volume Effect” and Random Flights](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **16**, 1007 (1948); 10.1063/1.1746680



latter parameter is a constant for each homologous series and is equal to $A_m = \bar{h}^2/L$, \bar{h}^2 being the mean square distance between the ends of the chain).

Equations (3), (3a) include the two aforementioned limiting cases. For instance, in the case of large values of Z , it transforms to

$$\mathbf{K} = \eta_0 L u / \left(0.1 \left(\frac{L}{A_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) = 10 \eta_0 (L A_m)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 10 \eta_0 (\bar{h}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} u,$$

which is approximately equal to the resistance $\mathbf{K} = 3\pi\eta_0(\bar{h}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}u$ of a compact sphere of diameter equal to the average diameter of the coil (complete immobilization).

From Eqs. (3), (3a) can easily be obtained the following expressions for the sedimentation constant s and for the diffusion constant D ^{6,††}

$$s = a_1 + b_1(Z)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4)$$

$$D = (a_2 + b_2(Z)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{1}{Z}, \quad (5)$$

where the constants

$$a_1 = \frac{M_g}{N_L b} \frac{1 - v_{\text{part}} \rho_0}{\eta_0} \left(0.02 + 0.16 \log^{10} \frac{A_m}{d_h} \right), \quad (4a)$$

$$b_1 = \frac{M_g}{N_L b} \frac{1 - v_{\text{part}} \rho_0}{\eta_0} 0.1 \left(\frac{b}{A_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4b)$$

$$a_2 = \frac{kT}{\eta_0 b} \left(0.02 + 0.16 \log^{10} \frac{A_m}{d_h} \right), \quad (5a)$$

$$b_2 = \frac{kT}{\eta_0 b} 0.1 \left(\frac{b}{A_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (5b)$$

are independent upon the degree of polymerization Z . N_L is Loschmidt's number, M_g the molecular weight of the monomer unit, v_{part} the partial specific volume of the solute, ρ_0 the density of the solvent, k Boltzmann's constant, T the absolute temperature, and b the hydrodynamic length of the monomer unit (b is related to the hydrodynamic length L of the chain by the expression $L = b \cdot Z$). Equations (4) and (5) are found to be verified by the empirical data.

The partial immobilization is as important for rotational as for translational motions of the coil. Rotational experiments on models have shown that the rotational resistance and thus the rotational diffusion constant of random coils are given by simple expressions [analogous to (3), (3a)] as functions of A_m , d_h , and L .⁵

The motion of a particle suspended in a liquid with flow gradient is a translation superimposed by a rotation of the particle; therefore the rotational diffusion constant determines to a large extent the birefringence of flow and the viscosity of the solution.^{3,7}

By introducing the result of our rotational experiments on models into our earlier equation,⁴ we obtain for the intrinsic viscosity^{6,8}

$$\frac{\eta_{sp}}{c} = \frac{A_m b^2}{48} \frac{N_L}{10^3} \frac{Z}{-0.05 + 0.12 \log^{10} \frac{A_m}{d_h} + 0.037 \left(\frac{bZ}{A_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \dagger, \dagger\dagger \quad (6)$$

(c concentration in base moles per liter).

The orientation and the value of the birefringence of flow can equally be described by expressions that take into account the partial immobilization of liquid inside the coil.⁶

P. Debye¹¹ and H. C. Brinkman¹² have recently also recognized the importance of partial immobilization on the viscosity, apparently without knowledge of our investigations in this field. The considerations of these authors are, as far as they have come to our knowledge, very similar to our own and represent to a considerable extent another expression of the same results. Thus the introduction of a "shielding ratio" by Debye corresponds entirely to our partial immobilization, and the cases (1) and (2) discussed in 1943 have again been found by Debye. Our expressions have the advantage of containing parameters that are determined by experiments on models, while the corresponding parameters of these authors refer to a non-realized spherical shape of the coils considered.

¹ W. Kuhn, *Kolloid Zeits.* **68**, 2 (1934).

² M. L. Huggins, *J. Phys. Chem.* **42**, 911 (1938).

³ W. Kuhn, *Zeits. f. physik. Chemie A* **161**, 1 (1932); *Kolloid Zeits.* **62**, 269 (1932).

⁴ W. Kuhn and H. Kuhn, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **26**, 1324 (1943).

* Reference 4, p. 1398.

** Reference 4, p. 1397.

*** Reference 4, p. 1443-44.

† Reference 4, p. 1420-21.

†† The linear relationship between the sedimentation constant and the square root of the degree of polymerization has already been recognized in our 1943 paper (reference 4, p. 1398).

‡ Equation (6) has been used already in reference 9, p. 85 and 92, reference 10, pp. 1573 and 1577.

‡‡ Reference 8 contains equally the corresponding quantitative expressions for branched chain molecules.

⁵ H. Kuhn, *Habilitationsschrift Basel* (1946); preliminary report: H. Kuhn, *Schweiz. Chem. Ztg.* **28**, 373 (1945). Presented by W. Kuhn in lectures at Brooklyn Polytechnic Institute in New York, September 28, at the Harvard Medical School in Boston on October 9, 1946, and by H. Kuhn in a lecture at the California Institute of Technology on April 9, 1947.

⁶ H. Kuhn and W. Kuhn, *J. Polymer Sci.*, in print.

⁷ P. Boeder, *Zeits. f. Physik* **75**, 258 (1932).

⁸ W. Kuhn and H. Kuhn, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **30**, 1233 (1947).

⁹ W. Kuhn and H. Kuhn, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **29**, 71 (1946).

¹⁰ W. Kuhn and H. Kuhn, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **28**, 1533 (1945).

¹¹ P. Debye, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 486 (1947).

¹² H. C. Brinkman, *App. Sci. Res. A* **1**, 27 (1947); *Kon. Nederl. Akad. van Wetenschappen* **50**, No. 6 (1947).

"Volume Effect" and Random Flights

R. C. BRIANT

Mellon Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

June 14, 1948

THE so-called "volume effect" in flexible high polymer molecules has been a troublesome feature of high polymer theory since 1934.¹⁻³

This note is to point out that the effect may be computed by elementary methods. In the random flight notation of Chandrasekhar⁴ the probability of a flight of N randomly directed unit vectors from (x_1, y_1, z_1) to (x_2, y_2, z_2) (vector r_{12}) is

$$W_N(r_{12}) dx_2 dy_2 dz_2 = (2\pi^2 |r_{12}|)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \sin(|\lambda| |r_{12}|) \times (\sin |\lambda| / |\lambda|)^N |\lambda| d|\lambda| dx_2 dy_2 dz_2.$$

We write this in terms of the coordinates and abbreviate somewhat to

$$W_N(r_{12}) dx_2 dy_2 dz_2 = W_N(x_1, x_2) dx_2.$$

A path from point 1 to point 2 in N steps is denoted $1N2$.

Now the probability of a path of $S = L + M + N$ steps, $0L1M2N3$, is

$$W_L(0, x_1) W_M(x_1, x_2) W_N(x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3.$$

