

Contact theorems for models of the sticky electrode

L. Blum, M. L. Rosinberg, and J. P. Badiali

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics 90, 1285 (1989); doi: 10.1063/1.456134

View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.456134

View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/90/2?ver=pdfcov

Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Burgers' equation and the sticky particles model

J. Math. Phys. 53, 063709 (2012); 10.1063/1.4729540

Models of electrodes and contacts in molecular electronics

J. Chem. Phys. 123, 114701 (2005); 10.1063/1.1993558

On Stickiness

Phys. Today 52, 48 (1999); 10.1063/1.882884

A new interpretation of the sticky hard sphere model

J. Chem. Phys. 95, 9186 (1991); 10.1063/1.461199

A solvable model of polydisperse charged particles with sticky interactions

J. Chem. Phys. 94, 6190 (1991); 10.1063/1.460405



The Letters to the Editor section is subdivided into four categories entitled Communications, Notes, Comments, and Errata. The textual material of each Letter is limited to 1200 words minus the following: (a) 200 words for a square figure one-column wide. Larger figures are scaled in proportion to their area; (b) 50 words for each displayed equation; (c) 7 words for each line of table including headings and horizontal rulings. Proof will be sent to authors. See the issue of 1 January 1989 for a fuller description of Letters to the Editor.

NOTES

Contact theorems for models of the sticky electrode

L. Blum

Physics Department, P. O. Box A. T., Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931

M. L. Rosinberg and J. P. Badiali

Structure et Réactivité aux Interfaces, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

(Received 11 August 1988; accepted 28 September 1988)

In recent times there have been a number of experiments which reveal the detailed nature of the metal-electrolyte interface. It is then of interest to incorporate the details of the surface structure into the theoretical models of the electrode interface. A rather basic way of doing this is to use the sticky potential of Baxter, which has been used to study adsorption phenomena at interfaces. A model of a structured interface in which the atoms of the metallic electrode are represented by sticky sites was discussed in a previous publication.

On the other hand, one knows that in spite of the relative complexity of the interactions at charged, flat interfaces, there is a sum rule, which derives from momentum conservation which is the so-called contact theorem⁵ which relates the contact density near a hard wall to the excess charge on that wall. In the absence of image forces we have, for the primitive model, in which the ions are hard spheres of diameter σ_i , embedded in a continuum dielectric media,

$$k_B T \sum_{i=1}^{s} \rho_i(\sigma_i/2) = P + \frac{\epsilon E^2}{8\pi}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\rho_i(\sigma_i/2)$ is the contact density of the ion i (i=1,...,s), k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T the absolute temperature, P is the pressure, ϵ the dielectric constant, and E the external applied field. Equation (1) represents the force balance equation. For the nonprimitive model in which the solvent is represented by a collection of hard spheres of diameter σ_n (n=1,...,M) with an electric dipole (or, in general, a multipole), the contact theorem (1) becomes

$$k_B T \sum_{i=1}^{s} \rho_i(\sigma_i/2) + k_B T \sum_{n=1}^{M} \rho_n(\sigma_n/2) = P + \frac{E^2}{8\pi},$$
(2)

where we have lost the dielectric constant ϵ in the last term, and we got an extra term in the left-hand side.

In the present note we extend the contact theorems (1) and (2) to the case in which the electrode wall has an arrangement of sticky spots represented by the interactions

$$e^{-\beta v_i(\mathbf{r}_1)} = 1 + \lambda \delta(x_1) F(\mathbf{R}_1) , \qquad (3)$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$ is the Boltzmann factor, $v_i(\mathbf{r}_1)$ is the adsorption potential, $\mathbf{r}_1 = x_1, y_1, z_1$ is the coordinate of particle 1, $\mathbf{R}_1 = y_1, z_1$ is the position in the adsorbing plane, while x_1 is the distance to that plane. For a regular lattice of adsorbing sites,⁴

$$F(\mathbf{R}_1) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} = m_n m_n} \delta(\mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_m) , \qquad (4)$$

where $R_{\rm m}$ indicates the position of the sticky sites, indexed by the entire numbers $m_{\rm y}, m_{\rm z}$. But, in general, $F({\bf R})$ is an arbitrary function. The parameter λ is just an order parameter.

The number density of particles of species i at \mathbf{r}_1 is $\rho_i(\mathbf{r}_1)$. We define the regular part of this function by means of the relation

$$\rho_i(\mathbf{r}_1) = e^{-\beta v_i(\mathbf{r}_1)} y_i(\mathbf{r}_1) = y_i(\mathbf{r}_1) [1 + \lambda \delta(x_1) F(\mathbf{R}_1)].$$
(5)

Consider now the Born-Green-Yvon equation for a mixture near a planar interface:

$$k_{B}T \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \rho_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{1})$$

$$= y_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \lambda F_{i}(\mathbf{R}_{1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \delta(x_{1}) - \rho_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} u_{i}(x_{1})$$

$$- \rho_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \sum_{i} \int d\mathbf{r}_{2} \rho_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) g_{ij}(1,2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} u_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{12}) , \quad (6)$$

where $u_i(x_1)$, $u_{ij}(r_{12})$ are the 1 and 2 body forces, $g_{ij}(1,2)$ is the inhomogeneous pair correlation function.

If we integrate Eq. (6) over \mathbf{r}_1 , divide by S, the area of the interface, and use the definitions

$$\bar{\rho}_i(x_1) = \frac{1}{S} \int d\mathbf{R}_1 \rho_i(\mathbf{r}_1) , \qquad (7)$$

$$\overline{Y}_i(x_1) = \frac{1}{S} \int d\mathbf{R}_i y_i(\mathbf{r}_1) F(\mathbf{R}_1) , \qquad (8)$$

we get, after a short calculation,

$$k_{B}T \sum_{i} \left[\bar{\rho}_{i}(\infty) - \bar{\rho}_{i}(0) \right]$$

$$= -\lambda \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\bar{Y}_{i}(x) \right|_{x=0}$$

$$- \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i} \int d\mathbf{r}_{1} \rho_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} u_{i}(x_{1})$$

$$- \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i,i} \int d\mathbf{r}_{1} d\mathbf{r}_{2} \rho_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \rho_{j}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) g_{ij}^{(1,2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} u_{ij}(r_{12}) (9)$$

and

$$k_{B}T \sum_{i} \bar{\rho}_{i}(0)$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \overline{Y}_{i}(x) \Big|_{x=0}$$

$$+ P - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i} \int d\mathbf{r}_{1} \rho_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_{i}(x) ,$$
(10)

where P is the bulk pressure. The last term depends on the explicit form of the wall particle interaction. For a flat, charged wall, we get using

$$u_i(x) = e_i \times E_0, \tag{11}$$

where e_i is the charge, and E_0 is the bare field at the surface. Using electroneutrality, we get, after a short calculation,

$$k_B T \sum_{i} \bar{\rho}_i(0) = \lambda \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \overline{Y}_i(x) \Big|_{x=0} + P + \frac{\epsilon E_0^2}{8\pi}$$
(12)

which is the extension of the contact theorem⁵ to a sticky surface with an arbitrary sticky potential $\lambda F(\mathbf{R})$. The new term can be put in a slightly more explicit form for a surface with a sticky point lattice [Eq. (4)]

$$\lambda \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \overline{Y}_{i}(x) \bigg|_{x=0} = \frac{\lambda}{\omega} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} y_{i}(x,0) \bigg|_{x=0} , \quad (13)$$

where we have assumed that the sticky point is at the origin of the lattice, and ω is the area of the unit cell.

Equation (13) also applies to the case of a mixture of ions and hard solvent molecules, in which case the dielectirc constant is $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 = 1$ in the Gaussian system.

The above procedure can be used to extend the contact theorem previously derived for an ideally polarizable interface. The result is [using the notation of Ref. 6, for the left (L) and right (R) phases]

$$P^{L} - P^{R} = \alpha^{L} \left[\overline{\phi}(0) - \phi^{L} \right] - \alpha^{R} \left[\overline{\phi}(0) - \phi^{R} \right]$$

$$+ k_{B} T \sum \left[\overline{\rho}_{i}^{L} (-\sigma_{i}/2) - \overline{\rho}_{i}^{R} (\sigma_{i}/2) \right]$$

$$- k_{B} T \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \sum \overline{Y}_{i}^{L} (-\sigma_{i}/2 - x) \right.$$

$$\left. - \overline{Y}_{i}^{R} (\sigma_{i}/2 + x) \right|_{x=0} \right\}.$$

$$(14)$$

In a recent publication, F. Cornu⁷ has verified these theorems for the case of the two-dimensional one component plasma.

L. B. is supported by the Office of Naval Research. He also thanks Professor P. Turq and Professor B. Jancovici for their hospitality at the University of Paris, where part of this research was done. We thank Dr. F. Cornu for communicating her results prior to publication.

¹W. A. Steele, J. Chem. Phys. **65**, 9256 (1978); P. A. Monson, W. A. Steele, and D. J. Henderson, *ibid.* **74**, 6431 (1981); S. Sokolowski and W. A. Steele, *ibid.* **82**, 2499, 3413 (1985).

²R. J. Baxter, J. Chem. Phys. **49**, 2770 (1968).

³J. W. Perram and E. R. Smith, Chem. Phys. Lett. **39**, 328 (1976); Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A **353**, 193 (1977).

⁴M. L. Rosinberg, J. L. Lebowitz, and L. Blum, J. Stat. Phys. **44**, 153 (1986); J. P. Badiali, L. Blum, and M. L. Rosinberg, Chem. Phys. Lett. **129**, 149 (1986); J. M. Caillol, D. Levesque, and J. J. Weis, J. Chem. Phys. **87**, 6150 (1987).

⁵D. J. Henderson, L. Blum, and J. L. Lebowitz, J. Electroanal. Chem. **102**, 315 (1979); L. Blum and D. J. Henderson, J. Chem. Phys. **74**, 1902 (1981).

⁶M. L. Rosinberg, L. Blum, and J. L. Lebowitz, J. Chem. Phys. 83, 892 (1985).

⁷F. Cornu, J. Stat. Phys. (submitted).

Study of the thermodynamic properties of the Tilr intermetallic molecule

Mario Pelino

Dipartimento di Chimica, Ingeneria Chimica e Materiali, Università di L'Aquila, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy

K. A. Gingerich and S. K. Gupta

Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843

(Received 24 June 1988; accepted 12 October 1988)

The Engel-Brewer theory of metal bonding 1 predicts very high thermodynamic stability for the metal alloys between a d electron deficient transition metal and a transition metal which contains filled d orbitals. According to this ap-

proach, an increase in the number of bonds and thus in the bond strength results from the transfer of electrons from the platinum group metal to the vacant d orbitals of the d electron deficient metal. Several experimental investigations²⁻⁵