

Physical Adsorption and the Free Volume Model for Liquids

Terrell L. Hill

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics 17, 590 (1949); doi: 10.1063/1.1747341

View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1747341

View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/17/6?ver=pdfcov

Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

On the FreeVolume Model of the LiquidGlass Transition

J. Chem. Phys. 52, 3038 (1970); 10.1063/1.1673434

"Free Volume" and the Viscosity of Liquid Water

J. Chem. Phys. 38, 1568 (1963); 10.1063/1.1776922

"Free Volumes" in Liquids

J. Chem. Phys. 31, 1423 (1959); 10.1063/1.1730616

Ultrasonic Propagation in Liquids. II. Theoretical Study of the Free Volume Model of the Liquid State

J. Chem. Phys. 14, 614 (1946); 10.1063/1.1724073

Free Volumes and Free Angle Ratios of Molecules in Liquids

J. Chem. Phys. 6, 620 (1938); 10.1063/1.1750134



Physical Adsorption and the Free Volume Model for Liquids

TERRELL L. HILL Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York April 19, 1949

SURFACE tension has been treated by Lennard-Jones and Corner, using a free volume model for the liquid state. We extend this method here to a liquid-like adsorbate (of, say, three or more layers) on a free adsorbent surface.

For a "free-volume" liquid,2

$$-A_0/kT = N[\ln(2\pi mkT/h^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}j(T) + 1 + \ln v_f^0 + (\chi_0/kT)]. \quad (1)$$

Consider a molecule at x, y, z in a cell with center at x', y', z'(same origin). If we now remove the liquid occupying the regions z < 0 and z > h and insert the adsorbent in the region z<0, we have a slab of adsorbate (assumed as a first approximation to have the liquid density uniformly) between z=0and z = h. This alters the potential field in which the molecule is moving from φ_0 to

$$\varphi = \varphi_0 - \Phi(z) - \Phi(h - z) + \varphi_a(z), \tag{2}$$

where $\Phi(z)$ is the energy of interaction of a molecule at z with the semi-infinite liquid, z < 0, and $\varphi_a(z)$ is analogous for the adsorbent, z < 0. Suppose the minimum in φ is at $z = z_0$. Then for the adsorbate, we replace $N_1 - \chi_0$, and v_1 in Eq. (1) by Ndz'/h,

$$-\chi(z') = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_0(z_0 - z') - \frac{1}{2}\Phi(z_0) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi(h - z_0) + \varphi_a(z_0), \quad (3)$$

and

$$v_f(z') = \int \exp[-(\varphi - \varphi(z_0))/kT] dx dy dz, \tag{4}$$

and integrate³ from z'=0 to z'=h to give -A/kT. Then,

$$\ln p/p_0 = \partial [(A - A_0)/kT]/\partial N \tag{5}$$

$$(A-A_0)/kT = N[\ln v_f^0 - \langle \ln v_f \rangle_{Av} + (\chi_0 - \bar{\chi})/kT], \qquad (6)$$

where $\bar{\chi}$ is $\chi(z')$ averaged from z'=0 to z'=h, etc.

As an initial rough approximation, we cancel the free volume terms in Eq. (6) (putting $z_0 = z'$) and compute $\chi_0 - \bar{\chi}$ from a van der Waals model⁴ (neighbor distribution uniform outside of $r=r^*$), taking for the interaction between two liquid molecules,

$$u(r) = \infty \ r \leqslant r^*$$

= $-\epsilon (r^*/r)^6 \ r > r^*.$ (7)

An analogous expression (parameters ϵ_1 , r_1) is used for the liquid molecule-adsorbent molecule interaction. From⁵ Eqs. (5) and (6), without further approximation, we find eventually (details to be published)

$$\ln \phi/\phi_0 = (\delta/\Gamma^3) - \left[\delta_1/(\Gamma + r_1\rho)^3\right] \tag{8}$$

$$\cong (\delta - \delta_1)/\Gamma^3$$
 (9)

$$\delta = \pi \rho^4 \epsilon r^{*6} / 6kT \tag{10}$$

$$\delta_1 = \pi \rho_1 \rho^3 \epsilon_1 r_1^6 / 6kT, \tag{11}$$

where $\Gamma = N/\Omega$, ρ is the liquid density (N/V), ρ_1 the adsorbent density, and a the surface area. The case of physical interest is $\delta - \delta_1 < 0$. The power three in Eq. (9) follows from Eq. (7) (i.e., m-3 with m=6). Introduction of a repulsive term should, in effect (for h not too large), reduce this power slightly. Near $p/p_0=1$, Γ is proportional to $[1-(p/p_0)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. This leads to convergence at $p/p_0=1$ of the Gibbs integral for the surface pressure.

Halsey⁸ has obtained essentially Eq. (9) by a rather less explicit argument. He finds excellent agreement with experiment for N_2 on anatase with 2.267 in place of 3 in Eq. (9).

We are using a modified Lennard-Jones and Devonshire liquid model for a detailed application of Eqs. (2)-(6).

In a future note we shall outline the analogous extension of Fowler's1.7 treatment of surface tension.

- ¹Lennard-Jones and J. Corner, Trans. Faraday Soc. 36, 1156 (1940); J. Corner, *ibid.* 44, 1036 (1948), Also, see J. G. Kirkwood and F. P. Buff, J. Chem. Phys. 17, 338 (1949), for a rigorous treatment.

 ² T. L. Hill, J. Phys. and Coll. Chem. 51, 1219 (1947).

 ³ In calculations it will probably prove desirable to treat the top and bottom layers separately, as in reference 1 (top layer). Otherwise, a smeared out (average) distribution of *cell centers* is physically realistic.

 ⁴ T. L. Hill, J. Chem. Ed. 25, 347 (1948).

 ⁵ Using Eq. (2) of T. L. Hill, J. Chem. Phys. 16, 181 (1948).

 ⁶ G. Halsey, J. Chem. Phys. 16, 931 (1948).

 ⁷ R. H. Fowler, Proc. Roy, Soc. A159, 229 (1937).