

Bipyramidal Heptacovalent Bond Orbitals

George H. Duffey

Citation: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **18**, 943 (1950); doi: 10.1063/1.1747815

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1747815>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/18/7?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

[Relative Bond Strengths in Trigonal Bipyramid Molecules](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **35**, 228 (1961); 10.1063/1.1731894

[Hexacovalent Bond Orbitals. IV](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **19**, 92 (1951); 10.1063/1.1747996

[Hexacovalent Bond Orbitals II](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **18**, 128 (1950); 10.1063/1.1747430

[Hexacovalent Bond Orbitals I](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **17**, 1328 (1949); 10.1063/1.1747162

[Bipyramidal Pentacovalent Bond Orbitals](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **17**, 196 (1949); 10.1063/1.1747211



TABLE II.

Anions	Graphical (Li-Na-K)	Xanion		
		Pascal	Graphical (Mg-Zn-Cd)	Graphical (Ca-Sr-Ba)
Formate	20.50	16.90	20.00	15.00
Acetate	34.00	28.76	29.50	25.00
Propionate	44.00	40.62	39.75	44.00
Butyrate	55.50	52.48	52.50	52.50
Palmitate	189.0	194.8	185.0	187.5
Stearate	206.0	218.5	209.0	212.0
Oleate	197.0	207.9	—	—
Oxalate	34.40	27.94	38.00	34.00
Malonate	45.50	39.80	52.00	53.00
Succinate	58.80	51.66	60.00	36.00
Tartrate	68.00	60.88	60.00	68.00
Citrate	79.50	79.17	—	—
Benzoate	72.50	67.72	70.00	68.50
Salicylate	81.00	73.93	75.50	74.50
Cinnamate	89.00	80.03	—	—
Hippurate	98.50	92.35	—	—

TABLE III.

Series	XLi ⁺		XNa ⁺		XK ⁺	
	XA	XB	XA	XB	XA	XB
Formate	1.10	1.0	3.97	5.00	10.62	9.00
Acetate	1.18	1.14	5.19	5.70	10.55	10.26
Propionate	1.87	1.26	4.90	6.30	13.23	11.34
Butyrate	1.65	1.54	6.10	7.70	14.54	13.86
Palmitate	2.40	1.74	7.40	8.70	16.90	16.66
Oleate	2.40	2.24	13.10	11.20	18.20	20.16
Stearate	3.10	3.06	17.10	15.30	26.30	27.54
Benzoate	1.36	1.06	5.07	5.30	10.12	9.54
Salicylate	1.49	1.24	4.74	6.20	12.65	11.16
Cinnamate	2.39	2.14	9.65	10.70	21.70	19.26
Hippurate	2.74	2.54	13.30	12.70	21.00	22.86
Oxalate	1.81	1.70	8.40	8.50	15.62	15.30
Malonate	1.84	1.60	8.15	8.00	13.75	14.40
Succinate	1.70	1.75	9.01	8.75	15.40	15.75
Tartrate	2.29	2.10	11.99	10.50	17.26	18.90
Citrate	2.12	1.96	10.40	9.80	17.05	17.64

Ikenmeyer's Relation

Ikenmeyer's relation³ has been examined in the case of alkali salts of organic acids and the graphs obtained are shown in Fig. 2. These curves show none of the linearity which is obtained by the authors' method of plotting. There is, however, a general similarity between the curves for each element of a group in the periodic table.

³ K. Ikenmeyer, *Ann. d. Physik* **1**, 169 (1929).

SUMMARY

The linear relation between χ_m and the number of electrons in the cations established in Part I of this paper was observed to hold good for the alkali salts containing a common organic anion. From this relation, values have been calculated for the susceptibilities of the cations and anions in these salts.

The authors are grateful for a very helpful discussion with Dr. W. K. Wilmarth of the University of Southern California in this series of papers.

Bipyramidal Heptacovalent Bond Orbitals

GEORGE H. DUFFEY

Chemistry Department, South Dakota State College, Brookings, South Dakota

(Received April 6, 1950)

A set of seven orthogonal bond orbitals of symmetry D_{5h} is considered. The orbitals are of type sp^3d^2 . When the attached groups are all equivalent (such as in the bipyramidal AB_7 structure), the equatorial orbitals and the axial orbitals are strong. In general, the composition of an axial orbital differs considerably from the composition of an equatorial orbital. The discussion supports the view that IF_7 has a pentagonal bipyramidal structure.

SEVERAL arrangements of seven groups around a central atom are possible. Kimball¹ considered the ZrF_7^{-3} and TaF_7^{-2} structures. Recently, evidence² supporting a pentagonal bipyramidal structure for IF_7 has been presented.

In this paper the method of directed valence bonds³ is used in discussing the pentagonal bipyramidal structure of symmetry D_{5h} . The fivefold axis is assumed to lie on the Z axis. The s , p , and d wave functions are

¹ G. Kimball, *J. Chem. Phys.* **8**, 188 (1940).

² Lord, Lynch, Schumb, and Slowinski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **72**, 522 (1950).

³ L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond* (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1940).

defined in the same way as in previous papers⁴ by the author.

The five equivalent bonds lying in the xy plane, separated by angles of $2\pi/5$, may be represented by the functions

$$\psi_1 = \{[1/(5)^{1/2}]\sin\alpha\}s + [\sqrt{2}/(5)^{1/2}]p_z - \{[1/(5)^{1/2}]\cos\alpha\}d_z + [\sqrt{2}/(5)^{1/2}]d_{xy}, \quad (1)$$

$$\psi_2 = \{[1/(5)^{1/2}]\sin\alpha\}s + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{1/2}]\cos 72^\circ\}p_z + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{1/2}]\sin 72^\circ\}p_y - \{[1/(5)^{1/2}]\cos\alpha\}d_z + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{1/2}]\cos 144^\circ\}d_{xy} + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{1/2}]\sin 144^\circ\}d_{x+y}, \quad (2)$$

⁴ See G. H. Duffey, *J. Chem. Phys.* **17**, 196 (1949).

TABLE I. Results of calculations on pentagonal bipyramidal orbitals.

Function maximized	Amount ^a of <i>s</i> in an equatorial orbital	<i>S</i> ₁ , Strength of an equatorial orbital	Amount ^a of <i>s</i> in an axial orbital	<i>S</i> ₆ , Strength of an axial orbital
5 <i>S</i> ₁ +2 <i>S</i> ₆	0.1311	2.976	0.1723	2.920
<i>S</i> ₁	0.0889	2.991	0.2778	2.806
<i>S</i> ₆	0.1667	2.933	0.0833	2.957

^a Note that the *p* content of any equatorial orbital is $\frac{2}{3}$; the *p* content of any axial orbital is $\frac{1}{2}$.

$$\psi_3 = \{[1/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\sin\alpha\}s + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\cos 144^\circ\}p_z + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\sin 144^\circ\}p_y - \{[1/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\cos\alpha\}d_z + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\cos 72^\circ\}d_{xy} - \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\sin 72^\circ\}d_{x+y}, \quad (3)$$

$$\psi_4 = \{[1/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\sin\alpha\}s + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\cos 144^\circ\}p_z - \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\sin 144^\circ\}p_y - \{[1/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\cos\alpha\}d_z + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\cos 72^\circ\}d_{xy} + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\sin 72^\circ\}d_{x+y}, \quad (4)$$

$$\psi_5 = \{[1/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\sin\alpha\}s + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\cos 72^\circ\}p_z - \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\sin 72^\circ\}p_y - \{[1/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\cos\alpha\}d_z + \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\cos 144^\circ\}d_{xy} - \{[\sqrt{2}/(5)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\sin 144^\circ\}d_{x+y}. \quad (5)$$

In testing these functions for orthogonality and in determining their composition, use the relation

$$\cos 144^\circ + \cos 72^\circ = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (6)$$

This relation can be proved with the help of well-known trigonometric identities.

If it is assumed that the maxima of the *p* and *d* functions for the axial bonds lie on the *Z* axis⁴ and that the orbitals are of symmetry *D*_{5h}, the axial bonds may be represented by the functions

$$\psi_6 = \{[1/\sqrt{2}]\cos\beta\}s + (1/\sqrt{2})p_z + \{[1/\sqrt{2}]\sin\beta\}d_z, \quad (7)$$

$$\psi_7 = \{[1/\sqrt{2}]\cos\beta\}s - (1/\sqrt{2})p_z + \{[1/\sqrt{2}]\sin\beta\}d_z. \quad (8)$$

Let

$$\beta = \alpha, \quad (9)$$

so that these seven functions form an orthogonal set. Their over-all composition is *sp*³*d*³.

Two functions orthogonal to $\psi_1 \cdots \psi_7$ can be set up as follows:

$$\psi_8 = d_{x+z}, \quad (10)$$

$$\psi_9 = d_{y+z}. \quad (11)$$

Let the maximum value of ψ_1 be called *S*₁, and the maximum value of ψ_6 , *S*₆. Consider molecules or ions

of type *AB*₇. Assume the energy of each *A-B* bond is given by Eq. (13) in "Hexavalent bond orbitals III."⁵ In general, *W* for an axial bond differs from *W* for an equatorial bond. However, if the degree of ionic character of an axial bond and of an equatorial bond are assumed to be equal and constant, the sum of the *W*'s and, incidentally, the sum of the *R*'s over all bonds in *AB*₇ are not changed when α is changed. Then the value of α giving the largest sum of the bond energies is found by maximizing 5*S*₁+2*S*₆. The results are given in the first row of Table I. Note that the composition of an axial bond orbital is near the composition of a cylindrical octahedral bond orbital.

When a molecule *AB*₇ assumes the pentagonal bipyramidal structure, one might expect considerable repulsion to exist between the five equatorial *B*'s. It is of interest to compare this structure with the dodecahedral structure^{3,6} as assumed by Mo(CN)₈⁴⁻. Since in the dodecahedral structure there are fourteen angles equal to about 73°40', one would expect that a radius ratio which was favorable to the formation of a dodecahedral structure would probably be favorable to the formation of the pentagonal bipyramidal structure.

In Mo(CN)₈⁴⁻ the ratio of the covalent radius³ of carbon to the covalent radius of molybdenum is 0.56. In IF₇ the ratio of the covalent radius of fluorine to the covalent radius of iodine is about 0.50, a more favorable value. The pentagonal bipyramidal structure for IF₇ uses one 5*s*, three 5*p*, and three 5*d* orbitals of the iodine atom, the orbitals most readily available for hybridization in heptavalent iodine. From Table I the strength of an equatorial orbital is 2.976 and the strength of an axial orbital is 2.920. We tentatively conclude that the pentagonal bipyramidal structure is a reasonable structure for IF₇.

In each of the metal atoms of ZrF₇³⁻, CbF₇²⁻, and TaF₇²⁻ there are no unshared electrons in the valence shells and the *p* orbitals are more unstable than the *s* or *d* orbitals. Hence each tends to use a heptavalent configuration approaching type *spd*⁶ rather than *sp*³*d*³, the type which might yield the bipyramidal structure.

The other results recorded in Table I are of some interest. For instance, in a pentagonal plane molecule of type *AB*₅, $\psi_1 \cdots \psi_5$ are used as the bond orbitals, and the best value of α is found by maximizing *S*₁ if the *s* and *d* orbitals are of equal stability.

⁵ G. H. Duffey, J. Chem. Phys. **18**, 510 (1950).

⁶ J. L. Hoard and H. H. Nordsieck, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **61**, 2853 (1939).