LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Serum MicroRNA Screening for DICER1-Associated Pleuropulmonary Blastoma

To the Editor: We read with interest the article 'Judicious DICER1 Testing and Surveillance Imaging Facilitates Early Diagnosis and Cure of Pleuropulmonary Blastoma' [1], as it raised pertinent issues for the management of families known to carry DICER1 mutations. The authors suggest that to detect earlystage (i.e., Type I) pleuropulmonary blastoma (PPB), for which survival rates are > 90% [2], children known to harbor a germline DICER1 mutation should receive CT chest scan at 3 months of age, and again at 1-2 years if the first scan is negative [1]. Although the majority of patients with PPB are found to have germline DICER1 mutations, penetrance is low. The majority of mutation carriers are unaffected [3], with only 10-20% estimated to develop PPB. Consequently, any screening programmes for PPB in patients with germline DICER1 mutations needs to be as non-invasive as possible, minimizing exposure to ionizing radiation. Serum microRNA profiling may be an important addition to any programme of radiological surveillance. Serum microRNAs show considerable promise as cancer biomarkers [4], particularly as they are highly stable and resistant to degradation [5]. We recently identified a panel of microRNAs that were more abundant in the serum of a 2-year-old female at the time-ofdiagnosis of an advanced (Type III) PPB, compared with patients with other solid tumors of childhood and a non-malignant control group [6]. The patient carried a germline DICER1 mutation, and the PPB cells showed a further somatic 'hotspot' mutation in the DICERI RNaseIIIb domain, consistent with other reports [7]. Amongst the over-expressed serum microRNAs, there was significant over-representation of -3p strands, in keeping with the observation that DICER1 RNaseIIIb hotspot mutations result in a -3p strand bias in affected tissues [8]. Two specific microRNAs from this panel (miR-125a-3p/miR-125b-2-3p), had highly elevated serum levels at PPB diagnosis and demonstrated early treatment-related reductions [6]. Importantly, in healthy family members with germline DICER1 mutations, serum levels of these two microRNAs were similar to the control group, suggesting that the changes in the patient were directly attributable to release of microRNAs from the PPB tumor cells into the bloodstream and not from the germline DICER1 mutation per se. Comprehensive evaluation of the clinical utility of serum microRNAs is now warranted in two patient groups. First, as a longitudinal screening-tool in patients with germline DICER1 mutations, initially in parallel with judicious radiological imaging, to identify whether levels of PPB-specific serum microRNAs [6] are elevated in early-stage disease, where outcomes are more favorable [2]. Second, in patients presenting de novo with a lung lesion, in order to resolve diagnostic dilemmas, for example, distinguishing PPB from developmental anomalies such as congenital cystic adenomatous malformation (CCAM) [9]. As CCAMs are not associated with germline and somatic DICER1 mutations, we hypothesize that the serum profiles obtained would not show the PPB-associated -3p strand bias. In summary, if the utility of longitudinal serum microRNA monitoring is confirmed in a larger cohort of patients with

germline *DICER1* mutations, the resultant decrease in CT scans will reduce the associated radiation-risk to babies and very young children [10].

Shivani Bailey, MD Department of Paediatric Haematology and Oncology Addenbrooke's Hospital Hills Road, Cambridge, UK

> Department of Pathology University of Cambridge Tennis Court Road Cambridge, UK

> Katie L. Raby, MSc Department of Pathology University of Cambridge Tennis Court Road Cambridge, UK

Nicholas Coleman, MD, PhD*
Department of Pathology
University of Cambridge
Tennis Court Road
Cambridge, UK

Department of Histopathology Addenbrooke's Hospital Hills Road, Cambridge, UK

Matthew J. Murray, MD, PhD*
Department of Paediatric
Haematology and Oncology
Addenbrooke's Hospital
Hills Road, Cambridge, UK

Department of Pathology University of Cambridge Tennis Court Road Cambridge, UK

Conflict of interest: None

*Correspondence to: Dr. Matthew J. Murray and Professor Nicholas Coleman, Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QP, UK. Email: mjm16@cam.ac.uk (Murray), nc109@cam.ac.uk (Coleman)

Received 16 June 2014; Accepted 9 July 2014

2330 Bailey et al.

REFERENCES

- 1. Schultz KA, Harris A, Williams GM, et al. Judicious DICER1 testing and surveillance imaging facilitates early diagnosis and cure of pleuropulmonary blastoma. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2014;
- $2. \quad Bisogno\ G,\ Brennan\ B,\ Orbach\ D,\ et\ al.\ Treatment\ and\ prognostic\ factors\ in\ pleuropulmonary\ blastoma:$ An EXPeRT report. Eur J Cancer 2014;50:178–184.
- 3. Slade I, Bacchelli C, Davies H, et al. DICER1 syndrome: Clarifying the diagnosis, clinical features and management implications of a pleiotropic tumour predisposition syndrome. J Med Genet 2011;48:273-
- 4. Murray MJ, Coleman N. Testicular cancer: A new generation of biomarkers for malignant germ cell tumours. Nat Rev Urol 2012;9:298-300.
- 5. Mitchell PS, Parkin RK, Kroh EM, et al. Circulating microRNAs as stable blood-based markers for cancer detection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:10513-10518.
- Murray MJ, Bailey S, Raby KL, et al. Serum levels of mature microRNAs in DICER1-mutated pleuropulmonary blastoma. Oncogenesis 2014;3:e87.
 Heravi-Moussavi A, Anglesio MS, Cheng SW, et al. Recurrent somatic DICER1 mutations in
- nonepithelial ovarian cancers. N Engl J Med 2012;366:234–242.

 8. Anglesio MS, Wang Y, Yang W, et al. Cancer-associated somatic DICER1 hotspot mutations cause defective miRNA processing and reverse-strand expression bias to predominantly mature 3p strands through loss of 5p strand cleavage. J Pathol 2013;229:400-409.
- Hill DA, Dehner LP. A cautionary note about congenital cystic adenomatoid malformation (CCAM) type 4. Am J Surg Pathol 2004;28:554–555 author reply 555.
- 10. Ahmed BA, Connolly BL, Shroff P, et al. Cumulative effective doses from radiologic procedures for pediatric oncology patients. Pediatrics 2010;126:e851-858.