FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa



Starlikeness of integral transforms and duality

Rosihan M. Ali a,*, Abeer O. Badghaish b, V. Ravichandran c, A. Swaminathan d

- ^a School of Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM Penang, Malaysia
- ^b Mathematics Department, King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- ^c Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India
- ^d Department of Mathematics, I.I.T. Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 January 2011 Available online 14 July 2011 Submitted by S. Ruscheweyh

Keywords: Duality Convolution Univalence Starlike functions Integral transforms

ABSTRACT

For λ satisfying a certain admissibility criteria, sufficient conditions are obtained that ensure the integral transform

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) := \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt$$

maps normalized analytic functions f satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} e^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \left((1 - \alpha + 2\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - 2\gamma) f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z) - \beta \right) > 0$$

into the class of starlike functions. Several interesting examples of λ are considered. Connections with various earlier works are made, and the results obtained not only reduce to those earlier works, but indeed improved certain known results. As a consequence, the smallest value $\beta < 1$ is obtained that ensures a function f satisfying $\text{Re}(f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z) + \gamma z^2 f'''(z)) > \beta$ is starlike.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal A$ denote the class of analytic functions f in the unit disk $\mathbb D:=\{z\in\mathbb C\colon |z|<1\}$ with the normalization f(0)=0=f'(0)-1, and let $\mathcal S$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal A$ consisting of functions univalent in $\mathbb D$. A function f in $\mathcal A$ is starlike if $f(\mathbb D)$ is starlike with respect to the origin. Analytically this geometric property is equivalent to the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The subclass of S consisting of starlike functions is denoted by S^* . For any two functions $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$ and $g(z) = z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots$ in A, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is the function f * g defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

E-mail addresses: rosihan@cs.usm.my (R.M. Ali), abadghaish@kau.edu.sa (A.O. Badghaish), vravi@maths.du.ac.in (V. Ravichandran), swamifma@iitr.ernet.in, mathswami@gmail.com (A. Swaminathan).

^{*} The work presented here was supported in part by a research grant from Universiti Sains Malaysia. The third author is currently a Visiting Professor at Universiti Sains Malaysia.

^{*} Corresponding author.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, Fournier and Ruscheweyh [6] introduced the operator

$$F(z) = V_{\lambda}(f)(z) := \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt, \tag{1.1}$$

where λ is a non-negative real-valued integrable function satisfying the condition $\int_0^1 \lambda(t) dt = 1$. They used the Duality Principle [14,15] to prove starlikeness of the linear integral transform $V_{\lambda}(f)$ over functions f in the class

$$\mathcal{P}(\beta) := \{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists \phi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } \operatorname{Re} e^{i\phi} (f'(z) - \beta) > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \}.$$

Such problems were previously handled using the theory of subordination (see for example [10]). The duality methodology seems to work best in the sense that it gives sharp estimates of the parameter β , in situations where it can be applied.

This duality technique is now popularly used by several authors to discuss similar problems. In 2001, Kim and Rønning [8] investigated starlikeness properties of the integral transform (1.1) for functions f in the class

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\beta) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} \colon \exists \phi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } \operatorname{Re} e^{i\phi} \left((1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) - \beta \right) > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

In a recent paper Ponnusamy and Rønning [12] discussed this problem for functions f in the class

$$\mathcal{R}_{\gamma}(\beta) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} \colon \exists \phi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } \operatorname{Re} e^{i\phi} \left(f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z) - \beta \right) > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

For $\alpha \geqslant 0$, $\gamma \geqslant 0$ and $\beta < 1$, define the class

$$\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha,\gamma) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} \colon \exists \phi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } \operatorname{Re} e^{i\phi} \left((1 - \alpha + 2\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - 2\gamma) f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z) - \beta \right) > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

It is evident that $\mathcal{P}(\beta) \equiv \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(1,0)$, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\beta) \equiv \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha,0)$, and $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma}(\beta) \equiv \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(1+2\gamma,\gamma)$.

The class $W_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ is closely related to the class $R(\alpha, \gamma, h)$ consisting of all functions $f \in A$ satisfying

$$f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z) + \gamma z^2 f'''(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

with $h(z) := h_{\beta}(z) = (1 + (1 - 2\beta)z)/(1 - z)$. Here $q(z) \prec h(z)$ indicates that the function q is subordinate to h, or in other words, there is an analytic function w satisfying w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1, such that q(z) = h(w(z)), $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In the special case $\phi = 0$ in (1.2), it is evident that $f \in R(\alpha, \gamma, h_{\beta})$ if and only if zf' is in a subclass of $\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$. Functions $f \in R(\alpha, \gamma, h)$ for a suitably normalized convex function h have a double integral representation, which was recently investigated by Ali et al. [1].

Interestingly, the general integral transform $V_{\lambda}(f)$ in (1.1) reduces to various well-known integral operators for specific choices of λ . For example,

$$\lambda(t) := (1+c)t^c, \quad c > -1.$$

gives the Bernardi integral operator, while the choice

$$\lambda(t) := \frac{(a+1)^p}{\Gamma(p)} t^a \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{p-1}, \quad a > -1, \ p \geqslant 0,$$

gives the Komatu operator [9]. Clearly for p = 1 the Komatu operator is in fact the Bernardi operator.

For a certain choice of λ , the integral operator V_{λ} is the convolution between a function f and the Gaussian hypergeometric function $F(a,b;c;z) := {}_2F_1(a,b;c;z)$, which is related to the general Hohlov operator [7] given by

$$H_{a,b,c}(f) := zF(a,b;c;z) * f(z).$$

In the special case a=1, the operator reduces to the Carlson–Shaffer operator [5]. Here ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z)$ is the Gaussian hypergeometric function given by the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where the Pochhammer symbol is used to indicate $(a)_n = a(a+1)_{n-1}$, $(a)_0 = 1$, and where a, b, c are real parameters with $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$

In the present manuscript, the Duality Principle is used to investigate the starlikeness of the integral transform $V_{\lambda}(f)$ in (1.1) over the class $\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha,\gamma)$. In Section 3, the best value of $\beta<1$ is determined ensuring that $V_{\lambda}(f)$ maps $\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha,\gamma)$ into the class of normalized univalent functions \mathcal{S} . Additionally, necessary and sufficient conditions are determined that ensure $V_{\lambda}(f)$ is starlike univalent over the class $\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha,\gamma)$. In Section 4, we find easier sufficient conditions for $V_{\lambda}(f)$ to be starlike, and Section 5 is devoted to several applications of results obtained for specific choices of the admissible function λ . In particular, the smallest value $\beta<1$ is obtained that ensures a function f satisfying $\text{Re}(f'(z)+\alpha z f''(z)+\gamma z^2 f'''(z))>\beta$ in the unit disk is starlike.

2. Preliminaries

First we introduce two constants $\mu \geqslant 0$ and $\nu \geqslant 0$ satisfying

$$\mu + \nu = \alpha - \gamma$$
 and $\mu \nu = \gamma$. (2.1)

When $\gamma=0$, then μ is chosen to be 0, in which case, $\nu=\alpha\geqslant 0$. When $\alpha=1+2\gamma$, (2.1) yields $\mu+\nu=1+\gamma=1+\mu\nu$, or $(\mu-1)(1-\nu)=0$.

- (i) For $\gamma > 0$, then choosing $\mu = 1$ gives $\nu = \gamma$.
- (ii) For $\nu = 0$, then $\mu = 0$ and $\nu = \alpha = 1$.

In the sequel, whenever the particular case $\alpha=1+2\gamma$ is considered, the values of μ and ν for $\gamma>0$ will be taken as $\mu=1$ and $\nu=\gamma$ respectively, while $\mu=0$ and $\nu=1=\alpha$ in the case $\gamma=0$.

Next we introduce two auxiliary functions. Let

$$\phi_{\mu,\nu}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)}{n+1} z^n,$$
(2.2)

and

$$\psi_{\mu,\nu}(z) = \phi_{\mu,\nu}^{-1}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} z^n$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{ds \, dt}{(1-t^{\nu}s^{\mu}z)^2}.$$
(2.3)

Here $\phi_{\mu,\nu}^{-1}$ denotes the convolution inverse of $\phi_{\mu,\nu}$ such that $\phi_{\mu,\nu}*\phi_{\mu,\nu}^{-1}=z/(1-z)$. If $\gamma=0$, then $\mu=0$, $\nu=\alpha$, and it is clear that

$$\psi_{0,\alpha}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{n\alpha+1} z^n = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dt}{(1-t^{\alpha}z)^2}.$$

If $\gamma > 0$, then $\nu > 0$, $\mu > 0$, and making the change of variables $u = t^{\nu}$, $\nu = s^{\mu}$ results in

$$\psi_{\mu,\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1}}{(1 - uvz)^2} du dv.$$

Thus the function $\psi_{\mu,\nu}$ can be written as

$$\psi_{\mu,\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1}}{(1 - uvz)^2} du \, dv, & \gamma > 0, \\ \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1 - t^\alpha z)^2}, & \gamma = 0, \ \alpha \geqslant 0. \end{cases}$$
 (2.4)

Now let *g* be the solution of the initial-value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt}t^{1/\nu}(1+g(t)) = \begin{cases}
\frac{2}{\mu\nu}t^{1/\nu-1} \int_0^1 \frac{s^{1/\mu-1}}{(1+st)^2} ds, & \gamma > 0, \\
\frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{t^{1/\alpha-1}}{(1+t)^2}, & \gamma = 0, & \alpha > 0,
\end{cases}$$
(2.5)

satisfying g(0) = 1. It is easily seen that the solution is given by

$$g(t) = \frac{2}{\mu \nu} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{s^{1/\mu - 1} w^{1/\nu - 1}}{(1 + swt)^2} ds dw - 1 = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)(-1)^n t^n}{(1 + \mu n)(1 + \nu n)} - 1.$$
 (2.6)

In particular,

$$g_{\gamma}(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{0}^{1} s^{1/\gamma - 1} \frac{1 - st}{1 + st} ds, \quad \gamma > 0, \ \alpha = 1 + 2\gamma,$$

$$g_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{2}{\alpha} t^{-1/\alpha} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\tau^{1/\alpha - 1}}{(1 + \tau)^{2}} d\tau - 1, \quad \gamma = 0, \ \alpha > 0.$$
 (2.7)

3. Main results

Functions in the class $W_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ generally are not starlike; indeed, they may not even be univalent. Our central result below provides conditions for univalence and starlikeness.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mu \geqslant 0$, $\nu \geqslant 0$ satisfy (2.1), and let $\beta < 1$ satisfy

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = -\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t)g(t) dt, \tag{3.1}$$

where g is the solution of the initial-value problem (2.5). If $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$, then $F = V_{\lambda}(f) \in \mathcal{W}_{0}(1, 0) \subset \mathcal{S}$.

$$\Lambda_{\nu}(t) = \int_{t}^{1} \frac{\lambda(x)}{x^{1/\nu}} dx, \quad \nu > 0,$$
 (3.2)

$$\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) = \begin{cases}
\int_{t}^{1} \Lambda_{\nu}(x) x^{1/\nu - 1 - 1/\mu} dx, & \gamma > 0 \ (\mu > 0, \ \nu > 0), \\
\Lambda_{\alpha}(t), & \gamma = 0 \ (\mu = 0, \ \nu = \alpha > 0),
\end{cases}$$
(3.3)

and assume that $t^{1/\nu} \Lambda_{\nu}(t) \to 0$, and $t^{1/\mu} \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0^+$. Let

$$h(z) = \frac{z(1 + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{2}z)}{(1 - z)^2}, \quad |\epsilon| = 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) t^{1/\mu - 1} \left(\frac{h(tz)}{tz} - \frac{1}{(1+t)^{2}} \right) dt \geqslant 0, & \gamma > 0, \\ \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \Pi_{0,\alpha}(t) t^{1/\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{h(tz)}{tz} - \frac{1}{(1+t)^{2}} \right) dt \geqslant 0, & \gamma = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

if and only if $F(z) = V_{\lambda}(f)(z)$ is in S^* . This conclusion does not hold for smaller values of β .

Proof. Since the case $\gamma=0$ ($\mu=0$ and $\nu=\alpha$) corresponds to [8, Theorem 2.1], it is sufficient to consider only the case $\gamma>0$.

Let

$$H(z) = (1 - \alpha + 2\gamma)\frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - 2\gamma)f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z).$$

Since $\nu + \mu = \alpha - \gamma$ and $\mu \nu = \gamma$, then

$$\begin{split} H(z) &= \left(1 + \gamma - (\alpha - \gamma)\right) \frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - \gamma - \gamma) f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z) \\ &= (1 + \mu \nu - \nu - \mu) \frac{f(z)}{z} + (\nu + \mu - \mu \nu) f'(z) + \mu \nu z f''(z) \\ &= \mu \nu \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - 1\right) z^{-1} f(z) + \mu \nu \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 1\right) f'(z) + \nu f'(z) + \mu \nu z f''(z) \\ &= \mu \nu z^{1 - 1/\mu} \frac{d}{dz} \left[z^{1/\mu - 1/\nu + 1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 1\right) z^{1/\nu - 2} f(z) + z^{1/\nu - 1} f'(z) \right) \right] \\ &= \mu \nu z^{1 - 1/\mu} \frac{d}{dz} \left[z^{1/\mu - 1/\nu + 1} \frac{d}{dz} \left(z^{1/\nu - 1} f(z) \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

With $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, it follows from (2.2) that

$$H(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+1}(n\nu + 1)(n\mu + 1)z^n = f'(z) * \phi_{\mu,\nu},$$
(3.5)

and (2.3) yields

$$f'(z) = H(z) * \psi_{\mu,\nu}(z).$$
 (3.6)

Let g be given by

$$g(z) = \frac{H(z) - \beta}{1 - \beta}.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\phi} g(z) > 0$, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$g(z) = \frac{1+xz}{1+yz}, \quad |x| = 1, \ |y| = 1.$$
 (3.7)

Now (3.6) implies that $f'(z) = [(1-\beta)g(z) + \beta] * \psi_{\mu,\nu}$, and (3.7) readily gives

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \left((1 - \beta) \frac{1 + xw}{1 + yw} + \beta \right) dw * \psi(z), \tag{3.8}$$

where for convenience, we write $\psi := \psi_{\mu,\nu}$.

To show that $F \in \mathcal{S}$, the Noshiro–Warschawski Theorem asserts it is sufficient to prove that $F'(\mathbb{D})$ is contained in a half-plane not containing the origin. Now

$$F'(z) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\lambda(t)}{1 - tz} dt * f'(z) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\lambda(t)}{1 - tz} dt * \left((1 - \beta) \frac{1 + xz}{1 + yz} + \beta \right) * \psi(z)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \psi(tz) dt * \left((1 - \beta) \frac{1 + xz}{1 + yz} + \beta \right) = \left(\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left[(1 - \beta) \psi(tz) + \beta \right] dt \right) * \frac{1 + xz}{1 + yz}.$$

It is known [15, p. 23] that the dual set of functions g given by (3.7) consists of analytic functions q satisfying q(0) = 1 and Re q(z) > 1/2 in \mathbb{D} . Thus

$$F' \neq 0 \iff \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left[(1 - \beta) \psi(tz) + \beta \right] dt > \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\iff \operatorname{Re} (1 - \beta) \left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \psi(tz) dt + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} - \frac{1}{2(1 - \beta)} \right] > 0.$$

It follows from (3.1) and (2.4) that the latter condition is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left[\left(\frac{1}{\mu \nu} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1}}{(1 - u \nu t z)^{2}} du \, dv \right) - \left(\frac{1 + g(t)}{2} \right) \right] dt > 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Now

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left[\left(\frac{1}{\mu \nu} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1}}{(1 - u \nu t z)^{2}} du \, d\nu \right) - \left(\frac{1 + g(t)}{2} \right) \right] dt$$

$$\geqslant \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left[\left(\frac{1}{\mu \nu} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1}}{(1 + u \nu t)^{2}} du \, d\nu \right) - \left(\frac{1 + g(t)}{2} \right) \right] dt. \tag{3.10}$$

The condition (2.6) implies that

$$\frac{1+g(t)}{2} = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{w^{1/\nu-1}s^{1/\mu-1}}{(1+swt)^2} ds dw.$$

Substituting this value into (3.10) makes the integrand vanish, and so condition (3.9) holds. Consequently $F'(\mathbb{D}) \subset \operatorname{co} g(\mathbb{D})$ with g given by (3.7) ([15, p. 23], [13, Lemma 4, p. 146]), which gives $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\theta} F'(z) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence F is close-to-convex, and thus univalent.

If $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$, a well-known result in [15, p. 94] states that

$$F \in S^* \iff \frac{1}{z}(F * h)(z) \neq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where

$$h(z) = \frac{z(1 + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{2}z)}{(1 - z)^2}, \quad |\epsilon| = 1.$$

Hence $F \in S^*$ if and only if

$$0 \neq \frac{1}{z} \Big(V_{\lambda}(f)(z) * h(z) \Big) = \frac{1}{z} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt * h(z) \right]$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\lambda(t)}{1 - tz} dt * \frac{f(z)}{z} * \frac{h(z)}{z}.$$

From (3.8), it follows that

$$0 \neq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\lambda(t)}{1 - tz} dt * \left[\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \left((1 - \beta) \frac{1 + xw}{1 + yw} + \beta \right) dw * \psi(z) \right] * \frac{h(z)}{z}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\lambda(t)}{1 - tz} dt * \frac{h(z)}{z} * \left[\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \left((1 - \beta) \frac{1 + xw}{1 + yw} + \beta \right) dw \right] * \psi(z)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \frac{h(tz)}{tz} dt * (1 - \beta) \left[\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1 + xw}{1 + yw} dw + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \right] * \psi(z)$$

$$= (1 - \beta) \left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \frac{h(tz)}{tz} dt + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \right] * \frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1 + xw}{1 + yw} dw * \psi(z).$$

Hence

$$0 \neq (1 - \beta) \left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left(\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{h(tw)}{tw} dw \right) dt + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \right] * \frac{1 + xz}{1 + yz} * \psi(z)$$

$$\iff \operatorname{Re}(1 - \beta) \left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left(\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{h(tw)}{tw} dw \right) dt + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \right] * \psi(z) > \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\iff \operatorname{Re}(1 - \beta) \left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left(\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{h(tw)}{tw} dw \right) dt + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} - \frac{1}{2(1 - \beta)} \right] * \psi(z) > 0$$

$$\iff \operatorname{Re} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left(\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{h(tw)}{tw} dw \right) dt + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} - \frac{1}{2(1 - \beta)} \right] * \psi(z) > 0.$$

Using (3.1), the latter condition is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left(\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{h(tw)}{tw} dw - \frac{1 + g(t)}{2}\right) dt\right] * \psi(z) > 0.$$

From (2.3), the above inequality is equivalent to

$$0 < \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} * \frac{h(tz)}{tz} - \frac{1+g(t)}{2} \right) dt$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\eta \, d\zeta}{1 - z\eta^{\nu}\zeta^{\mu}} * \frac{h(tz)}{tz} - \frac{1+g(t)}{2} \right) dt$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{h(tz\eta^{\nu}\zeta^{\mu})}{tz\eta^{\nu}\zeta^{\mu}} \, d\eta \, d\zeta - \frac{1+g(t)}{2} \right) dt,$$

which reduces to

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left[\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\mu \nu} \frac{h(tzuv)}{tzuv} u^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1} dv du - \frac{1 + g(t)}{2} \right] dt > 0.$$

A change of variable w = tu leads to

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\lambda(t)}{t^{1/\nu}} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{h(wzv)}{wzv} w^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1} dv dw - \mu \nu t^{1/\nu} \frac{1 + g(t)}{2} \right] dt > 0.$$

Integrating by parts with respect to t and using (2.5) gives the equivalent form

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{\nu}(t) \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{h(tzv)}{tzv} t^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1} dv - t^{1/\nu - 1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{s^{1/\mu - 1}}{(1 + st)^{2}} ds \right] dt \geqslant 0.$$

Making the variable change w = vt and $\eta = st$ reduces the above inequality to

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{\nu}(t) t^{1/\nu - 1/\mu - 1} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{h(wz)}{wz} w^{1/\mu - 1} dw - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\eta^{1/\mu - 1}}{(1+\eta)^{2}} d\eta \right] dt \geqslant 0,$$

which after integrating by parts with respect to t yields

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) t^{1/\mu - 1} \left(\frac{h(tz)}{tz} - \frac{1}{(1+t)^{2}} \right) dt \geqslant 0.$$

Thus $F \in \mathcal{S}^*$ if and only if condition (3.4) holds. To verify sharpness, let β_0 satisfy

$$\frac{\beta_0}{1-\beta_0} = -\int_0^1 \lambda(t)g(t) dt.$$

Assume that $\beta < \beta_0$ and let $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ be the solution of the differential equation

$$(1 - \alpha + 2\gamma)\frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - 2\gamma)f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z) = \beta + (1 - \beta)\frac{1 + z}{1 - z}.$$

From (3.5), it follows that

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} z^{n+1}.$$

Thus

$$G(z) = V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(1-\beta)\tau_n}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} z^{n+1},$$

where $\tau_n = \int_0^1 \lambda(t) t^n dt$. Now (2.6) implies that

$$\frac{\beta_0}{1-\beta_0} = -\int_0^1 \lambda(t)g(t)\,dt = -1 - 2\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(n+1)(-1)^n \tau_n}{(1+\mu n)(1+\nu n)}.$$

This means that

$$G'(-1) = 1 + 2(1 - \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)(-1)^n \tau_n}{(1 + \mu n)(1 + \nu n)} = 1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta_0} < 0.$$

Hence G'(z) = 0 for some $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and so G is not even locally univalent in \mathbb{D} . Therefore the value of β in (3.1) is sharp. \square

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 yields several known results.

- (1) When $\gamma = 0$, then $\mu = 0$, $\nu = \alpha$, and in this particular instance, Theorem 3.1 gives Theorem 2.1 in Kim and Rønning [8].
- (2) The special case $\alpha = 1$ above yields a result of Fournier and Ruscheweyh [6, Theorem 2].
- (3) If $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$, then $\mu = 1$ and $\nu = \gamma$ in the case $\gamma > 0$, while $\mu = 0$ and $\nu = \alpha = 1$ when $\gamma = 0$. In this instance, Theorem 3.1 gives Theorem 2.2 in Ponnusamy and Rønning [12].

4. Starlikeness criteria of integral transforms

An easier sufficient condition for starlikeness of the integral operator (1.1) is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Pi_{\mu,\nu}$ and Λ_{ν} be as given in Theorem 3.1. Assume that both $\Pi_{\mu,\nu}$ and Λ_{ν} are integrable on [0,1] and positive on (0,1). Assume further that $\mu \geqslant 1$ and

$$\frac{\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t)}{1-t^2} \quad \text{is decreasing on } (0,1). \tag{4.1}$$

If β satisfies (3.1), and $f \in W_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$, then $V_{\lambda}(f) \in S^*$.

Proof. The function $t^{1/\mu-1}$ is decreasing on (0,1) when $\mu \ge 1$. Thus the condition (4.1) along with [6, Theorem 1] yield

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) t^{1/\mu - 1} \left(\frac{h(tz)}{tz} - \frac{1}{(1+t^{2})} \right) dt \geqslant 0.$$

The desired conclusion now follows from Theorem 3.1. \Box

Let us scrutinize Theorem 4.1 for helpful conditions to ensure starlikeness of $V_{\lambda}(f)$. Recall that for $\gamma > 0$,

$$\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) = \int_{t}^{1} \Lambda_{\nu}(y) y^{1/\nu - 1 - 1/\mu} dy$$
 and $\Lambda_{\nu}(t) = \int_{t}^{1} \frac{\lambda(x)}{x^{1/\nu}} dx$.

To apply Theorem 4.1, it is sufficient to show that the function

$$p(t) = \frac{\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t)}{1 - t^2} \tag{4.2}$$

is decreasing in the interval (0, 1). Note that p(t) > 0 and

$$\frac{p'(t)}{p(t)} = -\frac{\Lambda_{\nu}(t)}{t^{1+1/\mu - 1/\nu} \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t)} + \frac{2t}{1 - t^2}.$$

So it remains to show that $q'(t) \ge 0$ over (0, 1), where

$$q(t) := \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) - \frac{1 - t^2}{2} \Lambda_{\nu}(t) t^{1/\nu - 2 - 1/\mu}.$$

Since q(1) = 0, this will imply that $p'(t) \leq 0$, and p is decreasing on (0, 1). Now

$$\begin{split} q'(t) &= \Pi'_{\mu,\nu}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \bigg[\big(1 - t^2\big) \Lambda'_{\nu}(t) t^{1/\nu - 2 - 1/\mu} + \Lambda_{\nu}(t) (-2t) t^{1/\nu - 2 - 1/\mu} + \Lambda_{\nu}(t) \big(1 - t^2\big) \bigg(\frac{1}{\nu} - 2 - \frac{1}{\mu} \bigg) t^{1/\nu - 3 - 1/\mu} \bigg] \\ &= \frac{1 - t^2}{2} t^{1/\nu - 3 - 1/\mu} \bigg[\lambda(t) t^{1 - 1/\nu} - \bigg(\frac{1}{\nu} - 2 - \frac{1}{\mu} \bigg) \Lambda_{\nu}(t) \bigg]. \end{split}$$

So $q'(t) \ge 0$ is equivalent to the condition

$$\Delta(t) := -\lambda(t)t^{1-1/\nu} + \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 2 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\Lambda_{\nu}(t) \le 0.$$
 (4.3)

Since $\lambda(t) \geqslant 0$ gives $\Lambda_{\nu}(t) \geqslant 0$ for $t \in (0,1)$, condition (4.3) holds whenever $1/\nu - 2 - 1/\mu \leqslant 0$, or $\nu \geqslant \mu/(2\mu + 1)$. These observations will be used to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Let λ be a non-negative real-valued integrable function on [0,1]. Assume that Λ_{ν} and $\Pi_{\mu,\nu}$ given respectively by (3.2) and (3.3) are both integrable on [0,1], and positive on (0,1). Under the assumptions stated in Theorem 3.1, if λ satisfies

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \leqslant \begin{cases}
1 + \frac{1}{\mu}, & \mu \geqslant 1 \ (\gamma > 0), \\
3 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, & \gamma = 0, \ \alpha \in (0, 1/3] \cup [1, \infty),
\end{cases}$$
(4.4)

then $F(z) = V_{\lambda}(f)(z) \in S^*$. The conclusion does not hold for smaller values of β .

Proof. Suppose $\mu \geqslant 1$. In view of (4.3) and Theorem 4.1, the integral transform $V_{\lambda}(f)(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ for $v \geqslant \mu/(2\mu+1)$. It remains to find conditions on μ and ν in the range $0 \leqslant \nu < \mu/(2\mu+1)$ such that for each choice of λ , condition (4.3) is satisfied. Now $\Delta(t)$ at t=1 in (4.3) reduces to

$$\Delta(1) = -\lambda(1) + \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 2 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \Lambda_{\nu}(1) = -\lambda(1) \leqslant 0.$$

Hence to prove condition (4.3), it is enough to show that Δ is an increasing function in (0, 1). Now

$$\begin{split} \Delta'(t) &= -\lambda'(t)t^{1-1/\nu} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu}\right)\lambda(t)t^{-1/\nu} - \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 2 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\frac{\lambda(t)}{t^{1/\nu}} \\ &= -\lambda(t)t^{-1/\nu}\bigg[\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\bigg], \end{split}$$

and this is non-negative when $t\lambda'(t)/\lambda(t) \le 1 + 1/\mu$. In the case $\gamma = 0$, then $\mu = 0$, $\nu = \alpha > 0$. Let

$$k(t) := \Lambda_{\alpha}(t)t^{1/\alpha - 1}, \text{ where } \Lambda_{\alpha}(t) = \int_{t}^{1} \frac{\lambda(x)}{x^{1/\alpha}} dx.$$

To apply Theorem 1 in [6] along with Theorem 3.1, the function $p(t) = k(t)/(1-t^2)$ must be shown to be decreasing on the interval (0, 1). This will hold provided

$$q(t) := k(t) + \frac{1 - t^2}{2} t^{-1} k'(t) \le 0.$$

Since q(1) = 0, this will certainly hold if q is increasing on (0, 1). Now

$$q'(t) = \frac{(1-t^2)}{2}t^{-2}[tk''(t) - k'(t)]$$

and

$$\begin{split} tk''(t) - k'(t) &= \Lambda_{\alpha}''(t)t^{1/\alpha} + 2\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)\Lambda_{\alpha}'(t)t^{1/\alpha - 1} + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 2\right)\Lambda_{\alpha}(t)t^{1/\alpha - 2} \\ &- \Lambda_{\alpha}'(t)t^{1/\alpha - 1} - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)\Lambda_{\alpha}(t)t^{1/\alpha - 2} \\ &= t^{1/\alpha - 2}\bigg[\Lambda_{\alpha}''(t)t^2 + \Lambda_{\alpha}'(t)t\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} - 3\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 3\right)\Lambda_{\alpha}(t)\bigg]. \end{split}$$

Thus tk''(t) - k'(t) is non-negative if

$$\Lambda_{\alpha}''(t)t^{2} + \Lambda_{\alpha}'(t)t\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} - 3\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 3\right)\Lambda_{\alpha}(t) \geqslant 0.$$

The latter condition is equivalent to

$$-\lambda'(t)t^{2-1/\alpha} + \lambda(t)t^{1-1/\alpha}\left(3 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 3\right)\Lambda_{\alpha}(t) \geqslant 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\Lambda_{\alpha}(t) \ge 0$ and $(1/\alpha - 1)(1/\alpha - 3) \ge 0$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1/3] \cup [1, \infty)$, then $q'(t) \ge 0$ is equivalent to

$$-\lambda'(t)t^{2-1/\alpha} + \lambda(t)t^{1-1/\alpha}\left(3 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \geqslant 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \leqslant 3 - \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

Thus (4.3) is satisfied and the proof is complete. \Box

Remark 4.1.

- (1) For μ < 1, the conditions obtained will generally be complicated, and for $\mu \geqslant 1$, the conditions coincide with those given in [12].
- (2) Taking $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 4.2 yields Corollary 3.1 in [4] and Theorem 3.1 in [12].
- (3) The condition $\mu \geqslant 1$ is equivalent to $0 < \gamma \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 2\gamma + 1$.

5. Applications to certain integral transforms

In this section, various well-known integral operators are considered, and conditions for starlikeness for $f \in W_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ under these integral operators are obtained. First let λ be defined by

$$\lambda(t) = (1+c)t^c, \quad c > -1.$$

Then the integral transform

$$F_c(z) = V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = (1+c) \int_0^1 t^{c-1} f(tz) dt, \quad c > -1,$$
(5.1)

is the Bernardi integral operator. The classical Alexander and Libera transforms are special cases of (5.1) with c = 0 and c = 1 respectively. For this special case of λ , the following result holds.

Theorem 5.1. Let c > -1, and $\beta < 1$ satisfy

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = -(c+1) \int_{0}^{1} t^{c} g(t) dt,$$

where g is given by (2.6). If $f \in W_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$, then the function

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = (1+c) \int_{0}^{1} t^{c-1} f(tz) dt$$

belongs to \mathcal{S}^* if

$$c\leqslant \left\{ \begin{aligned} 1+\frac{1}{\mu}, & & \mu\geqslant 1\ (\gamma>0),\\ 3-\frac{1}{\alpha}, & & \gamma=0,\ \alpha\in(0,1/3]\cup[1,\infty). \end{aligned} \right.$$

The value of β is sharp.

Proof. With $\lambda(t) = (1+c)t^c$, then

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} = t \frac{c(1+c)t^{c-1}}{(1+c)t^c} = c,$$

and the result now follows from Theorem 4.2. \Box

Taking $\gamma = 0$, $\alpha > 0$ in Theorem 5.1 leads to the following corollary:

Corollary 5.1. *Let* $-1 < c \le 3 - 1/\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/3] \cup [1, \infty)$, and $\beta < 1$ satisfy

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = -(c+1)\int_{0}^{1} t^{c} g_{\alpha}(t) dt,$$

where g_{α} is given by (2.7). If $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, 0) = \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\beta)$, then the function

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = (1+c) \int_{0}^{1} t^{c-1} f(tz) dt$$

belongs to S^* . The value of β is sharp.

Remark 5.1. When $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, and $\mu = 1$, Theorem 5.1 yields Corollary 3.2 obtained by Ponnusamy and Rønning [12], while in the case $\alpha = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$, Theorem 5.1 yields Corollary 1 in Fournier and Ruscheweyh [6].

The case c = 0 in Theorem 5.1 yields the following interesting result, which we state as a theorem.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\alpha \geqslant \gamma > 0$, or $\gamma = 0$, $\alpha \geqslant 1/3$. If $F \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}(F'(z) + \alpha z F''(z) + \gamma z^2 F'''(z)) > \beta$$

in \mathbb{D} , and $\beta < 1$ satisfies

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = -\int_{0}^{1} g(t) dt,$$

where g is given by (2.6), then F is starlike. The value of β is sharp.

Proof. It is evident that the function f = zF' belongs to the class

$$\mathcal{W}_{\beta,0}(\alpha,\gamma) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} \colon \operatorname{Re} \left((1 - \alpha + 2\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - 2\gamma) f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z) \right) > \beta, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$F(z) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt,$$

and the result follows from Theorem 5.1 with c=0 for the ranges $\alpha \geqslant \gamma > 0$, or $\gamma = 0$, $\alpha \geqslant 1$. Simple computations show that in fact (4.5) is satisfied in the larger range $\gamma = 0$, $\alpha \geqslant 1/3$. It is also evident from the proof of sharpness in Theorem 3.1 that indeed the extremal function in $\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ also belongs to the class $\mathcal{W}_{\beta,0}(\alpha, \gamma)$. \square

Remark 5.2. We list two interesting special cases.

(1) If $\gamma = 0$, $\alpha \ge 1/3$, and $\beta = \kappa/(1+\kappa)$, where (2.6) yields

$$\kappa = -\int_{0}^{1} g(t) dt = -1 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{1}{1 + n\alpha} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{1/\alpha - 1} \frac{1 - t}{1 + t} dt,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}(f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z)) > \beta \implies f \in S^*.$$

This reduces to a result of Fournier and Ruscheweyh [6]. In particular, if $\beta = (1 - 2 \ln 2)/(2(1 - \ln 2)) = -0.629445$, then $\text{Re}(f'(z) + zf''(z)) > \beta \implies f \in S^*$.

(2) If
$$\gamma = 1$$
, $\alpha = 3$, then $\mu = 1 = \nu$. In this case, (2.6) yields $\beta = (6 - \pi^2)/(12 - \pi^2) = -1.816378$. Thus $\text{Re}(f'(z) + 3zf''(z) + z^2f'''(z)) > \beta \implies f \in S^*$.

This sharp estimate of β improves a result of Ali et al. [1].

Theorem 5.3. Let b > -1, a > -1, and $\alpha > 0$. Let $\beta < 1$ satisfy

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = -\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t)g(t) dt,$$

where g is given by (2.6) and

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} (a+1)(b+1)\frac{t^a(1-t^{b-a})}{b-a}, & b \neq a, \\ (a+1)^2t^a\log(1/t), & b = a. \end{cases}$$

If $f \in W_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$, then

$$\mathcal{G}_f(a,b;z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(a+1)(b+1)}{b-a} \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t^{b-a}) f(tz) dt, & b \neq a, \\ (a+1)^2 \int_0^1 t^{a-1} \log(1/t) f(tz) dt, & b = a, \end{cases}$$

belongs to S^* if

$$a \leq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{\mu}, & \gamma > 0 \ (\mu \geq 1), \\ 3 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, & \gamma = 0, \ \alpha \in (0, 1/3] \cup [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$
 (5.2)

The value of β is sharp.

Proof. It is easily seen that $\int_0^1 \lambda(t) dt = 1$. There are two cases to consider. When $b \neq a$, then

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} = a - \frac{(b-a)t^{b-a}}{1 - t^{b-a}}.$$

The function λ satisfies (4.4) if

$$a - \frac{(b-a)t^{b-a}}{1 - t^{b-a}} \le \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{\mu}, & \gamma > 0, \\ 3 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, & \gamma = 0, \ \alpha \in (0, 1/3] \cup [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$
 (5.3)

Since $t \in (0, 1)$, the condition b > a implies $(b - a)t^{b-a}/(1 - t^{b-a}) > 0$, and so inequality (5.3) holds true whenever a satisfies (5.2). When b < a, then $(a - b)/(t^{a-b} - 1) < b - a$, and hence $a - (b - a)t^{b-a}/(1 - t^{b-a}) < b < a$, and thus inequality (5.3) holds true whenever a satisfies (5.2).

For the case b = a, it is seen that

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} = a - \frac{1}{\log(1/t)}.$$

Since t < 1 implies $1/\log(1/t) \ge 0$, condition (4.4) is satisfied whenever a satisfies (5.2). This completes the proof. \Box

Remark 5.3. The conditions b > -1 and a > -1 in Theorem 5.3 yield several improvements of known results.

- (1) Taking $\gamma=0$ and $\alpha>0$ in Theorem 5.3 leads to a result similar to Theorem 2.4(i) and (ii) obtained in [3] for the case $\alpha\in[1/2,1]$. The condition b>a there resulted in $a\in(-1,1/\alpha-1]$. When $\alpha=1$, the range of a obtained in [3] lies in the interval (-1,0], whereas the range of a obtained in Theorem 5.3 for this particular case lies in (-1,2], and with the condition b>a removed.
- (2) Choosing $\alpha = 1$ in the case above leads to improvements of Corollary 3.13(i) obtained in [2] and Corollary 3.1 in [11]. Indeed, there the conditions on a and b were b > a > -1, whereas in the present situation, it is only required that b > -1, a > -1.
- (3) Applying Theorem 5.3 to the particular case $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, and $\mu = 1$ improves Theorem 4.1 in [4] in the sense that the condition b > a > -1 is now replaced by b > -1, a > -1.

For another choice of λ , let it now be given by

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{(1+a)^p}{\Gamma(p)} t^a (\log(1/t))^{p-1}, \quad a > -1, \ p \geqslant 0.$$

The integral transform V_{λ} in this case takes the form

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = \frac{(1+a)^p}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^1 \left(\log\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right)^{p-1} t^{a-1} f(tz) dt, \quad a > -1, \ p \geqslant 0.$$

This is the Komatu operator, which reduces to the Bernardi integral operator if p = 1. For this λ , the following result holds.

Theorem 5.4. Let -1 < a, $\alpha > 0$, $p \ge 1$, and $\beta < 1$ satisfy

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = -\frac{(1+a)^p}{\Gamma(p)} \int_{0}^{1} t^a (\log(1/t))^{p-1} g(t) dt,$$

where g is given by (2.6). If $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$, then the function

$$\Phi_p(a;z) * f(z) = \frac{(1+a)^p}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^1 (\log(1/t))^{p-1} t^{a-1} f(tz) dt$$

belongs to S^* if

$$a \leqslant \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{\mu}, & \gamma > 0 \ (\mu \geqslant 1), \\ 3 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, & \gamma = 0, \ \alpha \in (0, 1/3] \cup [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$
 (5.4)

The value of β is sharp.

Proof. It is evident that

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} = a - \frac{(p-1)}{\log(1/t)}.$$

Since $\log(1/t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, and $p \ge 1$, condition (4.4) is satisfied whenever a satisfies (5.4). \square

Remark 5.4.

- (1) Taking $\gamma = 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ in Theorem 5.4 gives a result similar to Theorem 2.1 in [3] and Theorem 2.3 in [8].
- (2) When $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, and $\mu = 1$, Theorem 5.4 yields Theorem 4.2 obtained by Balasubramanian et al. [4], while when $\alpha = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$, Theorem 5.4 yields Corollary 3.12(i) obtained by Balasubramanian et al. [2].

Let Φ be defined by $\Phi(1-t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (1-t)^n$, $b_n \ge 0$ for $n \ge 1$, and

$$\lambda(t) = Kt^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-a-b}\Phi(1-t), \tag{5.5}$$

where *K* is a constant chosen such that $\int_0^1 \lambda(t) dt = 1$. The following result holds in this instance.

Theorem 5.5. Let $a, b, c, \alpha > 0$, and $\beta < 1$ satisfy

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = -K \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-a-b} \Phi(1-t) g(t) dt,$$

where g is given by (2.6) and K is a constant such that $K\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-a-b}\Phi(1-t)=1$. If $f\in\mathcal{W}_\beta(\alpha,\gamma)$, then the function

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = K \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-a-b} \Phi(1-t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt$$

belongs to S^* provided one of the following conditions holds:

(i) c < a + b and $0 < b \le 1$,

(ii)
$$c \geqslant a + b$$
 and $b \leqslant \begin{cases} 2 + \frac{1}{\mu}, & \gamma > 0 \ (\mu \geqslant 1), \\ 4 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, & \gamma = 0, \ \alpha \in (1/4, 1/3] \cup [1, \infty). \end{cases}$ (5.6)

The value of β is sharp.

Proof. For λ given by (5.5),

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} = (b-1) - \frac{(c-a-b)t}{1-t} - \frac{t\Phi'(1-t)}{\Phi(1-t)}.$$

For the case c < a + b, computing (b - 1) - ((c - a - b)t)/(1 - t) and using the fact that $t\Phi'(1 - t)/\Phi(1 - t) > 0$ implies condition (4.4) is satisfied whenever $0 < b \le 1$. For $c \ge a + b$, a similar computation shows that the condition (4.4) is satisfied whenever b satisfies (5.6). Now the result follows by applying Theorem 4.2 for this special λ . \square

Taking $\gamma = 0$, $\alpha > 0$ in Theorem 5.5 leads to the following corollary:

Corollary 5.2. Let $a, b, c, \alpha > 0$, and $\beta < 1$ satisfy

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = -K \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-a-b} \Phi(1-t) g_{\alpha}(t) dt,$$

where g_{α} is given by (2.7), and K is a constant such that $K\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-a-b}\Phi(1-t)=1$. If $f\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha,0)=\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\beta)$, then the function

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = K \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-a-b} \Phi(1-t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt$$

belongs to S^* whenever a, b, c are related by either (i) $c \le a + b$ and $0 < b \le 1$, or (ii) $c \ge a + b$ and $b \le 4 - 1/\alpha$, $\alpha \in (1/4, 1/3] \cup [1, \infty)$, for all $t \in (0, 1)$. The value of β is sharp.

Remark 5.5. For $\alpha = 1$, Corollary 5.2 improves Theorem 3.8(i) in [2] in the sense that the result now holds not only for $c \ge a + b$ and $0 < b \le 3$, but also to the range $c \le a + b$, $0 < b \le 1$.

Taking $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 5.5 reduces to the following corollary:

Corollary 5.3. *Let* a, b, c > 0, and let $\beta < 1$ satisfy

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = -K \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-a-b} \Phi(1-t) g_{\gamma}(t) dt,$$

where g_{γ} is given by (2.7), and K is a constant such that $K\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-a-b}\Phi(1-t)=1$. If $f\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(1+2\gamma,\gamma)$, then the function

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = K \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-a-b} \Phi(1-t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt$$

belongs to S^* whenever a, b, c are related by either (i) $c \le a + b$ and $0 < b \le 1$, or (ii) $c \ge a + b$ and $0 < b \le 3$, for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 0$. The value of β is sharp.

Remark 5.6. Choosing $\Phi(1-t) = F(c-a, 1-a, c-a-b+1; 1-t)$ in Theorem 5.5(ii) gives

$$K = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - a - b + 1)}$$

whenever c-a-b+1>0. In this case, the function $V_{\lambda}(f)(z)$ reduces to the Hohlov operator given by

$$\begin{split} V_{\lambda}(f)(z) &= H_{a,b,c}(f)(z) = zF(a,b;c;z) * f(z) \\ &= K \int\limits_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-a-b} F(c-a,1-a,c-a-b+1;1-t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} \, dt, \end{split}$$

where a>0, b>0, c-a-b+1>0. This case of Corollary 5.2 was treated in [3, Theorem 2.2(i), $(\mu=0)$] and [8, Theorem 2.4], but the range of b provided by Corollary 5.2(ii) yields $0< b \le 3$, which is larger than the range given in [3] and [8] of $0< b \le 1$.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the referee for the several suggestions that helped to improve the presentation of this manuscript.

References

- [1] R.M. Ali, S.K. Lee, K.G. Subramanian, A. Swaminathan, A third-order differential equation and starlikeness of a double integral operator, Abstr. Appl. Anal. (2011), Art. ID 901235, 10 pp.
- [2] R. Balasubramanian, S. Ponnusamy, M. Vuorinen, On hypergeometric functions and function spaces, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 139 (2) (2002) 299-322.
- [3] R. Balasubramanian, S. Ponnusamy, D.J. Prabhakaran, Duality techniques for certain integral transforms to be starlike, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 293 (1) (2004) 355–373.
- [4] R. Balasubramanian, S. Ponnusamy, D.J. Prabhakaran, On extremal problems related to integral transforms of a class of analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 336 (1) (2007) 542–555.
- [5] B.C. Carlson, D.B. Shaffer, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15 (4) (1984) 737-745.
- [6] R. Fournier, S. Ruscheweyh, On two extremal problems related to univalent functions, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 24 (2) (1994) 529-538.
- [7] Yu.E. Hohlov, Convolution operators that preserve univalent functions, Ukrainian Math. J. 37 (2) (1985) 220-226, 271 (in Russian).
- [8] Y.C. Kim, F. Rønning, Integral transforms of certain subclasses of analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 258 (2) (2001) 466-489.
- [9] Y. Komatu, On analytic prolongation of a family of operators, Math. (Cluj) 32(55) (2) (1990) 141-145.
- [10] S. Ponnusamy, Differential subordinations concerning starlike functions, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 104 (2) (1994) 397-411.
- [11] S. Ponnusamy, F. Rønning, Duality for Hadamard products applied to certain integral transforms, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 32 (3) (1997) 263-287.
- [12] S. Ponnusamy, F. Rønning, Integral transforms of a class of analytic functions, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 53 (5) (2008) 423-434.
- [13] R. Singh, S. Singh, Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1) (1989) 145-152.
- [14] S. Ruscheweyh, Duality for Hadamard products with applications to extremal problems for functions regular in the unit disc, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 210 (1975) 63–74.
- [15] S. Ruscheweyh, Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory, Sem. Math. Sup., vol. 83, Presses Univ. Montréal, Montreal, QC, 1982.